

Final publication is available from Mary Ann Liebert, Inc., publishers <u>https://doi.org/10.1089/fpd.2019.2708</u>

Document downloaded from:



Salmonella infection in mesenteric lymph nodes of breeding sows

Running title: Salmonella infection and serology in sows

Victoria Garrido¹, Samanta Sánchez¹, Beatriz San Román¹, Lorenzo Fraile², Lourdes Migura-García³, María Jesús Grilló^{1,*}

¹Instituto de Agrobiotecnología (CSIC-UPNA-Gobierno de Navarra). Avenida de Pamplona,

123. 31192. Mutilva, Navarra, Spain.

²Universidad de Lleida. Avenida Alcalde Rovira Roure, 191. 25198. Lleida

³Institut de Recerca i Tecnologia Agroalimentàries (IRTA), Centre de Recerca en Sanitat

Animal (CReSA), Campus de la Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona, Spain.

*Corresponding author: María-Jesús Grilló; Tel.: +34 948168028

E-mail address: mj.grillo@csic.es

Running head: Salmonella infection in sows

ABSTRACT (248 words)

Salmonellosis is one of the main foodborne diseases worldwide. Breeding sows asymptomatically infected with Salmonella can transmit the pathogen to piglets and humans. Salmonella isolation in mesenteric lymph nodes (MLN) is considered the most reliable way to demonstrate asymptomatic infection in swine. Since breeding sows studies have been performed in feces so far, the aim of this work was to study the occurrence of Salmonella infection in MLN of these animals, in comparison to their serological status. Salmonella fecal shedding was determined at farm level to establish the framework. Additionally, risk factors associated with Salmonella MLN infection were analyzed. The pathogen was detected in MLN from 6.1% sows belonging to 40% of farms studied. Typhimurium was the most frequent serovar isolated in sows MLN; Entertidis and Derby were also found in distributed throughout different farms. Interestingly, 43.8% (7/16) of the MLN isolates were pansusceptible and distributed throughout all the farms sampled. Notably, one emerging DT195 clone resistant to ampicillin, streptomycin, sulfonamide, tetracycline, nalidixic acid and cefotaxime was also detected. These Salmonella serovars differed from those found in fecal samples taken at farm, where London was the most frequent. Seroprevalence in sows was higher than MLN infections, showing no-concordance (k=0.15) between blood and MLN paired samples. Administration of dry food and allocation in unreformed premises were the main risk factors associated to Salmonella infection in sows MLN. Strategies focused on correct these risk factors most likely will help to reduce Salmonella in breeding sows and in the food chain.

Key words: *Salmonella*, prevalence, sows, lymph nodes, shedding, antimicrobial-resistance, risk factors.

INTRODUCTION

Non-typhoidal salmonellosis is a worldwide-distributed zoonosis caused by *Salmonella*, a pathogen of public health relevance. After an initial control in fowl, pig products are emerging as an important source of *Salmonella* for humans in the European Union (EU) (EFSA-ECDC, 2015). To protect the health of the consumers, the EU is legislating for the reduction of *Salmonella* in pigs and derivate food, including breeding sows as a vertical source of infection of the pathogen to the litter (DOUE, 2003).

Spain is the fourth largest country in pig production, after China, USA and Germany, showing an increase of 228.5% from 2008 to 2017 (MAPA, 2017). Results of the salmonellosis EU baseline studies indicated that Spain was on the top of *Salmonella* prevalence at pig herd level, detecting the bacteria in pooled fecal samples (PFS) from 64% of breeding holdings (EFSA, 2008, EFSA, 2009). However, there is no data available reporting the prevalence of *Salmonella* in sow offal (as raw material, mixed or not with meat for food processing), probably due to practical limitations for sampling sows in the abattoir.

Salmonella can be present in feces after an active infection of enterocytes and lymphatic system and/or by passive transmission of the bacteria through the gut after ingestion. Reliable diagnostics of *Salmonella* infection can only be assessed by the pathogen isolation in the gut wall or lymphatic system, being mesenteric lymph nodes (MLN) the sample of choice (DOUE, 2003; EFSA, 2006). Accordingly, sows infected asymptomatically in MLN can be both, a source of human infections through offal, and intermittent shedders of *Salmonella* transmitting the pathogen to piglets, particularly under certain stress circumstances such as parturition.

