Journal of Agricultural Science (2014), **152**, 379–393. doi:10.1017/S0021859613000117 © Cambridge University Press 2013. The online version of this article is published within an Open Access environment subject to the conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike licence http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/2.5/. The written permission of Cambridge University Press must be obtained for commercial re-use.

CROPS AND SOILS RESEARCH PAPER Variability in glutenin subunit composition of Mediterranean durum wheat germplasm and its relationship with gluten strength

R. NAZCO¹, R. J. PEÑA², K. AMMAR², D. VILLEGAS¹, J. CROSSA², M. MORAGUES³ AND C. ROYO¹*

¹ IRTA (Institute for Food and Agricultural Research and Technology), Field Crops Section, Rovira Roure, 191, E-25198 Lleida, Spain

² CIMMYT (International Maize and Wheat Improvement Center), 06600 México, DF, México

³ Department of Soil and Crop Sciences, Colorado State University, Fort Collins, CO 80523, USA

(Received 7 September 2012; revised 20 December 2012; accepted 23 January 2013; first published online 28 February 2013)

SUMMARY

The allelic composition at five glutenin loci was assessed by one-dimensional sodium dodecyl sulphate polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (1D SDS-PAGE) on a set of 155 landraces (from 21 Mediterranean countries) and 18 representative modern varieties. Gluten strength was determined by SDS-sedimentation on samples grown under rainfed conditions during 3 years in north-eastern Spain. One hundred and fourteen alleles/banding patterns were identified (25 at *Glu-1* and 89 at *Glu-2/Glu-3* loci); 0.85 of them were in landraces at very low frequency and 0.72 were unreported. Genetic diversity index was 0.71 for landraces and 0.38 for modern varieties. All modern varieties exhibited medium to strong gluten type with none of their 13 banding patterns having a significant effect on gluten-strength type. Ten banding patterns significantly affected gluten strength in landraces. Alleles *Glu-B1e* (band 20), *Clu-A3a* (band 6), *Clu-A3d* (bands 6+11), *Clu-B3a* (bands 2+4+15+19) and *Clu-B2a* (band 12) significantly increased the SDS-value, and their effects were associated with their frequency. Two alleles, Glu-A3b (band 5) and *Glu-B2b* (null), significantly reduced gluten strength, but only the effect of the latter locus could be associated with its frequency. Only three rare banding patterns affected gluten strength significantly: Glu-B1a (band 7), found in six landraces, had a negative effect, whereas banding patterns 2+4+14+15+18 and 2+4+15+18+19 at *Glu-B3* had a positive effect. Landraces with outstanding gluten strength were more frequent in eastern than in western Mediterranean countries. The geographical pattern displayed from the frequencies of *Glu-A1c* is discussed.

INTRODUCTION

Historically the Mediterranean Basin is the most important area for production of durum wheat (*Triticum turgidum* L. var. *durum*), being the most significant durum import market, and the largest consumer of products derived from this cereal grain (Royo *et al.* 2009). Many traditional Mediterranean foods are manufactured from durum wheat. Pasta is the most common durum end product in southern Europe and North Africa as well as in non-Mediterranean regions such as North America and the former Soviet Union. Durum wheat is extensively consumed as couscous in North Africa and flat bread and bulgur are part of the staple diet in Eastern-Mediterranean countries. Durum wheat is usually cultivated under rainfed conditions in the Mediterranean Basin, which often imposes a number of environmental stresses on the crop. Terminal drought stress, a combination of water scarcity and warm temperatures during the grain-filling period, usually results in yield reductions, but in most cases results in high grain quality.

Archaeological evidence shows that the earliest domesticated wheats came from the Near East, in the region known as the Fertile Crescent. Dated to c. 10000 years before the present time, they spread to the west of the Mediterranean Basin, reaching the Iberian Peninsula c. 7000 years BP (Feldman 2001). This migration process and both natural and human

^{*} To whom all correspondence should be addressed. Email: conxita. royo@irta.cat

selections resulted in the establishment of local landraces along the Mediterranean Basin that were well adapted to diverse but specific agro-ecological zones. These unimproved landraces, which contain the largest genetic diversity within the species, practically disappeared from farmers' fields as a consequence of the introduction of the more productive and homogeneous semi-dwarf varieties released since the Green Revolution. The extreme homogeneity in cultivar structures typical of modern agriculture caused a dramatic loss of natural variation from the middle of the 20th century in southern Europe and during the 1970s-80s in Northern Africa, which resulted in genetic erosion and possibly an increase in genetic vulnerability of wheat crops. Landraces, mostly conserved in germplasm repositories, can be considered as likely sources of putatively lost variability and may provide new favourable genes/ alleles, which could be introgressed into modern cultivars.

Some studies have shown the existence of variability for quality traits in durum wheat landraces (Moragues et al. 2006; Aguiriano et al. 2008), as well as in related tetraploid species (Sissons & Batey 2003). The ability to tap into this diversity depends on the identification of accessions containing genes and alleles demonstrated to be useful in breeding programmes for quality improvement. Gluten strength is one of the main factors influencing durum wheat quality. It is commonly determined by the sodium dodecyl sulphate (SDS)-sedimentation test and depends on the composition of the storage proteins. They are comprised of gliadins (monomeric proteins) and glutenins (polymeric proteins), the major components of gluten with the latter reported to be the most influential on gluten strength. Based on their mobility in sodium dodecyl sulphate polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE), single polypeptides from the glutenin fraction are separated after di-sulphide bond reduction, into high molecular weight-glutenin subunits (HMW-GS) and low molecular weight-glutenin subunits (LMW-GS). The HMW-GS are encoded by the complex at the Glu-1 loci (Glu-A1 and Glu-B1), located on the long arm of group 1 homologous chromosomes (Shewry et al. 1992), whereas the LMW-GS are encoded by genes at Glu-A3, Glu-B3 and Glu-B2 loci located on the short arm of group 1 homologous chromosomes (Vázquez et al. 1996).

The allelic polymorphism controlling the HMW-GS and the LMW-GS has been used in diversity studies and cultivar identification. However, the difficulty in scoring alleles of the LMW-GS has limited their use in research (Lerner *et al.* 2009) and hindered the selection for specific LMW-GS alleles in wheat breeding programmes. Recent improvements in uni-dimensional SDS–PAGE separation protocols implemented at the International Maize and Wheat Improvement Center (CIMMYT) have allowed better discrimination between LMW-GS alleles and have opened up the possibility of using them effectively in diversity studies (Peña *et al.* 2004).

The present study examined the SDS–PAGE glutenin protein banding patterns in a set of 155 Mediterranean durum wheat landraces from 21 countries and a set of 18 representative modern varieties, with the main purpose of determining the diversity of banding patterns related to known allelic variability for HMW-GS and LMW-GS. The relationship between glutenin composition and gluten strength and the possible existence of a geographic structure in the collection, based on both glutenin composition and gluten strength, were also investigated.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plant material and experimental set up

The study was conducted on a collection of 155 durum wheat landraces and old varieties from 21 Mediterranean countries including the major durum producers/ users (Table 1) and 18 representative modern cultivars. Landraces were selected from a larger collection of 231 accessions, on the basis of their genetic variability determined by 33 simple sequence repeat (SSR) markers, in order to represent the genetic diversity of ancient local durum populations from the Mediterranean Basin (Nazco et al. 2012). The modern set included the following varieties: Amilcar, Ancalei, Arment, Astigi, Boabdil, Bolo, Claudio, Gallareta, Hispasano, Jupare, Meridiano, Ocotillo, Senadur, Simeto, Sula, Svevo, Vitron and Vitronero. Several of them, particularly those from Spain, are derived from CIMMYT and have been released, under different names, as major cultivars also in North Africa and elsewhere around the Mediterranean Basin. The landraces received from the Germplasm Banks in 2005 were bulk purified selecting the dominant type (usually with a frequency above 80% of the bulk) and increased in 2006. Seed used for the field experiments from 2007 to 2009 came from purified bulks grown during each previous year. Grain samples were collected from field trials established under rainfed conditions during

