



This document is a postprint version of an article published in *Environmental and Experimental Botany* © Elsevier after peer review. To access the final edited and published work see <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envexpbot.2020.104333>

Document downloaded from:



1 **Drought Resistance in Oat Involves ABA-mediated Modulation of Transpiration and Root**
2 **Hydraulic Conductivity**

3

4 Francisco J. Canales¹, Nicolas Rispail¹, Omar García-Tejera², Vicent Arbona³, Alejandro Pérez-
5 de-Luque⁴ and Elena Prats¹

6

7

8 ¹ CSIC, Institute for Sustainable Agriculture, Córdoba, Spain.

9 ² IRTA Institute of Agrifood Research and Technology. Torre Marimon, 08140 Caldes de Montbui
10 Barcelona. Spain

11 ³ Departamento de Ciencias Agrarias del Medio Natural. Universitat Jaume I, Castelló de la Plana,
12 Spain.

13 ⁴ IFAPA, Centro Alameda del Obispo, Área de Mejora y Biotecnología, Apdo. 3092, 14080
14 Córdoba, Spain

15

16

17 For correspondence: E. Prats

18 CSIC, Institute for Sustainable Agriculture, Apdo. 4084, E-14080 Córdoba, Spain.

19 Tel: +34 957499291

20 E-mail: elena.prats@ias.csic.es

21

22

23

24

25

26

1 **ABSTRACT**

2 Drought is one of the most important constraints to crop productivity worldwide. Control of plant
3 responses to drought is very complex. The mechanisms and their intensity may differ between
4 species and/or genotypes ultimately conditioning tolerance or susceptibility. We explore here the
5 strategy set up by two oat cultivars to cope with drought based on root morphological, anatomical,
6 physiological and molecular studies. A dramatic and rapid abscisic acid increase in the
7 susceptible genotype resulted in a tight and rapid reduction of stomatal conductance. Despite of
8 this, leaf water potential decreased concomitantly due to a decrease in root hydraulic conductivity.
9 By contrast, the resistant genotype, showed a mild and slow increase in abscisic acid that allowed
10 maintaining transpiration longer. This response was linked to an increase in root hydraulic
11 conductance through an increase in total root length and in the length of the thinnest roots as well
12 as a rise in root conductivity. This was also coupled with anatomical changes leading to a
13 reduction of metabolic cost. These changes allowed the resistant genotype to maintain higher
14 water potential reducing drought symptoms and promoting growth under water deficit conditions.

15

16 Key words: abscisic acid; drought; hydraulic conductance; oat; root morphology; transpiration.

17

1 INTRODUCTION

2
3 Drought is an important constraint to agriculture that has significant impacts in both developed
4 and developing countries. Expectedly, there will be an increase of acute drought events under
5 the climate change scenario threatening food production (Lobell and Gourdji, 2012). Therefore,
6 the development of cultivars with better drought adaptation is nowadays a priority in many crop-
7 breeding programs. However, the solution is not simple. Drought tolerance or resistance - as
8 these terms are now commonly used interchangeably (Passioura, 2012) - has several meanings.
9 It ranges from the ability to survive a severe water deficit to, as we consider, the ability to use
10 more efficiently a limited water supply to maintain leaf water status, photosynthesis and yield.
11 Limited water supply may refer to unusual low rainfall during the crop growing season leading to
12 gradual depletion of water from the soil or particular episodes of dryness that may alternate with
13 episodes of rain. Therefore, a particular genotype should not be labelled as tolerant or susceptible
14 in absolute terms, but in relation to the drought stress considered and within a particular
15 agronomic context, since there are no universal genotypes or traits that cover all these
16 possibilities.

17
18 Oat (*Avena sativa* L.) is an important cereal crop cultivated for grain, feed, fodder and straw over
19 approximately 10 million hectares worldwide (FAO, 2017). During the last 20 years, there has
20 been a steady increase in the oat cultivated area within the Mediterranean rim (Rispaill et al.,
21 2018; Sánchez-Martín et al., 2017). Oat transpiration rates and, hence, water requirements, are
22 usually higher than those of other small grain cereals (Ehlers, 1989). Therefore, one of the
23 challenges faced by this crop in the Mediterranean area is its poor adaptation to drought. Oats
24 are especially susceptible to grain abortion caused by drought, which shows as empty spikelets
25 (Sánchez-Martín et al., 2017). In the Mediterranean agroecosystem, most of the cultivated oats
26 are grown under rainfed conditions. Therefore, the common scenario is the gradual water
27 depletion over time, associated with the increased water demand by the growing crop and higher
28 rates of evapotranspiration as season progresses.

29
30 Yield *per se* has very low heritability in drought-prone environments. Thus, yield-based selection
31 has been unsuccessful in the past, except in multi-site and multi-season field trials of highly
32 advanced breeding lines (Rebetzke et al., 2012). Therefore, yield is not a direct target in breeding
33 programs. By contrast, both above- and belowground morphological, physiological and
34 biochemical targets, directly related with growth and yield, have shown to be more heritable and
35 useful. Detailed phenotype information is doubly useful, since it allows a deeper understanding
36 of the functional significance of genes and the development of selection tools for breeding.
37 Recently, the term “phene” has been coined to define the elemental unit of a phenotype (Lynch
38 and Brown, 2012), with the analogy “phene is to phenotype as gene is to genotype” and is
39 replacing the more ambiguously used term ‘trait’ (Violle et al., 2007). It is important to discriminate
40 those phenes that contribute to resistance strategies from those that are reflecting stress

