

This document is a postprint version of an article published in Postharvest Biology And Technology © Elsevier after peer review. To access the final edited and published work see <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.postharvbio.2019.111004</u>

Document downloaded from:



1	Spatial distribution of flavor components and antioxidants in the flesh of
2	'Conference' pears and its relationship with postharvest pathogens susceptibility.
3	Laia Torregrosa ^{1,2,3} , Gemma Echeverria ² , Josep Illa ¹ , Rosario Torres ² and Jordi Giné-
4	Bordonaba ²
5	¹ Department of Computing and Industrial Engineering, University of Lleida, C. Jaume II, 69
6	25001, Lleida, Spain.
7	² Postharvest Programme, Institute for Food and Agricultural Research and Techonology (IRTA),
8	Parc Científic i Tecnològic Agroalimentari de Lleida, Edifici Fruitcentre, 25003, Lleida, Spain.
9	³ Industrial Leridana del Frío, (ILERFRED, SL), C. Josep Segura Farré, 706, 25191, Lleida, Spain.
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	

22 23	Highli	ghts
24	٠	C_2H_4 and CO_2 production rates were similar along different sections of the flesh
25	•	Sugars, malic and ascorbic acid contents in 'Conference' pear are spatial-dependent
26	•	Higher amounts of fructose and malic acid may favor R. stolonifer growth
27	•	Spatial susceptibility to fungal pathogens was related to the VOCs flesh content
28		
29		
30		
31		
32		
33		
34		
35		
36		
37		
38		
39		
40		
41		
42		
43		

45 The spatial distribution of dry matter, ethylene production, respiration rate, organic acids, sugars, 46 antioxidants, volatiles and fungal (*Penicillium expansum* and *Rhizopus stolonifer*) growth was 47 evaluated analyzing four different slices of 'Conference' pear flesh taken along an equatorial 48 radius. A common spatial distribution trend was found for ethylene emission, CO_2 production, 49 antioxidant capacity and total phenolic compounds with a minimum in the slice under the skin 50 and a maximum in the slice near the core. Fructose, which was the dominant sugar followed by 51 sucrose and glucose, showed a quasi-linear decreasing profile from the outer slice towards the 52 core. Malic and ascorbic acid had the highest content in the outer slice while citric remained 53 practically constant over the different slices. Twenty-nine volatile organic compounds (VOCs) 54 were identified using solid-phase microextraction (SPME), yet only six of them showed 55 significant differences between flesh slices. The content in VOCs was further related to the tissue 56 susceptibility to the above-mentioned postharvest pathogens using a multivariate approach. Fruit 57 flesh from inner sections was more prone to *P. expansum* whereas flesh from the slice under the skin presented the highest incidence of R. stolonifer. A Partial Least Square (PLS) model showed 58 that P. expansum growth was negatively correlated with malic acid, dry matter content, 2-ethyl-59 hexanal and butyl hexanoate concentrations and R. stolonifer was negatively correlated to sucrose 60 61 and some volatiles such as hexanal and 1-butanol. Based on the results from the PLS, selected 62 volatiles naturally present in the pear flesh were tested in vitro, at different concentrations, in 63 order to investigate their effectiveness to control blue mold caused by P. expansion and soft rot caused by R. stolonifer. A completely control of P. expansum was found with 2-ethyl-hexanal 64 65 application and hexanal while 1-butanol showed a total fungicide effect against R. stolonifer. This 66 study is a step towards a better understanding of how biochemical compounds are spatially distributed among different slices of 'Conference' pears as well as in the development of natural 67 68 compounds to fight major postharvest pathogens in pear fruit.

Keywords: 2-ethyl-hexanal, fungicide, *Penicillium expansum*, phenolic compounds, *Rhizopus stolonifer*, VOCs

71 **1 Introduction**

Pear is one of the most important fruit produced in Europe, with 'Conference' cultivar as the most
commonly grown in north east of Spain. 'Conference' is highly appreciated by consumers due to
its flavor, juiciness and aroma (Saquet, 2018).

⁷⁵ 'Conference' pear as a climacteric fruit is a highly perishable product. The climacteric phase is ⁷⁶ characterized by a peak in ethylene production accompanied by a peak in fruit respiration. The ⁷⁷ burst displayed in the ethylene production is considered to set off biochemical and ⁷⁸ physicochemical processes (Moya-León et al., 2006; Rapparini and Predieri, 2003) leading to the ⁷⁹ biosynthesis of aroma compounds and stablishing the nutritional properties of the fruit.

80 The variability in aroma compounds of pear fruit is known to largely depend on the cultivar (Qin 81 et al., 2012), maturity stage (Zerbini et al., 1993), agro-climatic conditions (Li et al., 2013) and storage conditions or postharvest handling (Zlatić et al., 2016). Volatile compounds, together with 82 sugars and organic acids content (Defilippi et al., 2009), play an important role in fruit flavor. The 83 major sugars in pears are fructose, glucose and sucrose (Colaric et al., 2006; Kolniak-Ostek, 2016; 84 85 Lindo-García et al., 2019; Moriguchi et al., 2019) while malic and citric are the predominant 86 organic acids in most pear cultivars. The ratio of sugar to organic acids is generally referred as a 87 good indicator of flavor (Sha et al., 2011). However, scarce information is available on how 88 volatile compounds, sugars and organic acids, are spatially distributed within the pear flesh. In 89 other species such as peach, the volatiles concentration has been reported to notably differ from 90 skin to flesh (Aubert and Milhet, 2007).

Despite present at relatively low concentration, pears are also a source of ascorbic acid (AsA) (Galvis Sánchez et al., 2003) and other bioactive compounds, including polyphenols, which positively contribute to human health. AsA content in 'Conference' pears changes during the fruit development and postharvest handling (Veltman et al., 2000) and higher concentration of this compound within the pear flesh has been linked to lower incidence of core browning in 'Conference' (Veltman et al., 1999) as well as superficial scald in 'Blanquilla' pears 97 (Larrigaudière et al., 2016). Phenolic compounds also contribute to the fruit aroma and flavor
98 (Imeh and Khokhar, 2002) and thanks to their anti-inflammatory and antimicrobial activity, can
99 help to prevent human diseases (Liaudanskas et al., 2017).

100 Pear major losses take place during the postharvest phase being mainly caused by physical, 101 physiological and pathological induced-changes. The main postharvest diseases of pears are 102 caused by Botrytis cinerea, Penicillium expansum and Rhizopus stolonifer (Sardella et al., 2016). 103 Traditionally, pears have been treated with chemical fungicide in order to control postharvest 104 decay. In the last years, new alternatives to curtail fungal growth such as the application of natural 105 compounds, including those emitted by pears, have also been studied. Neri et al. (2006b), applied 106 2-hexanal vapors to satisfactorily control blue mold growth caused by *P. expansum* and, Alla et 107 al. (2008) applied cinnamaldehyde vapors to control soft rot caused by *R. stolonifer*. Indeed, the 108 antifungic or fungistatic activity of a range of volatiles is well documented (Mari et al., 2016, 109 2002; Neri et al., 2006a; Sivakumar and Bautista-Baños, 2014). However, whether the 110 concentration of these 'antifungic' compounds along the pear flesh can account to improve 111 resistance to certain fungal postharvest pathogens is still elusive.

Accordingly, the aims of the present study were: 1) To investigate the spatial distribution of the main flavor components and antioxidants in the flesh of 'Conference' pears. 2) To determine the behavior of flesh samples from different spatial positions artificially inoculated with *P. expansum* and *R. stolonifer* 3) To evaluate the protective effect of some naturally occurring volatile compounds against both pathogens.

117 2 Materials and methods

118 2.1 Plant material and experimental design

119 'Conference' pears (*Pyrus communis L.*) were harvested in August 2018 from a commercial 120 orchard near Lleida (NE of Spain). Fruit was picked up at optimum commercial maturity 121 according to local growers recommendations which are basically assessed in terms of firmness 122 and sugars content (firmness \approx 55-65 N and total soluble solids >13 %). No pre-harvest fungicide treatments were applied later than 30 days prior the commercial harvest. Thereafter, fruit were transported to IRTA facilities where 108 fruit free from defects and uniform size were selected and divided in 3 groups of 20 fruit each plus 2 groups of 24 fruit each. One group of 20 fruit was used to evaluate the dry matter content, sugars, organic acids, antioxidant capacity and phenols. Another group was used to evaluate ethylene production and respiration, and the last group of fruit was used to evaluate the VOCs content. The 2 groups of 24 fruit were used to evaluate the growth ability of *P. expansum* and *R. stolonifer* along different spatial locations.