Table 1. Country of origin of the 155 landraces included in the study and number of locus-specific banding patterns detected by SDS–PAGE at the HMW- and LMW-glutenin subunits loci

Country of origin		Number of entries
Algeria		6
Bulgaria		2
Croatia		5
Cyprus		3
Egypt		11
France		6
Greece		3
Israel		6
Italy		13
Jordan		8
Lebanon		7
Libya		1
Macedonia		6
Montenegro		5
Morocco		11
Portugal		11
Serbia		3
Spain		28
Syria		4
Tunisia		5
Turkey		11
Loci	Landraces	Modern
HMW glutenin:		
Glu-A1	5	1
Glu-B1	20	4
LMW glutenin:		
Glu-A3	15	3
Glu-B3	72	3
Glu-B2	2	2

3 years (2007-09) at Gimenells (41°40'N, 0°20'E, 200 m a.s.l.) in the Lleida province (north-eastern Spain). Field experiments consisted of non-replicated entries sown in plots of 6 m^2 comprising 5 m rows, spaced 0.15 m apart, and arranged in a modified augmented design with three replicated controls (cultivars Claudio, Simeto and Vitron). Sowing density was adjusted to 250 viable seeds/m² and plots were kept free of weeds and diseases according to standard cultural practices. A sample of grain, mechanically harvested at full maturity, was drawn randomly from each plot, cleaned and used for gluten-strength determination. Data of other quality attributes determined on grain samples from the same experiments used in this investigation may be found in Nazco et al. (2012).

High molecular weight-glutenin subunits and LMW-GS allelic composition

Electrophoresis was run on a bulk of ten seeds from a purified head-row of the dominant type. The procedure was as follows: a spike of the dominant type was selected from the population and its grains were sown in a single row that was harvested at ripening and ten seeds were bulked for electrophoresis.

Electrophoretic analysis (1D SDS–PAGE) was conducted according to the protocols implemented at CIMMYT by Peña *et al.* (2004), following the nomenclature of Nieto-Taladriz *et al.* (1997) and Martinez *et al.* (2004), to identify high- and low molecular weight glutenin subunit compositions at five loci (*Glu-A1, Glu-B1, Glu-A3, Glu-B3* and *Glu-B2*). The banding patterns/alleles with a frequency below 0.05 were classified as rare.

Gluten-strength determination

Gluten-strength was determined for each experimental field plot on a sample of 1 g of whole wheat flour using the SDS-sedimentation test of Axford *et al.* (1978), as modified by Peña *et al.* (1990).

Statistical analysis

The SDS-sedimentation data were fitted to a linear mixed model with the control cultivars as fixed effects, and row number, column number and genotype as random effects (Littell et al. 1996). Restricted maximum likelihood (REML) was used to estimate the variance components and to produce the best linear unbiased predictors (BLUPs) for data from each cultivar/year combination. The calculations were done through the MIXED procedure of the SAS-STAT statistical package, which was used for all the analyses. A standard analysis of variance (ANOVA) was conducted with the BLUPs of gluten-strength data using the genotype×year interaction as an error term. Genotypes were classified according to the mean value of SDS-sedimentation across experiments as outstanding (SDS \ge 11), very high (10 < SDS < 11), high $(9 \leq SDS \leq 10)$, medium $(7 \leq SDS < 9)$ and low (SDS < 7) gluten-strength groups and the genotype effect was partitioned in the ANOVA according to this classification. Means were compared by the Student-Newman–Keuls (SNK) test at P=0.05. The FREQ procedure of the SAS-STAT statistical package (SAS 2011) was used to conduct a 2×5 Fisher's exact test on each significant allele/banding pattern, to determine whether there were any significant differences between the gluten-strength groups with regard to banding pattern frequency. Genetic diversity was calculated with the *D* index, according to the following:

$$D_i = 1 - \Sigma \rho_{ii}^2 \tag{1}$$

where *p* is the frequency of the *i*th allele at locus *j*th. The allelic effect on gluten strength was computed as the difference between the mean SDS-sedimentation values across years of genotypes carrying or not-carrying a given allele/banding pattern. The allelic frequency of the allele *Glu-A1c* (null) for each country was used to conduct hierarchical cluster analyses by the Ward method of the JMP V.8 software (SAS 2009).

RESULTS

One hundred and fourteen banding patterns, potentially Glu-1/Glu-3 allele-specific, were identified by SDS-PAGE (bottom part of Table 1), 97 of which appeared only in the landraces and with frequencies below 0.05, thus being considered herein as rare patterns/alleles. All the patterns detected in modern cultivars, which are considered allele-specific according to the *Glu-1/Glu-2/Glu-3* nomenclature used, were also present in the landraces. For the two loci encoding for HMW-GS, 25 alleles/banding patterns were identified in landraces and only five of them were detected in the modern varieties. For the three loci encoding the LMW-GS the difference in variability was even higher between the two groups, with landraces exhibiting some 89 potentially allele-specific banding patterns and only eight of them preserved in the modern cultivars. Glu-B3, encoding for LMW-GS, was the locus showing the largest number of patterns in landraces, while Glu-B1 (HMW-GS) was the most variable locus in the modern cultivars (bottom part of Table 1).

The allelic/banding pattern frequencies at each presumed locus are shown in Table 2. Only 25.4% of the banding patterns detected have been previously described. The most frequent allele in the collection was the null allele at *Glu-A1*, and was monomorphic in modern cultivars. A banding pattern tentatively designated as 2^{**} (Branlard *et al.* 1989) was detected in the area corresponding to the size of the subunits expected at this locus. At the *Glu-B1* locus, the second one coding for HMW-GS, modern cultivars exhibited

the three most frequent banding patterns known to be present in germplasm groups worldwide, namely, 7+8, 6+8 and 20 (Branlard et al. 1989; Aguiriano et al. 2008), while as many as 20 banding patterns could be distinguished in the landrace group in the mobility area corresponding to these HMW-GS. Subunit 20 was the most common in the landraces, whereas 7+8 was the most common in modern varieties (Table 2). At Glu-A3, of the 15 patterns identified overall, the most frequent was allele Glu-A3a (band 6). The second most prevalent allele in the modern varieties was Glu-A3d (bands 6+11), and the null allele in the landraces, the latter being absent in modern cultivars. The two alleles reported and described elsewhere (Nieto-Taladriz et al. 1997; Carrillo et al. 2000) at Glu-B2 (Glu-B2b or null and Glu-B2a or band 12) were observed at higher frequencies in both sets of germplasm, with the null allele being the most frequent in the landraces, whereas *Glu-B2a* was found at twice the frequency of the alternative allele in modern varieties. At the most variable locus overall, Glu-B3, 72 banding pattern/putative alleles were found in landraces but only three of them were present in modern varieties and 55 of them were recorded only in a single landrace (Table 2). The most frequent banding pattern at this locus was 2+4+15+19 (Glu-B3a). Other patterns with relatively high frequency in the landraces were 2+4+15+18 and 14+17, and 4+15+19 in modern varieties (Table 2). The allele 3+15+19 was found only in the modern French cultivar Arment.

