

This is a pre-copyedited, author-produced version of an article accepted for publication in Environmental Entomology following peer review. The version of record Arnó Judit, Rosa Gabarra, Paula Molina, Kristine E Godfrey, and Frank G Zalom. 2019. "Tuta Absoluta (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae) Success On Common Solanaceous Species From California Tomato Production Areas". Environmental Entomology. Oxford University Press (OUP). Attach quote, is available online at: https://academic.oup.com/ee/advance-article-

 $\frac{abstract/doi/10.1093/ee/nvz109/5584331?redirectedFrom=fulltext, \ and \ https://doi.org/10.1093/ee/nvz109$

Document downloaded from:



- 1 Tuta absoluta (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae) success on common solanaceous
- 2 species from California tomato production areas
- Judit Arnó¹, Rosa Gabarra¹, Paula Molina¹, Kristine E. Godfrey², Frank G. Zalom³

4

- ¹ IRTA Ctra. Cabrils km. 2, 08348 Cabrils (Barcelona), Spain
- ² Contained Research Facility, 555 Hopkins Rd., University of California, Davis, CA
- 7 95616
- ³ Department of Entomology and Nematology, One Shields Ave., University of California,

Tuta absoluta (Meyrick) (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae) is a devastating pest of tomato that

9 Davis, CA 95616

10

11

12

Abstract

has invaded many regions of the world. To date, it has not been detected in North 13 America, but the pest reached Costa Rica in 2014, and seriously threatens the southern, 14 15 southwestern, and western United States including California. Although the primary host of T. absoluta is tomato, several other species of Solanaceae may serve as alternative 16 17 hosts. In our study, we aimed to assess the potential risk that other solanaceous crops 18 and wild species that are often present in and around California tomato fields could serve 19 as hosts. To accomplish this, we conducted greenhouse and laboratory studies to 20 determine if two common cultivars of fresh market tomato, two common cultivars of tomatillo, and the wild plants, Solanum nigrum L., S. sarrachoides (Sendtner) and Datura 21 22 stramonium L., are suitable hosts for reproduction and development of the pest. 23 According to our results, D. stramonium and tomatillo were unable to sustain T. absoluta 24 larval development in either greenhouse or laboratory studies, and therefore, they are 25 not likely to contribute to T. absoluta establishment during an invasion. On the contrary, the two other solanaceous weeds, S. nigrum and S. sarrachoides, share a similar 26

29

30

27

28

Resumen

31 Tuta absoluta (Meyrick) (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae) es una plaga devastadora del tomate

play an important role in the establishment of the pest in California.

potential as tomato to be reproductive and developmental hosts of T. absoluta, and might

32 que ha invadido muchas regiones del mundo. Hasta la fecha, no se ha detectado en

América del Norte, pero la plaga llegó a Costa Rica en 2014 y amenaza seriamente el sur, suroeste y oeste de los Estados Unidos, incluida California. Aunque el huésped principal de T. absoluta es el tomate, hay otras especies de solanáceas que pueden servir como huéspedes alternativos. El objetivo de nuestro estudio fue evaluar el riesgo de que otros cultivos y especies silvestres pertenecientes a la familia de las solanáceas, que a menudo están presentes en los campos de tomate de California y sus alrededores, puedan servir como hospedadores. Para ello, en estudios de invernadero y de laboratorio determinamos si dos cultivares comunes de tomate para mercado fresco, dos cultivares comunes de tomatillo y las plantas silvestres Solanum nigrum L., S. sarrachoides (Sendtner) y Datura stramonium L. son hospedadores adecuados para la reproducción y el desarrollo de la plaga. De acuerdo con nuestros resultados, D. stramonium y tomatillo no permitieron el desarrollo larvario de T. absoluta y, por lo tanto, no es probable que contribuyan al establecimiento de T. absoulta en caso de una invasión. Por el contrario, las otras dos plantas adventicias, S. nigrum y S. sarrachoides, presentan un potencial similar al tomate como huéspedes para el desarrollo y reproducción de T. absoluta, y podrían desempeñar un papel importante en el establecimiento de la plaga en California.

50

51

52

49

33

34

35 36

37

38

39

40

41 42

43

44

45

46

47 48

Keywords: South American tomato leafminer, *Solanum lycopersicum*, jimsonweed, nightshade, *Physalis ixocarpa*, tomatillo

53

54

Introduction

The South American tomato leafminer, Tuta absoluta (Meyrick) (Lepidoptera: 55 Gelechiidae) is a devastating pest of tomato. After mating, the *T. absoluta* female lays 56 57 its eggs individually in the upper part of the plant. All four larval instars feed on the leaf 58 by mining the mesophyll limiting the photosynthetic capacity of the plant. At high density, 59 other plant organs such as buds, sepals, stems and fruits may also be damaged. Mature 60 larvae may drop to the soil or find a hidden place within the plant vegetation to pupate. (Biondi et al. 2018). The pest is thought to be native to the western part of South America. 61 It was reported only from South America until its 2006 detection in Spain (Urbaneja et al. 62 2007, Desneux et al. 2010). Since then T. absoluta has rapidly spread throughout the 63 Mediterranean basin and across Europe, Africa and Asia (Biondi et al. 2018). In the 64 Americas, the pest has expanded its range northward to Panama in 2010-2011 and to 65 Costa Rica in 2014-2015 (CABI 2018). The threat of T. absoluta reaching the tomato 66 production areas of the United States, including California, has prompted the 67

development of surveillance protocols and potential quarantine measures by the North American Plant Protection Organization (Muruvanda et al. 2012). California produces 13,638 ha of fresh tomatoes and 104,409 ha of processing tomatoes with a total farmgate value of \$1.3 billion USD (CDFA 2017).