1 damages observed in susceptible genotypes (Sánchez-Martín et al., 2015, 2017). This trait or
2 phene-based selection or ideotype breeding is generally a more efficient selection strategy,
3 allowing the identification of useful sources of variation (Araus et al., 2002; Lynch, 2011; York et
4 al., 2013). Plant adaptation to water deficit involve several morphological, physiological and
5 molecular changes through which plants increase their ability to avoid damage (avoidance
6 mechanisms) and/or to maintain its metabolic functions under water limiting conditions (tolerance
7 mechanisms). Upon recognition of water stress conditions, many plants react conservatively
8 rapidly reducing transpiration and thus “saving water”. However, this promotes oxidative stress
9 and decreases carbon fixation and plant growth. As an alternative, other plant species follow a
10 “water spending” strategy, showing lower sensitivity to evaporative demand and soil moisture
11 through higher stomata control. These plants shows greater fluctuations in leaf potential while
12 they maintain photosynthesis and avoid oxidative stress. However, this strategy may exhibit a
13 higher risk of xylem embolism.

14

15 Previous genotype x environment interaction studies (GxE) based on multi-site field trials of a
16 large oat panel revealed two cultivars with similar flowering time but different adaptation to
17 Mediterranean environments (Sánchez-Martín et al., 2014). Cultivar Patones showed better
18 adaptation presenting higher yield, biomass and resistance to rust than cv. Flega in both the
19 average and the driest environments assessed (Rispaill et al., 2018; Sánchez-Martín et al., 2014).
20 These genotypes have been extensively studied under controlled conditions to dissect the
21 particular responses that lead to the observed drought resistance to gradual water depletion
22 (Canales et al., 2019a; Canales et al., 2019b; Sánchez-Martín et al., 2018; Sánchez-Martín et al.,
23 2015; Sánchez-Martín et al., 2012). These studies focused on the plant shoot responses. They
24 revealed an early decrease in leaf turgor in the susceptible genotype followed by an early and
25 tight stomatal closure and the insufficient induction of antioxidant pathways. This led to an
26 excessive ROS accumulation that damaged the photosynthetic apparatus and decreased cell
27 membrane stability (Sánchez-Martín et al., 2015). In turn, these studies suggested that the
28 resistant cultivar had a less conservative water use and maintained moderate transpiration for
29 longer. This genotype should engage additional responses/mechanisms allowing the success of
30 its strategy and a better performance under drought conditions. By contrast, the “saving water”
31 strategy of susceptible Flega might have deleterious side effects, even though it is often
32 considered as an efficient drought resistance response (Hepworth et al., 2015; Kholova et al.,
33 2010; Li et al., 2017).

34

35 In this work, we explored further the conservative “saving water” strategy in cultivar Flega and a
36 “water spending” strategy in resistant cultivar Patones. To this aim we dissected their biochemical,
37 physiological, morphological and anatomical responses over an imposed water deficit time course
38 under controlled conditions. This revealed the contribution of specific phenes the resistance
39 response that could be useful to improve the oat crop performance under drought conditions.

40

1 MATERIALS AND METHODS

3 1. Plant material, growth condition and sampling

5 Experiments were carried out with the oat (*Avena sativa*) cultivars (cvs) 'Flega' and 'Patones',
6 which are susceptible and resistant to drought stress, respectively, under controlled and field
7 conditions (Sánchez-Martín et al., 2018; Sánchez-Martín et al., 2015; Sánchez-Martín et al.,
8 2012). Patones exhibits a good adaptation to Mediterranean agro-climatic conditions (Sánchez-
9 Martín et al., 2014). It was developed by 'Instituto Madrileño de Investigación y Desarrollo Rural,
10 Agrario y Alimentario' (IMIDRA, Madrid, Spain), and 'Plant Genetic Resources Center' (INIA,
11 Madrid, Spain) provided the seeds. Flega was developed by the Cereal Institute (Thermi-
12 Thessaloniki, Greece). These genotypes are not closely genetically related according to previous
13 studies (Montilla-Bascón et al., 2013).

15 Plants were grown under controlled conditions according to Sánchez-Martín et al., (2018, 2015,
16 2012) in 0.75 L pots (one plant per pot) filled with peat : sand (2:1), in a growth chamber at 20°C,
17 65% relative humidity and under 12 h dark/12 h light with $250 \mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ photon flux density
18 supplied by white fluorescent tubes (OSRAM, Garching, Germany). During growth, trays carrying
19 the pots were watered regularly with tap water. After three weeks, water was withheld from
20 drought-treated plants (Sánchez-Martín et al., 2018; Sánchez-Martín et al., 2015; Sánchez-Martín
21 et al., 2012) producing a gradual soil water depletion until plants were around 38 days old. Control
22 plants were watered regularly throughout the experiment. During the drought treatment, the
23 relative soil water content (sRWC) was monitored gravimetrically daily, reaching a level of
24 approximately 15–20% by the end of the experiment (18 days withholding water) which is
25 consistent with previous drought-related studies on oat (Gong et al., 2010). This ensured that
26 during the whole drought time course Flega and Patones plants were subjected to similar sRWC
27 and hence to similar stress doses as previously observed (Sánchez-Martín et al., 2018; Sánchez-
28 Martín et al., 2015; Sánchez-Martín et al., 2012).

30 Sampling times were chosen to cover different levels of sRWC: still-sufficient water
31 (approximately 6 days after water withholding (daww), 55-60% sRWC), mild water deficit (9 daww,
32 40-45% sRWC), moderate water deficit (12 daww, 30-35% sRWC), high water deficit (15 daww,
33 20-25 % sRWC) and severe water deficit (18 daww; 15-20% sRWC). At each sampling date,
34 leaves and roots of five oat plants per cultivar and treatment (well-watered and droughted) from
35 each independent experiment were harvested, washed out under tap water to remove soil
36 residues and stored appropriately or immediately used according to the different experiments (see
37 below). At the latest time-point plants were 38 days-old and droughted plants had not reached
38 the wilting point.