In some EU countries, serological diagnosis is considered an alternative in *Salmonella* control programs in fattening pigs (Merle *et al.*, 2011, Meroc *et al.*, 2012, Mousing *et al.*, 1997). Nevertheless, no correlation was observed between serology and the presence of *Salmonella*

in MLN or fecal samples of these animals (San Román *et al.*, 2018). One of the objectives of this work was to determine the correlation between the serological status and MLN infection in sows, assessing the usefulness of ELISA as a tool to determine *Salmonella* infection in a sow or in a herd.

This study was designed to determine: *i*) prevalence and type of *Salmonella* present in sows' MLN; *ii*) seroprevalence and ELISA performance in sows with respect to MLN infection; and *iii*) main risk factors associated to MLN infection.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Sampling design

This study was performed in Navarra, a Northern Spain region with moderate-low prevalence of *Salmonella* in fattening-pigs (San Román *et al.*, 2018). In this context, a total of 65,308 breeding sows belonging to 763 farms were registered in 2014 (INTIA, personal communication). Most of these animals (37,964 sows) belonged to 16 large farms, which contained more than 1,200 sows each and were managed by 7 integrator companies. These large herds were based on a closed replacement system using gilts born in the farm, with a replacement rate of \approx 50%.

To know the prevalence of *Salmonella* shedding at herd level, in comparison to that observed in the EU baseline study (EFSA, 2009), fecal samples were obtained in 12 out of the 16 large farms and processed in pools as detailed below. The study was designed to obtain fecal samples proportionally to: (*i*) the number of rooms of each reproductive unit (i.e. gestation, farrow, replacement and confirmed gestation unit); and (*ii*) the number of sows kept in each room, representing the different reproductive cycle stages. Accordingly, gestation (n=340) and farrowing (n=205) sows were sampled in 12 farms, but those allocated in replacement (n=30) and confirmed gestation unit (n=25) facilities could only be analyzed in 4 and 3 of these farms, respectively. Thus, a total of 600 individual fecal samples from 5 or 10 rooms/farm were collected from the rectum by using the double-glove method and individual sterile containers in order to avoid cross contamination.

Within this framework, 15 out of the 16 large holdings containing a total of 33,545 sows were included in the study. The sows sampled were selected from those regularly sent by the farmer to the abattoir for breeding replacement, thus all sows were multi-parity but the parity number was unknown. Due to the lack of previous information on *Salmonella* MLN infection in sows, 15-20 animals/farm (depending on the herd size) were considered representative to detect the presence of *Salmonella* infection, assuming an estimated minimum prevalence of 20% by farm and a 95% of confidence interval. The pooling was not taken into account for sample size calculations, since pooling was highly efficient compared to individual sampling in previous studies (Arnold et al, 2009). Accordingly, a total of 264 sows were sampled for MLN and 237 of them (one farm failed) were also bled at the abattoir. All samples were identified and processed individually.

Salmonella isolation and characterization

Both MLN and fecal samples were processed by the ISO 6579:2002/Amd 1:2007 (hereafter, ISO 6579) (ISO, 2007) as previously detailed (San Román *et al.*, 2018). Presumptive *Salmonella* isolates were stored at -20°C in 10% skimmed milk (Pronadisa, Spain) and sent to the National Centre for Animal Salmonellosis (Algete, Madrid, Spain) for confirmation and serotyping by the Kauffman-White Scheme (Grimont & Weill, 2007). Thereafter, *S.* Typhimurium isolates were phage-typed by standardized protocols in the National Centre of Salud Carlos III (Madrid, Spain) according to standard protocols (Echeita *et al.*, 2005).

All *Salmonella* isolates were analyzed by the Kirby-Bauer disk diffusion test (CLSI, 2013) in cation-adjusted Mueller-Hinton plates against 12 antimicrobials (all from BD, Spain) of 7

different families, as previously detailed (San Román *et al.*, 2018). The antimicrobials tested were: ampicillin and amoxicillin-clavulanic acid (aminopenicillins; A); chloramphenicol (phenicols; C); streptomycin and gentamicin (aminoglycosides; S); sulfisoxazole, trimethoprim and trimethoprim-sulfametoxazole (sulfonamides; Su); tetracycline (tetracyclines; T); nalidixic acid (quinolones; Nx); ciprofloxacin (fluoroquinolones; Cip); cefotaxime (third generation cephalosporins; Cfx). *E. coli* ATCC 25922, *S.* Typhimurium ATCC 14028 and DT104 were used as controls in each experiment. Clinical breakpoints to classify isolates as susceptible or resistant were defined by the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI, 2013). Isolates were considered multidrug-resistant when exhibiting resistance to at least three antimicrobial families.