Although pest invasions have always occurred, vastly expanded global trade has intensified the problem (Pimentel et al. 2001). After an initial invasion, crop damage is usually high and insecticide applications increase, in turn, causing disruption of established integrated pest management (IPM) programs. This has been the typical pattern observed following previous *T. absoluta* introductions, and it can be assumed to be the case in North America as well. Although the success of an insect pest invasion depends on many ecological factors, one of the most critical is the ability to find alternative hosts for feeding, reproduction and development when the preferred host, tomato, is not present.

At least 25 species of Solanaceae in the genera Atropa, Capsicum, Datura, Lycium, Lycopersicum, Nicotiana, Physalis and Solanum have been observed or experimentally evaluated for suitability as hosts of T. absoluta (Desneux et al. 2010, Portakaldali et al. 2013, Bawin et al. 2015, 2016, Mohamed et al. 2015, Abbes et al. 2016, Smith et al. 2018, Sylla et al. 2019). However, the results of the alternative host studies have not always been consistent. For example, although Desneux et al. (2010) mentioned Datura stramonium L. and D. ferox L. as potential hosts for T. absoluta, Abbes et al. (2016) observed no development of the pest on these two species. Further, plant species belonging to the families Amaranthaceae, Convolvulaceae, Malvaceae and Fabaceae have been reported as hosts in two studies (Desneux et al. 2010, Biondi et al. 2018), while in another testing of six species belonging to these families Bawin et al. (2016) found none suitable for larval development. Despite these inconsistencies the studies suggest that while tomato is the preferred host for T. absoluta development, several other plant species may serve as alternative hosts. In addition to their role in establishment, the recognition of alternative hosts that may serve as reservoirs for T. absoluta must also be considered when attempting to detect its presence in a new region.

The objective of our research was to determine if various Solanaceae species that are often present in and around California tomato fields could serve as hosts, thereby contributing to a successful invasion by this species. Greenhouse studies were conducted to determine the suitability of each host plant species for reproduction and

development of *T. absoluta*, while laboratory studies were used to determine the effect, if any, on adult survival, fecundity, adult host preference, and pre-imaginal development.

104

105

106

102

103

Materials and Methods

Plant and Insect Sources

The experiments were conducted at the facilities of the Institut de Recerca i Teconologia 107 108 Agroalimentàries (IRTA), Cabrils (Barcelona) Spain, using Solanaceae species 109 commonly found in California including tomato (Solanum lycopersicum L.), tomatillo 110 (Physalis ixocarpa Brot.), jimsonweed (D. stramonium), black nightshade (Solanum 111 nigrum L.) and hairy nightshade (Solanum sarrachoides (Sendtner)) grown from seed. 112 Tomato and tomatillo were represented in the study with two cultivars each. For tomato, 113 the cultivars were "Patio Princess" (W. Atlee Burpee and Company, Warminster, PA), a cultivar used by home gardeners, and "Qualit 23" (Lockhart Seeds Inc., Stockton, CA), 114 115 a common commercial cultivar. For tomatillo, the cultivars were "Purple" (W. Atlee 116 Burpee and Company, Warminster, PA), a cultivar used by home gardeners, and "Toma Verde" (Lockhart Seeds Inc., Stockton, CA), a cultivar used in commercial production. 117 118 Jimsonweed, black nightshade, and hairy nightshade seeds were collected by hand near 119 Davis, CA, USA. The T. absoluta used in the experiments were derived from a 120 permanent colony maintained in Bugdorm cages (MegaView Science Education Services Co., Ltd., Taichung, Taiwan) inside a growth chamber at 25 °C, 70% RH, and 121 122 16:8 (L:D) on tomato plants (cv. Roma V.F. Eurogarden) at IRTA (coordinates 41° 30'N; 123 2° 22' E). The colony was initiated in 2007 with individuals collected in nearby tomato 124 fields in Maresme County and annually refreshed with field individuals.

125126

127128

129

130

131

132

133

134

135

Greenhouse experiment

The suitability of each host plant species for T. absoluta growth and development was investigated in a greenhouse study during April and May 2017. On April 19, a pair of T. absoluta (male and female) adults were released on an individual plant of each species or cultivar contained in a sleeve cage. Twelve plants of each species and/or cultivar were used (n = 84). There were 7.1 ± 0.19 (mean \pm SD) leaves per plant. The sleeve cages were constructed of transparent micro-perforated film tied around the pot and secured at the upper part to prevent escape. The adults were held in the cage for two days and allowed to feed and reproduce. The adults were then removed, and the plants were checked regularly for the presence of feeding galleries indicating that larvae were

present on the plant. Greenhouse temperature and RH were measured hourly with a data-logger 175-H2 (Testo SE & Co. KGaA, Lenzkirch, Germany). The mean temperature and RH was 23.2 °C (max = 41.9 °C; min = 10.0 °C) and 66.7% (max = 99.9%; min = 24.8%), respectively.