From each fruit a pulp cylinder in the radial direction, equatorial zone, from the outside of the fruit to the heart was extracted (Fig. s1). Each cylinder was 11 mm in diameter and 24 mm in length. Then, the peel was removed, and the cylinder was cut into 4 equal slices, 6 mm high each, named I, II, III and IV and corresponding to the 4 spatial positions considered in this study (Supplementary Figure 1; Outer slice (slice 'I') until the core (slice 'IV')).

135 **2.2 Dry matter content**

The dry matter content profile was determined in 20 fruit, 4 replicates of 5 fruit each. Five slices per each location were placed in a petri dish, weighted (m_{0i}) and immediately frozen with liquid nitrogen. Slices were lyophilized for 72 h. After this time, each petri dish was weighted (m_{1i}) and the dry matter content was evaluated according to the formula: (m_{1i}/m_{0i}) · 100.

140 **2.3 Ethylene production and respiration**

Ethylene production and respiration were measured by enclosing 5 slices per each location in airtight tubes of a known volume (4 replicates) and placed in an acclimatized chamber at 20 °C for two hours. After that time, ethylene concentration was measured by removing 1 mL of gas sample from the headspace of the tube and injecting it into a gas chromatograph fitted with a FID detector (Agilent Technologies 6890, Wilmington, Germany) and an alumina column 80/100 (2 m × 3 mm) (Teknokroma, Barcelona, Spain) as described by (Giné-Bordonaba et al., 2014). Oxygen and carbon dioxide concentrations within the tubes were measured with an O_2/CO_2 gas 148 analyzer (CheckPoint O_2/CO_2 , PBI Dansensor, Ringsted, Denmark). Gas *i* (*i* = O_2 , CO₂, ethylene) 149 production rate, r_i (mol_i kg⁻¹ h⁻¹), was then calculated using Eq. (1),

150
$$r_i = \frac{\Delta P_i \cdot V_g}{R \cdot T \cdot M_f \cdot \Delta t}, \qquad (1)$$

where $\Delta P_i = P_i^t - P_i^0$ (Pa) is the difference between the initial partial pressure, P_i^0 and the partial 151 pressure P_i^t after time Δt (h), $V_g = V_0 - V_f$ (m³) is the gas volume inside the closed tube obtained as 152 the difference of the tube capacity V_0 and the volume occupied by the slices V_f , R=8.314 J K⁻¹ 153 mol⁻¹ is the universal gas constant, T (K) is the absolute ambient temperature and M_f (kg) is the 154 mass of slices inside the tube. Initial partial pressure of ethylene and CO₂ were assumed to be 155 156 zero, while initial O_2 partial pressure was assumed to be $0.21 \cdot 10^5$ Pa. The respiratory quotient, 157 RQ, was calculated as the molar ratio of CO₂ produced to O₂ consumed by the fruit, $RQ = -r_{CO2} / r_{O2}.$ 158

159 **2.4 Determination of fruit sugar content**

160 Lyophilized slices used in dry matter content determination were ground with a stainless-steel blender and 100 mg of the powder were used for sugar content determination. Glucose, fructose 161 162 and sucrose were extracted from lyophilized material as described by Giné-Bordonaba and Terry 163 (2010). Briefly, 100 mg of lyophilized sample were dissolved in 2 mL of 62.5 % (v/v) aqueous 164 methanol solvent and placed in a thermostatic bath at 55 °C for 15 min, mixing the solution with 165 a vortex every 5 min to prevent layering. Then, samples were centrifuged at 20 000 g for 7 min at 166 20 °C. The supernatant from each extraction was recovered and used for enzyme-coupled 167 spectrophotometric determination of glucose and fructose (hexokinase/phosphoglucose 168 isomerase) and sucrose (β -fructosidase) as described by Famiani et al. (2012) using commercial 169 kits (BioSystems S.A., Barcelona, Spain) and following the manufacturer instructions. All results 170 are expressed on a fresh weight basis.

171 **2.5 Determination of fruit organic acid content**

Extracts for malic and citric acids determination, were prepared as described in Giné-Bordonabaand Terry (2010) with some modifications. One hundred mg of lyophilized frozen fruit tissue

174 from each location were added to 2 mL of HPLC-grade water. Samples were kept at room 175 temperature (20 °C) for 10 min and then centrifuged at 20 000 g for 7 min at 20 °C. The 176 supernatant from each extraction was recovered and used for enzyme-coupled spectrophotometric 177 determination of malic (L-malate dehydrogenase) and citric (citrate lyase / malate dehydrogenase) 178 acids as described by Famiani et al., (2012) using commercial kits (BioSystems S.A., Barcelona, 179 Spain) and following the manufacturer instructions.

Ascorbic acid (AsA) was determined using the freeze-dried material described above. One hundred mg of freeze-dried fruit slices were diluted in 2 mL of 3% (v/v) meta-phosphoric acid (MPA) and 8% (v/v) acetic acid aqueous solvent, mixing the solution for 1 min with a vortex. Then, the samples were centrifuged at 24 000 g for 22 min at 4 °C. The supernatants of each sample were filtered through a 0.45 μ m filter for High Performance Liquid Chromatography (HPLC) (Millipore, Bedford, MA, USA) and used for HPLC-UV determination as described by Collazo et al. (2018). All results are expressed on a fresh weight basis.

187 2.6 Determination of fruit antioxidant capacity and total phenolic content

188 Fruit antioxidant capacity and total phenolic compounds (TPC) were quantified from the freezedried material used in the dry matter content determination, as described earlier (Giné-Bordonaba 189 190 and Terry, 2008). One hundred mg of freeze-dried fruit sample were mixed with 2 mL of 79.5% (v/v) methanol and 0.5% (v/v) HCl aqueous solvent. Sample extraction was held at 20 °C, mixing 191 192 the solution every 15 min with a vortex (Giné-Bordonaba and Terry, 2016). From the same 193 extract, TPC was measured by means of the Folin-Ciocalteu method calculated from a Gallic Acid 194 Equivalent (GAE) curve and total antioxidant capacity was measured by the Ferric Reducing 195 Antioxidant Power (FRAP) assay as described by Benzie and Szeto (1999). All results are 196 expressed on a fresh weight basis.

197 2.7 Spatial distribution of volatiles in pears

Headspace solid-phase microextraction (HS-SPME) was used to extract and to determine the
concentrations of volatile compounds along the cylinder of pear flesh. SPME fibers coated with
a 65-µm layer of polydimethylsiloxane–divinylbenzene (65 µm PDMS/DVB; Supelco Co.,

Bellefonte, PA, USA) were used. Fibers were activated before sampling according to themanufacturer's instructions.

203 Five slices per each spatial location and per replicate (4 replicates) were frozen in liquid nitrogen, 204 crushed together and immediately transferred to -80 °C storage until the volatile compounds were 205 analyzed. For each extraction, 5 g of homogenized sample per location were placed in a 20 mL 206 screw-cap vial containing 2 g of NaCl to facilitate the release of volatile compounds. Prior to 207 sealing the vial, $2 \mu L$ of 0.03 mL L⁻¹ 3-nonanone was added as an internal standard, and the solution was mixed with a glass rod. The mixture was incubated and agitated at 40 °C during 208 209 20 min. Afterwards, the SPME fiber was injected into the headspace and exposed for 30 min at 210 40 °C to absorb the volatiles as described by Qin et al. (20129. Volatile compounds were 211 subsequently desorbed as described by Iglesias et al. (2018) and results expressed on a fresh 212 weight basis.

213 **2.8 Fungal growth evaluation in pear tissue**

Both strains used in this study, *P. expansum* (CMP-1) and *R. stolonifer* (RSF) belong to the collection from the Postharvest Pathology group of IRTA (Lleida). They were the most aggressive isolates capable of infecting pome fruit, respectively. Conidial suspensions were prepared by rubbing the surface of 7 to 10-day-old cultures grown on potato dextrose agar (PDA) with sterile water containing 0.01 % (w/v) Tween-80 using a sterile glass rod. Concentration of each fungus was determined using a haemocytometer and prepared to obtain $3 \cdot 10^4$ conidia mL⁻¹ of *P. expansum* and $1 \cdot 10^3$ conidia mL⁻¹ of *R. stolonifer*.

