

This document is a postprint version of an article published in Preventive Veterinary Medicine © Elsevier after peer review. To access the final edited and published work see <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.prevetmed.2020.105156</u>

Document downloaded from:



### 1 **Ruminant pestiviruses in North Africa**

2 K.A. Guidoum<sup>a</sup>, B. Benallou<sup>a</sup>, L. Pailler<sup>b</sup>, J. Espunyes<sup>c,d</sup>, S. Napp<sup>b</sup>, O. Cabezón<sup>c,e,\*</sup>

3

- <sup>a</sup> Farm animal reproduction laboratory, Veterinary institute, Ibn Khaldoun University. BP P78
  Zaâroura Tiaret. 14000 Algeria.
- 6
  7 <sup>b</sup> IRTA, Centre de Recerca en Sanitat Animal (CReSA, IRTA-UAB), Campus de la Universitat
  8 Autònoma de Barcelona, 08193 Bellaterra, Spain.
- 9
   10 <sup>c</sup> Wildlife Conservation Medicine Research Group (WildCoM), Departament de Medicina i
   11 Cirurgia Animals, Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona, 08193 Bellaterra, Spain.
- <sup>d</sup> Research and Conservation Department, Zoo de Barcelona, Parc de la Ciutadella s/n, 08003
   Barcelona, Spain.
- <sup>e</sup> UAB, Centre de Recerca en Sanitat Animal (CReSA, IRTA-UAB), Campus de la Universitat
   Autònoma de Barcelona, 08193 Bellaterra, Spain.
- 18

12

- 19 20
- 22 \* Corresponding author.
- 23 E-mail address: oscar.cabezon@uab.cat (O. Cabezón)

#### 24 ABSTRACT

25 Ruminant pestiviruses are widely distributed worldwide, causing congenital disease and massive economic losses. Although ruminant production is an important economic sector in 26 27 North Africa, the knowledge about pestiviruses is scarce. The present study aimed at assessing 28 the presence of *Pestivirus* in cattle in Algeria, and to review the data available on ruminant 29 pestiviruses in North Africa. A cross-sectional study was conducted on dairy farms from North-30 Western Algeria. Blood samples from 234 dairy cattle from 31 herds were collected. All sera 31 were analysed for the presence of antibodies using a commercial iELISA. The presence of 32 Pestivirus RNA was also assessed by using a Reverse Transcription-PCR, and PCR-positive 33 samples were sequenced. Risk factors related to *Pestivirus* infection were also analyzed. The 34 review of the presence of ruminant pestiviruses in North Africa was performed using a 35 systematic search and compilation methodology of the peer-reviewed literature available in order to identify gaps of knowledge for future research. The seroprevalence at population and 36 37 farm levels obtained in the present study (59.9% and 93.5%, respectively) concur with data 38 reported in neighboring countries. Risk factors associated with Pestivirus infection in cattle 39 were the presence of sheep in the herd and the animal category (cow vs heifer). Furthermore, 40 we confirmed the presence of BVDV-1a in Algeria. The scarce data suggest an endemic 41 epidemiological scenario of *pestivirus* in livestock. The lack of studies about the epidemiology 42 and molecular variability of ruminant pestiviruses in livestock and wildlife in North Africa is 43 of concern for animal health and wildlife conservation, and needs to be addressed.

44

45 Key words: Algeria; BDV; BVDV; livestock; North Africa; *Pestivirus* 

#### 46 **1. Introduction**

47 The genus Pestivirus comprises four traditionally recognized species of enveloped, 48 positive-sense single-stranded RNA (12.3 kb) viruses: Bovine Viral Diarrhoea Virus (BVDV) -1, BVDV-2, Border Disease Virus (BDV) and Classical Swine Fever Virus (CSFV) 49 50 (Schweizer and Peterhans, 2014). Recently, the International Committee on Taxonomy of 51 Viruses (ICTV) has recognized eleven species within this Genus (Pestivirus A to K) (ICTV 52 2018). In adult ruminants, horizontal Pestivirus infection usually causes a mild disease and 53 short viremia (7-15 days) that ends with the outcome of humoral response. Vertical transmission 54 of the virus will cause fetal resorption, abortion, stillbirths or malformations of the fetus. 55 However, if the infection by Pestivirus A (BVDV-1) or Pestivirus B (BVDV-2) occurs between 56 the second and fourth month of gestation in cattle, or before the second month in sheep in the 57 case of *Pestivirus* D (BDV), the infection may lead to the birth of Persistently Infected (PI) 58 animals that are immunotolerant to the virus (Schweizer and Peterhans, 2014). These PI 59 individuals are the main source of spread and persistence of ruminant Pestivirus in livestock. 60 Ruminant pestiviruses are distributed worldwide causing congenital disease and entailing 61 massive economic losses (OIE, 2019).