40 2. Visual assessment of drought symptoms

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40

To confirm the response of the two cultivars under drought stress, drought symptoms were assessed in five replicates per genotype, treatment and sampling time and in two independent experiments according to previous work (Sánchez-Martín et al., 2018; Sánchez-Martín et al., 2015; Sánchez-Martín et al., 2012). Briefly, drought severity values were assessed daily according to a 0-5 scale where 0 = vigorous plant, with no leaves showing drought symptoms; 1 = one or two leaves show mild drought symptoms (less turgor) but most leaves remain erect; 2 = most leaves show mild levels of drought stress, however one or two leaves still show no drought symptoms; 3 = all leaves show mild drought symptoms; 4 = all leaves show severe drought symptoms including incipient wilting; 5 = the whole plant is wilted with all leaves starting to dry appearing rolled and/or shrunken (for pictures see (Sánchez-Martín et al., 2012)).

3. Physiological Measurements

3.1. Transpiration

Transpiration was calculated per time and leaf area in five replicates per genotype, treatment and sampling time gravimetrically. To fulfill this objective, both ends of pots were covered with polythene bags fixed to the pot with adhesive tape. A small slit was made in the top of the bag to allow the plant to pass through it. Control pots without plants showed minimum water loss. The initial and final (after 8 hours in the central time of photoperiod) pot weight was taken and transpired water was calculated by subtracting the final from the initial pot weight using a three decimal precision balance (Kern PLJ model PLS 420-3F, Germany). Plant leaf area was calculated by analyzing the scanned leaves (Epson Perfection V370 Photo scanner) with ImageJ software (Schneider et al., 2012) to normalize transpiration.

Plant transpiration were calculated by the formula:

$$\left(\frac{\text{Final pot weight} - \text{Initial pot weight}}{\text{Time} * \text{Leaf area}} \right) * 10E9$$

3.2. Stomatal conductance

Stomatal conductance was measured in ten plants per cultivar, treatment and sampling time with an AP4 cycling porometer (Delta-T Devices Ltd, Cambridge, UK). The porometer allows rapid measurement of a high number of samples with a relatively large leaf area (17.5 x 2.5 mm) in a non-destructive manner. It was used on the mid of the adaxial surface of leaf laminae. Measurements were carried out in the second leaves at each sampling time and were taken at midday.

3.3. Leaf water potential

1

2 Leaf water potential (Ψ_{leaf}) was measured at midday and one hour before the light period (pre-
3 dawn) on the second leaf of four replicate plants per cultivar, treatment and sampling time using
4 a pressure chamber (Model 3000F01 Plant Water Status Console; Soil Moisture Equipment
5 Corp., Santa Barbara, CA, USA). Leaves were detached with a razor blade from the plants and
6 the cut surface was cleaned with deionized water and filter paper to remove cellular debris. Then
7 the leaf was introduced in the chamber with the cut end exposed at atmospheric pressure. Excess
8 pressure was applied slowly and carefully by forcing compressed nitrogen into the pressure
9 chamber until xylem sap bubbles could be seen with a magnifying glass on the cut surface. The
10 pressure at which this occurred was recorded.

11

12 *3.4. Root hydraulic conductance*

13

14 Root hydraulic conductance was measured, according to Garcia-Tejera et al. (2016), with some
15 modifications, in four replicate plants per cultivar, treatment and sampling time using a pressure
16 chamber (Model 3000F01 Plant Water Status Console; Soil Moisture Equipment Corp., Santa
17 Barbara, CA, USA). Plants were extracted from their pots and immersed in water to remove part
18 of the substrate, taking extreme care not to disturb the root system. Then the upper part of the
19 plant was cut 5 cm above the plant collar; the remaining shoot stub was covered with paraffin
20 from the collar to 1 cm below the cut in order to avoid any radial water flux into it. The detached
21 root system was then fixed to the specimen holder of the pressure chamber using an appropriate
22 rubber seal, in such a way that after the chamber closure, the root system was completely
23 immersed in water. All the measurements were performed inside the growth chamber at 20°C
24 (± 0.5 °C) to avoid any thermal shock to the root system.

25

26 Three different pressures were applied: 0.05, 0.1 and 0.15 MPa. The xylem sap flowing through
27 the root system at each pressure was collected during periods of at least 10 min using cotton-
28 filled sample tubes previously weighted in a three decimal precision balance (Kern PLJ model
29 PLS 420-3F, Germany). The weight of the sample tube plus the xylem sap after 10 min was
30 obtained with the same precision balance. The flux was then calculated by dividing the difference
31 of dry and wet weight of the tube by the time interval. The process was repeated several times
32 until the flux difference between measurements was $< 0.5\%$, then, the flux was considered to be
33 constant and the measurement was recorded. Root hydraulic conductivity (conductance per root
34 unit) was calculated following morphological root trait assessment (see below).

35

36 *3.5. Dry mass*

37

38 Root and shoot dry weight were measured in five plants per cultivar, treatment and sampling time.
39 Roots were thoroughly washed out to remove plant substrate. Roots and shoots were then dried

1 in an oven at 70°C during four days. Dried root and shoot biomass were weighted in a three
2 decimal precision balance (Kern PLJ model PLS 420-3F, Germany).