The overall genetic diversity index (D) for landraces was 0.71, substantially higher than the same index for modern varieties (0.38) (Table 3). It was highest in landraces from Spain (0.68), Portugal (0.67), Egypt (0.62) and Croatia (0.61), and lowest in accessions from Algeria and Bulgaria (0.30), Turkey (0.33), France (0.34) and Tunisia (0.35) (Table 3). For the HMW-G subunits locus Glu-A1, the highest diversity indices were observed in landraces from Egypt, Portugal and Spain, while landraces from Portugal, Spain, Algeria, Cyprus and Greece had the highest diversity indices for Glu-B1. The largest diversity was found in landraces from Spain, Portugal, Macedonia and Croatia at Glu-A3, from Spain, Egypt, Macedonia and Lebanon at Clu-B3 and from Lebanon, Croatia, Tunisia and Jordan for *Glu-B2* (Table 3).

The ANOVA for gluten strength showed that both year and genotype effect were statistically significant (P<0.001), accounting for 9 and 73%, respectively, of the total variation (Table 4) (see also Nazco *et al.*

Locus	Allelic/banding pattern	Landraces	Modern	Locus	Banding pattern	Landraces	Modern	Locus	Banding pattern	Landraces	Modern
Glu-A1	1	21.94	_	Glu-B3	1+13+14+17	0.65	_	Glu-B3	2+4+15+17+19	0.65	_
	1′	0.65	_		1+14+17	0.65	-	(Cont.)	2+4+15+18	7.10	-
	2**	0.65	_		1+15+17	0.65	-		2+4+15+18+19	1.29	-
	2	14.84	_		1+15+17+18	0.65	-		2+4+15+19	32.90	77.78
	Null	61.94	100		1 + 3 + 13 + 14 + 17	0.65	_		2 + 4 + 16 + 17	1.94	_
Glu-B1	7	3.87	_		1+3+13+16	0.65	_		2+4+16+17+18	0.65	_
	14	0.65	_		1+3+14+15+17	0.65	_		2 + 4 + 16 + 19	1.29	_
	20	36.77	22.22		1+3+14+15+18	0.65	_		2+4+17	1.29	_
	13+16	3.23	_		1+3+14+18	1.29	_		2+4+7+13+17+19	0.65	_
	13+18	0.65	_		1+7+15+16	0.65	_		2+4+9+13+17	0.65	_
	13+19	0.65	_		13+14+16	0.65	_		3+13+15+19	0.65	_
	14++18	1.29	_		13+16	0.65	_		3+13+18	0.65	_
	14+15	1.29	_		13+19	0.65	_		3+14+16	1.29	_
	19+22	1.94	_		14+15+17	0.65	_		3+14+16+18	0.65	_
	19+8	1.94	_		14+15+18+19	0.65	_		3+14+17+19	0.65	_
	20+18	0.65	_		14+16	1.29	_		3+15+17	0.65	_
	6++17	0.65	_		14+16+19	0.65	-		3+15+18+19	0.65	_
	6+17	1.29	-		14+17	5.16	-		3+15+19	0.65	5.55
	6+18	2.58	-		14+17+18	0.65	-		3+7+14+15+19	0.65	-
	6+22	0.65	-		14+18	0.65	-		3+7+16+19	0.65	-
	6+8	22.58	16.67		15+16+17	0.65	_		3+8+14+17	0.65	-
	7+17	4.52	5.56		15+16+18	0.65	-		3+9+14+17+18	0.65	-
	7+22	0.65	-		15+18	1.29	_		3+9+15+18	0.65	-
	7+8	13.55	55.55		16 + 17 + 19	0.65	-		4 + 15 + 19	0.65	16.67
	7+8 ⁻	0.65	_		16+18	0.65	-		4+16+19	0.65	-
Glu-A3	5	8.39	_		16+19	1.29	_		7+13+19	0.65	-
	6	29.68	66.67		2+4+13+15+18	0.65	-		8+13+14+16	0.65	-
	10	3.23	-		2+4+13+16+17	0.65	-		9+13+17	0.65	-
	11	9.03	-		2 + 4 + 13 + 16 + 19	0.65	_		9+13+17+18	0.65	-
	20	1.29	-		2 + 4 + 13 + 17	0.65	_		9+15+17+18	0.65	-
	10+11	2.58	-		2+4+13+17+18	0.65	-		9+15+17+19	0.65	-
	11+10	0.65	-		2 + 4 + 13 + 19	1.29	_		9+15+18	0.65	-
	11+20	0.65	-		2 + 4 + 14 + 15 + 16	1.29	_	Glu-B2	12	41	66.67
	5+10	0.65	-		2 + 4 + 14 + 15 + 18	0.65	_		null	59	33.33
	5+10+11	3.23	_		2+4+14+15+19	1.29	_				
	5+11	9.68	5.55		2 + 4 + 14 + 17	0.65	_				
	6+10	1.29	_		2 + 4 + 14 + 17 + 18	0.65	_				
	6+11	5.81	27.78		2 + 4 + 14 + 18	1.29	_				
	6+20	4.52	_		2 + 4 + 14 + 18 + 19	0.65	_				
	Null	19.35	_		2+4+15+16	1.94	_				

Table 2. Allelic/banding pattern frequencies (%) at the HMW- and LMW-glutenin subunits loci for 155 landraces and 18 representative modern varieties. Known banding patterns are indicated in bold type

383

	HN	٨W		LMW		
	D _{GLU-A1}	D _{GLU-B1}	D _{GLU-A3}	D _{GLU-B3}	D _{GLU-B2}	D _{mean}
Modern	0.00	0.61	0.48	0.36	0.44	0.38
Landraces	0.55	0.79	0.84	0.88	0.49	0.71
Algeria	0.00	0.67	0.28	0.28	0.28	0.30
Bulgaria	0.50	0.00	0.50	0.50	0.00	0.30
Croatia	0.48	0.56	0.80	0.72	0.48	0.61
Cyprus	0.44	0.67	0.44	0.44	0.44	0.49
Egypt	0.61	0.64	0.78	0.89	0.17	0.62
France	0.00	0.28	0.67	0.78	0.00	0.34
Greece	0.44	0.67	0.44	0.44	0.00	0.40
Israel	0.00	0.50	0.78	0.78	0.00	0.41
Italy	0.14	0.57	0.62	0.63	0.36	0.46
Jordan	0.22	0.53	0.59	0.78	0.47	0.52
Lebanon	0.00	0.61	0.61	0.82	0.49	0.51
Libya	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Macedonia	0.28	0.50	0.83	0.83	0.28	0.54
Montenegro	0.32	0.48	0.64	0.72	0.00	0.43
Morocco	0.17	0.56	0.73	0.66	0.40	0.50
Portugal	0.60	0.74	0.83	0.81	0.40	0.67
Serbia	0.44	0.00	0.44	0.67	0.44	0.40
Spain	0.57	0.72	0.87	0.90	0.34	0.68
Syria	0.38	0.63	0.63	0.75	0.38	0.55
Tunisia	0.00	0.48	0.48	0.32	0.48	0.35
Turkey	0.40	0.17	0.74	0.17	0.17	0.33

Table 3. Genetic diversity indices calculated for five glutenin loci for 155 landraces and 18 representative modern varieties and for the landraces per country of origin

Table 4. Analysis of variance for gluten strength of 173 entries (155 landraces + 18 modern varieties). Genotype effect was partitioned according to five levels of gluten strength (SDS-values): outstanding (SDS \ge 11), very high (10 < SDS < 11), high (9 \le SDS \le 10), medium (7 \le SDS < 9) and low (SDS < 7)

Source of variation	D.F.	SS	% SS	P>F
Year	2	163	9	<0.001
Genotype	172	1264	73	<0.001
Between groups	4	1157	91.5	<0.001
Within outstanding	7	2	0.1	0.975
Within very high	20	4	0.3	1.000
Within high	38	9	0.7	1.000
Within medium	70	63	5.0	0.490
Within low	33	30	2.4	0.470
Genotype×year (Error)	344	310	18	
Total	518	1738		

2012). The partitioning of the genotype effect into its individual components revealed that differences between groups of accessions, based on their SDS- sedimentation values, accounted for 91.5% of the genotypic variability, which corresponded to 67% of the total variation, while differences within each sedimentation group were not statistically significant (Table 4).