62 Algeria faces a huge deficit in dairy and meat production, triggering a significant annual import expenditure, which amounted to US\$ 2.045 billion for milk and US\$ 0.307 billion for 63 meat in 2014 (Kardjadj and Luka, 2016). A massive surge in food demand has occurred in 64 Maghreb countries, particularly in animal products (meat and milk), implying the need for a 65 "Livestock Revolution" (Sraïri et al., 2013). Red meat marketed and consumed in Algeria 66 consists essentially of mutton and beef. According to the official cattle census of Algeria 67 (MADR, 2017a) there was a total of 1.89 million bovine heads in 2017. The bovine production 68 69 is one of the main sources of both meat and milk in Algeria, playing a vital role in food security. 70 The 33% of the national consumption is provided by national production. Cattle farming is 71 generally structured in small to medium-sized workshops dedicated mainly to milk production 72 (Mouffouk, 2014). The cattle population is composed of imported cattle (mainly Holstein and 73 Montbéliarde from Europe), local cattle (the local breed "Brune de l'Atlas") and crossbreed 74 cattle (crosses between the local and the introduced breeds). The population of small ruminants 75 in Algeria comprises more than 31.2 million heads (26.4 million sheep and 4.8 million goats) 76 (MADR, 2017b). Sheep meat production amounts to 325,000 tons (Business France, 2018). 77 Animal health improvement is necessary in Algeria, especially regarding reproductive disorders 78 (abortive pathogens). Pestivirus seroprevalence in ruminants has been rarely assessed in the 79 country and to our knowledge there is only one study in cattle, one in sheep and one in dromedary camels (Derdour et al., 2017; Feknous et al., 2018; Saidi et al., 2018). The only 80 81 study in cattle reported a seroprevalence of 1.39% in the North-centre of the country (Derdour 82 et al., 2018). In fact, cattle are not routinely vaccinated against BVDV in Algeria and the 83 knowledge about epidemiology and molecular epidemiology of Pestivirus, especially 84 Pestivirus A-B, in the whole North-African region is scarce. The present study aimed to study 85 the genetic diversity of *Pestivirus* circulating in cattle herds in Algeria, to determine the risk 86 factors for Pestivirus A-B infection in Algeria, and to review the available data of ruminant 87 pestiviruses in North Africa.

88

#### 89 2. Materials and Methods

90

91 2.1. Animals and samples

A two-stage sampling survey was carried out in North-Western Algeria (Tiaret province; 35°22'10.1"N 1°19'47.7"E) between June 2018 and August 2019. The Tiaret province is located approximately 160 Km from the Mediterranean coasts and covers an area of 20,399 km2 including part of the Tell Atlas in the North and the highlands in the centre and South. 96 Temperature ranges from 6 to 25°C and precipitations from 4 to 69 mm through the year. As in 97 the whole country, smallholder dairy systems are the dominant organization in the region. The 98 Tiaret Veterinary Office provided a list of all cattle herds registered in the province, which 99 included information of the herd owner, the address or number of animals. The sampling frame 100 included 289 dairy cattle herds.

For the first stage of sampling (sampling of herds), the sample size for disease detection
was calculated based on the following formula (Dohoo et al., 2003):

103 
$$n_1 = \left(1 - (1 - \alpha_1)^{\frac{1}{D_1}}\right) \times \left(N_1 - \frac{D_1 - 1}{2}\right)$$

where  $\alpha_1$  was the confidence level (set at 95%),  $D_1$  was the minimum number of infected herds 104 (estimated as  $D_1 = Prev_1 \times N_1$ ), where  $Prev_1$  was the minimum herd prevalence to be detected 105 (set at 10%), and  $N_1$  was the population of herds (which in our case were 289 dairy herds). The 106 estimate of  $n_1$  was 29 herds. No formal random process was used for the selection of herds. 107 108 Instead, from the list, a herd was randomly selected, and the herd owner was contacted, and 109 asked, first a) whether they complied with the inclusion criteria, and then b) whether they were 110 willing to participate. The process was repeated until the number of herds needed for the first 111 stage was completed. The inclusion criteria comprised that the herd had at least one female 112 animal above six months, and that the milk was not only for own consumption (i.e. some of the 113 milk was sold). This age category was selected to avoid interference as much as possible the 114 detection of maternal antibodies in the seroprevalence studies (Chase et al., 2008).

For the second stage (sampling of animals within herds), the sample sizes for diseasedetection were also calculated based on the formula by Dohoo et al (2003):

117 
$$n_{2i} = \left(1 - (1 - \alpha_2)^{\frac{1}{D_{2i}}}\right) \times \left(N_{2i} - \frac{D_{2i} - 1}{2}\right)$$

118 where  $\alpha_2$  was the confidence level (set at 95%),  $D_{2i}$  was the minimum number of infected 119 animals in herd *i* (estimated as  $D_{2i} = Prev_2 \times N_i$ ), where  $Prev_2$  was the minimum within-herd

120 prevalence to be detected (set at 30%), and  $N_{2i}$  was the population size of herd *i* (size of herds 121 selected in stage 1 varied between 7 and 62). The estimate of  $n_2$  varied between 4 and 8. The 122 sampling of animals within herds (second stage) was also random, although because of the lack 123 of proper sampling frames, no formal random process was used either. Random animals in the 124 herd were selected until the number of animals needed for the second stage was completed. 125 However, because of logistics problems, the number of samples per herd could not always be 126 completed, and therefore some extra convenience samples were collected in some of the 127 remaining herds, and also a few extra herds were sampled. Sample sizes for the two stages were 128 calculated using Epitools (Sergeant, 2018).

129 The sampled population was composed of 234 dairy cattle aged between 9 and 180 130 months, in semi-intensive husbandry system. Holstein, Montbéliard and crossbreed were the 131 most common breed and the size of the sampled herds varied from ten to a hundred individuals. 132 Blood samples (5 ml) were collected by qualified private and state veterinarians, from the 133 coccygian vein on sterile dry vacutainer tubes, using disposable needles, and was immediately 134 sent on ice to the local laboratory. The sera were extracted by centrifuging the samples at 1200g 135 for 10 min and were then stored at  $-20^{\circ}$ C, until tested. All samples were then sent on dry ice to 136 the Animal Health Research Centre (CReSA, IRTA-UAB, Bellaterra, Spain) where the 137 serological and molecular analyses were performed. The study was approved by the ethical 138 review board of the Veterinary Institute of the University Ibn Khaldoun (Tiaret, Algeria).

