



This document is a postprint version of an article published in LWT - Food Science and Technology © Elsevier after peer review. To access the final edited and published work see <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lwt.2019.108439>

Document downloaded from:



1 **Potential of the microalgae *Nannochloropsis* and *Tetraselmis* for being**
2 **used as innovative ingredients in baked goods**

3 Tomás Lafarga ^{a*}, Erika Mayre ^b, Gemma Echeverria ^a, Inmaculada Viñas ^b, Silvia Villaró ^a,
4 Francisco Gabriel Acién-Fernández ^c, Massimo Castellari ^d, & Ingrid Aguiló-Aguayo ^a

5 ^a Institute of Agrifood Research and Technology (IRTA), Postharvest Programme, 25003, Lleida,
6 Catalonia, Spain.

7 ^b University of Lleida (UdL), Department of Food Technology, 25003, Lleida, Catalonia, Spain.

8 ^c University of Almería (UAL), Department of Chemical Engineering, 04120, Almería,
9 Andalucía, Spain.

10 ^d Institute of Agrifood Research and Technology (IRTA), Food Industries Programme, 17121,
11 Monells, Catalonia, Spain.

12

13

14 ***Corresponding author:**

15 Dr. Tomas Lafarga; Phone: +34 973 702648; email: Tomas.Lafarga@irta.cat

16 **Abstract**

17 The potential use of the microalgae species *Tetraselmis* and *Nannochloropsis* was
18 investigated for the production of functional breads and crackers. Optimum flour
19 substitution levels were 2.5% for baked crackers and 1.0 or 2.0% for breads containing
20 *Nannochloropsis* or *Tetraselmis*, respectively. No major differences were observed in the
21 physicochemical properties of the end products besides an expected darker and greener
22 colour. Microalgae incorporation led to increased phenolic content and *in vitro*
23 antioxidant capacity in both matrices. For example, the total phenolic content of crackers
24 increased from 24.6 ± 1.5 mg/100 g in the control to 32.4 ± 0.4 or 34.2 ± 1.0 mg/100 g in
25 crackers containing *Tetraselmis* or *Nannochloropsis*, respectively. The amount of
26 bioaccessible polyphenols after a simulated gastrointestinal digestion was also higher in
27 microalgae-containing goods than in the controls. Sensory evaluation showed that
28 microalgae-containing products were competitive with the controls with the added
29 advantage of having an improved nutritional value and a “trendy” ingredient. Moreover,
30 microalgae-containing products showed an increased emission of some volatile
31 compounds such as p-cymene and (Z)-2-heptenal, which are responsible for fresh, citrus,
32 terpenic, woody, and spicy or fatty, oily, and fruity odours, respectively.

33

34 **Keywords:** Functional foods, bread, crackers, *Nannochloropsis*, *Tetraselmis*.

35 **1. Introduction**

36 Humans are no strangers to the consumption of microalgae: already in the ninth century
37 the Kanem Empire used *Arthrospira* as food in Africa (Oncel, Kose, Vardar, & Torzillo,
38 2015). Nowadays, microalgae are generally marketed as nutritional supplements and
39 promoted as “superfoods” that can be utilised as ingredients in the manufacture of
40 “trendy” foods. For example, baked goods formulated using microalgae such as Wrawp
41 (Wrawp Foods, CA, USA) and Helga Algae Crackers (Evasis Edibles GmbH, Bendorf,
42 Austria) are currently commercially available.

43 A large number of scientific publications evaluated the potential of *Spirulina* and
44 *Chlorella* for being used as ingredients in the manufacture of milkshakes, vegetable
45 soups, snacks, pasta, yogurts, and baked goods including bread and biscuits (Lafarga,
46 2019). This makes sense as both *Spirulina* and *Chlorella* are not only the most popular
47 but also the most studied and cultivated microalgal strains (Garrido-Cardenas, Manzano-
48 Agugliaro, Acien-Fernandez, & Molina-Grima, 2018). However, only a limited number
49 of publications studied the effect of incorporating other species into this food group. For
50 example, García-Segovia, Pagán-Moreno, Lara, and Martínez-Monzó (2017) reported
51 that, although colour differences were observed when compared to the control, textural
52 properties of the breads were not affected after incorporation of *Isochrysis galbana*,
53 *Tetraselmis suecica*, *Scenedesmus almeriensis*, or *Nannochloropsis gaditana* at a
54 concentration of 1.5% (w/w). Limited information is also available on the sensorial
55 attributes of breads formulated using microalgae species different to *Spirulina* and
56 *Chlorella*. Sensorial attributes of foods, especially flavour and aroma, are of key
57 importance, as Western cultures do not seem to be willing to compromise taste for health.
58 In addition, little is known on the effect of microalgae incorporation into other baked
59 products different from bread. Some studies have been conducted on functional biscuits

60 enriched in: (i) eicosapentaenoic acid from *I. galbana* (Gouveia et al., 2008); (ii) fibre
61 and protein from *S. platensis* (Singh, Singh, Jha, Rasane, & Gautam, 2015); and (iii)
62 polyphenols and proteins from *S. platensis*, *C. vulgaris*, *T. suecica*, or *Phaeodactylum*
63 *triconutum* (Batista et al., 2017). Biscuits or cookies are good delivery vehicles for health-
64 promoting compounds because of their popularity and convenience. However, they
65 contain a high sugar and/or fat (generally butter) content and it would be interesting to
66 assess the effect of microalgae incorporation into other healthier products such as
67 crackers.