3 4 **4. Abscisic acid quantification**

5
6 Before ABA extraction, tissue previously frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80°C were
7 lyophilized. ABA was extracted from leaves and roots of three samples per genotype, treatment
8 and sampling time. Each sample consisted of a pool of two leaves or two complete root systems
9 from independent plants. Samples were quantified as previously described (De Ollas et al., 2013)
10 with slight modifications. Briefly, 0.2 g of dry plant material was extracted in 2 mL of distilled H₂O
11 after spiking with 25 µL of a 2 mg L⁻¹ solution of d6-ABA as internal standard. After centrifugation
12 (10.000 × g at 4°C), supernatants were recovered and pH adjusted to 3.0 with 30% acetic acid.
13 The acidified water extract was partitioned twice against 3 mL of diethyl ether. The organic layer
14 was recovered and evaporated under vacuum in a centrifuge concentrator (Speed Vac, Jouan,
15 Saint Herblain Cedex, France). The dry residue was then resuspended in a 9:1 H₂O: MeOH
16 solution by sonication. The resulting solution was filtered and directly injected into a UPLC system
17 (Waters Acquity SDS, Waters Corp., Milford, MA) interfaced to a TQD triple quadrupole
18 (Micromass Ltd., Manchester, UK) mass spectrometer through an orthogonal Z-spray
19 electrospray ion source. Separations were carried out on a Gravity C18 column (50 × 2.1 mm,
20 1.8-µm, Macherey-Nagel GmbH, Germany) using a linear gradient of MeOH and H₂O
21 supplemented with 0.1% acetic acid at a flow rate of 300 µL min⁻¹. Transitions for ABA/d6-ABA
22 (263>153/269>159) were monitored in negative ionization mode. Quantitation was achieved by
23 external calibration with known amounts of pure standard using Masslynx v4.1 software.

24 25 **5. Morphological root trait assessment**

26
27 Roots were thoroughly washed out and stained with an abundant volume of 0.01% neutral red
28 (Sigma Chemical Co.) for 24 hours to increase contrast for further analysis (Schumacher et al.,
29 1983). The stained roots were placed in a transparent tray with a thin layer of water and scanned
30 using a commercial scanner (Epson Perfection V370 Photo) at a resolution of 600 pixels per mm.
31 Root images were analyzed using WinRHIZO (Regent Instruments Inc., Québec City, QC,
32 Canada) as described by Himmelbauer et al. (2004) and total root length, surface, volume,
33 average diameter, number of forks and root length per diameter class were recorded.

34 35 **6. Root anatomy**

36
37 To investigate root anatomical features, root samples stored in 70% (v/v) ethanol were dissected
38 following the procedure described by Pregitzer et al.(2002) in order compare roots from same
39 order. The most distal root tips were labeled as the first order, two first order roots joined to form

1 a second order root, and two second order roots joined to form a third order root, and so on. At
2 the different sampling time only first, second and third order roots had been developed.

3
4 Samples from the three root orders of four plants per cultivar, treatment and sampling time were
5 fixed in FAA (50 % ethanol + 5 % formaldehyde + 10 % glacial acetic acid in water) for 48 h and
6 embedded in synthetic resin (Historesin; Leica Microsystems GmbH). Cross sections (2 μm
7 thickness) were obtained using a rotary microtome (RM 2245; Leica Microsystems) with carbon-
8 tungsten blades (TC-65; Leica Microsystems). Sections were placed on slides, stained with 0.1%
9 toluidine blue-o (TBO) solution in citrate buffer (pH 5) and sealed with mounting medium (Entellan;
10 Merck). Samples were observed using an optical microscope (Eclipse 50i; Nikon Instruments
11 Inc.), and images were acquired with a digital camera (DS-Fi1; Nikon Instruments Inc.) connected
12 to a computer through the control unit DS-U2 (Nikon Instruments Inc.). Cortex, stele and total
13 xylem areas were measured using NIS Elements v4.5 software for Windows (Nikon Corporation).
14 In addition, number of cortex rows and xylem vessels were also recorded. Following preliminary
15 observations, we found that anatomical root structures had not been completely developed in first
16 and second order roots, so only third order roots were assessed.

17 18 **7. Statistical analysis**

19
20 All experiments followed completely randomized designs. The number of replications ranged
21 between 3 and 10 as specified for each recorded data. Four main independent experiments (plus
22 additional preliminary experiments to set up experimental conditions) were carried out. The first
23 was conducted to record stomatal conductance, the second to record visual symptoms,
24 transpiration, leaf area and fresh and dry weight, the third to measure visual symptoms and
25 hormones, and the last one to record leaf water potential, hydraulic conductivity, root morphology
26 and anatomy. For stomatal conductance and visual symptoms, measurements were taken on the
27 same plants all along the drought time course. For the rest of experiments only the second leaves
28 and/or the complete root system was measured/harvested at each time point and the rest of the
29 plant was discarded.

30
31 For statistical analysis, data recorded as percentages were transformed to arcsine square roots
32 (transformed value = $180/\pi \times \arcsin[\sqrt{(\%/100)}]$) to normalize data and stabilize variances
33 throughout the data range. However, for ease of understanding means of raw percentage data
34 are presented in figures. Data were subjected to three-factor analysis of variance (ANOVA) with
35 genotype, treatment and time as factors using SPSS software and residual plots were inspected
36 to confirm normality of the distribution. In addition, significance of differences between means at
37 each time point was determined by contrast analysis (Scheffe's).