When most of the galleries were empty (May 18), each plant was rated for larval feeding damage as 0 = no visible galleries, 1 = galleries present in some leaves, 2 = galleries present in most leaves, and 3 = galleries present in all leaves. After rating, the plants were cut just above ground level, and the above-ground part of each plant was transferred into a separate transparent plastic cage (4.5L) covered with a cloth to provide aeration. Each pot with the substrate was enclosed again in the sleeve cage. Both pots and aerated cages were moved to a growth chamber at 25 °C, 70% RH, and 16:8 (L:D) and checked regularly. The number of adults emerging from the plant material in the aerated cages (above-ground plant parts) and from the pots (substrate) was recorded. The sex of the emerging adults was determined.

Laboratory experiments

These experiments were conducted in growth chambers at 25 °C, 70% RH, and 16:8 (L:D) photoperiod.

- Adult Survival and Fecundity
- To investigate *T. absoluta* adult survivorship and fecundity, one female and one male, less than 24 h-old, were placed in a 2L transparent plastic container (22 cm x 15.5 cm x 8 cm) with a mesh-covered 9 cm-diameter hole in the lid for aeration. The base of the cage was lined with a moistened paper towel, and one leaf of each host plant (one leaflet in the case of tomato) was placed on top of the paper. The petiole of the leaf or leaflet was wrapped in a moist paper toweling to prevent desiccation. A test tube with a 10% sucrose solution stoppered with cotton wool was attached to the wall of each tube to provide additional food and water for the adults. Cages were checked daily and adult survival recorded. Leaves and leaflets were changed three times a week and number of *T. absoluta* eggs on each leaf or leaflet was recorded.

- Host Preference for Oviposition
- A choice experiment was conducted to determine the oviposition preference among the two cultivars of tomato, *S. nigrum* and *S. sarrachoides*. A 4.5L transparent plastic cage

similar to that previously described was used. One leaf of *S. nigrum* and *S. sarrachoides* and one leaflet of both tomato cultivars were placed inside each container. A pair of *T. absoluta* adults was caged for 48 h, and the number of eggs on each plant species/cultivar recorded.

- Pre-imaginal Development
- Pre-imaginal development was measured using the methodology proposed by Bawin et al. (2015). Twenty-five eggs (less than 24 h-old) were taken from each host plant and placed individually on top of a leaf or leaflet of the same host plant in a 9 cm diameter Petri dish. The dish was lined with a moistened paper towel and the ends were folded up to cover the end of the petiole to prevent desiccation. The dishes were checked daily until egg hatch. Once larvae were present, a new leaf and/or leaflet was added to the dish twice a week to assure that fresh food was available to the larvae, and water was added to moisten the paper towel as needed until adult emergence. Daily observations were made to record insect development.

- 186 Pupal Size
 - To measure pupal size, four to five plants of each species or cultivar (in the case of tomato) were infested *ad-hoc*. Each group of plants were introduced in a Bugdorm cage (47.5 cm x 47.5 cm x 47.5 cm) together with one pair of *T. absoluta* adults per plant. Cages were placed in a growth chamber and insects were removed after 48 h. The plants were maintained under the same conditions as for the larval development study. Prior to pupation, the plants were placed horizontally on top of a tray lined with paper toweling to facilitate collection of pupae. Pupae in the tray were collected every 72 h. The pupae were sexed according to Coelho and França (1987). Eight female and eight male pupae from each host plant were weighed individually using a precision Sartorius Analytic A2005 balance (Sartorius AG, Goettingen, Germany).

Data Analysis

Data from the greenhouse experiment, daily fecundity in the no-choice experiment, total number of eggs laid in the choice experiment and developmental time of eggs, larvae and pupae of T. absoluta were analyzed with Kruskal-Wallis test and the Chi Square approximation of the H-statistic because the data could not be normalized. Mann-Whitney-Wilcoxon tests with Bonferroni-weighted test corrections (P < 0.05) were used

to observe pairwise differences between treatments in each of the studies. Two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to analyze square-root transformed longevity data and log-transformed pupal weight data. When statistically significant differences were detected, means were separated using Tukey's HSD post-hoc test (P < 0.05). Survivorship affected by host plant was evaluated using Kaplan-Meier survival platform and log-rank tests were used to compare the survival curves. All analyses were performed using JMP version 13.1.0 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA).

211

212

213

214

215

216217

218

219

220

221222

223224

204

205

206207

208

209

210

Results

Greenhouse Experiment

- No galleries were found on the two cultivars of tomatillo or on *D. stramonium* plants (Figure 1). The distribution of damage ratings was significantly different among the other plant species. The damage rating was more variable on *S. nigrum* with half of the plants having no visible galleries. The tomato "Patio Princess" had the greatest number of damaged plants with only one plant having no visible galleries (Figure 1).
 - There was no statistical difference in the number of progeny produced between the four host species on which T. absoluta reproduced ($\chi^2 = 3.91$; df = 3; P = 0.27) (Table 1). The sex ratio was slightly female-biased for tomato cultivars averaging 63.2%, and slightly male-biased for S. nigrum and S. sarrachoides (56.7% and 50.8%, respectively). Given the methods used in this experiment, 67 to 80% of the T. absoluta adults emerged from the soil and the rest from the caged plant material, indicating that pupation occurred primarily in the soil (Table 1).