Two groups of 24 fruit (8 replicates, 3 fruit each) were used to evaluate the growth of fungi. The first group was used to evaluate the severity and incidence of *P. expansum* and the second the incidence of *R. stolonifer*. Fruit were disinfected with 0.525% (v/v) sodium hypochlorite (NaClO) for 5 minutes and cleaned five times with tap water. Once dried, a pulp cylinder of the fruit was extracted and cut into 4 slices as explained in the plant material and experimental design section. Each slice of the first group was inoculated with 5 μ L of *P. expansum* and the ones of the second group were inoculated with 5 μ L of *R. stolonifer*.

P. expansum incidence was evaluated by measuring the diameter of fungus growth and severity
infection was evaluated as the % of mycelial presence on slices regarding the total of infected
samples. *P. expansum* incidence was evaluated after 72 h post the inoculation while *R. stolonifer*incidence was measured after 44 h post the inoculation.

232 2.9 Evaluation of fungistatic or fungicide activity of synthetic pear volatiles in vitro

233 Fungistatic and fungicide activity of the four most representative VOCs found in the Principal 234 Component Analysis (PCA) of detected pear volatiles was evaluated as reported by Gotor-Vila et 235 al. (2017) with some modifications. Briefly, pure standards of these four volatiles were purchased 236 from Sigma-Aldrich (Madrid, Spain) and individually tested for suppressing mycelial growth of target pathogens. For this purpose, 10 µL of conidial suspension containing each pathogen were 237 placed in the center of petri dishes containing PDA. Then, a paper filter (85 mm diameter) 238 239 containing an aliquot of pure compound was positioned inside the cover of the dishes and the petri 240 dishes were immediately sealed with parafilm and incubated at 25 °C. The aliquots of pure compounds introduced in the petri dishes were: 5, 10, 20, 40, 80, 160 and 320 µL corresponding 241 242 to 0.027, 0.055, 0.11, 0.22, 0.44, 0.88, 1.76 mL L⁻¹ headspace, respectively. Measures for P. expansum were made after three, four, five and seven days post the inoculation and R. stolonifer 243 244 after one, two and three days. The sample unit was represented by four replicates for each dose and pathogen and dishes with paper filter with water at 1.76 mL L⁻¹ were used as 245 246 control. The percentage of mycelial inhibition (PMI) of fungal growth was calculated after 5 and 247 3 d from inoculation for P. expansum and R. stolonifer, respectively. Percentage mycelial 248 inhibition (PMI) was determined according to the formula $(\%) = [(d_c - d_t)/d_c)] \cdot 100$, where d_c is the 249 diameter growth average of control and dt is the treatment diameter average (Li et al., 2016). The effect of VOC's on fungus were tested by determining the effective concentration values that 250 251 reduced the mycelial growth by 50% (EC_{50}) as reported by Alexander et al. (1999).

252 2.10 Statistical analyses

253 Means were compared by analysis of variance (ANOVA). When the analysis was statistically 254 significant, the Tukey's Honestly Significant Difference (HSD) test at $P \le 0.05$ was performed 255 for separation of means.

A hierarchical cluster analysis dendrogram was done applying Ward method of minimum variance. The objective function was the error of the sum of the squares or variance (Ward, 1963). The dendrogram and the constellation graph were constructed in order to establish a preliminary relationship between sugars, organic acids and antioxidants in order to find relationships between different pear 'Conference' slices spatially distributed. The analyzed data included the 4 slices along the spatial distribution (I, II, III and IV) and 40 variables representing the components being analyzed.

263 Two partial least square (PLS) regression models were used to correlate organic acids, sugars, 264 antioxidants and volatile compounds (as X variables or explanatory variables) with fungal 265 infections as response variables, *P. expansum* as (Y_1) and *R. stolonifer* as (Y_2) . The non-linear 266 iterative partial least squares (NIPALS) algorithm was used for computing the first few factors. 267 KFold validation was used to select the number of factors that minimize the Root Mean PRESS 268 statistic. As a pre-treatment, data were centered and weighed by the inverse of the standard 269 deviation of each variable in order to avoid dependence on measured units. All analyses were 270 carried out with the PLS platform of JMP® 13.1.0 SAS Institute Inc. (SAS, 2013).

271 **3 Results and discussion**

272 **3.1** Dry matter content, ethylene emission and respiration

Dry matter (DM) of pip fruit is basically formed by carbohydrates (90 %) (Travers et al., 2014),
in soluble and insoluble forms, and the remaining part are mainly organic acids (Suni et al., 2000).
Our results showed that the DM content was minimum in slice II and III but with no significant
differences between them (p=0.1891) (Fig. 1A). The average of DM content reported herein
(17.8 %) was in accordance with the ones reported by Costa et al. (2015) in pear fruit from four

different varieties (average 17.9 %). The moisture content profile, which is its complementary (m_c =100-DM), had thus a maximum in slice II, which can be explained by the fact that moisture diffuses outwards to the fruit surface at a higher flux rate than it does inwards, to the core of the fruit, hence resulting in a lower gradient towards the center.

282 Several studies have already analyzed the ethylene emission of whole pears at different maturities, 283 temperatures and storage periods (Knee, 1987; Lindo-García et al., 2019; Villalobos-Acuña and 284 Mitcham, 2008) as well as its respiration rate (Ho et al., 2018; Lammertyn et al., 2001; Saquet 285 and Streif, 2017). To our knowledge no studies are available investigating the spatial distribution 286 of ethylene production and respiration rates in pears. The ethylene production profile (Fig. 1B) 287 presented a minimum at intermediate slices, II and III, with a significant increase towards the 288 core. A similar profile, but with a better defined minimum at slice III, was found in the respiration 289 rate (Fig. 1C). Our results showed a relatively poor correlation between respiration rate and 290 ethylene production ($r^2=0.546$) likely due to the different diffusivity of both compounds (ethylene 291 and CO₂) within the pear flesh. Rudell et al. (2000) found that ethylene production had a 292 maximum in the carpellary tissue in 'Fuji' apple at all harvest dates, which is in accordance to 293 our results found for the inner slice (referred as IV). Moreover, Rudell et al. (2000) reported a 294 minimum in CO_2 production in the hypanthial tissue, hence also in accordance with our results 295 (Fig. 1C).

296 **3.2 Sugar and organic acid composition**

Fructose, glucose and sucrose are known to be the main sugars in 'Conference' pear fruit and according to Colaric et al. (2007), in general, fructose represents more than 50 % of the pear sugar content. Our results are in accordance with this statement, fructose accounted for 60 % of the total sugar content, but clearly showed that these sugars were not uniformly distributed within the flesh of the fruit. Fructose content showed a quasi-linear decreasing profile with content values in the inner slice (slice IV Fig. 2A) about 40 % lower than in the outer slice, while sucrose showed an opposite trend with its lowest values under the fruit skin. Glucose content was minimum at slice 304 II (Fig. 2A) and significantly higher (p > 0.022) in the slice near the core (slice IV). Measured fructose values, 46.3 g kg⁻¹ as weighted average, were similar to the ones reported by Colaric et 305 al. (2007) for 'Conference' pears harvested in 2004, however, these values were 1.5-fold lower 306 307 than the ones obtained in the same study for fruit harvested in 2005. The measured glucose content 308 (11.6 g kg⁻¹, weighted average) was nearly 2-fold higher than the values reported by Colaric et al. 309 (2007) in fruit harvested in 2004 and Hudina and Štampar (2004) in Williams pears. Hudina and 310 Štampar (2004) reported that the fruit sugar content was affected by climatic and soil conditions 311 leading to differences as high as 50 %.