38 39 **RESULTS**

1 **1. Quick and tight stomatal closure associated with fast increases of abscisic acid** 2 **rapidly reduced total transpiration in the susceptible oat genotype**

3
4 In the present work, Flega and Patones behaved as susceptible and resistant to drought
5 respectively. Accordingly, Flega showed earlier and stronger drought symptoms in terms of loss
6 of turgor and early senescence (Supplemental Fig 1). As showed in Figure 1, the susceptible cv
7 Flega closed its stomata earlier than the resistant Patones under drought. These results
8 confirmed those previously obtained in similar experiments (Canales et al., 2019b; Sánchez-
9 Martín et al., 2018; Sánchez-Martín et al., 2015; Sánchez-Martín et al., 2012). Under well-watered
10 conditions, both genotypes showed similar behavior. However, we observed that Flega started
11 earlier to significantly reduce stomatal conductance when subjected to gradual water depletion.
12 Overall, significant differences between genotypes were detected under water deficit conditions
13 ($P<0.001$). Stomatal conductance of droughted Flega plants was significantly lower than its well-
14 watered control from approximately 60% of sRWC (6 daww) onwards, whereas in the resistant
15 Patones it decreased significantly from 40% sRWC (10 daww). Measurements of total plant
16 transpiration recorded in a different experiment, confirmed these data (Fig. 2). Accordingly, Flega
17 showed faster and tighter reduction of transpiration from approximately 60% sRWC, whereas it
18 started at 40% sRWC in Patones. At 15-20% sRWC (18 daww) transpiration was negligible in
19 both genotypes (Fig 2) that had similar stomatal conductance during light and dark periods (Fig
20 1, Sánchez-Martín et al., 2012). This indicated that plants were already suffering severe water
21 deficit at this time despite they had still not reached the wilting point that occurred in the
22 susceptible genotype more than one week later. A detailed study of hourly transpiration at 45%
23 of sRWC showed that transpiration level of droughted plants were lower than those of well-
24 watered plants reaching a steady state 4-5 hours after the onset of the light photoperiod. At this
25 sRWC, a significant difference between Flega and Patones was detected with Flega transpiring
26 less than Patones during the complete steady state period (Supplemental Fig 2).

27
28 Further analysis showed that the early stomatal closure in Flega was associated with a strong
29 and early accumulation of ABA in root and leaves (Fig 3 a, b). Overall, ABA production in both
30 genotypes followed a similar trend although the amount of ABA produced differed. In roots, ABA
31 increased slightly earlier than in leaves and maintained a steady increase proportional to water
32 depletion in the soil (Fig 3b). In leaves, ABA concentration dramatically increased at an early
33 stage reaching a plateau, from 30-35% or 20-25% sRWC in Flega and Patones respectively,
34 which is maintained throughout the remaining drought time course (Fig 3a). The genotypic
35 differences in ABA concentration under drought were highly significant ($P<0.001$) even at the
36 earliest sampling time (6 daww) in roots. Levels of ABA were almost 2-fold higher in the
37 susceptible Flega compared with the resistant Patones in all plant organs. In addition, ABA
38 concentration was correlated with the level of transpiration (Fig 3c) with levels of around 35-40
39 ng ABA mg⁻¹ DW associated with a reduction of more than 80% of the maximum transpiration.
40 Similar level of transpiration was achieved in both genotypes at similar level of ABA (Fig 3c).

1 However, similar levels of sRWC induced higher production of ABA in Flega than in Patones
2 (Supplemental Fig. 3). Since transpiration was almost completely inhibited at the lowest level of
3 sRWC in both genotypes and ABA concentration in Flega almost doubled that of Patones at that
4 time, a question remains about the possible role of the additional ABA production in Flega.

5
6 ABA levels have been reported to refine root development and modify leaf elongation and
7 expansion during water deficit (Farooq et al., 2013; Reddy et al., 2014). Thus, root/shoot dry mass
8 ratio was measured (Fig 4). Overall, well-watered Flega showed a significant higher root/shoot
9 dry weight ratios than well-watered Patones. By contrast, under drought conditions, root/shoot
10 dry weight ratio was significantly higher in Patones overall.

11 12 **2. Reduction of transpiration in the susceptible genotype do not contribute to** 13 **improve water status**

14
15 It might be expected that the reduction of transpiration observed in Flega, could “save water” in
16 this genotype improving its water status. To assess the plant water status, leaf water potential
17 was evaluated during the steady state at midday and pre-dawn. The steady state was
18 characterized in a preliminary experiment taking hourly measurements of leaf water potential in
19 both genotypes (Supplemental Fig. 4). Pre-dawn leaf water potential is representative of soil water
20 status. Accordingly, it was less negative than midday leaf water potential (Fig. 5). Midday and
21 pre-dawn leaf water potential were similar in the two genotypes under well-watered conditions
22 (Fig. 5). As sRWC reduced, leaf water potential progressively decreased, although it was
23 maintained consistently higher during pre-dawn period compared with midday measurements.
24 The later was true for all measurements except for the most limiting sRWC in Flega. At that stage,
25 midday and pre-dawn leaf water potential reached similar high negative values, supporting the
26 severe drought symptoms observed in this genotype at that time. Despite its reduced
27 transpiration, Flega showed more negative leaf water potential than Patones throughout the
28 assessed sRWC levels. The statistical analysis showed a highly significant interaction between
29 genotype and treatment ($P<0.001$) indicating that although the two genotypes responded with a
30 similar pattern, they showed very different kinetic with Flega reducing earlier and faster its water
31 potential (Fig. 5).

32 33 **3. Improved water status in the resistant genotype correlated with an increase of** 34 **hydraulic conductance and conductivity coupled with growth of fine roots.**

35
36 As shown above, Patones maintained a better water status at limiting sRWC than Flega, despite
37 its higher transpiration rate. An explanation for this might be a higher root conductance
38 (conductance of the whole root system) in the resistant genotype that, by improving water
39 transport could maintain the leaf water content together with moderate transpiration levels. To
40 test this hypothesis, we studied root conductance through steady state experiments in which we

1 measured the volume exuded through the neck of the plants at increasing hydrostatic (pneumatic)
2 pressure gradient. In a preliminary experiment, we established the pressures at which the water
3 volume increased linearly over time (Supplemental Fig. 5).