Serological study

Individual blood samples (n=237) were obtained at the abattoir and sera were extracted after incubation (RT, 4h) by centrifugation (4°C, 10 min, 1,500 xg) in a Multifuge 3L-R (Sorvall, Heraeus) and stored at -20°C until use. The Herd-Check[®] Swine *Salmonella* indirect ELISA test (IDEXXTM Laboratories, Switzerland) was used. This test had shown an optimal sensitivity and specificity in fattening pig sera (88% and 74%, respectively) compared with other commercial kits (Vico *et al.*, 2010). Optical density (O.D.) values were normalized and analyzed at different cut-off values (i.e. 10%, 20% and 40%), according to the manufacturer`s instructions.

Concordance test

Considering the 100% specificity of bacteriology, a farm was considered positive when *Salmonella* was confirmed in at least one MLN sample. Concordance analysis between infection and serology was performed by Kappa test (k) using MLN and blood paired samples (n=237). Descriptive statistics and prevalence were estimated with a 95% confidence interval (CI_{95%}). Statistical comparison of percentages was performed by a *Chi*-square test with

Fisher's correction ($p \le 0.05$) when required, using the SPSS 15.0.1 statistical software (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL).

Questionnaire data and statistical analysis

A questionnaire consisting of 70 variables was used to assess possible risk factors associated to *Salmonella* MLN infection. Questions were divided into five main sections in the farm survey: *i*) farm general characteristics related to herd size, number of gestation units, and number of full-time workers; *ii*) biosecurity aspects such as existence and maintenance of outside fence and footbath, use of specific clothes, entrance restrictions, rodent control programs, and presence of cats, dogs and wild birds,; *iii*) feeding: type of feed, number of diets, and water supplier; *iv*) use of antimicrobial agents: type, number and length of treatments; and *v*) farmer's personal information: age, educational level, and additional training on pig production. In order to provide reliable information, all the surveys were filled out with the assistance of the farm veterinarian.

For statistical analysis, a screening of possible risk factors was carried out by a univariable *Chi*-square test. Significant variables ($p \le 0.05$) were further considered in a multivariable random-effect logistic regression model in which the outcome variable was the "culture positive"; the explanatory variables included in the model as fixed effect were those from the questionnaire; and the random effect was the farm. Multivariable analysis was performed by the STATA software (StataCorp, L.P., College Station, TX). An odds ratio (OR) >1 indicated that animal exposure to the factor increases the risk of *Salmonella* positivity, whereas an OR<1 indicates a reduced risk of animal positivity due to exposure to the factor.

RESULTS

Salmonella prevalence at farm level in fecal samples

The framework of study before starting the study was defined by the presence of *Salmonella* in 13/120 (10.8%) PFS that belonged to 50% (6/12) farms studied (Table 1). Farms with at least one PFS positive showed a 21.6% of mean prevalence, but most (58.3%) of them exhibited \leq 10% positive PFS. Farms from integrators B and F showed higher proportion of positive PFS. A wide variety of serovars were identified in these samples, with isolates pansusceptible (6/11) or resistant to streptomycin (5/11) alone or combined with tetracycline; *S*. Typhimurium was not found in PFS (Table 2).

Salmonella MLN infection in sows

As shown in Table 1, *Salmonella* spp. was found in 16 out of 264 (6.1%) MLN of sows that belonged to 6 out of 15 (40%) breeding farms. The mean prevalence of the pathogen within positive farms was 14.5%. However, most (80%) of the farms showed $\leq 10\%$ animals infected, displaying a marked left-biased distribution of the infection (Figure 1).

A total of 6 serovars from 4 different serogroups were detected in sows' MLN. The most common serovar was Typhimurium (43.7%) followed by Derby (18.7%), Enteritidis (12.5%) and Montevideo (12.5%) (Table 2).