68 Based on the current gap in knowledge, the aim of the current paper was to assess the
69 potential of the species *Tetraselmis* and *Nannochloropsis*, which are currently
70 underutilised in the food industry, for being used as novel ingredients for the production
71 of functional breads and crackers. Studied quality parameters included volume, colour,
72 texture, polyphenolic content, antioxidant activity, aroma volatile compounds, and
73 sensorial attributes. In addition, the bioaccessibility of polyphenols after a simulated
74 gastrointestinal digestion and the volatile profile of the products were also determined.

75 **2. Materials and methods**

76 **2.1 Preparation of the microalgae-containing breads and crackers**

77 Flour substitution levels evaluated in preliminary trials varied from 1 to 3% (w/w) for
78 bread and from 1.25 to 3.75% (w/w) for crackers. Breads were produced following a
79 straight dough baking procedure as described by Lafarga, Gallagher, Aluko, Auty, and
80 Hayes (2016). Control wheat-only breads were labelled as BR-C. Breads containing
81 *Tetraselmis* or *Nannochloropsis* at flour substitution levels of 2.0 or 1.0% (w/w) were
82 labelled as BR-T and BR-N, respectively.

83 Crackers were produced following the methodology previously described by Lafarga et
84 al. (2019a) with some modifications: in the current study, the doughs were sheeted to 2.0
85 mm instead of 2.5 mm and were cut in 40 mm circles instead of squares. Control crackers
86 were labelled as CR-C and crackers containing *Tetraselmis* or *Nannochloropsis* biomass
87 at a concentration of 2.5% (w/w) were labelled as CR-T or CR-N, respectively.

88 **2.2 Physical analysis**

89 Colour recordings (L^* , a^* , and b^* values) were taken using a Minolta CR-200 colorimeter
90 (Minolta INC., Tokyo, Japan) and the D65 illuminant. Chroma (Ch) and difference from
91 the control (ΔE) were calculated in triplicate as described by Lafarga et al. (2019b) and
92 determined on day 1 post-baking.

93 The weight and dimensions of ten crackers were averaged for each formulation and
94 replicate. Cracker dimensions were measured at day 1 post-baking using a digital Vernier
95 calliper (JP Selecta, Barcelona, Spain) and the spread ratios, specific volume, and density
96 were calculated for each cracker as described by Jan, Panesar, and Singh (2018). Bread
97 loaf volume was calculated using AACC Method 10-05.01.

98 Moisture content was determined using AACC Method 44-15.02. The water activity (a_w)
99 of all samples was measured using an AquaLab meter (Decagon Devices Inc., WA, USA).
100 Three measurements were taken for each formulation and replicate. The pH of 1 g of
101 ground sample, added to 10 g of distilled water, was determined in triplicate per
102 formulation and replicate using a Basic 20 pH-meter (Crison Instruments S.A., Barcelona,
103 Spain).

104 Texture characteristics were assessed using a TA.XT2 Texture Analyser (Stable Micro
105 Systems Ltd., Surrey, England) connected to Exponent software v.5.0.6.0. Texture profile
106 analysis of the breads was conducted as described by Lafarga et al. (2019c) and using a
107 P/20 aluminium compression probe. Crackers hardness was determined using a knife
108 edge with slotted insert probe (HDP/BS) as described by Lafarga et al. (2019a). Ten
109 samples were taken for each formulation and replicate.

110 **2.3 Total phenolic content**

111 The total phenolic content (TPC) of the breads and crackers was determined by the Folin
112 Ciocalteu method, following the protocol described by Lafarga, Villaró, Bobo, Simó, and
113 Aguiló-Aguayo (2019d). Extraction time was 2 h at room temperature. TPC was
114 determined in triplicate and results were expressed as mg of gallic acid equivalents per
115 100 g of dry weight (DW).

116 **2.4 Antioxidant activity**

117 The antioxidant capacity of the breads and crackers was determined using the same
118 extract utilised for determination of TPC and using both the ferric reducing antioxidant
119 power (FRAP) and the DPPH scavenging activity assays. The procedure followed was
120 described previously by Lafarga et al. (2019d). Antioxidant capacity was determined in
121 triplicate and results were expressed as mg of ascorbic acid equivalents per 100 g of DW.