4
5 Data showed similar total root conductance and conductivity (conductance per root unit) for Flega
6 and Patones cultivars under well-watered conditions. Interestingly the total conductance of control
7 plants slightly increased over the experiment whereas hydraulic conductivity slightly decreased.
8 The former might reflect the increasing root volume whereas the later might reflect the higher
9 proportion of older roots with lower conductivity. Under limited water access, the two genotypes
10 showed a different response. The resistant Patones showed a significant and higher root
11 conductance ($P<0.001$; Fig. 6a) and conductivity ($P<0.001$; Fig. 6b) than the susceptible Flega.
12 Flega hydraulic conductance and conductivity decreased concomitantly with gradual water
13 depletion. By contrast, Patones showed an initial increase of conductance and conductivity that
14 coincided with an increase in root density, arising from the intense formation of fine roots with
15 diameters smaller than 0.5 mm (Fig 7). Accordingly, the average root diameters of the resistant
16 genotype were significantly smaller than those of the susceptible Flega (Fig 7). At root level, Flega
17 was more vigorous than Patones under well-watered conditions. However water stress
18 completely arrested root growth in this genotype whereas it was maintained in Patones, which
19 could be an important adaptive response to water deprivation.

20
21 These data suggested that an increase of root conductance in the resistant genotype might
22 contribute to the better water status observed allowing the maintenance of moderate transpiration
23 levels. This is supported by the highly significant correlation between root conductance and
24 transpiration ($r=0.60$; $P<0.001$; Table 1). Root conductance was also significantly correlated with
25 root length and leaf area (Table 1), indicating, as expected, the importance of leaf turgor in leaf
26 expansion.

27 28 **4. Early root anatomical changes in the resistant genotype favored root growth and** 29 **hydraulic conductivity**

30
31 The higher hydraulic conductivity observed in the resistant genotype compared with Flega might
32 be explained by the higher proportion of fine roots detected in Patones. However, it has been
33 reported that specific root anatomical changes might also contribute to increase the hydraulic
34 conductance per root unit favoring water transport from soil to xylem vessels. In order to explore
35 this possibility, we compared several root anatomical parameters between susceptible and
36 resistant genotypes (Supplemental Fig. 6). Overall, water deficit reduced root diameter (Fig. 8)
37 confirming previous results. Gradual soil water depletion also increased lignification of stele cells
38 and vessels as suggested by the stronger blue turquoise staining observed in this area. However,
39 the experimental setup hampered the detection of differences in lignification between genotypes
40 (Fig 8). Interestingly, Patones showed early changes in several anatomical traits, including a

1 significant reduction of the number of cortical cell layers, of the stele area and of the metaxylem
2 area as compared with susceptible Flega ($P < 0.001$ for all mentioned traits; Fig 9). Gradual water
3 depletion also reduced the cortex area in both cultivars. The reduction initiated earlier in the
4 resistant Patones. At the latest sampling times, reduction of the cortex area was similar in both
5 genotypes. Drought treatment also induced the formation of aerenchyma areas ($P = 0.03$)
6 decreasing the living cortex area. However, no significant differences were observed between
7 genotypes in the extent of aerenchyma formation. No interaction was observed between
8 genotypes and treatments for several of the assessed parameters, indicating the induction of a
9 general drought response common to both genotypes. However, this drought response was
10 generally stronger and faster in resistant Patones as shown by the stronger reduction detected
11 for most anatomical traits (i.e. cortical cell number, stele area, and xylem area; Fig 9).

12

13 A detailed correlation between morphological and anatomical traits showed that under drought,
14 total root conductance and root conductivity were negatively correlated with the number of cortical
15 cell layers (Fig 10). Root conductance was positively correlated with root hydraulic conductivity
16 and with morphological and anatomical phenes. Under well-watered conditions, root length and
17 finest root length were positively correlated with morphological and anatomical phenes. However,
18 they were negatively correlated with these phenes under drought conditions. Average root
19 diameter was significantly correlated with most of the anatomical traits under both well-watered
20 and drought conditions, and most anatomical phenes were correlated among them (Fig 10).

21

22 **DISCUSSION**

23

24 Plants develop a variety of mechanisms to successfully adapt to harsh environments. The
25 success of a particular strategy relies on the intensity and/or seasonal distribution of the stress
26 and should be considered within the agronomic context (Passioura, 2012). Under particular
27 drought conditions reduction of stomatal conductance/transpiration is a mean to save water and
28 resist drought (Hepworth et al., 2015; Kholova et al., 2010; Li et al., 2017). However, stomatal
29 closure is generally a negative response from an agronomic point of view, whose interest is to
30 maximize CO₂ fixation under drought stress and not so much survival under severe drought
31 (Blum, 2009, 2015; Galmes et al., 2007; Galmes et al., 2013). Thus, higher yielding wheat, rice
32 or cotton genotypes under drought stress had greater stomatal conductance (Araus et al., 2002;
33 Blum et al., 1982; Izanloo et al., 2008). Transpiration maintenance *per se* is not enough to
34 preserve photosynthesis under drought, as it must be coupled with a variety of
35 responses/mechanisms to maintain the water status necessary for cell functioning and
36 photosynthesis. Identification of these particular responses and phenes that contribute to a
37 successful drought adaptation would be valuable to improve drought resistant crops. In this work,
38 we focused on two previously characterized oat genotypes with markedly different behavior as
39 shown in field G x E studies (Sánchez-Martín et al., 2017; Sánchez-Martín et al., 2014). Patones
40 is a genotype well-adapted to dry Mediterranean environments while Flega is susceptible as