Nine out of the 16 MLN isolates (56.25%) belonged to serovars Typhimurium or Derby and showed resistance to three (streptomycin, sulfonamide and tetracycline) or more (ACSSuT and ASSuT-Nx-Cfx) antimicrobials leading to three different multidrug-resistant patterns (Table 2). Interestingly, 43.7% (7/16) of the isolates of MLN origin were pansusceptible and distributed in all farms but one (farm 4), while the three multidrug-resistant profiles were distributed in three different breeding farms (Table 2). The multidrug-resistant *S*. Derby SSuT (three isolates) was common to Farms 2 and 4, and the two multidrug-resistant *S*. Typhimurium were restricted to one origin each. In fact, the five Typhimurium isolates found in Farm 1 showed the typical penta-resistant profile (ACSSuT) associated to DT104 phage-type, and the DT195 isolate obtained in Farm 4 showed a particular ASSuT-Nx-Cfx

multidrug-resistant profile. Overall, the distribution of the *Salmonella* phenotypes suggested a different origin of infection for each farm.

Seroprevalence

To determine the usefulness of this serological tool, ELISA results at 40% O.D. cut-off were compared with *Salmonella* infection by the ISO 6579 method in MLN samples from the same sows, as "gold standard" technique. Accordingly, the ELISA results indicated that 100% of farms had at least one seropositive sow with a 41.8% of mean seroprevalence vs. 40% of farms with a mean of 6.1% of sows found infected in MLN (Table 1). The percentage of seropositive sows varied from 15% to 80% (Table 2) depending on farm. Furthermore, all the farms presented a seroprevalence >10% (Figure 1), indicating a much higher *Salmonella* seroprevalence than bacteriological results and large discrepancy between both diagnostic techniques. For instance, the two farms showing 80% of seropositive sows exhibited either uninfected or 30% of infected sows within the farm (Table 2). Furthermore, the discrepancy between techniques was revealed by the high proportion of sows negative by bacteriology (86/99) but positive in ELISA (Table 3). Accordingly, the absence of concordance between serology and microbiology was statistically confirmed by a Kappa index (k=0.15).

Risk factor analysis of Salmonella MLN infection in sows

Most (13 out of 15) of the farms accurately completed the questionnaire, except two farms that showed absence of *Salmonella* in all its analyzed animals. A total of 12 out of 70 variables were initially associated with *Salmonella* MLN infection in the univariate scrutiny. From them, only two variables remained significant in the multivariable logistic regression model, indicating that administration of dry food (as compared with food mixed with water) and a lack of shed/barn/building renovations in the last 5 years were significant risk factors (Table 4).

DISCUSSION

The presence of *Salmonella* in feces could be due not only to excretion from MLN but also to cross-contamination or passive ingestion and survival of the pathogen in the intestinal tract of the animal without causing infection. Thus, *Salmonella* isolation in MLN is the most reliable way to demonstrate asymptomatic infection in swine (DOUE, 2006). Also, MLN infection is considered a reservoir and source of intermittent excretion and dissemination of the pathogen to piglets (EFSA, 2011). However, studies on sows MLN infection are lacking, due to intrinsic limitations of sampling sows in the abattoir and the availability of representative number of animals for each sampling. To our knowledge, only one previous study has been published about *Salmonella* MLN infection in breeding sows (Keteran *et al.*, 1982). The prevalence found there were (58.2%) higher than in our conditions (6.1%) but results are not exactly comparable, since in the former study the animals were maintained for 10 days in lairage, while in our study sows were slaughtered within 2 hours of arrival. In our study, the 6.1% MLN infection found in sows was similar to that observed in MLN of fattening pigs (7.2%) of the same framework (<u>San Román *et al.*, 2018</u>).

Herein, we present a novel study of *Salmonella* infection in sows MLN and its concordance with serology in paired samples taken from 237 sows. Overall, large disagreement between *Salmonella* infection and seropositivity (41.7%) was observed, being even higher than the disagreement observed previously for fattening pigs (San Román *et al.*, 2018). This discrepancy could be attributed to endemicity of infection in breeding holdings, higher possibility of re-infections or antigenic contacts in sows than in young pigs (Vico et al., 2010; Vico *et al.*, 2011; Meroc *et al.*, 2012). Additionally, a longer persistence of humoral immune response than infection itself could also be an explanation (Scherer *et al.*, 2008). Other hypotheses such as lack of ISO 6579 sensitivity (Mainar-Jaime *et al.*, 2013), lack of ELISA specificity (Vico *et al.*, 2011) or absence of exotic serogroups antigens in the ELISA plates

coating (Van Winsen *et al.*, 2001) could also contribute. However, serology has been applied in large studies salmonellosis control in Denmark, Germany and Belgium, where infection and serology are considered highly correlated in finishing pigs (Mousing *et al.*, 1997; Merle *et al.*, 2011; Meroc *et al.*, 2012). Our results indicate that the serological diagnosis is of very limited interest (if any) to control salmonellosis in sows.