139

## 140 2.2. Serological and virological analyses

141

142 The presence of antibodies against *Pestivirus* p80 protein was determined using a 143 commercial iELISA (IDEXX, Montpellier, France) in accordance with manufacturer's 144 instructions. All sera were also analysed for the presence of *Pestivirus* RNA using a Reverse transcription-PCR (RT-PCR). Total viral RNA was extracted directly from 200µl of sera using
the commercial kit IndiMag® Pathogen Kit (Indical Bioscience GmbH, Leipzig, Germany)
according to the manufacturer's instructions. The RT-PCR was performed using primers 324
and 326 (Vilček et al., 1994) and a commercial kit (One-Step PCR kit, Qiagen Inc., Hilden
Germany). *Pestivirus* positive amplicons were sequenced, and the 5' untranslated region (5'UTR) was characterized.

151

#### 152 2.3. Prevalence and risk factors

153 In order to account for effect of the two-stage sampling design in the calculation of 154 prevalence and confidence intervals, the R package survey (Lumley, 2020) was used.

Also, to evaluate the effects of the sensitivity and specificity of the commercial iELISA on the prevalence estimation, the true prevalence (*TP*) of disease (and corresponding 95% CI) were calculated according to the following formula (Dohoo et al., 2003):

$$TP = \frac{AP + Sp - 1}{Se + Sp - 1}$$

where AP was the apparent prevalence, Sp was the specificity of the test (0.97; Hanon et al.,
2017), and Se was the sensitivity of the test (0.60).

161 Risk factors related to *Pestivirus* infection were evaluated by studying several individual 162 and herd traits, such as breed category (pure for Montbéliarde, Holstein, Brown of the Alps and 163 Fleckvieh; and crossbreed for crosses between local breed and imported dairy cow, commonly, 164 Holstein and Monbéliarde), animal category (heifers and cows), herd size, mixed herd (cattle 165 mixed with sheep, poultry or horses), food source (purchased or mixed on the farm), water 166 source (network, well or surface water), presence of standing water and reproductive disorders 167 (repeat breeding, anoestrus, still birth, birth of weak calves, calving interval, abortion, number 168 of calving and pregnancy, endometritis and retained fetal membrane). A bivariate analysis was 169 performed to study the crude association between Pestivirus infection and the variables of 170 interest. For numeric variables, we used the Student's t-test. For categorical variables, we used 171 the Chi-squared test, except when the sample size for any of the categories was small (i.e. lower 172 than 5), in which case we used the Fisher's exact test. The association between *Pestivirus* 173 infection (binary response) and the variables was further evaluated using a mixed-effects 174 logistic regression model. To account for the two-stage sampling design, and therefore the lack 175 of independence of samples, due to the fact that animals were grouped in herds, the herd was 176 included in the model as a random effect.

177 Model building strategy: we started by including all the variables that complied with the 178 inclusion criteria (p<0.25). To avoid the multicollinearity the Variance Inflation Factor (VIF) 179 was evaluated. The fixed effect with high VIF were removed and the regression model was re-180 run. When two or more covariates had similar high VIFs, those with lowest significance in the 181 univariate analysis were removed. The process was repeated until all variables had VIFs lower 182 than or equal five (Dohoo et al., 2003). Then, one by one, variables were removed starting with 183 the less significant, and the Aikake information criteria (AIC) was checked. If the AIC of the 184 reduced model was lower, then the variable was permanently excluded, and we proceeded to 185 eliminate the next less significant variable. Once all the remaining variables were significant, 186 we computed the correlation matrix and excluded the variables that were strongly correlated 187 (according to the criteria rho>0.5). Removal of the later variables caused some changes in the 188 significance of the remaining ones, and further selection was needed, pursuing to obtain the 189 lowest AIC. Finally, all the possible two-way interactions were evaluated, but none of them 190 was significant. There is no satisfactory test to evaluate the goodness of fit in the case 191 categorical multilevel/hierarchical data (Perera et al., 2016). Therefore, we used the 192 Hosmer-Lemeshow method combined with the estimation of the model accuracy. The 193 validation of the model was performed with the Hosmer-Lemershow method for goodness of 194 fit (Dohoo et al., 2003). As the response is binary, the coefficients obtained are interpreted in

terms of odds ratio (OR). All statistical analyses were carried out using R statistical software(R core team, 2020).

197

198 2.4. Review of the presence of ruminant pestiviruses in North Africa

199

200 The review of the presence of ruminant pestiviruses in North Africa was performed 201 using a systematic search and compilation methodology of peer-reviewed literature available 202 in order to identify gaps of knowledge for future research. North Africa is the UN subregion 203 comprised by Algeria, Egypt, Libya, Morocco, Sudan, Tunisia and Western Sahara. We 204 searched Web of Science: All Databases (WoS; Thomson Reuters) literature database using 205 "topic" searcher. We used the words "(Pestivirus AND Algeria OR Egypt OR Libya OR 206 Morocco OR Sudan OR Tunisia OR Western Sahara)" (44 articles) and then we discarded 207 research papers on Classical Swine Fever Virus (44 Pestivirus articles - 21 CSFV articles = 23 208 Ruminant Pestivirus articles from North-African countries). Finally, we added any relevant 209 literature that was not originally included in WoS (2 articles).