The effect on gluten strength of each allele/banding pattern was computed separately for landraces and modern varieties, as the difference between the mean SDS-sedimentation test value of the accessions carrying and not carrying the allele/pattern (Table 5). Allelic/banding pattern effect was not significant among the modern cultivars group, with all members showing high to outstanding SDS-values. On the other hand, significant main effects of some alleles/banding patterns were detected within the landraces. At the HMW-GS loci, the only allele with a significant effect was *Clu-B1e* (band 20). Four alleles/banding patterns at LMW-GS loci increased significantly the gluten strength of landraces (Table 5). Only three among the rare banding patterns found in the landraces had a significant effect on gluten strength (data not shown). One of them was putative allele 7 at *Clu-B1*, present in six landraces (three Montenegrin, one Croatian and

Landraces						Modern					
Allelic/banding pattern	Frequency (%)	Present (ml) (a)	Absent (ml) (b)	Main effect (ml) (a–b)	Р	Frequency (%)	Present (ml) (a)	Absent (ml) (b)	Main effect (ml) (a–b)		
<i>Glu-A1</i> a (1)	21.94	8·43	8·22	0.21							
<i>Glu-A1</i> b (2*)	14.84	7.96	8.32	-0.36							
<i>Glu-A1</i> c (null)	61.94	8·27	8.26	0.01		100.00					
<i>Glu-B1</i> e (20)	36.77	8.58	8.08	0.50	<0.05	22.22	10.24	10.31	-0.07		
<i>Glu-B1</i> d (6+8)	22.58	7.92	8.36	-0.44		16.67	10.15	10.32	-0.17		
<i>Glu-B1</i> ao (7+17)	4.52	9.08	8·23	0.85		5.55	10.92	10.26	0.66		
<i>Glu-B1</i> b (7+8)	13.55	7.67	8.36	-0.68		55.56	10.29	10.29	0.00		
<i>Glu-A3</i> b (5)	8.39	7.08	8.37	-1.29	<0.01						
<i>Glu-A3</i> a (6)	29.68	8.75	8.06	0.69	<0.01	66.67	10.37	10.14	0.23		
<i>Glu-A3</i> e (11)	9.03	8.15	8.28	-0.13							
<i>Glu-A3</i> d (6+11)	5.81	9.41	8.19	1.22	<0.05	27.78	10.20	10.33	-0.12		
<i>Glu-A3 h</i> (null)	19.35	8.17	8.29	-0.12							
Glu-B3a (2+4+15+19)	32.90	8.93	7.94	0.99	<0.001	77.78	10.28	10.33	-0.05		
<i>Glu-B2</i> a (12)	41.00	8.70	7.97	0.73	<0.01	66.67	10.37	10.14	0.23		
<i>Glu-B2</i> b (null)	59.00	7.97	8.68	-0.71	<0.01	33.33	10.14	10.37	-0.23		

Table 5. Effect of known allelic/banding patterns and their effect on SDS-sedimentation volume (ml) in the collection of 155 landraces and 18 modern cultivars

two Spanish: 3.87% of the total landraces), which reduced the SDS-sedimentation value by 1.75 ml (P < 0.01) on average. The other two were banding patterns 2+4+14+15+18 (present in the Egyptian landrace PI-366109) and 2+4+15+18+19 (present in two landraces, Trigo Glutinoso from France and Lobeiro de Grao Escuro from Portugal) at *Glu-B3*, which increased SDS-values by 3.44 ml (P < 0.05) and 2.96 ml (P < 0.01), respectively.

The frequency of alleles/banding patterns significantly affecting gluten strength was calculated for each of the gluten-strength groups considered in the ANOVA. The rare allele Glu-B1a (band 7) was not present in any of the 50 landraces with stronger gluten (SDS \ge 9 ml), but it was detected in 2.8% of the 71 landraces with medium gluten strength, and in 11.8% of the 34 landraces with low gluten strength (Table 6). For the landraces, allele *Glu-B1e* (band 20) was present with a high frequency in the five glutenstrength groups, particularly in c. 60% of the genotypes with high and very high gluten strength. It was also detected in modern varieties, but with lower frequencies and not in the outstanding gluten-strength group (Table 6). Band 5 (Glu-A3b) at locus Glu-A3 was absent in landraces with SDS-values >10, but its frequency increased in landraces as gluten strength decreased. In contrast, bands 6 (Glu-A3a) and 6+11 (Glu-A3d) at Glu-A3 and 2+4+15+19 (Glu-B3a) at *Clu-B3* were detected in higher frequencies in accessions with SDS-values ≥ 9 , both in landraces and modern varieties. The rare patterns 2+4+14+ 15+18 and 2+4+15+18+19 were only present in the landraces with the highest SDS-values (Table 6). Alleles at *Glu-B2* were uniformly distributed among all the gluten-strength groups for the landraces, but they were only present in modern varieties with SDS-values \geq 9. Band 12 (*Clu-B2a*) was present in more than 50% of genotypes with SDS-values ≥ 9 , while the alternative null (Clu-B2b) allele was highly frequent in landraces with medium and low SDS-values. The results of the Fisher's exact test revealed that, except for Clu-B1a (band 7) and Clu-A3b (band 5), differences between gluten-strength groups were associated with banding pattern frequencies (Table 6). When this test was conducted for landraces and modern varieties separately, no significant association appeared for modern varieties, while for the landraces the significant relationships coincided with the ones shown in Table 6 for the whole set of cultivars.

Only eight genotypes in the whole collection, five landraces and three modern varieties, had SDS-values ≥ 11 . None of them carried the alleles/banding patterns associated with negative main effects such as band 5 (*GluA-3b*) at *Glu-A3* or the rare allele 7 at *Glu-B1*. However, alleles/patterns found to significantly increase gluten strength were detected in all

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/co https://doi.org/10.1017/S0021859613000117		
e, IP address: 83.40.135.89, on subject to the Carr		
hbridge Core terms of use, available at https://w		
ww.cambridge.org/core/terms		

Table 6. Frequency of known and rare alleles/banding patterns with statistical significant effect on gluten-strength groups formed according to SDS-sedimentation values (ml), differences between gluten-strength groups with regard to banding pattern frequency according to the Fisher's exact test and genetic diversity indices for the same groups