More than 50 different *Salmonella* serovars were found in feces of breeding sows of the baseline EU study, being Derby (23.9%) and Typhimurium (17.9%) the most frequent (EFSA, 2009). Contrarily, in our study, *S*. Typhimurium was not detected in feces but was the serovar most frequently identified in MLN. Since this serovar is the most commonly reported in human infections in 2014 (EFSA-ECDC, 2016), sow offal could contribute to this public health concern.

Besides the foodborne hazard, the inappropriate use of antimicrobial agents in humans (ECDC, 2018) and animals (EMA, 2018) has led to a quick emergence of multidrug-resistant *Salmonella* of special epidemiological surveillance (DOUE, 2003). A 48.7% of multidrug-resistant isolates has been found in sows feces at the EU country level (EFSA-ECDC, 2019). In our work, only 18.2% of multidrug resistant *Salmonella* were found in sows feces at farm, whereas (56.2% were found invading the MLN of the sows analyzed at abattoir highlighting the differences in profiles between *Salmonella* isolates of the different origins.

Notably, we isolated one *S*. Typhimurium phage-type DT195 resistant to six different families of antimicrobials including third generation cephalosporins. This finding represents a proportion of cefotaxime-resistant isolates (0.4%) a bit lower to that observed in EU (1.2%) and Spain (1.1%) (EFSA-ECDC, 2019). However, it should be particularly monitored, since this antimicrobial resistance has emerged during last years and represents the treatment of choice in humans, particularly for children.

Previous information indicated that a main risk factor associated to *Salmonella* fecal shedding in sows was a high replacement rate by external gilts (Davies *et al.*, 2000). In Navarra, the intensive production of breeding sows was based on a closed self-replacement with gilts born in the herd, which could contribute positively to the moderate-low prevalence observed in our study. Here, the main risk factors associated to MLN infection were both administration of dry food (instead of food mixed with water) and maintenance of sows in non-renovated farms. The former could be <u>associated to a lower persistence of *Salmonella* in feed water after acidic fermentation (Van Winsen *et al.*, 2000; Missotten *et al.*, 2015); and the latter, to an inefficient disinfection of old materials and/or the presence of *Salmonella* vectors, such as lizards, birds or rodents (Andrés-Barranco *et al.*, 2014). Besides strategies directed to correct these risk factors, other measures could be implemented to reduce MLN infections in sows, such as nutritional programs including the addition of organic acids or prebiotics (Andrés-Barranco *et al.*, 2015).</u>

Since some studies suggest a vertical dissemination of *Salmonella* from breeding to fattening pigs (EFSA, 2011), correction of risk factors associated to salmonellosis in breeding sows would contribute to improve the epidemiological status and, thus, to minimize the risk of pork food contamination from farm to fork.

Overall, moderate-low prevalence of *Salmonella* was observed in sows MLN of Navarra vertically-integrated production system, in agreement with the moderate-low prevalence observed in fattening pigs of the same framework. These results indicated that Navarra is a swine production area of Spain offering safe pork products for humans. However, correction of the risk factors identified here would contribute to improve the control of this important zoonosis at farm level and, thus, the competitiveness of this economical sector.

Acknowledgments

The work was financed by Departamento de Industria, Energía e Innovación of the Navarra Government [reference IIQ14064.RI1] and Instituto Navarro de Tecnología e Infraestructuras Agroalimentarias S.A. -INTIA- [reference CAM2011030054].

VG, SS, BSR and LMG contracts were funded by UPNA postdoctoral fellowship, Erasmus Mundus EMUNDUS18 Program, CSIC JAE-Doc Program, and INIA-European Social Fund, respectively. We are grateful to farmers, veterinaries and slaughterhouse workers as well as to the temporal students Naroa Remondegui, June Landa (JAE-Intro CSIC-FEDER fellowship), Ruth Erro and Mirian Samblas. We thank John Wild for the English revision.

Author disclosure

Authors have not conflict of interest.