210

211 **3. Results** 

212

213 3.1. Serology, molecular characterization, and risk factors

214

The prevalence of antibodies against *Pestivirus* in cattle found in the present study, adjusted for the two-stage design, was 59.9% (138 out of 234) with a 95% CI [49.0-70.7%]. The overall seroprevalence of infected herds was 93.5% (29 out of 31) with a 95% CI [78.6%-99.2%] and the within-herd seroprevalence ranged from 0.0% to 100.0%. Considering the sensitivity and specificity of the IDEXX p80 test, 60% and 97%, respectively (Hanon et al., 2017), and an estimated apparent prevalence of 59.9%, the true prevalence of disease would be99.8%.

222 The model with all the factors that were significantly associated (p<0.05) with the 223 presence of *Pestivirus* infection included: presence of sheep, size of herd, animal category, 224 breed, presence of standing water and number of calving. After studying the correlation, the 225 best-fitting model included: presence of sheep (OR=5.64; 95% CI [2.0, 15.9]; p=0.001) and 226 animal category (cow, OR=3.80; 95% CI [1.6, 8.9]; p=0.002). The variance of the random effect 227 was 0.48, therefore evidencing the heterogeneity among herds. Model validation suggests that 228 the model did not provide a good fit to the data (p<0.001), while the estimated model 229 accuracy was only 60%. RT-PCR resulted positive in 3 out of the 234 analysed animals. Only 230 one of these three positive samples (a heifer of 20 months) could be sequenced targeting the 231 Pestivirus 5'UTR region, confirming the presence of BVDV-1a in cattle from Algeria 232 (Tiaret\_2019; GenBank Acc. No. MT157227).

233

#### 234 3.2. Review of ruminant pestiviruses in North Africa

235

236 Our literature review (25 research articles) confirmed the presence of ruminant 237 pestiviruses in all North African countries, except in Libya and Western Sahara, where no data 238 was available. A summary of the studies on *Pestivirus* in livestock in North Africa is presented 239 in Table 1. The most studied and reported pestiviruses were *Pestivirus* A and B (BVDV-1, -2) 240 in cattle and dromedary camels. However, the few studies on *Pestivirus* D (BDV) reported high 241 seroprevalences in Algeria, Morocco and Tunisia, and an outbreak of severe clinical Border 242 Disease in Tunisia in small ruminants. The review of the main risk factors for the presence of 243 pestiviruses in livestock in North Africa found them to be heterogeneous (Table 1). On the other

hand, no information about *Pestivirus* in wild ruminants in North African countries wasrecorded.

246

#### 247 **4. Discussion**

248

249 Ruminant pestiviruses are neglected pathogens in North Africa. However, the scarce 250 data available suggest an endemic epidemiological scenario of Pestivirus in livestock. The 251 antibody seroprevalence at population and farm levels obtained in the present study concur with 252 the majority of the epidemiological data reported in cattle and dromedary camels in 253 neighbouring North-African countries (Table 1). Surprisingly, the present study is in contrast 254 to Derdour et al. (2017) that reported a very low prevalence of antibodies (1.4%) in cattle in 255 Algeria, probably due to a sampling performed exclusively in intensive production systems, 256 where the "hit and run" transmission strategy of Pestivirus (horizontal transmission between 257 individuals) can be favored (Peterhans and Schweizer, 2010). The hypothesis of an endemic 258 and heterogenous Pestivirus scenario in North-Africa is reinforced by the studies performed in 259 small ruminants that showed the presence of a third Pestivirus, Pestivirus D (Border Disease 260 Virus – BDV) in these species, with similar high antibody prevalences (17.7% to 68.2%) (Table 261 1). Additionally, the present study reported the first description of a BVDV-1a in North Africa, 262 whereas BVDV-2a and BVDV-1b had been isolated from cattle in Tunisia (Thabti F et al., 263 2005b). Although the three PCR-positive animals could not be confirmed as persistently 264 infected (i.e. two PCR-positive samples separated between 15 days), their presence together 265 with the reported seroprevalence of antibodies in some farms, is highly suggestive of the 266 presence of PI cattle in Algeria. Detection and elimination of PI individuals, and 267 characterization of circulating viruses are cornerstones for eradication programs.

The risk factors detected in the present study (mixed herd [presence of sheep], and 268 269 animal category [cow]) have been previously associated with *Pestivirus* infections worldwide 270 (Schweizer and Peterhans, 2014). However, the specific risk factors for Pestivirus infection in 271 ruminants in North Africa have not been analyzed in depth, and the few studies show a high 272 heterogeneity of risk factors (Table 1), hindering the possibility of improving livestock 273 production. In our study, the presence of sheep in the herd increased significantly the risk of 274 *Pestivirus* infection (OR=5.65), which may be explained by the inter-specific infectious ability 275 of pestiviruses, that facilitate their geographic dispersion and persistence in ruminant 276 populations (Schweizer and Peterhans, 2014). Multi-species herds are a common practice in 277 Algeria and even if there is a lack of information around their proportion in our study area, 278 Mouffok (2014) reported 42% of mixed herds in the Northeastern part of the country. In our 279 study, the proportion of herds of cattle mixed with sheep reached 48%. This practice allows a 280 diversification of revenues for the farmer but should be avoided or at least cattle should be kept 281 separated from other ruminants, in order to limit interspecific *Pestivirus* infection. Our results 282 show that cows have a higher risk of *Pestivirus* infection as compared to heifers (OR=3.80), 283 which coincides with previous studies (Schweizer and Peterhans, 2014; Selim et al., 2018), and 284 is explained by the higher the age of cows that increases the probability of having been exposed 285 to pestiviruses. We tried to minimize any selection bias in the herds and animals chosen, and 286 therefore we consider the herds and animals selected were representative of the population. 287 However, some sources of bias cannot be ruled out (e.g. if herds not officially registered are 288 different from those registered, or if herds in which herd owners willing to participate are 289 different from those which are not).