226

227

225

Laboratory Experiments

- 228 Adult Survival and Fecundity
- 229 No statistical differences were observed in the adult survival curves for *T. absoluta*
- females ($\chi^2 = 11.42$; df = 6; P = 0.08) or males ($\chi^2 = 4.15$; df = 6; P = 0.66) reared on the
- seven host plants tested (Figs. 2 and 3, respectively).
- There was no significant interaction between sex and host plant on longevity
- $(F_{6,154} = 1.13; P = 0.35)$ (Table 2). *Tuta absoluta* males survived significantly fewer days
- than females (9.64±0.73 vs. 12.79±0.73 (mean±SE), respectively; ($F_{1.154}$ = 11.25; P <
- 0.01)), but no differences were observed among the different host plants ($F_{6,154} = 0.10$;
- 236 P = 0.43).

All the females laid eggs on tomato leaflets, whereas 50% of those that were offered "Purple" tomatillo leaves did not lay eggs at all (Table 3). The number of females that did not lay eggs on leaves of the other host plants varied from 1 to 4 (Table 3). Daily fecundity of females over their lifespan was significantly higher for both tomato varieties ($\chi^2 = 27.26$; df = 6; P < 0.01) while the daily fecundity for the other species varied from 0.81 ± 0.61 eggs per day for adults on the "Purple" tomatillo to 3.23 ± 1.01 eggs per day on *S. nigrum* (Table 3).

Host Preference for Oviposition

When *T. absoluta* females were presented a choice of hosts on which to oviposit, the number of eggs laid in the tomato leaflets was significantly more than the number on *S. sarrachoides* ($\chi^2 = 15.31$; df = 3; P < 0.01) (Table 4).

Pre-imaginal Development

Percent egg hatch was similar and fairly high on all host plants, ranging from 78 to 88% of the eggs that were laid (Table 5). However, the mean developmental time to egg hatch was significantly longer on *D. stramonium* than on *S. sarrachoides*, "Toma Verde" tomatillo and "Qualit 23" tomato ($\chi^2 = 23.06$; df = 6; P < 0.01), with almost a full day difference between the longest and shortest hatch time (Table 5).

None of the larvae that hatched from *T. absoluta* eggs on *D. stramonium* and the two tomatillo varieties were able to develop in these hosts plants (Table 5). In fact, few larvae survived more than 24 h. The survival rate for larvae feeding on "Qualit 23" tomato was the greatest (67%), whereas the lowest survival rate was recorded for larvae feeding on "Patio Princess" tomato (32%). Larval developmental time was significantly shorter on *S. sarrachoides* and "Qualit 23" tomato than on *S. nigrum* ($\chi^2 = 20.75$; df = 3; P < 0.01) (Table 5). Between 61% and 83% of pupae successfully developed into adults. Pupal duration was not significantly different among the different hosts ($\chi^2 = 1.76$; df = 3; P = 0.62) (Table 5).

Pupal Size

Two-way ANOVA revealed that pupal size (Table 6) was significantly influenced by sex ($F_{1,56}$ = 46.28; P < 0.01) and by host plant ($F_{3,56}$ = 3.68; P = 0.02) but the interaction between these factors was not significant ($F_{3,56}$ = 2.14; P = 0.11). Analysis of pupal weights by sex reared on different host plants showed that no significant differences were found for the females ($F_{3,28}$ = 0.86; P = 0.48), but in males, size was significantly

affected by plant species ($F_{3,28}$ = 6.40; P < 0.01). Male pupae from *S. nigrum* and "Patio Princess" tomato were significantly heavier than those from "Qualit 23" tomato (Table 6).

Discussion

In our experiments with four host plant species other than tomato, *D. stramonium* and tomatillo were unable to sustain *T. absoluta* larval development in either greenhouse or laboratory studies. Neonate larvae died as they began to feed on the leaves of these two species, and never lived longer than 48 h. As a result, feeding galleries were never observed on the leaves.

Regarding *D. stramonium*, our results agree with those of Abbes et al. (2016) who also reported no larval development. In addition, Bawin et al. (2015) reported larval development on this species when eggs were laid by tomato-reared females, but found little survival from egg to adult and no adult females were produced in their experiment. Both papers suggest that poor quality of *D. stramonium* as host for *T. absoluta* is due to the presence of tropane alkaloids in this plant species that are implicated in herbivore resistance. By contrast, this plant species has been mentioned as a host plant in Argentina, Chile (as chamico azul), and Sudan (García and Espul 1982, Larrain 1987, Mohamed et al. 2015). The various results obtained among these studies regarding the suitability of *D. stramonium* as a host may be due to variable biotic and abiotic conditions (e.g. Moore et al. 2014, Han et al. 2016) or to the different geographical origins of *T. absoluta* populations (Sylla et al. 2019). It is notable that our study results were similar to those reported by Bawin et al. (2015) and Abbes et al. (2016) who also conducted studies with populations from the Mediterranean, where this plant species is broadly distributed (CABI 2019).