REFERENCES

Andrés-Barranco S, Vico JP, Garrido V, Samper S, Herrera-León S, de Frutos C, Mainar-Jaime RC. Role of wild bird and rodents in the epidemiology of subclinical salmonellosis in finishing pigs. Foodborne Pathog Dis 2014; 11:689-697.

Andrés-Barranco S, Vico JP, Grilló MJ, Mainar-Jaime RC. Reduction of subclinical *Salmonella* infection in fattening pigs after dietary supplementation with a β -galactomannan oligosaccharide. J Appl Microbiol 2015; 118:284–294.

Arnold ME, Cook AJ. Estimation of sample sizes for pooled faecal sampling for detection of *Salmonella* in pigs. Epidemiol Infect 2009; 137(12):1734-1741.

CLSI, 2013: Performance Standards for Antimicrobial Disk and Dilution Susceptibility Tests for Bacteria Isolated From Animals; Approved Standard Fourth Edition. CLSI document VET01-A4. Wayne, PA: Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute.

DOUE, 2003: Regulation (EC) No 2160/2003 of the European Parliament and of the council on the control of *Salmonella* and other species food-borne zoonotic agents. Official J of the European Union. <u>https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?uri=OJ:L:2003:325:TOC</u>

DOUE, 2006: Commission Decision of 29 September 2006 concerning a financial contribution from the Community towards a baseline survey on the prevalence of *Salmonella* in slaughter pigs to be carried out in the Member States. 2006/668/EC. Official J European Union 49, L275. https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-

content/EN/TXT/?uri=uriserv:OJ.L .2006.275.01.0051.01.ENG&toc=OJ:L:2006:275:FULL

ECDC, 2018: European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control (ECDC). Antimicrobial consumption. In: European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control. Annual epidemiological report for 2017. Stockholm: European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control.

Echeíta MA, Aladuena AM, Díez R, Arroyo M, Cerdán F, Gutierrez R, de la Fuente M, González-Sanz R, Herrera-Leon S, Usera MA. Serotype and phage type distribution of human *Salmonella* strains isolated in Spain, 1997-2001. Enferm Infecc Microbiol Clin 2005; 23:127-134.

Con formato: Inglés (Reino Unido) Código de campo cambiado Con formato: Inglés (Reino Unido) European Food Safety Authority (EFSA). Opinion of the scientific panel on biological hazards on Risk assessment and mitigation options of *Salmonella* in pig production. EFSA J 2006; 341:1-131.

European Food Safety Authority (EFSA). Report of the Task Force on Zoonoses data collection on the analysis of the baseline survey on the prevalence of *Salmonella* in slaughter pigs. Part A. EFSA J 2008; 135:1-111.

European Food Safety Authority (EFSA). Analysis of the baseline survey on the prevalence of *Salmonella* in holdings with breeding pigs in the EU, 2008. Part A: *Salmonella* prevalence estimates. EFSA J 2009; 7:93 pp.

European Food Safety Authority (EFSA). Analysis of the baseline survey of *Salmonella* in holdings with breeding pigs, in the EU, 2008; Part B: Analysis of factors potentially associated with *Salmonella* pen positivity. EFSA J 2011; 9:2329.

European Food Safety Authority (EFSA) and European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control (ECDC). The European Union summary report on trends and sources of zoonoses, zoonotic agents and food-borne outbreaks in 2013. EFSA J 2015; 13:1-312.

European Food Safety Authority (EFSA) and European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control (ECDC). The European Union summary report on trends and sources of zoonoses, zoonotic agents and food-borne outbreaks in 2015. EFSA J 2016; 14:1-231.

European Food Safety Authority (EFSA) and European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control (ECDC). The European Union summary report on antimicrobial resistance in zoonotic and indicator bacteria from humans, animals and food in 2017. EFSA J 2019; 17(2):5598, 278 pp

European Medicines Agency (EMA), European Surveillance of Veterinary Antimicrobial Consumption (ESVM). Sales of veterinary antimicrobial agents in 30 European countries in 2016. EMA/275982/2018.

Garrido V, Sánchez S, San Román B, Zabalza-Baranguá A, Díaz-Tendero Y, de Frutos C, Mainar-Jaime RC, Grilló MJ. Simultaneous infections by different *Salmonella* strains in mesenteric lymph nodes of finishing pigs. BMC Vet Res 2014; 10:59.

Grimont PA, Weill FX, 2007: Antigenic formulae of the *Salmonella* serovars. In: I. P. a. WHO. (ed.). Institute Pasteur and World Health Organization. Collaboration Centre for Reference Research on *Salmonella*.