1 shown by its lower yield under field drought or severe drought symptoms in seedlings under
2 drought controlled conditions (Canales et al., 2019b; Sánchez-Martín et al., 2018; Sánchez-
3 Martín et al., 2015; Sánchez-Martín et al., 2012). In this study, we explored the strategy followed
4 by the better drought-adapted genotype to maintain transpiration and leaf water status. We
5 performed these studies under controlled conditions as previously (Canales et al., 2019a;
6 Canales et al., 2019b; Sánchez-Martín et al., 2018; Sánchez-Martín et al., 2015; Sánchez-Martín
7 et al., 2012). Yield and its components are best phenotyped in field trials. However the
8 measurement of plant secondary traits under controlled conditions allows accurate control of the
9 main environmental parameters, such as moisture stress, air humidity, temperature, or light,
10 necessary for exhaustive phenome dissection, which is more difficult under field conditions
11 (Tuberosa, 2012). This exhaustive phenome dissection may offer focused targets for further
12 validation under field conditions.

13

14 Maintenance of leaf turgor is an important adaptive mechanism that plays a key role in stomata
15 regulation and photosynthetic activities under water stress conditions (Lipiec et al., 2013). A
16 variety of determinants may drive stomatal closure, ABA production being one of the most
17 important, particularly under drought. ABA accumulation is a universal response observed in
18 plants subjected to drought and other abiotic stresses (Quarrie, 1991; Setter, 2006). Therefore,
19 ABA has been widely considered as a fundamental component of the mechanisms allowing the
20 plant to match water demand with water supply and to optimize growth and survival under water
21 deficit conditions (Borel et al., 2001; Xiong et al., 2007; Zhang and Davies, 1990). Additionally,
22 ABA modulates the expression of a large number of genes whose products protect the cell from
23 the harmful effects of dehydration (Bray, 2002; Seki et al., 2007). ABA has been shown for
24 instance to induce proline accumulation (Pál et al., 2018; Stewart, 1980). In agreement with this,
25 our results showed that accumulation of ABA in roots and leaves was among the earliest
26 responses observed during gradual water depletion in both genotypes (Fig 3). However, the
27 comparison of the response of susceptible and resistant accessions during gradual water
28 depletion revealed a novel differential ABA modulation between genotypes. Strikingly, a higher
29 and faster increase in ABA concentration was detected in the susceptible Flega. At the lowest
30 sRWC, ABA in Flega doubled Patones ABA content, despite transpiration was almost completely
31 inhibited in both genotypes. This larger ABA accumulation in the susceptible cultivar might play
32 additional roles. On one hand, similar to the ABA accumulation, an increase in proline was
33 observed in this genotype (Sánchez-Martín et al., 2015). On the other hand, it might be linked to
34 non-desirable collateral effects considering the susceptible phenotype of Flega. In cereals, ABA
35 accumulation has been highlighted as one of the factors influencing reproductive fertility (Boyer
36 and Westgate, 2004; Landi et al., 2001; Saini and Westgate, 2000; Setter and Flannigan, 2001;
37 Tang et al., 2008; Yang et al., 2007; Zhang et al., 2009) and endosperm development (Mambelli
38 and Setter, 1998; Ober et al., 1991; Seiler et al., 2011; Setter et al., 1996; Tuberosa et al., 1992).
39 The higher ABA concentration in Flega might, thus, be related with an attempt to preserve fertility
40 under stress. The potential effect of ABA on additional responses is still under debate since

1 different effects were observed depending on the ABA concentration and stress situation. For
2 instance, ABA content have been positively correlated with root hydraulic conductivity (Glinka,
3 1977; Morillon and Chrispeels, 2001; Thompson et al., 2007). However, Markhart et al., (1979)
4 observed the opposite trend with a marked reduction of the root system conductivity at high ABA
5 concentrations. Concentrations applied by Glinka (1977) were about 10-fold lower than those
6 applied by Markhart et al. (1979), suggesting that ABA action might depends on its concentration
7 range (Beaudette et al., 2007). Markhart et al. (1979), demonstrated that root hydraulic
8 conductivity was determined by the root membrane lipid characteristics, and that ABA interacts
9 with or alters the membrane that is rate-limiting to water flow. Our previous results showed that
10 Flega and Patones membrane lipid content was differentially affected during gradual soil water
11 depletion (Sánchez-Martín et al., 2018) supporting the role of membrane lipids on conductivity
12 (Scoffoni et al., 2017). Markhart et al. (1979) and others (i.e. Guschina et al., 2002) proposed that
13 ABA could stabilize membranes of damaged cells by reducing the mobility of the hydrocarbon
14 chain which would affect the conductivity. Thus, early and moderate ABA accumulation would be
15 responsible of stomatal regulation and/or hydraulic conductivity increase. By contrast, the
16 dramatic ABA accumulation observed in Flega, which correlated with an early decrease in cell
17 membrane stability, might be a consequence of the damage, rather than an indication of tolerance
18 (Ashraf and Foolad, 2007; Sánchez-Martín et al., 2015). ABA effect on hydraulic conductivity
19 could also be exerted through, for instance, changes in aquaporin expression, which are altered
20 by abiotic factors, such as drought (Parent et al., 2009; Sanchez-Romera et al., 2018). The
21 importance of aquaporins in hydraulic conductivity has been previously demonstrated (Maurel et
22 al., 2008). Different studies suggested that high abundance of aquaporins increases hydraulic
23 conductivity whereas low abundance reduces water permeability of biological membranes (Martre
24 et al., 2002). In addition aquaporin activity could be regulated by their
25 phosphorylated/dephosphorylated state (Aroca et al., 2005). However, the effect of ABA on
26 hydraulic conductivity have not been clearly demonstrated with studies showing no or only
27 transient effect (Hose et al., 2000; Aroca et al., 2003; Wan and Zwiazek, 2001), which might be
28 explained by differences in ABA concentrations.