122 **2.5 *In vitro* gastrointestinal digestion**

123 A simulated gastrointestinal digestion was performed in duplicate following the
124 standardised static *in vitro* method previously described by Minekus et al. (2014). The
125 method consists of three sequential states: (i) oral (37 °C, pH 7.0, α -amylase, 2 min), (ii)
126 gastric (37 °C, pH 3.0, pepsin, 2 h) and (iii) intestinal (37 °C, pH 7.0, pancreatin and fresh
127 bile, 2 h). The pancreatin used contained enzymatic components including trypsin,
128 amylase and lipase, ribonuclease, and protease. A blank was prepared using distilled
129 water instead of sample. Determinations after the intestinal phase were performed in
130 triplicate as described in previous sections.

131 **2.6 Sensorial analysis**

132 Sensory evaluation was undertaken by 30 semi-trained panellists (18 women, 12 men,
133 age 18-50) recruited from IRTA Fruitcentre (Lleida, Spain) at day 1 post-baking. Sensory
134 evaluation was conducted following the methodology described by Lafarga et al. (2019a).
135 Each panellist assessed all the samples and was asked to indicate her or his opinion on
136 the firmness, flavour, overall visual appearance, and overall acceptability of the products
137 using a 9-point hedonic scale (from 1: extremely dislike to 9: extremely like). The
138 acceptability index (AI) was calculated as described by Lucas, Morais, Santos, and Costa
139 (2018). Finally, purchase intention (PI) was assessed using a 5-point hedonic scale which
140 ranged from 1: “certainly would not buy” to 5: “certainly would buy”.

141 **2.7 Volatile compounds**

142 Extraction and determination of the volatile compounds emitted by the breads and
143 crackers was performed using HS-SPME-GC/MS following the conditions previously
144 described by Pico, Antolín, Román, Gómez, and Bernal (2018) with some modifications.
145 Briefly, an amount of 1 g (± 0.005 g) of ground sample was weighed into 20 mL vials and

146 mixed with 10 mL of 20% (w/v) sodium chloride at pH 3.0. The vials were immersed in
147 a water bath at 60 °C and the SPME fibre (65 mm PDMS/DVB; Supelco Co., PA, USA)
148 was exposed to the headspace for 60 min.

149 After extraction, the fibre was injected for thermal desorption into the injector port for 10
150 min. The GC-MS analyses were performed using a 6890N gas chromatograph-mass
151 spectrometer equipped with a HP-FFAP (50 m × 0.2 mm; 0.33 µm) column, both
152 purchased from Agilent Technologies Inc. (CA, USA). Temperature conditions are
153 described in the above cited publication. In this study, injector and detector temperatures
154 were 240 °C. Mass spectra were obtained by electron impact ionisation at 70 eV and the
155 scan mode was used to detect all the compounds in the range m/z 20-350. The preliminary
156 identification of volatile compounds was verified by comparison of the mass spectral data
157 obtained with those in NIST62 mass spectral database.

158 **2.8 Statistical analysis**

159 Results are expressed as mean ± standard deviation (S.D.). Differences between samples
160 were analysed using analysis of variance (ANOVA) with JMP 13 (SAS Institute Inc.,
161 Cary, USA). Where significant differences were present, a Tukey pairwise comparison
162 of the means was conducted to identify where the sample differences occurred ($p < 0.05$).

163 3. Results and discussion

164 3.1 Preliminary baking trials

165 Incorporation of *Tetraselmis* and *Nannochloropsis* biomass into bread and crackers
166 significantly affected colour parameters ($p < 0.05$): ΔE was higher than 3 for all the
167 formulated breads and crackers, suggesting that colour differences with the control were
168 visible to the human eye. Higher microalgae content led in bread formulations to lower
169 L^* values for both crust and crumb ($p < 0.05$; Figure 1): a negative correlation was
170 observed between microalgal biomass concentration and L^* values in crust (0.905; 0.05)
171 and crumb (0.817; 0.05). Crackers with higher microalgal biomass concentration showed
172 lower L^* values, suggesting a darker colour ($p < 0.05$; Figure 2). Similar results were
173 reported previously (Figueira, Crizel, Silva, & Salas-Mellado, 2011; Menezes, Coelho,
174 Meza, Salas-Mellado, & Souza, 2015). Although a^* values of the microalgae-containing
175 breads were lower and b^* values were higher, when compared to the control,
176 incorporation of higher concentrations of microalgae did not cause further differences in
177 a^* and b^* values. These results may seem unexpected but this same effect was reported
178 in baked products containing *S. platensis* (Batista et al., 2017), *C. vulgaris* (Gouveia,
179 Batista, Miranda, Empis, & Raymundo, 2007), and *I. galbana* (Gouveia et al., 2008) and
180 has been attributed to pigment degradation during the baking process and/or to a pigment
181 saturation effect above a certain microalgae concentration.