International Organisation for Standardisation (ISO) 6579:2002/Amd 1:2007. Microbiology of Food and Animal Feeding Stuffs. Horizontal Method for the Detection of *Salmonella* spp. Annex D: Detection of *Salmonella* spp. in Animal Faeces and in Samples from the Primary Production Stage. Geneve, Switzerland.

Keteran K, Brown J, Shotts EB Jr.: *Salmonella* in the mesenteric lymph nodes of healthy sows and hogs. Am J Vet Research 1982; 43:706-707.

Mainar-Jaime RC, Andrés S, Vico JP, San Román B, Garrido V, Grilló MJ. Sensitivity of the ISO 6579:2002/Amd 1:2007 standard method for detection of *Salmonella* spp. on mesenteric lymph nodes from slaughter pigs. J Clin Microbiol 2013; 51:89-94.

Ministerio de Agricultura Pesca y Alimentación (MAPA). 2012. El sector de la carne de cerdo en cifras: Principales indicadores económicos en 2011, http://www.eurocarne.com/informes/pdf/indicadores-economicos-carnede-ce76.pdf, pp. 1-76.

Ministerio de Agricultura Pesca y Alimentación (MAPA). 2018. El sector de la carne de cerdo en cifras: Principales indicadores económicos en 2017. Subdirección General de Productos Ganaderos.https://www.mapa.gob.es/es/ganaderia/estadisticas/indicadoreseconomicoscarnede cerdo2017comentarios_tcm30-379728.pdf

Merle R, Kosters S, May T, Portsch U, Blaha T, Kreienbrock L. Serological *Salmonella* monitoring in German pig herds: results of the years 2003-2008. Prev Vet Med 2011; 99:229-233.

Meroc E, Strubbe M, Vangroenweghe F, Czaplicki G, Vermeersch K, Hooyberghs J. Van der Stede Y. Evaluation of the *Salmonella* surveillance program in Belgian pig farms. Prev Vet Med 2012; 105:309-314.

Missotten JA, Michiels J, Degroote J, De Smet S. Fermented liquid feed for pigs: an ancient technique for the future. J Anim Sci Biotechnol. 2015; 6:4.

Mousing J, Jensen PT, Halgaard C, Bager F, Feld N, Nielsen B, Nielsen JP, Bech-Nielsen S. Nation-wide *Salmonella enterica* surveillance and control in Danish slaughter swine herds. Prev Vet Med 1997:29, 247-261.

Murray PR, Baron EJ, Jorgensen JH, Phaller MA, Yolken RH. Manual of Clinical Microbiology, p. 1212. ASM, Press, Washington, DC, 2003.

San Román B, Garrido V, Sánchez S, Martínez-Ballesteros I, Garaizar J, Mainar-Jaime RC, Migura-García L, Grilló MJ. Relationship between *Salmonella* infection, shedding and serology in fattening pigs in moderate prevalence areas. Zoonoses Public Health 2018;65, 481-489.

Scherer K, Szabo I, Rosler U, Appel B, Hensel A, Nockler K. Time course of infection with *Salmonella* Typhimurium and its influence on fecal shedding, distribution in inner organs, and antibody response in fattening pigs. J Food Prot 2008;71, 699-705.

Van Winsen RL, Lipman LJ, Biesterveld S, Urlings BA, Snijders JM, Van Knapen F. Mechanism of *Salmonella* reduction in fermented pig feed. J Sci Food Agric 2000;81:342-346.

Van Winsen RL, Van Nes A, Keuzenkamp D,. Urlings HA, Lipman LJ, Biesterveld S, Snijders JM, Verheijden JH, Van Knapen F. Monitoring of transmission of *Salmonella enterica* serovars in pigs using bacteriological and serological detection methods. Vet Microbiol 2001;80, 267-274.

Vico JP, Engel B, Buist WG, Mainar-Jaime RC. Evaluation of three commercial enzymelinked immunosorbent assays for the detection of antibodies against *Salmonella* spp. in meat juice from finishing pigs in Spain. Zoonoses Public Health 2010;57 Suppl 1, 107-114.

Vico JP, Mainar-Jaime RC. The use of meat juice or blood serum for the diagnosis of *Salmonella* infection in pigs and its possible implications on *Salmonella* control programs. J Vet Diagn Invest 2011;23, 528-531.