312 Malic acid is the predominant organic acid in 'Conference' pears followed by citric acid (Hudina 313 and Stampar, 2000). The ratio between malic acid content and citric correlates with sensory 314 perception of fruit taste (Colaric et al., 2007). In our measurements (Fig. 2B) malic was the predominant acid (3.6 g kg⁻¹ as weighted averages) and its distribution profile presented a 315 316 minimum in slice II. Hudina and Štampar (2004) reported similar results (3.7 g kg⁻¹) for 317 'Conference' pears harvested at south-east of Slovenia. Kou et al., (2014) reported that malic acid 318 content in the peel (3.6 g kg⁻¹) of 'Huang guan' pear was higher than in the pulp (2.2 g kg⁻¹) which 319 is in line with our results. Citric spatial distribution followed a similar trend than the one observed 320 in malic acid content although no significant differences were found between slices (Fig. 2B). Citric acid (1.2 g kg⁻¹ as weighted average) was 2.5-fold lower than malic acid in all slices. 321

In our study, only slice 'IV' had the lowest AsA content and showed significant differences if compared to the other slices (p=0.0393) (Fig. 2B). Johnson et al. (2013) found that AsA content in pulp (0.093 g kg⁻¹) of '*Citrullus Lanatus*' watermelon was higher than in rind and seed (0.076 and 0.053 g kg⁻¹, respectively). AsA content and fructose showed a quite good correlation with r^2 =0.764. This result was in agreement with that found by Franck et al. (2003) who reported that AsA and fructose content had a similar pattern in 'Conference' pear, suggesting a close relationship between both components.

329 **3.3** Antioxidant capacity and total phenolic compounds

330 According to different studies, pear fruit has beneficial health effects, protecting against different 331 diseases, thanks to its antioxidant properties (Imeh and Khokhar, 2002; Kolniak-Ostek, 2016; 332 Liaudanskas et al., 2017). Even though antioxidant capacity and total phenolic compounds in 333 pears are low when compared to other fruit such as berries (Määttä-Riihinen et al., 2004), orange, 334 kiwifruit and apples (Wang et al., 1996), the contribution of pear to the daily consumption of 335 antioxidants and phenolics is relatively high (Chun et al., 2005). If compared to apples, total 336 phenolic content in pear flesh is 3-fold lower (Leontowicz et al., 2002) and great variability exist 337 among different pear cultivars (Brahem et al., 2017).

To our knowledge, little information is available about how antioxidant capacity and TPC are distributed along the flesh of fruit, and especially in pear. The fruit antioxidant capacity (1210.5 mg Fe³⁺ kg⁻¹ as weighted average) had a minimum in slice III with a sharp increase in the slice near the core (Fig. 2C).

A similar profile was also found for TPC content (Fig. 2D). Imeh and Khokhar, (2002) analyzed TPC in different apple, pear and stone fruit cultivars and reported that 'Conference' pear had the lowest values ($3023 \text{ mg kg}^{-1} \text{ GAE}$). However, their values were two-fold higher than that obtained in this study. This could be because in their analysis they included the peel, which is reported to have higher amounts of TPC.

347 3.4 Volatiles spatial distribution

While several studies have been focusing on 'Conference' pear volatiles emission under different circumstances (Goliáš et al., 2015; Hendges et al., 2018; Saquet, 2017) no information is available describing the VOC's concentration in different locations inside the pear flesh. Aubert and Milhet (2007) investigated the distribution of VOCs in different parts of a white-fleshed peach (cv. Maura) and found that volatiles content in skin were significantly higher than in flesh.

In our study twenty-nine volatile compounds were identified and quantified in the different locations of the slices in 'Conference' pear (Table s1). These volatile compounds included 16 esters, 6 alcohols, 3 aldehydes, 2 terpenoids, 1 acid and 1 ketone. Esters play an important role providing a characteristic fruity aroma (Zlatić et al., 2016) when volatiles are released from intact
fruit. However, when fruit is cut or crushed different enzymatic processes can be activated, some
of which are extremely rapid once cellular disruption begins (Rapparini and Predieri, 2003). In
this context, aldehydes are major components in pulp extracts, but not in the headspace of intact
pears.

361 Our research showed that hexanal was the main volatile detected with its highest concentration in the 'II' slice (140 µg kg⁻¹) but with no significant differences between locations of the slices 362 (p=0.1278). Aldehydes are known to be the main responsible of grassy aroma (Zlatić et al., 2016) 363 364 and green flavor (Rapparini and Predieri, 2003). Besides being a typical fruit volatile, hexanal is 365 also formed when cellular structures are disrupted (Clark et al., 2014) and hence this compound 366 is detected at its highest concentrations in fresh-cut fruit or when using similar methodologies to 367 the one described herein (SPME);. For instance, Rizzolo et al. (2005), found that hexanal was one 368 of the main volatile in 'Conference' pears under controlled atmosphere and it was the most 369 prominent in odor units. Lindo-García et al. (2019) also found that hexanal was the principal 370 aldehyde in 'Blanquilla' pears during on and off-tree ripening. Similarly, Makkumrai et al. (2014) 371 reported that hexanal was the main aldehyde in 'Barlett' pears stored at 20 °C for 11 d and Horvat 372 et al. (1992) found that hexanal was one of the main volatiles in five Asian pear cultivars. All 373 these studies used similar methodologies as the one described in this study.

The main ester detected was butyl butanoate which has been already reported as an impact volatile in 'Conference' pears (Rizzolo et al., 2005). Even though, no significant differences between locations of the slices were found, its maximum concentration was found in slice B. Butyl butanoate is largely known to contribute to sweet or fruity odors.

From the 29 identified volatiles only six presented significant differences between locations of the slices; butyl acetate, 2-ethy-hexanal, 3-methylbutyl 3-methyl-butanoate, (E)-2-hexenyl acetate, hexyl butanoate and hexyl 2-methylbutanoate. Some of these compounds have been previously identified as important character-impact volatiles in whole 'Conference' pears (El Hadi et al., 2013; Saquet, 2017; Torregrosa et al., 2019) contributing, among others, to sweet and fruity odors. The spatial distribution of flavor components and antioxidants along the flesh of pear fruit may be of use to the fresh-cut industry to supply fruit with improved flavor and nutritional value by selecting not only the appropriate fruit but also specific parts of it.

386 **3.5** Susceptibility to *P. expansum* and *R. stolonifer* along the pear flesh

P. expansum and *R. stolonifer* fungus are important destructive fungal pathogens of pome fruit.
Many studies analyzed blue mould and soft rot in entire pears (López et al., 2015; Neri et al.,
2010). However, no information is available about the fungal growth on flesh from different
locations in 'Conference' pear.

P. expansum showed an incidence of 100 % in all locations of the evaluated slices, in contrast severity was significantly different between slices (p < 0.001), slice (I) close to the peel had the lower fungal severity (Fig. 3A). Rot incidence was evaluated in inoculated slices with *R. stolonifer* since measuring severity for this type of pathogen is not an easy task mainly due to the black and loose mycelium with white aerial fruiting structures (Sardella et al., 2016). Slice 'I' had the highest incidence of *R. stolonifer* (Fig. 3B).

397 3.6 Relationship between tissue composition and susceptibility to major postharvest

398 pathogens

399 In order to know which variables were characteristics of each slice and determine those that were 400 key to differentiate slices, a first multivariate analysis considering all the analyzed variables, 401 except those of fungal susceptibility to P. expansum and R. stolonifer, was done. A dendogram 402 graph was used to further obtain a global overview of the relationship between ethylene emission, 403 respiration, sugars, organic acids, antioxidants, phenols and the profile of volatile compounds in 404 a reduced dimension plot. In this data set, 42 variables were used (Fig. 4A). The hierarchical 405 heatmap showed that slices 'I' and 'IV' had similar amounts of the components in cluster 1 (C1), 406 except for sucrose and hexanal (Fig. 4B). This cluster encompasses some major pear character407 impact compounds such as butyl butanoate. Components encompassed in cluster 3 (C3) had a408 similar behavior in slices 'II' and 'III', except for 1-hexanol.