182 Before discussing the sensorial acceptance of the breads and crackers it is important to
183 highlight that panellists were first asked if they would be willing to buy baked products
184 enriched in microalgae and only those who answered “yes” conducted the sensorial
185 analysis. Moreover, results on sensorial analysis must be taken with caution, especially
186 those on overall acceptance and PI, as the ideal would have been to assess these
187 parameters using ~100 consumers. For a product to be accepted in terms of sensorial

188 characteristics, it is necessary to obtain an AI greater than 70% (Lucas et al., 2018).
189 Although microalgae incorporation into the bread led to lower overall acceptability scores
190 ($p<0.05$), formulated breads showed AI values ranging between 71.7 and 80.8%. These
191 values are in line with those reported for other foods containing microalgae (Lafarga et
192 al., 2019b; Lucas et al., 2018). Maximum AI was obtained for breads containing
193 *Tetraselmis* at a concentration of 2.0% and *Nannochloropsis* at a concentration of 1.0%.
194 These breads showed relatively high PI values: approximately 55% of the panellists said
195 they “probably would buy” them. Approximately the same amount of panellists suggested
196 that they “probably would buy” the control breads, although these showed a higher
197 percentage of panellists who “certainly would buy” them. Overall acceptability of
198 crackers was not affected after incorporation of microalgae into the recipe. All of the
199 microalgae-containing crackers showed AI values over 70%. Crackers containing
200 *Tetraselmis* and *Nannochloropsis* at a flour substitution level of 2.5% (w/w) showed AI
201 values of 85.9 and 79.8%, respectively. Approximately 82 and 91% of the panellists
202 scored these two crackers within the range 7-9 (between “like moderately” and “like
203 extremely”) and their PI ranged between 4 and 5 (between “would probably buy” and
204 “certainly would buy”). Microalgae concentration higher than 2.5% resulted in decreased
205 AI and PI values ($p<0.05$).

206 Based on these results, breads containing *Tetraselmis* at a concentration of 2.0% (w/w)
207 or *Nannochloropsis* at a flour substitution level of 1.0% (w/w) were selected for further
208 analysis. These were labelled as BR-T and BR-N, respectively. Moreover, crackers
209 containing *Tetraselmis* or *Nannochloropsis* at a flour substitution level of 2.5% (w/w),
210 which were labelled as CR-T and CR-N were also selected for further analysis.

211 **3.2 Physicochemical properties**

212 **3.2.1 Colour and volume**

213 No differences were observed between the colour attributes of the breads at day 3 post-
214 baking (data not shown) when compared to those measured at day 1 (Figure 1) - except
215 for a decrease in crust L^* values ($p < 0.05$), probably caused by a loss of moisture during
216 storage. Moreover, no colour differences were detected during storage of crackers for 10
217 days. Colour values during storage suggest a stable product in terms of visual appearance.
218 In the current study, the specific volume of BR-T and BR-N was lower than that of BR-
219 C ($p < 0.05$; Table 1). Results can be attributed to a dilution of starch and gluten after
220 substituting flour with microalgae and a decrease in the amount of fully hydrated starch
221 granules caused by the added powder competing for water with starch. The lower loaf
222 volume obtained after incorporation of microalgae into the recipe led to higher density in
223 BR-N when compared to BR-C ($p < 0.05$). In addition, microalgae-incorporation into the
224 crackers formulation did not affect volume and density, suggesting that higher microalgae
225 concentrations can be incorporated into crackers without negatively affecting the visual
226 appearance of the products (when compared to bread). A high spread ratio, which is a
227 quality measure, is desirable in baked products (Mudgil, Barak, & Khatkar, 2017). The
228 spread ratio of the crackers was not affected after the incorporation of microalgae into the
229 crackers' recipe. Previous studies suggested an increase of the spread ratio of crackers
230 enriched in powdered broccoli co-products and reported a positive correlation between
231 spread ratio and broccoli content (Lafarga et al., 2019a). Higher microalgae concentration
232 could probably lead to higher spread ratios, although this would need to be assessed in
233 further studies.

234 **3.2.2 Moisture and water activity**

235 Moisture content and a_w values of the breads was comparable to that measured in the
236 crumb of commercially available bagels or breads (Schmidt & Fontana, 2008).
237 Incorporation of microalgae into the bread formulations led to lower moisture content

238 ($p<0.05$). The moisture content of BR-T was lower than that of BR-N ($p<0.05$). A
239 decrease in moisture was observed at day 3 post-baking because of bread staling ($p<0.05$).
240 Water loss during storage was calculated as 18.5, 9.0, and 5.3% for BR-C, BR-T, and
241 BR-N, respectively. Microalgae incorporation into the crackers also led to reduced
242 humidity at day 1 post-baking ($p<0.05$). However, no significant differences were
243 observed between the moisture content at days 1, 5, and 10 post-baking, suggesting stable
244 products.