			Landraces									
Allelic/banding	Outstanding SDS ≥ 11	Very high 10 <sds<11< th=""><th>High 9 ≤ SDS ≤ 10</th><th>Medium 7 ≤ SDS<9</th><th>Low SDS<7</th><th>Outstanding SDS ≥ 11</th><th>Very high 10<sds<11< th=""><th>High 9 ≤ SDS ≤ 10</th><th>Medium 7 ≤ SDS < 9</th><th>Low SDS<7</th><th colspan="2">Fischer's exact test</th></sds<11<></th></sds<11<>	High 9 ≤ SDS ≤ 10	Medium 7 ≤ SDS<9	Low SDS<7	Outstanding SDS ≥ 11	Very high 10 <sds<11< th=""><th>High 9 ≤ SDS ≤ 10</th><th>Medium 7 ≤ SDS < 9</th><th>Low SDS<7</th><th colspan="2">Fischer's exact test</th></sds<11<>	High 9 ≤ SDS ≤ 10	Medium 7 ≤ SDS < 9	Low SDS<7	Fischer's exact test	
pattern	(5)*	(14)	(31)	(71)	(34)	(3)	(7)	(8)	(0)	(0)	<i>P</i> †	$\Pr \leq P$
					Frequer	ncies (%)						
<i>Glu-B1</i> a (7)‡	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.8	11·8	0.0	0.0	0.0	_	-	0.0034	0.1031
<i>Glu-B1</i> e (20)	40.0	57.1	61.3	19.7	41.2	0.0	28.6	25.0	-	-	5.601×10^{-7}	0.0025
<i>Glu-A3</i> b (5)	0.0	0.0	3.2	9.9	14.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	-	-	0.0011	0.1981
<i>Glu-A3</i> a (6)	60.0	28.6	41.9	31.0	11.8	100.0	57.1	62.5	-	-	3.816×10^{-7}	0.0017
<i>Glu-A3</i> d (6+11)	0.0	28.6	6.5	4.2	0.0	0.0	42.9	25.0	-	-	3.803×10^{-6}	7.302×10^{-4}
<i>Glu-B3</i> a (2+4+15+19)	20.0	57.1	58.1	26.8	14.7	100.0	57.1	87.5	-	-	2.215×10^{-9}	1.265×10^{-5}
<i>Glu-B3</i> 2+4+14+15+18‡	20.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	-	-	0.0462	0.0462
Glu-B3 2+4+15+18+19‡	20.0	7.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	-	-	0.0113	0.0132
<i>Glu-B2</i> a (12)	60.0	50.0	61.3	33.8	29.4	100.0	57.1	62.5	-	-	1.093×10^{-6}	0.0055
<i>Glu-B2</i> b (null)	40.0	50.0	38.7	66.2	70.6	0.0	42.9	37.5	-	-	1.093×10^{-6}	0.0055
				C	enetic dive	ersity indices						
D _{Glu-A1}	0.64	0.62	0.45	0.51	0.59	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	-		
D _{Glu-B1}	0.72	0.64	0.59	0.83	0.74	0.44	0.57	0.63	-	-		
D _{Glu-A3}	0.56	0.80	0.76	0.82	0.88	0.00	0.49	0.53	-	-		
D _{Glu-B3}	0.80	0.63	0.65	0.91	0.95	0.00	0.49	0.22	-	-		
D _{Glu-B2}	0.48	0.50	0.47	0.45	0.42	0.00	0.49	0.47	-	-		
D _{mean}	0.64	0.64	0.58	0.70	0.71	0.09	0.41	0.37	-	-		

* Number of genotypes in the strength group.

+ Probability according to a hypergeometric distribution.

+ Allelic/banding patterns with frequencies <0.05.



Fig. 1. Geographic distribution of gluten-strength groups in landraces from the Mediterranean Basin. The colours inside the circles indicate the percentage of entries with outstanding (SDS \ge 11), very high (10 < SDS < 11), high (9 \le SDS \le 10), medium (7 \le SDS < 9) and low (SDS < 7) SDS-values. The size of the circles is proportional to the number of entries from each country according to Table 1 (colour version is available online).

of them. This was the case for band 6 (*Clu-A3a*) at *Clu-A3* and band 12 (*Clu-B2a*) at *Clu-B2*, which were present in three of the five landraces and in the three modern varieties with the highest SDS-values, and the pattern 2+4+15+19 (*Clu-B3a*) at *Clu-B3*, which was also carried by the three modern varieties and one of the landraces with outstanding gluten strength.

The overall genetic diversity was much higher in landraces than in modern varieties, as noted previously. This tendency was also observed when considering diversity within the different gluten-strength groups (bottom part of Table 6). Modern varieties with SDS-sedimentation values ≥ 11 were essentially monomorphic at *Glu-A3*, *Glu-B3* and *Glu-B2*, all exhibiting the *Glu-B3* pattern commonly known as LMW-2 type (Pogna *et al.* 1988), which is associated with strong gluten type and high pasta-cooking quality (Pogna *et al.* 1988; Peña & Pfeiffer 2005). Modern varieties with high and very high gluten strength had intermediate-to-low diversity index values for all loci. The diversity indices for the five SDS-sedimentation groups of the landraces ranged from 0.58 to 0.71.

The geographic distribution of the five glutenstrength groups along the Mediterranean Basin is shown in Fig. 1. The highest percentages of accessions with strong to very strong gluten were found in Cyprus, Syria and Turkey, whereas 100% of the accessions from Jordan, Israel, Bulgaria, Serbia, Montenegro and Greece had medium to low gluten strength. All accessions from Algeria, Tunisia and Libya had SDS-values that were in the intermediate classes, with none belonging to either outstanding or low SDS-values groups. All the western Mediterranean countries had a high percentage of accessions with medium gluten-strength and, with the exception of France, none of the western Mediterranean accessions had SDS-values ≥ 11 .

The clustering of countries based on the frequencies of all known alleles/banding patterns did not result in a clear geographical pattern except for the null allele (*Clu-A1c*) at *Clu-A1*, the most frequent in the present collection. Figure 2 shows that the allelic frequencies for the null allele clusters countries with high (75–100%, branch A) and low (0–60%, branch B) frequencies of this allele. Branch C clustered modern varieties and countries in which all the accessions were monomorphic for this locus, while branch D grouped countries in which this allele was present in very high frequency (75–92%). In the lower part of Fig. 2, branch E grouped countries with low to medium frequencies (27–60%), while Croatia, Macedonia and



Fig. 2. Cluster analysis based on *Glu-A1c* (null allele) frequency in modern varieties and by country for landraces.

Montenegro, with accessions lacking this allele, were clustered in branch F.

Branlard *et al.* (1989), Sissons *et al.* (2005) and Igrejas *et al.* (1999).

DISCUSSION

Although the number of modern cultivars included for comparison in the present study was lower than that of landraces, it can be considered as reliably representative of the current modern Mediterranean germplasm in terms of its variability for glutenin loci, in particular for Glu-A1, Glu-B1 and Glu-B3. Because the genotypes are not only the major cultivars in the northern-Mediterranean countries where they were released, but also several are extensively grown under different names in North Africa and elsewhere, alleles or banding patterns that would be present in the overall modern Mediterranean germplasm are highly likely to be represented within the group of 18 cultivars included in this study. One exception is the LMW-1 pattern associated with low gluten strength, which was eliminated from most modern European varieties, but remains in some widely grown North-African cultivars. All others alleles present in the set of 18 modern cultivars were the overwhelmingly predominant ones identified in large collections of durum wheat by

In this context, the present collection of 155 landraces clearly showed much more variability in glutenin composition than modern varieties with only 13 of the 114 alleles/banding patterns identified in the landraces being also present in modern varieties. This indicates an overall loss of 88.6% in allelic variability going from landraces to modern cultivars. Eighty-five per cent of the alleles/banding patterns identified in the landraces had a frequency lower than 5%, therefore considered as rare forms, and 84.5% of them had not been described previously. This clearly confirms that local/native durum germplasm resources from the region indeed represent a rich reservoir of untapped diversity for glutenin composition and could be used towards widening the variability within modern germplasm, should some alleles or banding patterns prove to be useful for enhancing/diversifying gluten characteristics.

The largest diversity for HMW-GS was found at the *Glu-B1* locus, both in landraces and modern varieties. However, at the *Glu-A1* locus, modern cultivars were monomorphic, all exhibiting the null allele, whereas four alternative, non-null alleles were expressed in close to 40% of the landraces. The null allele has been found in very high frequencies in other durum collections (Branlard *et al.* 1989), and practically fixed in modern germplasm worldwide. The same order in allelic frequencies at *Glu-A1*, namely null $>1>2^*$, has been reported previously in durum wheat (Kaan *et al.* 1993; Moragues *et al.* 2006; Aguiriano *et al.* 2008).