409 On the other hand, and given the different susceptibility of the different slices locations to blue 410 mold and soft rot, two partial least square regression (PLS) models were performed in order to 411 identify which variables had higher correlation with the susceptibility of P. expansum and R. 412 stolonifer growth. The PLS models were done to correlate respectively P. expansum growth (Y1 413 variable) and *R. stolonifer* growth (Y₂ variable) with a set of potentially explanatory variables: 414 sugars and organic acids content, ethylene production, respiration, dry matter, volatiles 415 compounds, antioxidant capacity and total phenolic content (X variables). Based on PLS method, 416 the X data set was reduced to two principal factors. The first factor explained more than 99% for 417 both fungi, P. expansum (Fig. 5A) and R. stolonifer (Fig. 6A). The correlation between measured 418 and predicted blue mold severity and soft rot incidence were higher than 0.99, demonstrating the 419 goodness of the model (Fig. 5B, 6B). P. expansum growth showed a positively correlation with 420 the sucrose content and some VOC's such as (E)-2-hexenyl acetate, ethyl octanoate, pentyl 421 hexanoate, hexanal, 1-butanol, 2-methyl-1-butanol and 6-methyl-5-hepten-2-one (Fig. 5C). With 422 such a background, 'II' and 'III' slices followed by 'IV' and 'A' were more prone to the growth 423 of this fungus. However, R. stolonifer was positively correlated with fructose, malic acid and dry 424 matter content and with ethyl acetate, butyl hexanoate, 2-ethyl-hexanal, butyl hexanoate, (Z)-b-425 farnesene and α -farnesene (Fig. 6C). 'I' is the most suitable slice for its fungus to growth.

426 3.7 Antifungal efficacy in vitro of VOCs against P. expansum and R. stolonifer

Based on our PLS results (Fig. 5 and 6), 2-ethyl-hexanal and butyl hexanoate were the most effective compounds against *P. expansum* and hexanal and 1-butanol against *R. stolonifer* and their effects were further studied *in vitro* with different concentrations (Fig. s2). The *in vitro* results of exogenous applied compounds, commonly emitted by 'Conference' pears, and their capacity to suppress the mycelial growth of both pathogens is shown in Table 1. All tested oncentrations of 2-ethyl-hexanal, completely controlled *P. expansum* growth while control fruit had a diameter growth of 3 cm after 3 d (Fig. s2A). Moreover, any used concentration of butyl 434 hexanoate was capable to completely control mycelial growth (Fig. s2B). A concentration of 0.22 μ L mL⁻¹ of hexanal completely controlled the infection (Fig. s2C) and hexanal had an EC₅₀ of 435 0.055 µL mL⁻¹ on *R. stolonifer* growth (Table 1). Soft rot was completely controlled by 1-butanol 436 437 application at $1.76 \,\mu$ L mL⁻¹ (Fig. s2D). These results agreed with those found by Neri et al. (2006), 438 who investigated the effect of nine plant volatiles in vitro and in vivo trials against blue mold on 439 pears and found that trans-2-hexanal and carvacol had prominent effects, while hexanal had a less 440 marked effect. Sáenz-Garza et al. (2013) also reported that the hexanal released from 441 microcapsules on the surface of PDA inhibit blue mold growth and it was viable to preserve apple 442 slices. As reviewed by Mari et al. (2016), other aldehydes and alcohols such as benzaldehyde and ethanol have shown promising results controlling different fungal growth in a wide range of fruit 443 444 and vegetables and hence future studies are warrant.

445 4 Conclusions

446 The results from this study demonstrate that flavor components including sugars and organic acids 447 are non-uniformly distributed along the flesh of Conference pears. Not only components but also 448 the capacity of the tissue to produce ethylene and CO_2 was different along the equatorial location. 449 Some VOCs also presented significant differences among slices. In vitro experiments showed 450 that components naturally present along the pear flesh had antifungal activity. Thus, 2-ethyl-451 hexanal revealed an antifungal effect against P. expansum while hexanal and 1-butanol acted 452 against R. stolonifer. Overall, the results presented herein give added value to the fresh-cut 453 industry (fruit with improved nutritional quality and flavor) and could improve food security using 454 natural compounds capable of inhibiting major postharvest pathogens.

455 Acknowledgements

The authors acknowledge the financial support of the Secretary of Universities and Research of Business and Knowledge Department of Generalitat de Catalunya. Thanks are also given to the CERCA program from the Generalitat de Catalunya. The authors would also like to thank Neus Lamarca and Albert Estévez for his technical assistance.

460 **References**

461	Alexander, B., Browse, D.J., Reading, S.J., Benjamin, I.S., 1999. A simple and accurate
462	mathematical method for calculation of the EC50. J. Pharmacol. Toxicol. Methods 41, 55-
463	58. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1056-8719(98)00038-0
464	Alla, M.A.A., El-Sayed, H.Z., Riad, S.E.M., 2008. Control of rhizopus rot disease of apricot
465	fruits (Prunus armeniaca L.) by some plant volatiles aldehydes. Res. J. Agric. Biol. Sci. 4,
466	424–433.
467	Aubert, C., Milhet, C., 2007. Distribution of the volatile compounds in the different parts of a
468	white-fleshed peach (Prunus persica L. Batsch). Food Chem. 102, 375-384.
469	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2006.05.030
470	Benzie, I.F.F., Szeto, Y.T., 1999. Total antioxidant capacity of teas by the ferric
471	reducing/antioxidant power assay. J. Agric. Food Chem. 47, 633-636.
472	https://doi.org/10.1021/jf9807768
473	Brahem, M., Renard, C.M.G.C., Eder, S., Loonis, M., Ouni, R., Mars, M., Le Bourvellec, C.,
474	2017. Characterization and quantification of fruit phenolic compounds of European and
475	Tunisian pear cultivars. Food Res. Int. 95, 125–133.
476	https://doi.org/10.1016/J.FOODRES.2017.03.002
477	Chun, O.K., Kim, D.O., Smith, N., Schroeder, D., Han, J.T., Chang, Y.L., 2005. Daily
478	consumption of phenolics and total antioxidant capacity from fruit and vegetables in the
479	American diet. J. Sci. Food Agric. 85, 1715–1724. https://doi.org/10.1002/jsfa.2176
480	Clark S., Jung S., L.B., 2014. Food processing: principles and applications. John Wiley & Sons.
481	Colaric, M., Franci-Stampar, A., Hudina, M., 2006. Influence of branch bending on sugar,
482	organic acid and phenolic content in fruits of 'Williams' pears (Pyrus communis L.). J.
483	Sci. Food Agric. 86, 2463–2467. https://doi.org/10.1002/jsfa
484	Colaric, M., Stampar, F., Hudina, M., 2007. Content levels of various fruit metabolites in the
485	"Conference" pear response to branch bending. Sci. Hortic. (Amsterdam). 113, 261–266.
486	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scienta.2007.03.016
487	Collazo, C., Giné-Bordonaba, J., Aguiló-Aguayo, I., Povedano, I., Bademunt, A., Viñas, I.,
488	2018. Pseudomonas graminis strain CPA-7 differentially modulates the oxidative response
489	in fresh-cut 'Golden delicious' apple depending on the storage conditions. Postharvest
490	Biol. Technol. 138, 46–55. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.postharvbio.2017.12.013

- 491 Costa, G., Noferini, M., Andreotti, C., 2015. Non-destructive determination of internal quality
- in intact pears by near infrared spectroscopy. Acta Hortic. 821–825.
- 493 https://doi.org/10.17660/actahortic.2002.596.142
- 494 Defilippi, B.G., Manríquez, D., Luengwilai, K., González-Agüero, M., 2009. Chapter 1. Aroma
 495 volatiles: biosynthesis and mechanisms of modulation during fruit ripening. Adv. Bot. Res.
 496 50, 1–37. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0065-2296(08)00801-X
- El Hadi, M.A.M., Zhang, F.J., Wu, F.F., Zhou, C.H., Tao, J., 2013. Advances in fruit aroma
 volatile research. Molecules 18, 8200–8229. https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules18078200
- Famiani, F., Casulli, V., Baldicchi, A., Battistelli, A., Moscatello, S., Walker, R.P., 2012.
 Development and metabolism of the fruit and seed of the Japanese plum Ozark premier
 (Rosaceae). J. Plant Physiol. 169, 551–560. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JPLPH.2011.11.020
- Franck, C., Baetens, M., Lammertyn, J., Verboven, P., Davey, M.W., Nicolaï, B.M., 2003.
 Ascorbic acid concentration in cv. Conference pears during fruit development and
- 504 postharvest storage. J. Agric. Food Chem. 51, 4757–4763.
- 505 https://doi.org/10.1021/jf026229a
- Galvis Sánchez, A.C., Gil-Izquierdo, A., Gil, M.I., 2003. Comparative study of six pear
 cultivars in terms of their phenolic and vitamin C contents and antioxidant capacity. J. Sci.
 Food Agric. 83, 995–1003. https://doi.org/10.1002/jsfa.1436
- 509 Giné-Bordonaba, J., Terry, L.A., 2016. Effect of deficit irrigation and methyl jasmonate
- 510application on the composition of strawberry (Fragaria x ananassa) fruit and leaves. Sci.
- 511 Hortic. (Amsterdam). 199, 63–70. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scienta.2015.12.026
- 512 Giné Bordonaba, J., Cantin, C.M., Larrigaudière, C., López, L., López, R., Echeverria, G., 2014.
 513 Suitability of nectarine cultivars for minimal processing: the role of genotype, harvest
 514 season and maturity at harvest on quality and sensory attributes. Postharvest Biol. Technol.
 515 93. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.postharvbio.2014.02.007
- Giné Bordonaba, J., Terry, L.A., 2010. Manipulating the taste-related composition of strawberry
 fruits (Fragaria × ananassa) from different cultivars using deficit irrigation. Food Chem.
 122, 1020–1026. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.FOODCHEM.2010.03.060
- Giné Bordonaba, J., Terry, L.A., 2008. Biochemical profiling and chemometric analysis of
 seventeen UK-grown black currant cultivars. J. Agric. Food Chem. 56, 7422–7430.
 https://doi.org/10.1021/jf8009377