The role of wildlife as reservoir of pestiviruses has been proved in several wild species worldwide, being a risk factor for livestock. Pestiviruses A and D were reported to have a sylvatic cycle in white-tailed deer (*Odocoileus virginianus*; USA) and Pyrenean chamois 293 (Rupicapra pyrenaica; Spain) respectively (Fernández-Sirera et al., 2012; Passler et al., 2016). However, there is no research available on the presence of pestiviruses in North-African 294 295 wildlife even though seven free-ranging wild ruminant species share territories with livestock 296 like camels, dromedary and goat in North-African countries (IUCN, 2020). Additionally, 297 Pestivirus D has produced high mortality rates in chamois, entailing a threat for its conservation 298 (Fernández-Sirera et al., 2012). The conservation status of all North-African wild ruminants is 299 of concern; two species being considered as critically endangered (Addax [Addax 300 nasomaculatus], Dama Gazelle [Nanger dama]), one as endangered (Slender-horned Gazelle 301 [Gazella leptoceros]), and four as vulnerable (Cuvier's Gazelle [Gazella cuvieri]; Nubian Ibex 302 [Capra nubiana]; Aoudad [Ammotragus lervia]; Dorcas Gazelle [Gazella dorcas]). In that 303 sense, Pestivirus circulation in domestic and wild ruminants should be of concern both for its 304 economic impact but also from a wildlife conservation perspective.

305

#### 306 5. Conclusions

In summary, pestiviruses are widespread in livestock in North Africa. However, there is a significant lack of both cross-sectional and longitudinal transboundary studies about the epidemiology and molecular variability of ruminant pestiviruses in livestock and wildlife in North Africa. This is of concern for livestock health and wildlife conservation, and needs to be addressed.

312

## 313 **Declarations of interest**

314 None

315

#### 316 Acknowledgements

- 317 The authors would like to thank Chaib Mustapha, who kindly helped in the sample collection,
- and Dra. Rosa Rosell (from IRTA-CReSA, Spain) for her laboratory advice.

- 320 **References**
- Abdel-Latif, A.O., Goyal, S.M., Chander, Y., Abdel-Moneim, A.S., Tamam, S.M., Madbouly,
   H.M., 2013. Isolation and molecular characterisation of a pestivirus from goats in Egypt.
   Acta. Vet. Hung. 61, 270-280.
- Business France, 2018. Algérie La production algérienne de viande rouge a dépassé les
   500000 tonnes en 2017. https://www.businessfrance.fr/algerie-la-production algerienne-de-viande-rouge-a-depasse-les-500-000-tonnes-en-2017 (accessed 20th July
   2020).
- Chase C, Hurley D, Reber A., 2008. Neonatal Immune Development in the Calf and Its Impact
   on Vaccine Response. Vet Clin North Am Food Anim Pract. 24(1), 87–104
- Derdour, S.Y., Hafsi, F., Azzag, N., Tennah, S., Laamari, A., China, B., et Ghalmi, F., 2017.
  Prevalence of The Main Infectious Causes of Abortion in Dairy Cattle in Algeria.
  Journal of Veterinary Research 61 (3), 337-43.
- Dohoo, I. R., Martin, W., & Stryhn, H. E., 2003. Veterinary epidemiologic research.
   Charlottetown, P.E.I.
- El Bahgy, H.E.K., Abdelmegeed, H.K., Marawan, M.A., 2018. Epidemiological surveillance
  of bovine viral diarrhea and rift valley fever infections in camel. Vet. World. 11, 13311337.
- El-Bagoury, G.F., El-Habbaa, A.S., Nawal, M.A., Khadr, K.A., 2012. Prevalence of Bovine
  Viral Diarrhea Virus (BVDV) in cattle from some governorates in Egypt. Benha Vet.
  Med. J. 23, 123-130.
- Elhassan, A.M., El-Hussein, M.A.F., El-Hussein, A.M., 2011. Seroprevalence of Bovine
   Herpes Virus-1, Bovine Herpes Virus-4 and Bovine Viral Diarrhea Virus in Dairy Cattle
   in Sudan. Pak. Vet. J. 31, 317-220.
- Fassi Fihri, O., Jammar, N., Amrani, N., El Berbri, I., Alali, S., 2019. Sheep Pestivirus in
  Morocco: Sero-Epidemiological and Molecular Study. Vet. Rec. Open. 6, e000324.
- Feknous, N., Hanon, J.B., Tignon, M., Khaled, H., Bouyoucef, A., Cay, B., 2018.
  Seroprevalence of Border Disease Virus and Other Pestiviruses in Sheep in Algeria and
  Associated Risk Factors. BMC Vet. Res. 14, 339.
- Fernández-Sirera, L., Cabezón, O., Allepuz, A., Rosell, R., Riquelme, C., Serrano, E., Lavín,
  S., Marco, I., 2012. Two Different Epidemiological Scenarios of Border Disease in the
  Populations of Pyrenean Chamois (Rupicapra p. pyrenaica) after the First Disease
  Outbreaks. PloS One, 7:e51031.
- Hanon J.B., De Baere M., De la Ferté C., Roelandt S., Van der Stede Y., Cay B., 2017.
  Evaluation of 16 commercial antibody ELISAs for the detection of bovine viral diarrhea
  virus-specific antibodies in serum and milk using well-characterized sample panels. J
  Vet Diagn Invest. 29(6), 833-843.
- 357 ICTV International Committee on Taxonomy of Viruses (ICTV), 2018
   358 https://talk.ictvonline.org/taxonomy/. (accessed 5th January 2020)
- Intisar, K.S., Ali, Y.H., Khalafalla, A. I., Rahman Mahasin, E.A., Amin, A.S., Taha, K.M.,
  2010. The First Report on the Prevalence of Pestivirus Infection in Camels in Sudan.
  Trop. Anim. Health. Prod. 42, 1203-1207.