The two tomatillo varieties tested were not able to sustain larval development. This may be due to the presence of pyrrolizidine alkaloids in species of the genus *Physalis* (Pomilio et al. 2008) that are considered important in plant defense (Hartmann and Ober 2000). Tropea-Garzia (2009) reported *P. peruviana* as a host of *T. absoluta* in a Sicilian greenhouse close to a tomato crop. However, there are no other records of *Physalis* spp. infestation by *T. absoluta*, although the genus is widely distributed in areas infested by this pest (CABI 2019).

Although *T. absoluta* larval development was not successful on *D. stramonium* and tomatillo, both species were suitable for egg-laying. Daily fecundity on these species was numerically lower, but not statistically different, from that on the two tomato cultivars

and the two *Solanum* species in our study. These results differ from those of Proffit et al. (2011) who reported that *T. absoluta* preferred tomato over the wild *Solanum habrochaites* Knapp & Spooner, a species that does not support larval development. Adult *T. absoluta* females search for host plants by responding to a blend of volatile compounds released by suitable host plants. However, oviposition also depends upon additional stimuli like leaf surface morphology and chemistry (Proffit et al. 2011, Caparros Megido et al. 2014). In our study, *T. absoluta* females laid eggs on plants that were not suitable for larval development. This mismatch between adult preference and larval performance is not unusual (Hilker and Fatouros 2015), and it has been observed for other Lepidoptera-plant associations. For example, *Barbarea vulgaris* (R. Br.) is very attractive to *Plutella xylostella* (L.) (Lepidoptera: Plutellidae) for egg-laying, yet the plant does not sustain the development of larvae and therefore, acts as a "dead-end" trap crop for the pest (Shelton & Badenes-Perez 2006).

Hatchability of eggs laid in all the tested plants, even in those that are unsuitable for larval development, was high (>78%). Although some differences in the duration of embryonic development were recorded, these differences did not appear to be related to the host plant that was provided as oviposition substrate. For example, one of the shortest embryonic developmental periods leading to egg hatch was on the tomatillo "Toma Verde", a cultivar on which the larvae did not develop in our studies. In Lepidoptera, oogenesis is largely influenced by nourishment during the larval stages of the parental female (Wheeler 1996). However, although the main role of the leaf is to provide a suitable microclimate where eggs may develop, some leaf chemicals may alter egg development (Hilker and Meiners 2011), and this might also influence embryonic development time on different plants. In our studies, adult survival was not affected by the plant species on which adults lived suggesting that the moths did not feed on the plant. Hence, plant characteristics did not negatively influence the survival of the adults. Rather, *T. absoluta*, as do many moths, feed on nectar and other sugary substances to survive (Balzan and Wackers 2013, Arnó et al. 2018).

The results of our greenhouse experiment documented that the two tomato varieties and the two other solanaceous weeds, *S. nigrum* and *S. sarrachoides*, share a similar potential as reproductive and developmental hosts of *T. absoluta*. Previous studies have documented that *T. absoluta* can develop on the nightshade species *S. nigrum* (Desneux et al. 2010) and *S. sarrachoides* (Salas Gervasio et al. 2016) and may therefore serve as alternative host species. Daily oviposition on *S. sarrachoides* was less than half that on tomato, and this observation may be due to previous experience of the source insects on tomato. However, larval development on *S. sarrachoides* was

significantly shorter than that on *S. nigrum* in our study, but was similar to that found for *S. nigrum* by other authors (Bawin et al. 2015, Abbes et al. 2016). Since *S. nigrum* is considered one of the most suitable plant species for *T. absoluta* development (Biondi et al. 2018), our results suggest that *S. sarrachoides* has the potential to play a similarly important role as an alternative host in the potential invasion and establishment of *T. absoluta* in new regions such as California where both species are very common weeds (Aegerter et al. 2011). Total survival from egg to adult was similar for both weed species (between 22% and 24%). Total survival on tomato "Patio Princess" (23%) was similar to these nightshade species, but numerically lower than those reared on tomato "Qualit 23" (39%). This suggests that both *Solanum* weed species might be as suitable as some tomato varieties for larval development. Differences in *T. absoluta* fitness among tomato cultivars have been reported in several studies (e.g. Silva et al. 2015, Ghaderi et al. 2017, Krechemer and Foester 2017).

The potential for an invasive herbivore to survive in an environment it has invaded is strongly linked to the availability of host plants. Host plant availability in open fields, greenhouses and nurseries has surely contributed to the rapid spread and establishment of T. absoluta in Europe and Asia (Biondi et al. 2018). In addition to commercial crops where pest sampling and control protocols are routinely implemented, wild vegetation and home gardens may pose an additional and important risk. Tomatillo is produced in small plots in many parts of Mexico, the United States, and Central America (Smith et al. 1999). Our laboratory and greenhouse experiments indicate that tomatillo is not likely to pose a risk in the event of a North American invasion by T. absoluta, since neither of the two cultivars tested were able to sustain larval development, and as previously mentioned, tomatillo has not been reported as a potential host despite wide distribution in T. absoluta infested areas. Similarly, D. stramonium would not likely contribute to the establishment of T. absoluta in the event of an invasion with Mediterranean populations because our results and as well as those of Bawin et al. (2015) and Abbes et al. (2016) indicate that it is not a host, or a poor host at best. In contrast, S. nigrum and S. sarrachoides, along with crops such as potatoes and eggplants that are known to be hosts could play an important role in the establishment of T. absoluta in California and elsewhere where these plants are common.