- Goliáš, J., Kožíšková, J., Létal, J., 2015. Changes in volatiles during cold storage and
 subsequent shelf-life of "Conference" pears treated with 1-MCP. Acta Hortic. 1079, 465–
 471. https://doi.org/10.17660/ActaHortic.2015.1079.61
- 525 Gotor-Vila, A., Teixidó, N., Di Francesco, A., Usall, J., Ugolini, L., Torres, R., Mari, M., 2017.
 526 Antifungal effect of volatile organic compounds produced by Bacillus amyloliquefaciens
 527 CPA-8 against fruit pathogen decays of cherry. Food Microbiol. 64, 219–225.
- 528 https://doi.org/10.1016/J.FM.2017.01.006
- Hendges, M.V., Neuwald, D.A., Steffens, C.A., Vidrih, R., Zlatić, E., do Amarante, C.V.T.,
 2018. 1-MCP and storage conditions on the ripening and production of aromatic
 compounds in Conference and Alexander Lucas pears harvested at different maturity
 stages. Postharvest Biol. Technol. 146, 18–25.
- 533 https://doi.org/10.1016/J.POSTHARVBIO.2018.08.006
- 1010101010101010101010101010100000
- Ho, Q.T., Hertog, M.L.A.T.M., Verboven, P., Ambaw, A., Rogge, S., Verlinden, B.E., Nicolaï,
 B.M., 2018. Down-regulation of respiration in pear fruit depends on temperature. J. Exp.
 Bot. 69, 2049–2060. https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/ery031
- Horvat, R.J., Senter, S.D., Chapman, G.W., Payne, J.A., 1992. Volatiles of ripe Asian pears
 (Pyrus serotina Rehder). J. Essent. Oil Res. 4, 645–646.
- 539 https://doi.org/10.1080/10412905.1992.9698151
- 540 Hudina, M., Stampar, F., 2000. Sugars and organic acids contents of European (Pyrus
- 541 communis L.) and Asian (Pyrus serotina Rehd.) pear cultivars. Acta Aliment. 29, 217–230.
- 542 Hudina, M., Štampar, F., 2004. Effect of climatic and soil conditions on sugars and organic
- acids content of pear fruits (Pyrus communis L.) cvs. "Williams" and "Conference." Acta
 Hortic. 636, 527–531. https://doi.org/10.17660/ActaHortic.2004.636.66
- 10111.050, 527–551. https://doi.org/10.17000/Actariorite.2004.050.00
- 545 Iglesias, M.B., López, M.L., Echeverría, G., Viñas, I., Zudaire, L., Abadias, M., 2018.
- 546 Evaluation of biocontrol capacity of Pseudomonas graminis CPA-7 against foodborne
- 547 pathogens on fresh-cut pear and its effect on fruit volatile compounds. Food Microbiol. 76,
- 548 226–236. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.FM.2018.04.007
- Imeh, U., Khokhar, S., 2002. Distribution of conjugated and free phenols in fruits: antioxidant
 activity and cultivar variations. J. Agric. Food Chem. 50, 6301–6306.
 https://doi.org/10.1021/if020342j
- Johnson, J. T., Lennox, J. A., Ujong, U. P., Odey, M. O., Fila, W. O., Edem, P. N., Dasofunjo,
- 553 K., 2013. Comparative vitamins content of pulp, seed and rind of fresh and dried

- 554 watermelon (Citrullus Lanatus). Int. J. Sci. Technol. 2.
- Knee, M., 1987. Development of ethylene biosynthesis in pear fruits at -1 °C. J. Exp. Bot. 38,
 1724–1733. https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/38.10.1724
- Kolniak-Ostek, J., 2016. Chemical composition and antioxidant capacity of different anatomical
 parts of pear (Pyrus communis L.). Food Chem. 203, 491–497.
- 559 https://doi.org/10.1016/J.FOODCHEM.2016.02.103
- Kou, X., Wang, S., Zhang, Y., Guo, R., Wu, M., Chen, Q., Xue, Z., 2014. Effects of chitosan
 and calcium chloride treatments on malic acid-metabolizing enzymes and the related gene
 expression in post-harvest pear cv. 'Huang guan.' Sci. Hortic. (Amsterdam). 165, 252–
 259. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.SCIENTA.2013.10.034
- Lammertyn, J., Franck, C., Verlinden, B.E., Nicolaï, B.M., 2001. Comparative study of the O2,
 CO2 and temperature effect on respiration between "Conference" pear cell protoplasts in
 suspension and intact pears. J. Exp. Bot. 52, 1769–1777.
- 567 https://doi.org/10.1093/jexbot/52.362.1769
- Larrigaudière, C., Candan, A.P., Giné-Bordonaba, J., Civello, M., Calvo, G., 2016. Unravelling
 the physiological basis of superficial scald in pears based on cultivar differences. Sci.
 Hortic. (Amsterdam). 213, 340–345. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scienta.2016.10.043
- Leontowicz, H., Gorinstein, S., Lojek, A., Leontowicz, M., Íž, M., Soliva-Fortuny, R., Park,
 Y.S., Jung, S.T., Trakhtenberg, S., Martin-Belloso, O., 2002. Comparative content of some
 bioactive compounds in apples, peaches and pears and their influence on lipids and
 antioxidant capacity in rats. J. Nutr. Biochem. 13, 603–610. https://doi.org/10.1016/S09552863(02)00206-1
- Li, G., Jia, H., Wu, R., Teng, Y., 2013. Changes in volatile organic compound composition
 during the ripening of "Nanguoli" pears (Pyrus ussuriensis Maxim) harvested at different
 growing locations. J. Hortic. Sci. Biotechnol. 88, 563–570.
- 579 https://doi.org/10.1080/14620316.2013.11513007
- Li, Y., Kong, W., Li, M., Liu, H., Zhao, X., Yang, S., Yang, M., 2016. Litsea cubeba essential
 oil as the potential natural fumigant: inhibition of Aspergillus flavus and AFB1 production
 in licorice. Ind. Crops Prod. 80, 186–193.
- 583 https://doi.org/10.1016/J.INDCROP.2015.11.008
- Liaudanskas, M., Zymone, K., Viškelis, J., Klevinskas, A., Janulis, V., 2017. Determination of
 the phenolic composition and antioxidant activity of pear extracts. J. Chem. 2017.