245 Substituting wheat flour with microalgal biomass did not affect the pH and the a_w of the
246 breads at day 1 post-baking (Table 1). A decrease in a_w was observed in bread samples
247 during storage ($p<0.05$). The observed decrease was bigger in BR-C when compared to
248 BR-T and BR-N, probably caused by a higher moisture loss during storage. Similar a_w
249 values were also observed in breads enriched in bioactive ingredients (Lafarga et al.,
250 2016). The a_w of CR-T and CT-N was lower than that CR-C, caused by the above
251 mentioned lower moisture content. Storage for 10 days did not affect pH and a_w values
252 for any of the cracker samples, suggesting once again stable products.

253 **3.2.3 Textural properties**

254 Figure 3 shows the textural properties of BR-C, BR-T, and BR-N at days 1 and 3 post-
255 baking. A higher bread density has often been correlated with increased hardness.
256 However, in the current study, no differences were observed in hardness, which is the
257 peak force that occurred during the compression of the bread slices. Similar results were
258 observed after incorporation of freeze-dried broccoli co-products into bread at a
259 concentration of 2% (Lafarga et al., 2019c). The observed increase in hardness at day 3,
260 when compared to the values obtained at day 1, can be attributed to bread staling and
261 moisture loss. Moreover, no differences in springiness, cohesiveness, gumminess,
262 chewiness, and resilience were observed between the microalgae-containing breads BR-

263 T or BR-N and BR-C, suggesting a comparable mouth-feel and a similar retention of the
264 textural properties after compression at both days 1 and 3 post-baking. Results were in
265 line with those reported by García-Segovia et al. (2017).
266 Hardness of the control and microalgae-containing crackers, which is the force required
267 to break or snap the cracker, is shown in Figure 3. Lower moisture content is correlated
268 with increased hardness in crackers (Millar et al., 2017). However, no significant
269 differences between the hardness of CR-T, CR-N, and CR-C. As shown in Figure 3, no
270 differences in hardness were observed during storage. Similar results were observed
271 previously in other food matrices where microalgae incorporation did not affect
272 functional properties of the end products (De Marco, Steffolani, Martínez, & León, 2014;
273 García-Segovia et al., 2017).

274 **3.3 Total phenolic content and antioxidant capacity**

275 Currently, algae-derived polyphenols are one of the top trends in functional foods for the
276 prevention of cardiovascular diseases and diabetes (Murray, Dordevic, Ryan, & Bonham,
277 2018). Microalgae incorporation led to increased TPC in both studied food matrices
278 ($p < 0.05$) and, as expected, to an increased antioxidant capacity (Figure 4). Results are not
279 surprising as several studies reported the high antioxidant activity of microalgal biomass,
280 which has been attributed to their high phenolic and carotenoid content (Goiris et al.,
281 2012). A positive correlation was observed between TPC and antioxidant capacity of
282 crackers at when assessed using the FRAP (0.884 at day 1 and 0.986 at day 5; 0.05) and
283 DPPH (0.852 at day 1 and 0.991 at day 5; 0.05) methods. Previous studies also reported
284 an increased content of polyphenols and a higher antioxidant capacity after incorporation
285 of microalgae in, for example, pasta (De Marco et al., 2014) or broccoli soup (Lafarga et
286 al., 2019b).

287 Results shown in Figure 4 demonstrate that the amount of polyphenols in the enzymatic
288 digestive extracts obtained after a simulated gastrointestinal digestion is higher than that
289 expected based on extractions made using methanol ($p<0.05$). This was probably caused
290 by a higher liberation of polyphenols because of the action of digestive enzymes. The
291 longer extraction time can also partially explain these findings. Not only the phenolic
292 content but also the antioxidant capacity of the enzymatic digestive extracts was higher
293 than that of the methanolic extracts ($p<0.05$). The observed increase in antioxidant
294 capacity can be attributed to the higher phenolic content but also to the generation of
295 bioactive peptides with antioxidant capacity, as previous studies demonstrated that
296 microalgae are good sources of bioactive peptides (Ko et al., 2018; Wu, Xu, Sun, Yu, &
297 Zhou, 2015). Food processing is a crucial step to improve bioaccessibility and produce
298 products with beneficial nutritional properties (Barba et al., 2017). Cavonius, Albers, and
299 Undeland (2016) suggested that cell disruption, and to a lesser extent, strong pH
300 variations were needed to increase bioaccessibility of lipids while a pre-freezing step was
301 required to improve accessibility of proteins derived from *Nannochloropsis oculata*. The
302 bioaccessibility and bioavailability of other antioxidant compounds found in microalgae
303 such as carotenoids have been shown to strongly depend on, for example, the food matrix
304 and processing conditions (Kopec and Failla, 2018).