- 362 IUCN The International Union for Conservation of Nature, 2020. The IUCN Red List of
   363 Threatened Species. https://www.iucnredlist.org/en. (accessed 10th March 2020)
- Kardjadj, M., Luka, P.D., 2016. Current Situation of Milk and Red Meat Industry in Algeria. J.
   Nutr. Food. Sci. 6, 1-3.
- Lucchese, L., Benkirane, A., Hakimi, I., El Idrissi, A., Natale, A., 2016. Seroprevalence Study
  of the Main Causes of Abortion in Dairy Cattle in Morocco. Vet. Ital. 52, 13-19.
- 368 Lumley T., 2020. "survey: analysis of complex survey samples." R package version 4.0.
- MADR., 2017a. Lancement de la campagne de vaccination contre la Fièvre aphteuse et la Rage.
   Ministery of Agriculture and Rural Develpment of Algeria.
   http://madrp.gov.dz/lancement-de-la-campagne-de-vaccination-contre-la-fievre aphteuse-et-la-rage/ (accessed 5th January 2020)
- MADR., 2017b. Statistiques Agricoles, ministere algerien de l'agriculture et du developpement
   rural. Ministery of Agriculture and Rural Develpment of Algeria.
   http://madrp.gov.dz/agriculture/statistiques-agricoles/ (accessed 5th January 2020)
- Mahin, L., Chadli, M., Briouga, J., Hamidi, M., Wellemans, G., 1982. Clinical Bovine Virus
  Diarrhoea in Morocco. First Report. J. Vet. Med. Series B. 29, 789-793.
- Mahin, L., Wellemans, G., Shimi, A., 1985. Prevalence of Antibodies to Bovid Herpesvirus 1
  (IBR-IPV), Bovine Virus Diarrhoea, Bovine Respiratory Syncytial Parainfluenza 3,
  Adeno A and Adeno B Viruses in Indigenous and Imported Moroccan Cattle. Ann.Vet.
  Res. 16, 279-283.
- Mouffok, C. E., 2018. Performance de l'élevage bovin dans la région semi aride: approche
   globale: systémique zootechnique et métabolique (Doctoral dissertation, Université
   Ferhat Abbas Sétif 1).
- OIE., 2019. Access Online: OIE World Organisation for Animal Health. Manual of
   Diagnostic Tests and Vaccines for Terrestrial Animals 2019.
   https://www.oie.int/en/standard-setting/terrestrial-manual/access-online/. (accessed 5th
   January 2020)
- Passler, T., Ditchkoff, S.S., Walz, P.H., 2016. Bovine Viral Diarrhea Virus (BVDV) in White Tailed Deer (Odocoileus Virginianus). Front. Microbiol. 7, 945.
- Perera, A., Sooriyarachchi, M.R., Wickramasuriya, S.L., 2016. A goodness of fit test for
   the multilevel logistic model. Communications in Statistics-Simulation and
   Computation, 45(2), 643-659.
- Peterhans, E., & Schweizer, M., 2010. Pestiviruses: how to outmaneuver your hosts. Veterinary
   microbiology, 142(1-2), 18-25.
- R Core Team (2020). R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation
   for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. URL https://www.R-project.org/.
- Saeed, I.K., Ali, Y.H., AbdulRahman M.B., Mohammed, Z.A., Osman, H.M., Taha, K.M.,
  Musa, M.Z., Khalafalla, A.I., 2015. Mixed Infection of Peste Des Petits Ruminants
  Virus (PPRV) and Other Respiratory Viruses in Dromedary Camels in Sudan, an
  Abattoir Study. Trop. Anim. Health. Prod. 47, 995-998.
- Saidi, R., Bessas, A., Bitam, I., Ergün, Y., Ataseven, V.S., 2018. Bovine Herpesvirus-1 (BHV1), Bovine Leukemia Virus (BLV) and Bovine Viral Diarrhea Virus (BVDV) Infections
  in Algerian Dromedary Camels (Camelus Dromaderius). Trop. Anim. Health. Prod. 50,
  561-564.
- 406 Schweizer, M., Peterhans, E., 2014. Pestiviruses. Ann. Rev. Anim. Biosci. 2, 141-163.
- Selim, A.M., Elhaig, M.M., Moawed, S.A., El-Nahas, E., 2018. Modeling the Potential Risk
  Factors of Bovine Viral Diarrhea Prevalence in Egypt Using Univariable and
  Multivariable Logistic Regression Analyses. Vet. World. 11, 259-267.
- 410 Sergeant, E.S.G., 2018. Epitools Epidemiological Calculators. Ausvet.