https://doi.org/10.1155/2017/7856521

- Lindo-García, V., Larrigaudière, C., Echeverría, G., Murayama, H., Soria, Y., Giné-Bordonaba,
 J., 2019. New insights on the ripening pattern of 'Blanquilla' pears: a comparison between
 on- and off-tree ripened fruit. Postharvest Biol. Technol. 150, 112–121.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/J.POSTHARVBIO.2018.12.013
- López, L., Echeverria, G., Usall, J., Teixidó, N., 2015. The detection of fungal diseases in the
 "Golden Smoothee" apple and "Blanquilla" pear based on the volatile profile. Postharvest
 Biol. Technol. 99, 120–130. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.postharvbio.2014.08.005
- Määttä-Riihinen, K.R., Kamal-Eldin, A., Törrönen, A.R., 2004. Identification and quantification
 of phenolic compounds in berries of Fragaria and Rubus species (family rosaceae). J.
 Agric. Food Chem. 52, 6178–6187. https://doi.org/10.1021/jf049450r
- Makkumrai, W., Anthon, G.E., Sivertsen, H., Ebeler, S.E., Negre-Zakharov, F., Barrett, D.M.,
 Mitcham, E.J., 2014. Effect of ethylene and temperature conditioning on sensory attributes
 and chemical composition of "Bartlett" pears. Postharvest Biol. Technol. 97, 44–61.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.postharvbio.2014.06.001
- Mari, M., Bautista-Baños, S., Sivakumar, D., 2016. Decay control in the postharvest system:
 role of microbial and plant volatile organic compounds. Postharvest Biol. Technol. 122,
 70–81. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.postharvbio.2016.04.014
- Mari, M., Leoni, O., Iori, R., Cembali, T., 2002. Antifungal vapour-phase activity of allylisothiocyanate against Penicillium expansum on pears. Plant Pathol. 51, 231–236.
 https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-3059.2002.00667.x
- Moriguchi, T., Abe, K., Sanada, T., Yamaki, S., 2019. Levels and role of sucrose synthase,
 sucrose-phosphate synthase, and acid invertase in sucrose accumulation in fruit of Asian
 pear. J. Am. Soc. Hortic. Sci. 117, 274–278. https://doi.org/10.21273/jashs.117.2.274
- 610 Moya-León, M.A., Vergara, M., Bravo, C., Montes, M.E., Moggia, C., 2006. 1-MCP treatment
- 611 preserves aroma quality of 'Packham's Triumph' pears during long-term storage.
- 612 Postharvest Biol. Technol. 42, 185–197.
- 613 https://doi.org/10.1016/J.POSTHARVBIO.2006.06.003
- 614 Neri, F., Donati, I., Veronesi, F., Mazzoni, D., Mari, M., 2010. Evaluation of Penicillium
- expansum isolates for aggressiveness, growth and patulin accumulation in usual and less
- 616 common fruit hosts. Int. J. Food Microbiol. 143, 109–117.
- 617 https://doi.org/10.1016/J.IJFOODMICRO.2010.08.002

- 618 Neri, F., Mari, M., Brigati, S., 2006a. Control of Penicillium expansum by plant volatile
 619 compounds. Plant Pathol. 55, 100–105. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-3059.2005.01312.x
- Neri, F., Mari, M., Menniti, A.M., Brigati, S., Bertolini, P., 2006b. Control of Penicillium
 expansum in pears and apples by trans-2-hexenal vapours. Postharvest Biol. Technol. 41,
 101–108. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.POSTHARVBIO.2006.02.005
- Qin, G., Tao, S., Cao, Y., Wu, J., Zhang, H., Huang, W., Zhang, S., 2012. Evaluation of the
 volatile profile of 33 Pyrus ussuriensis cultivars by HS-SPME with GC–MS. Food Chem.
- 625 134, 2367–2382. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.FOODCHEM.2012.04.053
- 626 Rapparini, F., Predieri, S., 2003. Pear Fruit Volatiles. John Wiley & Sons.
- Rizzolo, A., Cambiaghi, P., Grassi, M., Zerbini, P.E., 2005. Influence of 1-methylcyclopropene
 and storage atmosphere on changes in volatile compounds and fruit quality of conference
 pears. J. Agric. Food Chem. 53, 9781–9789. https://doi.org/10.1021/jf051339d
- Rudell, D.R., Mattinson, D.S., Fellman, J.K., 2000. The progression of ethylene production and
 respiration in the tissues of ripening `Fuji'apple fruit. HortScience 35, 1300–1303.
- Sáenz-Garza, N.E., Delaquis, P., Durance, T., 2013. Microencapsulation of hexanal by radiant
 energy vacuum microwave-molecular inclusion for controlled release and inhibition of
 Penicillium expansum in a model system and on apple tissue. Food Res. Int. 52, 496–502.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/J.FOODRES.2013.01.040
- Saquet, A.A., 2018. Storability of 'Conference' pear under various controlled atmospheres.
 Erwerbs-Obstbau 60, 275–280. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10341-018-0369-7
- Saquet, A.A., 2017. Aroma volatiles of 'Conference' pear and their changes during regular air
 and controlled atmosphere storage 55–66. https://doi.org/10.26669/2448-4091121
- Saquet, A.A., Streif, J., 2017. Respiration rate and ethylene metabolism of 'Jonagold' apple and
 'Conference' pear under regular air and controlled atmosphere. Bragantia 76, 335–344.
 https://doi.org/10.1590/1678-4499.189
- Sardella, D., Muscat, A., Brincat, J.P., Gatt, R., Decelis, S., Valdramidis, V., 2016. A
 comprehensive review of the pear fungal diseases. Int. J. Fruit Sci. 16, 351–377.
 https://doi.org/10.1080/15538362.2016.1178621
- Sha, S., Li, J., Wu, J., Zhang, S., 2011. Characteristics of organic acids in the fruit of different
 pear species. Afr. J. Agric. Res 6, 2403–2410. https://doi.org/10.5897/AJAR11.316

- Sivakumar, D., Bautista-Baños, S., 2014. A review on the use of essential oils for postharvest
 decay control and maintenance of fruit quality during storage. Crop Prot. 64, 27–37.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cropro.2014.05.012
- Suni, M., Nyman, M., Eriksson, N.A., Björk, L., Björck, I., 2000. Carbohydrate composition
 and content of organic acids in fresh and stored apples. J. Sci. Food Agric. 80, 1538–1544.
 https://doi.org/10.1002/1097-0010(200008)80:10<1538::AID-JSFA678>3.0.CO;2-A
- Torregrosa, L., Echeverria, G., Illa, J., Giné-bordonaba, J., 2019. Ripening behaviour and
 consumer acceptance of 'Conference' pears during shelf life after long term DCA-storage.
 Postharvest Biol. Technol. 155, 94–101. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.postharvbio.2019.05.014
- Travers, S., Bertelsen, M.G., Petersen, K.K., Kucheryavskiy, S. V., 2014. Predicting pear (cv.
 Clara Frijs) dry matter and soluble solids content with near infrared spectroscopy. LWT Food Sci. Technol. 59, 1107–1113. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lwt.2014.04.048
- Veltman, R.., Kho, R.., van Schaik, A.C.., Sanders, M.., Oosterhaven, J., 2000. Ascorbic acid
 and tissue browning in pears (Pyrus communis L. cvs Rocha and Conference) under
 controlled atmosphere conditions. Postharvest Biol. Technol. 19, 129–137.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/S0925-5214(00)00095-8
- Veltman, R.H., Sanders, M.G., Persijn, S.T., Peppelenbos, H.W., Oosterhaven, J., 1999.
 Decreased ascorbic acid levels and brown core development in pears (Pyrus. Physiol.
 Plant. 39–45.
- Villalobos-Acuña, M., Mitcham, E.J., 2008. Ripening of European pears: the chilling dilemma.
 Postharvest Biol. Technol. 49, 187–200. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.postharvbio.2008.03.003
- Wang, H., Cao, G., Prior, R.L., 1996. Total antioxidant capacity of fruits. J. Agric. Food Chem.
 44, 701–705. https://doi.org/10.1021/jf950579y
- Ward, J.H.J., 1963. Hierarchical grouping to optimize an objective function. J. Am. Stat. Assoc.
 58, 236–244.
- Zerbini, P.E., Balzarotti, R., Rizzolo, A., Spada, G.L., 1993. Effect of picking date on quality
 and sensory characteristics of pears after storage and ripening. Acta Hortic. 326.
- 675 Zlatić, E., Zadnik, V., Fellman, J., Demšar, L., Hribar, J., Čejić, Ž., Vidrih, R., 2016.
- 676 Comparative analysis of aroma compounds in "Bartlett" pear in relation to harvest date,
- 677 storage conditions, and shelf-life. Postharvest Biol. Technol. 117, 71–80.
- 678 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.postharvbio.2016.02.004

679			
680			
681			
682			
683			
684			
685			
686			
687			
688			

Supplementary table 1: Mean \pm standard deviations (n=4) values of VOC's concentration (μ g kg⁻¹) of equatorial slices of 'Conference' pear from different radial locations. Means within the slices preceded by the same small letters are not significantly different at p \leq 0.05 (HSD test). No letter indicates the absence of significant differences.