305 **3.4 Sensorial attributes and volatile profile**

306 Incorporation of microalgae into the bread formulation, at the concentrations studied
307 herein, did not affect the visual appearance scores of the breads. Lafarga et al. (2019c)
308 recently reported high visual acceptability scores of a green bread formulated using
309 broccoli leaves. No differences were observed in the texture and flavour scores of BR-C
310 and BR-T or BR-N, although some panellists (3 out of 30) described an unpleasant “fishy”
311 taste. Incorporation of microalgae into the bread formulation led to lower aroma scores

312 ($p<0.05$). The aroma score of BR-T was lower than that of BR-N ($p<0.05$), probably
313 caused by a higher microalgae content. Incorporation of microalgal biomass into the
314 cracker formulation led to a decreased visual appearance when compared to CR-C
315 ($p<0.05$; Figure 5). Although green crackers containing *Chlorella* biomass, at a
316 concentration of 5%, are currently being commercialised under the brand Helga Algen
317 Cracker (Evasis Edibles, Austria), the number of green-coloured baked goods currently
318 being commercialised in Europe is still limited. European consumers are not yet used to
319 coloured baked products and this could be the cause of the observed lower visual
320 appearance scores. Moreover, no differences were detected between the aroma scores of
321 CR-T, CR-N, and the control CR-C. In turn, flavour and overall acceptance scores were
322 higher in microalgae-containing crackers when compared to the control ($p<0.05$) – don't
323 forget that only panellists that would be willing to buy microalgae-enriched products
324 carried out the sensorial analysis. As mentioned previously, these results are preliminary
325 and a sensorial analysis with a larger number of consumers would better describe the
326 sensorial attributes and the acceptance of these products.

327 Volatile compounds identified in the breads and crackers are listed in Table 2. A total of
328 42 compounds including alcohols (5), aldehydes (12), ketones (4), esters (11), sulfur
329 compounds (2), acids (1), terpenes (4) and hydrocarbons (3) were detected in the samples.
330 The most abundant compounds in crackers were (listed in decreasing order) undecanone,
331 hexanoic acid, dipropyl disulfide, nonanal, phenylacetaldehyde, 3-methyl-1-butanal, and
332 2-methyl-1-butanal. Regarding aldehydes, the most important odorant was nonanal,
333 which is formed from β -cleavage of the 10-OOH hydroperoxide and imparts green and
334 fatty notes to flavour (Parker, 2015). Among the Strecker aldehydes of the amino acid
335 methionine, phenylacetaldehyde and 2- and 3-methylbutanal were the most prominent in
336 the aroma profile of crackers, which were also detected in previous HS-SPME studies on

337 wheat bread (Raffo, Carcea, Castagna, & Magrì, 2015). Most relevant alcohols were 3-
338 methyl-1-butanol, 1-octen-3-ol, and 2-ethyl-1-hexanol. 3-Methyl-1-butanol is produced
339 during dough fermentation (Mildner-Szkudlarz, Zawirska-Wojtasiak, Szwengiel, &
340 Pacyński, 2011). In the group of ketones, besides identifying aroma compounds such as
341 1-octen-3-one, 6-methyl-5-hepten-2-one, and 2-nonanone, we would like to highlight the
342 identification of 2-undecanone, which was the most abundant ketone in this study and
343 was not reported in previous HS-SPME analyses on bread (Pacyński, Wojtasiak, &
344 Mildner-Szkudlarz, 2015). The groups of esters, acids, sulphides, terpenes, and
345 hydrocarbons completed the list of identified compounds. The aroma profile of bread and
346 crackers was similar. However, in bread the most significant aldehyde was (Z)-2-
347 heptenal, which is a product from the degradation of the linoleic acid (Parker, 2015).

348 **4. Conclusions**

349 Overall, *Tetraselmis* and *Nannochloropsis* biomass show potential for being used as novel
350 functional ingredients in bread and crackers. Results demonstrated that not only the *in*
351 *vitro* phenolic content or antioxidant capacity of the products was improved after
352 microalgae-incorporation but also the amount of bioaccessible polyphenols and the
353 antioxidant capacity of the enzymatic digestive extracts, suggesting also healthier
354 products. Their utilisation would also allow food processors to differentiate by using a
355 “trendy” ingredient. Sensory evaluation showed that microalgae-containing breads and
356 crackers, enriched at the concentrations studied in the current study, were competitive
357 with the control breads and crackers with the added advantage of having an improved
358 nutritional value.

359 **Acknowledgements**

360 This work was supported by the CERCA Programme of *Generalitat de Catalunya*. T.
361 Lafarga (FJCI-2016-29541) and I. Aguiló-Aguayo (RYC-2016-19949) thank the Spanish
362 Ministry of Economy, Industry, and Competitiveness. All authors thank Fundación
363 Cajamar (Almería, Spain) for kindly providing with the microalgal biomass.