The genetic variability found at *Glu-B1* in the present study was much greater than that reported by previous studies conducted with Mediterranean landraces (Moragues et al. 2006) or with durum world collections (Kaan et al. 1993). The four banding patterns identified at the Glu-B1 locus in modern varieties represented only 77.4% of the allelic frequency in the landraces group, in which 16 additional rare banding patterns were detected. However, the most frequent banding pattern in the landraces (HMWGS-20) did not coincide with that prevalent in modern varieties (HMWGS-7+8). Previous studies have reported a wide presence of band 20 in landraces from North Africa and South West Asia (Moragues et al. 2006). The high frequency of the 7+8 banding pattern in modern varieties may have resulted from selection in breeding programmes, since it has been widely associated with strong gluten and therefore good pasta-making quality (Du Cros 1987; Sissons et al. 2005), although its positive effect could not be evidenced in the present set of genotypes. The rare band 7 at *Glu-B1* locus had been previously detected at very low frequencies in landraces from the Iberian Peninsula and South West Asia (Moragues et al. 2006).

The variability detected for LMW-GS was even wider than the one characterizing HMW-GS as found in other studies (Du Cros 1987; Brites & Carrillo 2001; Sissons et al. 2005). Additionally, the number of banding patterns identified at LMW-GS loci in the present collection was much higher than that reported in previous studies, confirming the wide genetic diversity of the germplasm used in the present study, especially for the *Glu-B3* locus. Nine of the 15 banding patterns detected at Glu-A3 locus were rare. The most frequent banding pattern at this locus, either in landraces and modern varieties, was Glu-A3a (band 6), in agreement with the results obtained by Nieto-Taladriz et al. (1997), Carrillo et al. (2000) and Moragues et al. (2006). The 2+4+15+19 banding pattern (*Clu-B3a* allele) at *Clu-B3* was the most prevalent in landraces as well as in modern varieties, in accordance with the results obtained by

Nieto-Taladriz *et al.* (1997), Carrillo *et al.* (2000) and Moragues *et al.* (2006).

There was no clear sub-regional or geographic trend in the distribution of diversity within the set of landraces studied. Among the most diverse landrace groups were those of the Iberian Peninsula. It is worth noting that in spite of the Spanish landraces being about three times more represented than the Portuguese, the genetic diversity indices of both countries were similar, and also similar to that previously reported by Moragues et al. (2006) who found an index of 0.62 in a set of 25 landraces from the Iberian Peninsula. The lowest genetic diversity indices were found for the Algerian, Tunisian, Bulgarian, French and Turkish sub-groups. This is in agreement with the results reported by Hamdi et al. (2010) in a study with 856 accessions of Algerian durum wheat, and by Moragues et al. (2006) which reported little diversity in Turkish and Bulgarian landraces. As suggested by Ganeva et al. (2010), this could be attributed either to agro-ecological factors or to the efficient and consistent selection done by farmers through time, with the aim of improving the uniformity and yield of local populations.

The clustering based on the frequency of the null allele at *Clu-A1*, the most frequent either in modern varieties and landraces, displayed a clear geographical pattern. A very high frequency of this allele was detected in the modern varieties and in landraces from the Middle East and North African countries, France and Italy. With the exception of Egypt, in which this allele was found at a medium frequency, this geographic distribution is consistent with the dispersal route of durum wheat from the Fertile Crescent to North Africa through the south side of the Mediterranean Basin, as suggested by Moragues et al. (2007). The close relationships between France and its former colonies in North Africa (Morocco, Algeria and Tunisia) would explain the French landraces being clustered in this group. Moreover, the inclusion of Italian germplasm in this cluster may be a consequence of the extensive use of North African landraces by Italian scientists and breeders during the first half of the 20th century (Di Fonzo et al. 2005). In contrast, all Balkan countries, as well as the Iberian Peninsula countries, Turkey and Egypt were clustered in a branch associated with a low frequency of the null allele at Glu-A1 that is consistent with a northern dispersal through the Mediterranean Basin.

The ANOVA for gluten strength showed that the component of variation due to genotype was more

than eight times greater than the component due to the year effect, suggesting that this trait in durum wheat is largely under genetic control. The classification of genotypes in five groups according to their gluten strength determined by the SDS-sedimentation test was appropriate, as demonstrated by the large amount of the total variance explained by differences between groups (91.5%) and the lack of significant differences in gluten-strength values within groups.

None of the *Glu-A1* alleles had a significant main effect on gluten strength, as determined by the SDS-sedimentation test, which is in line with the findings of Du Cros (1987), and different to the findings of other authors who found a positive relationship between *Glu-A1* alleles and gluten strength when dough rheological parameters were considered (Ciaffi et al. 1995; Brites & Carrillo 2001). Also, increases in gluten and dough strength have been achieved in durum wheat by transferring HMW-GS coded by Glu-A1 from Triticum dicoccoides (Ciaffi et al. 1995). In bread wheat the alleles Glu-A1a (1) and Glu-A1b (2*) have been shown to improve dough quality and strength compared to the *Glu-A1c* (null) allele (He et al. 2005). However, the Glu-A1 subunits in bread wheat interact with a relatively different set of additional HMW-GS and a drastically different set of LMW-GS than those present in durum wheat, which may explain the difference in importance of this locus between the two species. It is also important to note that the SDS-sedimentation test is an indirect measure of gluten strength, based on the extent of aggregation/ precipitation of the gluten polymer, not a direct physical measurement of viscoelastic properties of the gluten complex or dough. Further studies using dough rheology parameters may be needed to definitively determine actual strength differences associated with allelic variations at *Glu-A1* in the group of landraces evaluated.

The present results showed that at *Glu-B1*, only banding pattern 20 had a significant main effect on the gluten strength of the landraces, while its effect in modern varieties was negligible. Previous studies addressing the effect of banding pattern 20 at *Glu-B1* have reported contrasting results, ranging from a reduction of gluten strength (Peña *et al.* 1994; Ammar *et al.* 2000; Brites & Carrillo 2001; Sissons *et al.* 2005) to a positive effect on bread volume, a trait highly and positively correlated with gluten strength (Boggini & Pogna 1989), to no significant effects (Vázquez *et al.* 1996). Again, these divergent results may be due to interactions among HMW-GS and LMW-GS in the formation of the gluten complex, and therefore main effects of a given allele depend on the overall glutenin combination in which it is present. This may be the case particularly for durum wheat in which LMW-GS effect dominates over the effect of the HMW-GS, making any HMW-GS main effect highly dependent on LMW-GS background (Peña & Pfeiffer 2005). In the present study, the presence of subunit 7 at *Glu-B1* had the most detrimental effect on gluten strength, but differences between gluten-strength groups were not significantly associated to its frequency, probably due to its low occurrence in the present population.

Two of the three alleles found at Glu-A3 in modern varieties had a significant and positive effect on the gluten strength in the landraces. The main effect of Clu-A3a on gluten strength was not significant in modern varieties, possibly because alleles from other loci with stronger main effects were present and did not allow the detection of the individual effect of *Clu-A3a*. Previous studies have related durum quality with the presence of *Clu-A3a* (Nieto-Taladriz et al. 1997; Carrillo et al. 2000). Allele Glu-A3d was more frequent in modern varieties than in landraces, and it had the largest positive effect on the SDS-values of landraces, but its effect in modern varieties was not significant, probably due to additive or complementary effects of individual Glu-1/Glu2/Glu3 alleles. However, allele *Glu-A3b* (band 5) absent in modern varieties and present in 13 landraces had the worst effect on gluten strength, in agreement with the findings of Carrillo et al. (2000). The data from the present study could not determine whether differences between gluten strength groups were associated with the frequency of this allele within each group.

The *Glu-B3a* allele had a positive effect on gluten strength in the landraces, while its effect on modern varieties was negligible. The relationship between good quality and the presence of *Glu-B3a* has been observed by other authors (Nieto-Taladriz *et al.* 1997; Carrillo *et al.* 2000).