- Sraïri, M.T., Benyoucef, M.T., Kraiem, K., 2013. The dairy chains in North Africa (Algeria, Morocco and Tunisia): from self sufficiency options to food dependency? SpringerPlus 2,162.
- Thabti F., Pepin, H.M., Kassimi, L.B., M'zah, A., Russo, P., Ben Said, M.S., Hammami, S.,
  Pepin, M., 2005b. First detection and genetic characterization of bovine viral diarrhoea
  viruses (BVDV) types 1 and 2 in Tunisia. Rev. Med. Vét. 156, 419-422.
- Thabti F., Letellier, C., Hammami, S., Pépin, M., Ribière, M., Mesplède, A., Kerkhofs, P.,
  Russo, P., 2005a. Detection of a Novel Border Disease Virus Subgroup in Tunisian
  Sheep. Arch. Virol. 150, 215-229.
- Vilček, Š., Herring, A.J., Herring, J.A., Nettleton, P.F., Lowings, J.P., Paton, D.J., 1994.
  Pestiviruses Isolated from Pigs, Cattle and Sheep Can Be Allocated into at Least Three
  Genogroups Using Polymerase Chain Reaction and Restriction Endonuclease Analysis.
  Arch. Virol. 136, 309-323.
- Zaeim, I., Tainturier, D., Amara, A., Zmerli, M., Chemli, J., Moquay, V., 1993. A propos d'un
  cas de Border Disease dans un troupeau ovin en Tunisie. Rev. Med. Vét. 12, 975-979.
- Zaghawa, A., 1998. Prevalence of Antibodies to Bovine Viral Diarrhoea Virus and/or Border
  Disease Virus in Domestic Ruminants. J. Vet. Med., Series B, 45, 345-351.

# 1 Table 1. Results of studies investigating the seroprevalence of Pestiviruses in ruminants

## 2 in North African countries

Country	Year of sampling	Pestivirus	Species	Diagnostic method	Ν	Prevalence	Risk factors	Ref.
Algeria	2011-2013	BVDV	Cattle	Ab-ELISA	360	1.4%	- Abortions	(Derdour et al., 2017)
	2019	BVDV-1a	Cattle	Ab-ELISA RT-qPCR	234	5 <mark>9</mark> .9% 1.3%	<ul><li>Presence of sheep</li><li>Increase of age</li></ul>	Present Study
	2015-2016	BDV/BVDV	Sheep	Ab-ELISA VNT Ag-ELISA RT-PCR	576 197 689 689	68.2% 68.2% 0% 0%	<ul> <li>Climate: arid vs Mediterranean</li> <li>Landscape: mountain vs plateau</li> <li>Flock management: sedentary vs transhumant</li> <li>Presence of goats</li> </ul>	(Feknous et al., 2018)
	2016-2017	BVDV	Dromedary camel	Ab-ELISA Ag-ELISA	111	9.0% 41.4%	- Sheep, goat, cattle in mixed herd	(Saidi et al., 2018)
Morocco	1984	BVDV	Cattle	IFF	524	48.5%	<ul><li>Extensive management system</li><li>Local ruminants</li><li>Ruminants without apparent respiratory symptoms</li></ul>	(Mahin et al., 1985)
	NA	BDV	Sheep	Ab-ELISA qPCR Ag-ELISA	760 543 150	28.9% 0% 0%	<ul><li>Intensive farming</li><li>Presence of cattle</li></ul>	(Fassi et al., 2019)
	NA (1982?)	BVDV	Cattle	Disease	1			(Mahin et al., 1982)
	NA	BVDV	Cattle	Ab-ELISA	42	37.7%		(Lucchese et al., 2016)
Funisia	1995	BDV	Sheep	Disease Sequencing	NA 9	NA	- Vaccine contamination	(Thabti et al., 2005a)
	1993	BDV	Sheep from 1 flock with BD clinical history	Abortion VNT	2,576 53 aborted sheep	17.7% 100%		(Zaeim et al., 1993)
	2001-2002	BVDV2a BVDV1b	Cattle from 2 farms (F1, F2) with BVD clinical history	Ab-ELISA PCR Sequencing	F1-188 F2-820 F1 F2	87% 82% 2.6% 0.2% BVDV2a BVDV1b	- Importation of infected cattle/semen	(Thabti et al., 2005b)
Egypt	NA	BVDV	Cattle Buffalo Sheep Goats Dromedary camel	VNT (BVDV strains)	128 150 178 137 59	49.2% 52.0% 27.5% 31.4% 52.5%	- Species: Cattle/Buffalo vs sheep/goat/dromedary	(Zaghawa, 1998)
	2011	BDV/BVDV	Sheep Goat	IHC MAbs RT-PCR MDBK Sequencing	5 4	0% 50% 25% BVDV1b BVDV1a	-Neurological signs	(Abdel-Latif et al., 2013)
	2012-2013	BVDV	Cattle	Ab-ELISA	480	40%	- Species: Cattle vs Buffalo	(Selim et al., 2018)