364 **References**

- 365 Barba, F. J., Mariutti, L. R. B., Bragagnolo, N., Mercadante, A. Z., Barbosa-Cánovas, G. V.,
366 & Orlien, V. (2017). Bioaccessibility of bioactive compounds from fruits and
367 vegetables after thermal and nonthermal processing. *Trends in Food Science &*
368 *Technology*, *67*, 195-206.
- 369 Batista, A. P., Niccolai, A., Fradinho, P., Fragoso, S., Bursic, I., Rodolfi, L., . . . Raymundo,
370 A. (2017). Microalgae biomass as an alternative ingredient in cookies: Sensory,
371 physical and chemical properties, antioxidant activity and *in vitro* digestibility. *Algal*
372 *Research*, *26*, 161-171.
- 373 Cavonius, L. R., Albers, E., & Undeland, I. (2016). *In vitro* bioaccessibility of proteins and
374 lipids of pH-shift processed *Nannochloropsis oculata* microalga. *Food & Function*, *7*,
375 2016-2024.
- 376 De Marco, E. R., Steffolani, M. E., Martínez, C. S., & León, A. E. (2014). Effects of spirulina
377 biomass on the technological and nutritional quality of bread wheat pasta. *LWT*, *58*,
378 102-108.
- 379 Figueira, F. d. S., Crizel, T. d. M., Silva, C. R., & Salas-Mellado, M. d. I. M. (2011). Elaboration
380 of gluten-free bread enriched with the microalgae *Spirulina platensis*. *Brazilian Journal*
381 *of Food Technology*, *14*, 308-316.
- 382 García-Segovia, P., Pagán-Moreno, M. J., Lara, I. F., & Martínez-Monzó, J. (2017). Effect of
383 microalgae incorporation on physicochemical and textural properties in wheat bread
384 formulation. *Food Science and Technology International*, *23*, 437-447.
- 385 Garrido-Cardenas, J. A., Manzano-Agugliaro, F., Acien-Fernandez, F. G., & Molina-Grima,
386 E. (2018). Microalgae research worldwide. *Algal Research*, *35*, 50-60.
- 387 Goiris, K., Muylaert, K., Fraeye, I., Foubert, I., De Brabanter, J., & De Cooman, L. (2012).
388 Antioxidant potential of microalgae in relation to their phenolic and carotenoid content.
389 *Journal of Applied Phycology*, *24*, 1477-1486.
- 390 Gouveia, L., Batista, A. P., Miranda, A., Empis, J., & Raymundo, A. (2007). *Chlorella vulgaris*
391 biomass used as colouring source in traditional butter cookies. *Innovative Food Science*
392 *& Emerging Technologies*, *8*, 433-436.
- 393 Gouveia, L., Coutinho, C., Mendonça, E., Batista, A. P., Sousa, I., Bandarra, N. M., &
394 Raymundo, A. (2008). Functional biscuits with PUFA- ω 3 from *Isochrysis galbana*.
395 *Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture*, *88*, 891-896.
- 396 Jan, K. N., Panesar, P. S., & Singh, S. (2018). Optimization of antioxidant activity, textural and
397 sensory characteristics of gluten-free cookies made from whole indian quinoa flour.
398 *LWT*, *93*, 573-582.
- 399 Ko, S.-C., Heo, S.-Y., Choi, S.-W., Qian, Z.-J., Heo, S.-J., Kang, D.-H., . . . Jung, W.-K. (2018).
400 A heptameric peptide isolated from the marine microalga *Pavlova lutheri* suppresses
401 PMA-induced secretion of matrix metalloproteinase-9 through the inactivation of the