Two rare banding patterns at *Clu-B3* increased significantly the gluten strength in the landraces, 2+4+14+15+18 and 2+4+15+18+19. The first one was present in only one landrace (PI-366109 from Egypt), which SDS-value was 3.44 ml higher than the mean SDS-value of the whole population of landraces. A previous study (Nazco *et al.* 2012) identified this landrace as having the highest EU quality index, due mostly to its very high gluten strength and protein content. Thus, it could be considered as a valuable

gluten-strength enhancer in durum breeding programmes. The banding pattern 2+4+15+18+19 was detected in two landraces: Trigo Glutinoso and Lobeiro de Grao Escuro from France and Portugal, respectively, and its effect on SDS-value was estimated at 2.96 ml, greater than the main effect of any known allele evaluated in the present study. Both landraces have a high EU quality index (Nazco *et al.* 2012), and the high quality of their protein, associated with the presence of the banding pattern 2+4+15+18+19 at *Glu-B3*, supports their use as parents in breeding programmes.

The two alleles detected at Glu-B2 locus had significant and opposite effects on the landraces gluten strength; Glu-B2a was found to exert a positive, but moderate effect on gluten strength. It was more frequent in modern varieties than in landraces, the opposite being true for the null allele *Glu-B2b*. Very few studies have been conducted on the relationship between *Glu-B2* alleles and gluten strength, and generally the presence or absence of Glu-B2a did not result in any significant difference in gluten strength (Martinez et al. 2004). This was the case of the set of modern varieties used in the present study, in which the variation at this locus was not large enough to be statistically significant. However, the results obtained in the landraces, with much wider genetic background, generally weaker gluten overall and more opportunities to measure moderate effects, indicate that these alleles caused moderate and opposite effects on gluten strength.

The country-specific classification of the frequencies within the five gluten strength-groups offered a picture of the 'native' or 'pre-green revolution' gluten quality distribution around the Mediterranean Basin. Although a clear pattern was not evident, four of the five landraces with outstanding gluten strength, and seven of the 14 landraces with very high gluten strength came from eastern Mediterranean countries (Syria, Turkey, Cyprus and Egypt), providing an indication that the best gluten quality may have been concentrated originally in the Fertile Crescent, where tetraploid wheat originated (Feldman 2001). The Balkan Peninsula was characterized by a large number of landraces with poor gluten strength, probably associated to a different geographic origin (Nazco et al. 2012). Western Mediterranean countries showed a wide diversity of gluten types, possibly due in part to their broad representation in the collection, but members with outstanding gluten strength were lacking in this sub-region. These results suggest that during

the dispersal of wheat from the east to the west of the Mediterranean Basin, the alleles conferring outstanding gluten strength were probably not linked to any variability resulting in increased fitness or adaptation to the new environments and not associated with any local preference.

CONCLUDING REMARKS

Mediterranean landraces retain a wide genetic diversity for glutenin composition that has been mostly lost in modern varieties. They are a natural reservoir of alleles potentially useful to enhance and diversify gluten characteristics in durum wheat breeding programmes. Landraces from the Iberian Peninsula and Egypt were among the most diverse. The null allele was fixed at the Glu-A1 locus in the modern varieties studied, while four alternative banding patterns were recognized in the landraces. Only four of the 20 banding patterns identified in the landraces at the Glu-B1 locus were present in modern varieties. The present study confirms previous findings that the variability detected in durum wheat landraces for LMW-GS was wider than for HMW-GS, especially for the Glu-B3 locus. Only eight of the 89 banding patterns found in the landraces at Glu-2/Glu3 loci appeared in modern varieties. The clustering of the countries based on the frequency of the null allele at *Clu-A1* was consistent with the proposed routes of durum wheat dispersal from the Fertile Crescent through the West of the Mediterranean Basin.

The medium-to-high values of gluten strength found in modern varieties reflects the efforts made by breeding programmes to improve grain quality. The low variation for gluten strength in modern varieties when compared with landraces could probably explain the lack of significant relationships between the 13 banding patterns identified in this group and gluten strength. Significant increases in the SDS-values of the landraces resulted from the presence of alleles Clu-B1e (band 20), Clu-A3a (band 6), Clu-A3d (bands 6+11), Glu-B3a (bands 2+4+15+19) and Glu-B2a (band 12) and the two hitherto unpublished banding patterns: 2+4+14+15+18 and 2+4+15+18+19 at Glu-B3 locus. However, landraces carrying the *Clu-B2b* (null allele), *Clu-A3b* (band 5) and the rare allele Glu-B1a (band 7) showed lower gluten-strength values, but only for Glu-B2b (null allele) could differences between the gluten-strength groups considered in the present study be associated with the allelic frequency.

Geographic distribution of gluten strength suggests that the best gluten quality probably originated in the Fertile Crescent and that, during the dispersal of wheat from the east to the west of the Mediterranean Basin, the alleles conferring outstanding gluten strength were frequently lost, likely due to their lack of association with other desirable traits such as yield or local adaptation.

R. Nazco was recipient of a Ph.D. grant from the *Comissionat per Universitats i Investigació del Departament d'Innovació, Universitats i Empresa* of the Generalitat of Catalonia and the *Fondo Social Europeo*. This study was partially funded by CICYT under projects AGL2006-09226-C02-01, AGL2009-11187 and AGL2012-37217 and was developed within the framework of the agreement between INIA Spain and CIMMYT. The Centre UdL-IRTA is part of the Centre CONSOLIDER INGENIO 2010 on Agrigenomics funded by the Spanish Ministry of Education and Science. CRF, ICARDA and USDA Germplasm Bank are acknowledged for providing accessions for the present study.

REFERENCES

- AGUIRIANO, E., RUIZ, M., FITÉ, R. & CARRILLO, J. M. (2008). Genetic variation for glutenin and gliadins associated with quality in durum wheat (*Triticum turgidum* L. *ssp. turgidum*) landraces from Spain. *Spanish Journal of Agricultural Research* **6**, 599–609.
- AMMAR, K., KRONSTAD, W.E. & MORRIS, C.F. (2000). Breadmaking quality of selected durum wheat genotypes and its relationship with high molecular weight glutenin subunits allelic variation and gluten protein polymeric composition. *Cereal Chemistry* **77**, 230–236.
- AXFORD, D. W. E., MCDERMOTT, E. E. & REDMAN, D. G. (1978). Small scale test of bread making quality. *Milling Feed and Fertiliser* **161**, 18–20.
- BOGGINI, G. & POGNA, N. E. (1989). The breadmaking quality and storage protein composition of Italian durum wheat. *Journal of Cereal Science* **9**, 131–138.
- BRANLARD, G., AUTRAN, J. C. & MONNEVEUX, P. (1989). High molecular weight glutenin subunit in durum wheat (*T. durum*). *Theoretical and Applied Genetics* **78**, 353–358.
- BRITES, C. & CARRILLO, J. M. (2001). Influence of high molecular weight (HMW) and low molecular weight (LMW) glutenin subunits controlled by *Glu-1* and *Glu-3* loci on durum wheat quality. *Cereal Chemistry* **78**, 59–63.
- CARRILLO, J. M., MARTÍNEZ, M. C., MOITA BRITES, C., NIETO-TALADRIZ, M. T. & VÁZQUEZ, J. F. (2000). Relationship between endosperm proteins and quality in durum wheat (*Triticum turgidum* L. var. *durum*). In *Durum Wheat Improvement in the Mediterranean Region: New Challenges. Proceedings of a Seminar*, Zaragoza, Spain,

12–14 April, 2000 (Eds C. Royo, M. M. Nachit, N. Di Fonzo & J. L. Araus), pp. 463–467. Options Méditerranéennes 40. Zaragoza, Spain: CIHEAM.