	Slice			
Volatile compounds	Ι	II	III	IV
Esters				
Ethyl Acetate	7.1±0.8	6.6±0.1	6.4±0.1	5.0±3.3
Tert-Butyl propionate	3.4±3.0	2.4±3.5	3.7±2.5	2.4 ± 2.9
Methyl butanoate	1.6±2.9	2.4±3.5	2.4±2.9	1.2±2.5
Butyl acetate	^a 3.6±3.2	^a 5.5±0.2	^a 5.5±0.3	^b 0.0±0.0
Pentyl acetate	1.2 ± 2.2	3.7±0.0	$1.0{\pm}2.1$	1.3±2.7
Butyl butanoate	27.2 ± 8.8	33.4±3.8	29.1±20.9	24.6±17.0
Hexyl acetate	1.3±2.3	3.8±0.0	2.7±1.9	0.0 ± 0.0
3-Methylbutyl 3-methyl-butanoate	^b 0.0±0.0	^b 0.0±0.0	^a 2.7±1.8	^b 0.0±0.0
(E)-2-Hexenyl acetate	°0.0±0.0	°0.0±0.0	^a 4.1±0.2	ab2.7±1.8
Butyl hexanoate	4.2±0.1	2.0±2.9	2.0±2.4	3.4±2.4
Hexyl butanoate	^b 0.0±0.0	^b 0.0±0.0	^b 0.0±0.0	^a 2.3±1.6

Hexyl 2-methylbutanoate	^b 0.0±0.0	^a 3.5±0.0	^b 0.0±0.0	^b 0.0±0.0
Ethyl octanoate	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	$2.9{\pm}2.0$	2.7±1.9
Octyl acetate	2.0±0.0	$1.0{\pm}1.4$	2.0±0.1	1.5 ± 1.0
Pentyl hexanoate	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	1.2±2.4	1.1±2.3
Hexyl hexanoate	3.0±2.7	4.1±0.4	4.4 ± 0.5	2.2±2.6
Alcohols				
1-Butanol	2.7±2.3	4.1±0.0	4.0±0.1	3.0±2.0
2-Methyl-1-butanol	3.0±2.7	4.6±0.5	3.6±2.4	3.2±2.2
1-Hexanol	3.3±0.1	3.6±0.2	2.7±1.8	3.4±0.1
2-Ethyl-1-hexanol	5.0±1.0	5.1±0.3	5.0 ± 0.8	4.2±0.5
1-Octanol	1.1±1.9	0.0 ± 0.0	1.6±1.9	0.8±1.6
Benzyl alcohol	1.4 ± 2.5	0.0 ± 0.0	3.2±2.2	1.0 ± 2.0
Aldehydes				
Hexanal	104.4±91.9	140.2±15.5	128.8±29.7	128.7±86.3
2-Ethyl-hexanal	^a 5.1±1.5	^b 0.0±0.0	^b 0.0±0.0	ab2.2±2.7
Benzaldehyde	2.8±2.5	4.2±0.2	4.2±0.1	2.1±2.4
Terpenoids				
(Z)-β-farnesene	6.5 ± 6.8	5.4 ± 0.0	5.9±4.4	6.0±4.3
α-farnesene	4.7±0.3	4.4 ± 0.1	3.6±2.4	3.3±2.2
Acid				
Acetic acid				
Ketone	8.9±6.0	2.8±4.0	16.1±27.2	5.4±6.4
6-Methyl-5-hepten-2-one	2.5±2.3	3.8±0.2	3.8±0.2	2.7±1.8

Table 1: Antifungal activity of pure volatile organic compounds at different concentrations on the in vitro mycelial growth inhibition (%) tests against *P. expansum* after 5 d and *R. stolonifer* after 3 d. When possible, EC_{50} values were calculated according to Alexander et al. (1999) (mL L⁻¹ headspace).

Pathogen	Compound	Concentration	Mycelial	EC ₅₀ (mL L ⁻¹)
		(mL L - neadspace)	inhibition (%)	
P. expansum	2-Ethyl hexanal	0.027	100.0	-
		0.055	100.0	
		0.11	100.0	
		0.22	100.0	
		0.44	100.0	
		0.88	100.0	
		1.76	100.0	
	Butyl hexanoate	0.027	9.5	0.61
		0.055	29.7	
		0.11	10.9	
		0.22	26.6	
		0.44	37.6	
		0.88	56.1	
		1.76	54.9	
R. stolonifer	Hexanal	0.027	4.4	0.055

	0.055	50.0	
	0.11	95.9	
	0.22	100.0	
	0.44	100.0	
	0.88	100.0	
	1.76	100.0	
1-Butanol	0.027	ni	0.48
	0.055	ni	
	0.11	ni	
	0.22	9.1	
	0.44	43.4	
	0.88	97.6	
	1 76	100.0	

⁶⁹² ni: no mycelial growth inhibition observed

696

697

698

699

700 List of figures

Figure 1: A) Spatial distribution among slices of dry matter content, B) ethylene production rate, C) O₂ consumption rate (black bars, left axis), CO₂ production rate (grey bars, left axis) and RQ (\circ , right axis). Error bars indicate standard deviation for n=4. For each graph, mean values with the same letter are not significantly different according to analysis of variance (ANOVA) and Tukey's HSD test (*P* < 0.05). Horizontal lines represent weighted averages, and were calculated weighting the value at each location by the difference of spherical volumes corresponding to the radius of both extremes of the sample.

Figure 2: Contents, referred to unit of pulp fresh mass, of: A) sugars: fructose, glucose and
sucrose, and B) acids: malic, citric (black and grey with diagonal lines bars, left axis) and ascorbic
(grey dotted bars, right axis), C) antioxidant capacity measured by the FRAP assay and D) total

^{693 -:} insufficient data to calculate EC₅₀ values.

⁶⁹⁴

phenolic compounds in different slices of 'Conference' pears spatially distributed. Error bars indicate standard deviation for n=4. For each graph, mean values with the same letter are not significantly different according to analysis of variance (ANOVA) and Tukey's HSD test (P < 0.05). Horizontal lines represent weighted averages.

Figure 3: Fungal susceptivility, A) blue mold (*Penicillium expansum*) severity and B) soft rot (*Rhizopus stolonifer*) incidence in the different locations of 'Conference' pear flesh. For each graph, mean values with the same letter are not significantly different according to analysis of variance (ANOVA) and Tukey's HSD test (P < 0.05).

Figure 4: A) Hierarchical heatmap based on the normalized quantities of the analyzed elements and identified volatiles in each 'Conference' section. The lowest content is in the lightest green and the highest in the darkest red. * indicate significant differences (P < 0.05) and ** indicate significant differences (p < 0.01) between sections. B) Constellation plot of the different clusters.

Figure 5: A) Partial Least Squares (PLS) correlation loading plots of the 2 factors of *P. expansum*severity. B) The measured vs the predicted *P. expansum* severity through the model and its
correlation coefficient. C) Variable importance plot (VIP), the number of VIP>1.

Figure 6: A) Partial Least Squares (PLS) correlation loading plots of the 2 factors of *R. stolonifer*incidence. B) The measured vs the predicted *R. stolonifer* incidence through the model and its
correlation coefficient. C) Variable importance plot (VIP), the number of VIP>1.

Figure supplementary 1: Methodology used for the equatorial cylinder extraction and slices
division in 'Conference' pear. Fruit skin was adhered to the left side of sliceI.

Figure supplementary 2: Effects of different concentrations of VOCs, A) 2-ethyl-hexanal and B) butyl hexanoate on mycelia diameter (cm) of *P. expansum* growth during 5 d and C) hexanal and D) 1-Butanol on mycelia diameter (cm) of *R. stolonifer* growth during 3 d. Error bars indicate standard deviation for n=4. For each graph, mean values with the same letter are not significantly different according to analysis of variance (ANOVA) and Tukey's HSD test (p < 0.05).

736			
737			
738			
739			
740			
741			
742			
743			
744			
745			
746			
747			





749 Figure 1









775 Figure 4

-30

-20

-10

10

0 X 20



Figure 5



Figure 6



Figure s1