- 402 JNK, p38, and NF-κB pathways in human fibrosarcoma cells. *Journal of Applied*
403 *Phycology*, 30, 2367-2378.
- 404 Koec, M. L., & Failla, M. L. (2018). Recent advances in the bioaccessibility and bioavailability
405 of carotenoids and effects of other dietary lipophiles. *Journal of Food Composition and*
406 *Analysis*, 68, 16-30.
- 407 Lafarga, T. (2019). Effect of microalgal biomass incorporation into foods: Nutritional and
408 sensorial attributes of the end products. *Algal Research*, 41, 101566.
- 409 Lafarga, T., Acién-Fernández, F. G., Castellari, M., Villaró, S., Bobo, G., & Aguiló-Aguayo,
410 I. (2019b). Effect of microalgae incorporation on the physicochemical, nutritional, and
411 sensorial properties of an innovative broccoli soup. *LWT*, 111, 167-174.
- 412 Lafarga, T., Gallagher, E., Aluko, R. E., Auty, M. A. E., & Hayes, M. (2016). Addition of an
413 enzymatic hydrolysate of bovine globulins to bread and determination of hypotensive
414 effects in spontaneously hypertensive rats. *Journal of Agricultural and Food*
415 *Chemistry*, 64, 1741-1750.
- 416 Lafarga, T., Gallagher, E., Bademunt, A., Bobo, G., Echeverria, G., Viñas, I., & Aguiló-
417 Aguayo, I. (2019a). Physicochemical and nutritional characteristics, bioaccessibility and
418 sensory acceptance of baked crackers containing broccoli co-products. *International*
419 *Journal of Food Science & Technology*, 54, 634-640.
- 420 Lafarga, T., Gallagher, E., Bademunt, A., Viñas, I., Bobo, G., Villaró, S., & Aguiló-Aguayo,
421 I. (2019c). Bioaccessibility, physicochemical, sensorial, and nutritional characteristics
422 of bread containing broccoli co-products. *Journal of Food Processing and*
423 *Preservation*, 43, e13861.
- 424 Lafarga, T., Villaró, S., Bobo, G., Simó, J., & Aguiló-Aguayo, I. (2019d). Bioaccessibility and
425 antioxidant activity of phenolic compounds in cooked pulses. *International Journal of*
426 *Food Science & Technology*, 54, 147-157.
- 427 Lucas, B. F., Morais, M. G. d., Santos, T. D., & Costa, J. A. V. (2018). *Spirulina* for snack
428 enrichment: Nutritional, physical and sensory evaluations. *LWT*, 90, 270-276.
- 429 Menezes, B., Coelho, M., Meza, S., Salas-Mellado, M., & Souza, M. (2015). Macroalgal
430 biomass as an additional ingredient of bread. *International Food Research Journal*, 22,
431 819-824.
- 432 Mildner-Szkudlarz, S., Zawirska-Wojtasiak, R., Szwengiel, A., & Pacyński, M. (2011). Use of
433 grape by-product as a source of dietary fibre and phenolic compounds in sourdough
434 mixed rye bread. *International Journal of Food Science & Technology*, 46, 1485-1493.
- 435 Millar, K. A., Barry-Ryan, C., Burke, R., Hussey, K., McCarthy, S., & Gallagher, E. (2017).
436 Effect of pulse flours on the physicochemical characteristics and sensory acceptance of
437 baked crackers. *International Journal of Food Science & Technology*, 52, 1155-1163.
- 438 Minekus, M., Alminger, M., Alvito, P., Ballance, S., Bohn, T., Bourlieu, C., . . . Dupont, D.
439 (2014). A standardised static *in vitro* digestion method suitable for food—an
440 international consensus. *Food & Function*, 5, 1113-1124.

- 441 Mudgil, D., Barak, S., & Khatkar, B. (2017). Cookie texture, spread ratio and sensory
442 acceptability of cookies as a function of soluble dietary fiber, baking time and different
443 water levels. *LWT*, *80*, 537-542.
- 444 Murray, M., Dordevic, A. L., Ryan, L., & Bonham, M. P. (2018). An emerging trend in
445 functional foods for the prevention of cardiovascular disease and diabetes: Marine algal
446 polyphenols. *Critical Reviews in Food Science and Nutrition*, *58*, 1342-1358.
- 447 Oncel, S. S., Kose, A., Vardar, F., & Torzillo, G. (2015). From the ancient tribes to modern
448 societies, microalgae evolution from a simple food to an alternative fuel source. In S.
449 K. Kim (Ed.), *Handbook of marine microalgae: Biotechnology advances* (pp. 127-138).
450 MA, USA: Academic Press.
- 451 Pacyński, M., Wojtasiak, R. Z., & Mildner-Szkudlarz, S. (2015). Improving the aroma of
452 gluten-free bread. *LWT*, *63*, 706-713.
- 453 Parker, J. K. (2015). Thermal generation of aroma. In J. K. Parker, S. Elmore & L. Methven
454 (Eds.), *Flavour development, analysis and perception in food and beverages* (pp. 151-
455 186). MA, USA: Woodshed Publishing.
- 456 Pico, J., Antolín, B., Román, L., Gómez, M., & Bernal, J. (2018). Analysis of volatile
457 compounds in gluten-free bread crusts with an optimised and validated SPME-
458 GC/QTOF methodology. *Food Research International*, *106*, 686-695.
- 459 Raffo, A., Carcea, M., Castagna, C., & Magrì, A. (2015). Improvement of a headspace solid
460 phase microextraction-gas chromatography/mass spectrometry method for the analysis
461 of wheat bread volatile compounds. *Journal of Chromatography A*, *1406*, 266-278.
- 462 Schmidt, S. J., & Fontana, A. J. J. (2008). Water activity values of selected food ingredients
463 and products. In G. V. Barbosa-Cánovas, A. J. J. Fontana, S. J. Schmidt & T. P. Labuza
464 (Eds.), *Water activity in foods: Fundamentals and applications* (pp. 407-420). Iowa,
465 USA: Blackwell Publishing.
- 466 Singh, P., Singh, R., Jha, A., Rasane, P., & Gautam, A. K. (2015). Optimization of a process
467 for high fibre and high protein biscuit. *Journal of Food Science and Technology*, *52*,
468 1394-1403.
- 469 Wu, H., Xu, N., Sun, X., Yu, H., & Zhou, C. (2015). Hydrolysis and purification of ACE
470 inhibitory peptides from the marine microalga *Isochrysis galbana*. *Journal of Applied*
471 *Phycology*, *27*, 351-361.