- CIAFFI, M., LAFIANDRA, D., TURCHETTA, T., RAVAGLIA, S., BARIANA, H., GUPTA, R. & MACRITCHIE, F. (1995). Breadbaking potential of durum wheat lines expressing both x- and y-type subunits at the *Glu-A1* locus. *Cereal Chemistry* **72**, 465–469.
- DI FONZO, N., RAVAGLIA, S., DEAMBROGIO, E., BLANCO, A. & TROCCOLI, A. (2005). Durum wheat improvement in Italy. In *Durum Wheat Breeding: Current Approaches and Future Strategies* (Eds C. Royo, M. M. Nachit, N. Di Fonzo, J. L. Araus, W. H. Pfeiffer & G. A. Slafer), pp. 825–881. New York: Food Products Press.
- DU CROS, D.L. (1987). Glutenin proteins and gluten strength in durum wheat. *Journal of Cereal Science* 5, 3-12.
- FELDMAN, M. (2001). Origin of cultivated wheat. In The World Wheat Book. A History of Wheat Breeding (Eds A. P. Bonjean & W. J. Angus), pp. 3–56. Paris, France: Lavoisier Publishing.
- GANEVA, G., KORZUN, V., LANDJEVA, S., POPOVA, Z. & CHRISTOV, N. K. (2010). Genetic diversity assessment of Bulgarian durum wheat (*Triticum durum* Desf.) landraces and modern cultivars using microsatellite markers. *Genetic Resources and Crop Evolution* **57**, 273–285.
- HAMDI, W., BELLIL, I., BRANLARD, G. & KHELIFI, D. (2010). Genetic variation and geographical diversity for seed storage proteins of seventeen durum wheat populations collected in Algeria. *Notulae Botanicae Horti Agrobotanici Cluj-Napoca* **38**, 22–32.
- HE, Z. H., LIU, L., XIA, X. C., LIU, J. J. & PEÑA, R. J. (2005). Composition of HMW and LMW glutenin subunits and their effects on dough properties, pan bread, and noodle quality of Chinese bread wheats. *Cereal Chemistry* **82**, 345–350.
- IGREJAS, G., GUEDES-PINTO, H., CARNIDE, V. & BRANLARD, G. (1999). The high and low molecular weight glutenin subunits and ω -gliadin composition of bread and durum wheats commonly grown in Portugal. *Plant Breeding* **118**, 297–302.
- KAAN, F., BRANLARD, K., CHIHAB, B., BORRIES, C. & MONNEVEUX, P. (1993). Relations between genes coding for grain storage protein and two pasta cooking quality criteria among durum wheat (*T. durum* Desf.) genetic resources. *Journal* of Genetics and Breeding **47**, 151–156.
- LERNER, S. E., KOLMAN, M. A. & ROGERS, W. J. (2009). Quality and endosperm storage protein variation in Argentinean grown bread wheat. I. Allelic diversity and discrimination between cultivars. *Journal of Cereal Science* **49**, 337–345.
- LITTELL, R. C., MILLIKEN, G. A., STROUP, W. W. & WOLFINGER, R. D. (1996). *SAS System for Mixed Models*. Cary, North Carolina: SAS Institute Inc.
- MARTINEZ, M. C., RUIZ, M. & CARRILLO, J. M. (2004). New B low M_r glutenin subunit alleles at the *Glu-A3*, *Glu-B2* and *Glu-B3* loci and their relationship with gluten strength in durum wheat. *Journal of Cereal Science* **40**, 101–107.
- MORAGUES, M., ZARCO-HERNÁNDEZ, J., MORALEJO, M. A. & ROYO, C. (2006). Genetic diversity of glutenin protein subunits composition in durum wheat landraces

(*Triticum turgidum* ssp. *turgidum* convar. *durum* (Desf.) MacKey) from the Mediterranean Basin. *Genetic Resources and Crop Evolution* **53**, 993–1002.

- MORAGUES, M., MORALEJO, M., SORRELLS, M. E. & ROYO, C. (2007). Dispersal of durum wheat (*Triticum turgidum* L. ssp. *turgidum* convar. *durum* (Desf.) MacKey) landraces across the Mediterranean basin assessed by AFLPs and microsatellites. *Genetic Resources and Crop Evolution* **54**, 1133–1144.
- Nazco, R., VILLEGAS, D., AMMAR, K., PEÑA, R. J., MORAGUES, M. & ROYO, C. (2012). Can Mediterranean durum wheat landraces contribute to improved grain quality attributes in modern cultivars? *Euphytica* **185**, 1–17.
- NIETO-TALADRIZ, M. T., RUIZ, M., MARTÍNEZ, M. C., VÁZQUEZ, J. F. & CARRILLO, J. M. (1997). Variation and classification of B low-molecular-weight glutenin subunit alleles in durum wheat. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics* **95**, 1155–1160.
- PEÑA, R. J. & PFEIFFER, W. H. (2005). Breeding methodologies and strategies for durum wheat quality improvement. In *Durum Wheat Breeding: Current Approaches and Future Strategies*. Volume 2 (Eds C. Royo, M. M. Nachit, N. Di Fonzo, J. L. Araus, W. H. Pfeiffer & G. A. Slafer), pp. 663–702. New York: Food Products Press.
- PEÑA, R. J., AMAYA, A., RAJARAM, S. & MUJEEB-KAZI, A. (1990). Variation in quality characteristics associated with some spring 1B/1R translocation wheats. *Journal of Cereal Science* **12**, 105–112.
- PEÑA, R. J., ZARCO-HERNANDEZ, J., AMAYA-CELIS, A. & MUJEEB-KAZI, A. (1994). Relationships between chromosome 1B-encoded glutenin subunit compositions and bread-making quality characteristics of some durum wheat (*Triticum turgidum*) cultivars. *Journal of Cereal Science* **19**, 243–249.

- PEÑA, R. J., GONZALEZ-SANTOYO, H. & CERVANTES, F. (2004). Relationship between *Glu-D1/Glu-B3* allelic combinations and bread-making quality-related parameters commonly used in wheat breeding. In *The Gluten Proteins. Proceedings of the 8th Gluten Workshop*, 8010 September 2003, Viterbo, Italy (Eds S. Masci, D. Lafiandra & R. D'Ovidio), pp. 156–157. Cambridge, UK: Royal Society of Chemistry.
- POGNA, N., LAFIANDRA, D., FEILLET, P. & AUTRAN, J. C. (1988). Evidence for direct casual effect of low molecular weight subunits of glutenins on gluten viscoelasticity in durum wheats. *Journal of Cereal Science* 7, 211–214.
- ROYO, C., ELIAS, E. M. & MANTHEY, F. A. (2009). Durum wheat breeding. In *Handbook of Plant Breeding: Cereals* (Ed. M. J. Carena), pp. 199–226. New York, USA: Springer.
- Sas Institute Inc. (2009). JMP® 8 User Guide. Cary, NC: SAS Institute Inc.
- Sas Institute Inc. (2011). SAS version 9.3 User's Guide. Cary, NC: SAS Institute Inc.
- SHEWRY, P. R., HALFORD, N. G. & TATHAM, A. S. (1992). High molecular weight subunits of wheat glutenin. *Journal of Cereal Science* **15**, 105–120.
- SISSONS, M.J. & BATEY, I.L. (2003). Protein and starch properties of some tetraploid wheats. *Cereal Chemistry* **80**, 468–475.
- SISSONS, M. J., AMES, N. P., HARE, R. A. & CLARKE, J. M. (2005). Relationship between glutenin subunit composition and gluten strength measurements in durum wheat. *Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture* **85**, 2445–2452.
- VÁZQUEZ, J. F., RUIZ, M., NIETO-TALADRIZ, M. T. & ALBUQUERQUE, M. M. (1996). Effects on gluten strength of low *M_r* glutenin subunits coded by alleles at *Glu-A3* and *Glu-B3* loci in durum wheat. *Journal of Cereal Science* **24**, 125–130.