Acknowledgements

This study was supported by the Specialty Crop Block Program of the U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) through Grant 14-SCBGP-CA-006. Its contents are solely the

376	responsibility of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official views of the
377	USDA. JA, PM and RG were funded by the Spanish Ministry of Economy and
378	Competitiveness (AGL2016- 77373-C2-1-R project) and the CERCA Programme
379	(Generalitat de Catalunya). Judit Arnó acknowledges the receipt of a fellowship from the
380	OECD Co-operative Research Programme: Biological Resource Management for
381	Sustainable Agricultural Systems in 2016. We would like to thank Pilar Hernández and
382	Víctor Muñoz for their technical assistance, and the two anonymous reviewers whose
383	comments and suggestions greatly improved the manuscript.
384	
385	References Cited
386	Aegerter, B. J., R. M. Davis, C. F. Fouche, K. J. Hembree, W. T. Lanini, G. Miyao, A.
387	Ploeg, C. S. Stoddard, K. V. Subbarao, C. G. Summers, J. J. Stapleton, J. T.
388	Trumble, and F. G. Zalom. 2011. UC IPM Pest Management Guidelines Tomato.
389	UC ANR Publication 3470. Oakland, CA.
390	Abbes, K., A. Harbi, M. Elimem, A. Hafsi, and B. Chermiti. 2016. Bioassay of three
391	solanaceous weeds as alternative hosts for the invasive tomato leafminer Tuta
392	absoluta (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae) and insights on their carryover potential. Afr.
393	Entomol. 24: 334–342.
394	Arnó, J., M. F. Oveja, and R. Gabarra. 2018. Selection of flowering plants to enhance
395	the biological control of Tuta absoluta using parasitoids. Biol. Control 122: 41-
396	50.
397	Balzan, M. V., and F. L. Wäckers. 2013. Flowers to selectively enhance the fitness of a
398	host-feeding parasitoid: adult feeding by Tuta absoluta and its parasitoid
399	Necremnus artynes. Biol. Control. 67: 21–31.
400	Bawin, T., D. Dujeu, L. De Backer, M. L. Fauconnier, G. Lognay, P. Delaplace, F.
401	Francis, and F. J. Verheggen. 2015. Could alternative solanaceous hosts act
402	as refuges for the tomato leafminer, Tuta absoluta? Arthropod-Plant Inte. 9: 425–
403	435.
404	Bawin, T., D. Dujeu, L. De Backer, F. Francis, and F. J. Verheggen. 2016. Ability of
405	Tuta absoluta (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae) to develop on alternative host plant

species. Can. Entomol. 148: 434–442.

407 Biondi, A., R. N. C. Guedes, F. H. Wan, and N. Desneux. 2018. Ecology, worldwide spread, and management of the invasive south american tomato pinworm, Tuta 408 409 absoluta: past, present, and future. Ann. Rev. Entomol. 63: 239–258. 410 CABI (Centre for Agriculture and Bioscience International). 2018. Tuta absoluta 411 CABI website. https://www.cabi.org/isc/datasheet/49260. (Accessed August 7, 412 2018). CABI (Centre for Agriculture and Bioscience International). 2019. Invasive Species 413 Compendium. Wallingford, UK: CAB International. www.cabi.org/isc. (Accessed 414 July 25, 2019). 415 CDFA (California Department of Food and Agriculture). 2017. California agricultural 416 statistics review, 2016-2017. CDFA website. 417 https://www.cdfa.ca.gov/Statistics/PDFs/2016-17AgReport.pdf (Accessed 418 October 30, 2018). 419 Caparros Megido, R., L. De Backer, R. Ettaïb, Y. Brostaux, M. L. Fauconnier, P. 420 Delaplace, G. Lognay, M. S. Belkhadi, E. Haubruge, F. Francis, and F. 421 422 Verheggen. 2014. Role of larval host plant experience and solanaceous plant volatile emissions in Tuta absoluta (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae) host finding 423 behavior. Arthropod-Plant Inte. 8: 293-304. 424 Coelho, M. C. F., and F. H. França. 1987. Biologia e quetotaxia da larva e descrição da 425 pupa e adulto da traça do tomateiro. Pesq. Agro. Brasil. 22:129–135. 426 Desneux, N., E. Wajnberg, K. Wyckhuys, G. Burgio, S. Arpaia, C. A. Narvaez-427 Vasquez, J. González-Cabrera, D. Catalán-Ruescas, E. Tabone, J. Frandon, 428 J. Pizzol, C. Poncet, T. Cabello, and A. Urbaneja. 2010. Biological invasion of 429 430 European tomato crops by Tuta absoluta: ecology, geographic expansion and 431 prospects for biological control. J. Pest Sci. 83: 197–215. 432 García, M.F., and J.C Espul. 1982. Bioecología de la polilla del tomate (Scrobipalpula absoluta) en Mendoza, República Argentina. RIA INTA 17: 135-145. 433 Ghaderi, S., Y. Fathipour, and S. Asgari. 2017. Susceptibility of seven selected tomato 434 cultivars to Tuta absoluta (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae): implications for its 435 management. J. Econ. Entomol. 110: 421–429. 436 Han, P., N. Desneux, T. Michel, J. Le Bot, A. Seassau, E. Wajnberg, A. Amiens-437 Desneux, and A. V. Lavoir. 2016. Does plant cultivar difference modify the 438