			Buffalo		260	23%		
	2011	BVDV	Cattle Buffalo	Ab-ELISA Ag-ELISA MDBK IFAT IPMA	151 97 22 21 19 3	100% 62.2% 14.5% 13.9% 12.5% 1.9%	- NA	(El-Bagoury et al., 2012)
	2017	BVDV	Dromedary camel	Ab-ELISA Ag-ELISA RT-PCR	80 80 10	11.2% 7.5% 0%	Camels from Sudan	(El Bahgy et al., 2018)
Libya		No Data						
Western Sahara		No Data						
Sudan	2017	BVDV	Dromedary camel- smuggler into Egypt	Ab-ELISA Ag-ELISA RT-PCR	120 120 7	47.5% 31.6% 42.8%		(El Bahgy, et al., 2018)
	2000-2006	BVDV	Dromedary camel	Ab-ELISA Ag-ELISA RT-PCR	260 186 13	84.6% 7% 100%		(Intisar et al., 2010)
	2000-2012	BVDV	Dromedary camel	Ic-ELISA	474	9.0%	<ul> <li>Mixed virus infection</li> <li>Pneumonia</li> <li>Lacrimation</li> </ul>	(Saeed et al., 2015)
	2005-2008	BVDV	Cattle	Ab-ELISA	688	25.7%	- Khartoum state - Rainy season (July to October) - Females - Old cattle - Abortions	(Elhassan et al., 2011)

## SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

**Table 1.** Summary statistics on the study population.

Numerical					
Variables	Min	Mean	Median	Max	
Age (months)	6	59.5	50	156	
Herd size	7	26.7	22	62	

Variables	Category	N° of animals	Prevalence (%)
Animal category	Heifer	45	8.09
	Cow	190	50.21
Race	Monbéliard	49	8.94
	Holshtein	89	21.70
	Brune	1	0.43
	Fluck	1	0.00
	Mixed	94	27.23
Race	Pure	141	31.06
	Mixed	94	27.23

#### Categorical (no differentiation by herds)

## Categorical (differentiation by herds)

Variables	Category	N° of herds	N° of animals	Prevalence (%)
Food source	Mixed on the farm	8	73	17.02
	Purchased	6	47	14.47
	Both	16	115	26.81
Water source	Network	1	8	0.85
	Well or fodder	27	217	54.04
	Reserve on the surface	2	10	3.40
Mixture of other species	No	16	165	37.45
1	Yes	14	70	20.85
Presence of sheep	No	5	46	5.53
	Yes	25	189	52.77
Presence of poultry	No	4	57	12.77
	Yes	26	178	45.53
Presence of horses	No	11	102	18.72
	Yes	19	133	39.57
Presence of cats	No	1	7	2.13
	Yes	29	228	56.17

Variables	Level	Odds	CI 95%	p-value
		Ratio		-
Age		1.02	1.01-1.03	0.004
Animal category	Cows vs Heifers	3.89	1.61-9.40	0.003
Race	Mixed vs Pure	1.94	0.81-4.64	0.136
Gestation	Yes vs No	0.83	0.44-1.56	0.557
Stage of gestation		1.02	0.90-1.14	0.796
Number of calvings		1.27	1.10-1.48	0.002
Number of gestations		1.25	1.08-1.45	0.002
Number of calving	3 and 4 vs $\leq$ 2	1.50	0.69-3.24	0.306
0	$\geq$ 5 vs $\leq$ 2	2.91	1.29-6.58	0.010
Primipara-Pluripara	Primipara vs Heifer	1.09	0.38-3.15	0.876
1 1	Pluripara vs Heifer	4.04	1.50-10.89	0.000
Herd size	1	0.99	0.95-1.02	0.45
Use of disinfectant	Yes vs No	1.28	0.51-3.24	0.60
Cleaning method	Both (sweeping and piping) vs Sweeping	0.84	0.32-2.19	0.720
	Not practice vs Sweeping	2.04	0.54-7.71	0.29
Mixture of other species	Yes vs No	2.18	0.87-5.45	0.090
Visit of another farmer	Yes vs No	1.24	0.39-3.98	0.712
Food source	Purchased vs Mixed on the farm	2.54	0.67-9.61	0.169
	Both (Mixed on the farm and Purchased) vs Mixed on the farm	1.15	0.39-3.33	0.803
Water source	Well vs Network	5.11	0.49-53.37	0.173
	Surface water vs Network	17.02	0.79-368.64	0.07
Quarantine	Yes vs No	1.79	0.49-6.50	0.376
Presence of calving box	Yes vs No	1.34	0.15-12.02	0.793
Brucellosis screening	Yes vs No	0.81	0.32-2.03	0.650
Tuberculosis	Yes vs No	0.81	0.32-2.03	0.650
screening				
Presence of sheep	Yes vs No	4.57	1.82-11.50	0.00
Presence of poultry	Yes vs No	2.02	0.57-7.21	0.277
Presence of horses	Yes vs No	3.28	1.48-7.30	0.004
Pasture practice	Yes vs No	0.37	0.07-1.89	0.234
Rivers and streams	Yes vs No	0.63	0.24-1.67	0.35
Standing water	Yes vs No	1.24	0.50-3.11	0.642
Artificial insult	Artificial vs Natural	1.32	0.15-11.83	0.806

**Table 2.** Results of the univariable analysis for all the potential risk factors studied, including odds ratios and p-values.

Variables	Coefficients	p-values
Intercept	-1.96	0.001
Presence of sheep (yes vs no)	1.72	0.001

1.33

0.002

Animal category (cows vs heifers)

**Table 3.** Model coefficients and their p-values for the final model. The variance of the random effect (herd) was 0.48.