439 440	bottom-up effects of resource limitation on plant-insect interactions? J. Chem. Ecol. 42: 1293–1303.
441 442 443 444	Hartmann, T., and D. Ober. 2000. Biosynthesis and metabolism of Pyrrolizidine alkaloids in plants and specialized insect herbivores, pp. 207-243. In F. J. Leeper and J. C. Vederas (eds.), Biosynthesis. Topics in Current Chemistry, vol. 209. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, Germany.
445 446	Hilker, M., and T. Meiners. 2011. Plants and insect eggs: How do they affect each other? Phytochemistry 72: 1612–1623.
447 448	Hilker, M., and N. E. Fatouros. 2015. Plant responses to insect egg deposition. Annu. Rev. Entomol. 60: 493–515.
449 450 451	Krechemer F. S., and L. A. Foester. 2017. Development, reproduction, survival, and demographic patterns of <i>Tuta absoluta</i> (Meyrick) (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae) on different commercial tomato cultivars. Neotrop. Entomol. 46: 694–700.
452 453 454	Larrain, P. 1987. Plagas del tomate, I parte: descripción, fluctuación poblacional, daño, plantas hospederas, enemigos naturales de las plagas principales. IPA La Platina 39: 30–35.
455 456 457	Mohamed, E. S. I., M. E. E. Mahmoud, M. A. M. Elhaj, S. A. Mohamed, and S. Ekesi. 2015. Host plants record for tomato leaf miner <i>Tuta absoluta</i> (Meyrick) in Sudan. EPPO Bull. 45(1): 108–111.
458 459 460	Moore, B. D., R. L. Andrew, C. Külheim, and W. J. Foley. 2014. Explaining intraespecific diversity in plant secondary metabolites in an ecological context. New Phytol. 201: 733–750.
461 462 463 464 465	Muruvanda, D. A., D. Holden, M. Juarez, C. Ramos, T. Figueroa-Cano, and R. Lee. 2012. Surveillance protocol for the tomato leaf miner, <i>Tuta absoluta</i> , for NAPPO member countries. N. Am. Plant Prot. Org., Raleigh, NC, accessed July 5, 2017. https://www.aphis.usda.gov/import_export/plants/plant_exports/downloads/Tuta_absoluta_surveillanceprotocol_08-06-2012-e.pdf
466 467 468 469	Pimentel, D., S. McNair, J. Janecka, J. Wightman, C. Simmonds, C. O'Connell, E. Wong, L. Russel, J. Zern, T. Aquino, and T. Tsomondo. 2001. Economic and environmental threats of alien plant, animal, and microbe invasions. Agr. Ecosyst. Environ. 84: 1–20.
470 471	Pomilio, A. B., E. M. Falzoni, and A. A. Vitale. 2008. Toxic chemical compounds of the Solanaceae. Nat. Prod. Commun. 3: 593–628.

- Portakaldali, M., S. Öztemiz, and H. Kütük. 2013. A new host plant for *Tuta absoluta*
- 473 (Meyrick) (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae) in Turkey. J. Entomol. Res. Soc. 15(3): 21–
- 474 24.
- 475 **Proffit, M., G. Birgersson, M. Bengtsson, R. Jr. Reis, P. Witzgall, and E. Lima. 2011.**
- 476 Attraction and oviposition of *Tuta absoluta* females in response to tomato leaf
- 477 volatiles. J. Chem. Ecol. 37: 565–574
- 478 Salas Gervasio, N. G., M. G. Luna, S. Lee, A. Salvo, and N. E. Sánchez. 2016. Trophic
- web associated with the South American tomato moth *Tuta absoluta*: implications
- for its conservation biological control in Argentina. Agr. Forest Entomol. 18: 137–
- 481 144.
- Shelton, A. M., and F. R. Badenes-Perez. 2006. Concepts and applications of trap
- cropping in pest management. Ann. Rev. Entomol. 51: 285–308.
- Smith, J. D., T. Dubois, R. Mallogo, E. F. Njau, S. Tua, and R. Srinivasan. 2018. Host
- range of the invasive tomato pest *Tuta absoluta* Meyrick (Lepidoptera:
- 486 Gelechiidae) on solanaceous crops and weeds in Tanzania. Fla. Entomol. 101:
- 487 573–579.
- 488 Smith, R., M. Jimenez, and M. Cantwell. 1999. Tomatillo production in California.
- 489 University of California Division of Agriculture and Natural resources. Publication
- 490 No. 7246. Oakland, CA.
- 491 Silva, D. B., V. H. P. Bueno, J. C. Jr. Lins, and J. C. van Lenteren. 2015. Life history
- data and population growth of *Tuta absoluta* at constant and alternating
- temperatures on two tomato lines. Bull. Insectol. 68: 223–232.
- 494 Sylla, S., T. Brévault, L. S. Monticelli, K. Diarra, N. Desneux. 2019. Geographic
- variation of host preference by the invasive tomato leaf miner *Tuta absoluta*:
- implications for host range expansion. J. Pest Sci. 92: 1387–1396
- 497 Tropea-Garzia, G. 2009. Physalis peruviana L. (Solanaceae), a host plant of Tuta
- 498 absoluta in Italy. IOBC/wprs Bull. 49: 231–232.
- 499 Urbaneja, A., R. Vercher, V. Navarro, F. García-Marí, and J. L. Porcuna. 2007. La
- polilla del tomate, *Tuta absoluta*. Phytoma Esp. 194:16–23.
- 501 Wheeler, D. 1996. The role of nourishment in oogenesis. Ann. Rev. Entomol 41: 407–
- 502 431.

504	Figure Captions
505 506	Fig. 1. Number of plants rated in each class according to the damage inflicted by <i>T. absoluta</i> infestation.
507	
508	Fig 2. Kaplan-Meier estimates of proportional survivorship for <i>T. absoluta</i> females on
509	different host plant material. Time was measured in days.
510	
511	Fig 3. Kaplan–Meier estimates of proportional survivorship for <i>T. absoluta</i> males on
512	different host plant material. Time was measured in days.
513	
514	
515	

Table 1. Progeny resulting from a mating pair of T. absoluta over 48 hours (mean number of adults \pm SE), percentage of females in the offspring and percentage of individuals emerging from the soil. Calculations have included zeros from plants that yielded no progeny.

Host plant	No.	% of	% individuals
HOST Plant	individuals/plant	females	from soil
Tomato Patio Princess	4.58 ±1.64	63.64	72.73
Tomato Qualit 23	3.75 ± 2.02	62.79	66.67
S. nigrum	2.50 ±1.31	43.33	80.00
S. sarrachoides	5.00 ±1.55	49.15	80.00

Table 2. Longevity (mean \pm SE number of days) of *T. absoluta* adults when exposed to different host plants and supplied with a 10% sugar solution (n = 12 females and 12 males).

Treatment	Females	Males
Tomato Patio Princess	15.25±1.33	11.08±2.25
Tomato Qualit 23	9.25±1.38	8.33±1.54
Tomatillo Purple	12.83±2.13	8.58±2.18
Tomatillo Toma Verde	14.00±2.19	11.08±2.03
D. stramonium	13.08±1.09	8.00±1.21
S. nigrum	15.17±2.55	8.75±2.48
S. sarrachoides	9.92±1.96	11.67±1.94

Table 3. Daily fecundity (mean number of eggs laid per female \pm SE) during a *T. absoluta* female's lifespan and number of unfertile females (n = 12).

Treatment	No. unfertile females	Eggs/day/ female
Tomato Qualit 23	0	5.97±1.24 A
Tomato Patio Princess	0	5.06±1.16 A
S. nigrum	1	3.23±1.01 AB
Tomatillo Toma Verde	3	2.12±0.61 AB
S. sarrachoides	4	2.05±0.90 AB
D. stramonium	1	1.36±0.44 AB
Tomatillo Purple	6	0.81±0.61 B

Means followed by different letters are significantly different after Bonferroni correction was used to weigh the 21 pairwise comparisons done among plants (P < 0.05/21 =0.0024)

Table 4: Mean (\pm SE) number of eggs laid by a single *T. absoluta* female over 48 hours in a choice experiment when the four plant species were provided at the same time (n = 13)

Host plant	Eggs/female
Tomato Qualit 23	9.31±2.68 A
Tomato Patio Princess	7.46±2.05 A
S. nigrum	3.15±1.09 AB
S. sarrachoides	0.31±0.24 B

Means followed by different letters are significantly different after Bonferroni correction was used to weigh the six pairwise comparisons done among plants (P < 0.05/6 = 0.0083)

543

Hoot plant		EGGS	LARVAE		PUPAE	
Host plant	% survival	developmental time	% survival	developmental time	% survival	developmental time
Tomato Qualit 23	84.31	4.16±0.07 B	67.44	12.79±0.34 B	68.97	6.95±0.15
Tomato Patio	88.24	4.47±0.20 AB	31.58	13.00±0.51 AB	83.33	7.30±0.15
S. nigrum	86.27	4.24±0.11 AB	40.91	15.17±0.47 A	61.11	7.36±0.88
S. sarrachoides	88.24	3.98±0.10 B	35.56	12.13±0.30 B	75.00	7.17±0.24
D. stramonium	78.43	4.85±0.18 A	0.00	-	-	-
Tomatillo Purple	80.39	4.39±0.13 AB	0.00	-	ı	-
Tomatillo Toma Verde	86.27	4.11±0.10 B	0.00	-	-	-

Within column means followed by different letters are significantly different after Bonferroni correction was used to weigh the multiple pairwise comparisons done among plants regarding the developmental time of eggs (P < 0.05/21 = 0.0024) and larvae (P < 0.05/21 = 0.0024) 0.05/6 = 0.0083).

550

551

554

555

546

547

548

549

552 553

Table 6. Mean (±SE) pupal weight (in mg) for *T. absoluta* reared on different host plants (n = 8).

Host Plant	FEMALES	MALES
Tomato Patio	4.19±0.27	3.20±0.15 A
Tomato Quality 23	3.74±0.23	2.36±0.19 B
S. nigrum	3.93±0.46	3.29±0.19 A
S. sarrachoides	4.30±0.24	2.81±0.16 AB

For males, means followed by different letters are significantly differences between treatments (P < 0.05, Tukey's HSD test).