29

30 The Flega reduction of stomatal conductance could be a way of saving water. However, it did not
31 improve its water status due to the sharp decrease in its root hydraulic conductance. By contrast,
32 the resistant genotype increased root hydraulic conductance maintaining longer an adequate leaf
33 water status. The increase in hydraulic conductance was correlated with transpiration ($R=0.60$).
34 Similar or higher correlation coefficient were also reported in Arabidopsis, grapevine, eucalyptus
35 or sugarcane ((Franks, 2006; Meinzer and Grantz, 1990; Vandeleur et al., 2009; Maurel et al.,
36 2010). These reports point to a strong link between root conductance and integrated carbon
37 fixation in shoots and suggest that optimized water transport could facilitate plant growth at low
38 transpiration (Maurel et al., 2010). The significant correlation that we observed between root
39 conductance, leaf area and root length also support this hypothesis. Indeed, it has been shown
40 that reduction in leaf water potential slows down leaf expansion, and limits radiation capture

1 (Ehlert et al., 2009). Thus, the higher root conductance observed in Patones might facilitate water
2 transport to leaves even at low transpiration allowing maintenance of photosynthesis and growth.

3
4 Different root morphological and developmental characteristics favored the resistant genotype to
5 cope with water deficit. The observed increase in hydraulic conductance in Patones was
6 associated to an increase of the total root density and hydraulic conductivity (referred as the
7 conductance per root unit). ABA has also been associated with root growth, but this too is under
8 debate. In artificially-induced water deprived maize seedlings, ABA accumulation enhanced the
9 root/shoot ratio (Sharp, 2002; Sharp et al., 2004; Spollen et al., 2000), while a reduction of ABA
10 content in rice roots has been advocated as a mean to better exploit subsoil water under mild or
11 transient water deficit (Siopongco et al., 2008; Siopongco et al., 2009). The actual ABA
12 concentration seems to be the key. Studies by Sharp and co-workers showed that at low water
13 potential, ABA accumulation is required to maintain maize root elongation, but excessive
14 accumulation did not promote it further and even slightly inhibited it (Sharp, 2002; Sharp et al.,
15 1994). The higher ABA accumulation observed in Flega, as compared to Patones, might reduce
16 its root growth rate as observed here and in a previous work (Canales et al., 2019b). This, together
17 with the overall higher root thickness would increase the resistance to water transport resulting in
18 the more negative water potential observed. By contrast in Patones, the observed new root growth
19 with higher conductivity (Steudle, 2000), might contribute to its better water status.

20
21 According to our data, the different hydraulic conductance observed in the two genotypes was
22 associated with changes not only of the root density but also of root anatomy. Root cross sections
23 of the two genotypes showed increased lignification, which acts as apoplastic barrier for water
24 and ion flow (Stasovski and Peterson, 1991; Taleisnik et al., 1999). This drought-induced root
25 lignification may contribute to minimize water losses to the dry soil when the potential gradient is
26 high and in the wrong direction (Steudle, 2000). Interestingly, important root anatomical
27 differences were observed between the resistant and susceptible genotypes during water stress.
28 Upon gradual water depletion, the two genotypes reduced the number of cortical cell layers and
29 the cortex, stele and xylem area. These changes were only detected at the most reduced sRWC
30 in the susceptible Flega while they initiated at earlier stages in the resistant genotype. A reduced
31 number of cortical cell layers is considered an adaptive advantage under water deficit conditions
32 because it shortens the radial length of apoplast that water has to cross to reach the stele, and it
33 increases root hydraulic properties (Vadez, 2014). In addition, it might contribute to reduce the
34 metabolic cost of root system development (Chimungu et al., 2014; Lynch and Ho, 2005). Plant
35 resource allocation to root growth typically increases under drought to enhance water acquisition.
36 A reduction of the metabolic cost of root growth would facilitate the plant access to water and
37 confers superior productivity as it increases the metabolic resources available for further resource
38 acquisition, growth and reproduction (Chimungu et al., 2014), as observed in Patones. However,
39 the smaller distance between soil and stele could also be a drawback considering the inverse flux
40 of water under drought conditions. The formation of root cortical lacunae or root cortical

1 aerenchyma that interrupt the radial pathway for water movement from the stele to the soil is
2 considered a strategy to overcome this problem. The formation of these root aerenchyma also
3 contribute to reduce the metabolic cost by transforming living cortical cells in air volume
4 (Chimungu et al., 2014; Zhu et al., 2010). These lacunae were observed in Flega and Patones
5 under drought stress reducing the living cortex area. Interestingly, the reduced stele area
6 observed in Patones could also be related with a reduction of root respiration costs and plant
7 tolerance to drought (Jaramillo et al., 2013). In addition, Patones also significantly reduced its
8 xylem area. This characteristic has also been reported in drought tolerant rice genotypes (Henry
9 et al., 2012) and would be a mean to decrease the risk of xylem embolisms occurring during the
10 most severe drought conditions (Scoffoni et al., 2017). During drought stress the negative sap
11 pressure increases and if this exceeds the threshold value defined by the anatomical
12 characteristics, cavitation occurs (Hacke et al., 2001; Sperry and Tyree, 1988). Xylem vessels
13 with a large diameter are more susceptible to embolism than smaller vessels (Smith et al., 2013;
14 Tyree and Sperry, 1989). Thus, reducing xylem area under conditions of constrained water uptake
15 is an adaptive response that has been previously reported in drought resistant plants (Haworth et
16 al., 2017). Under non-limiting water supply, larger xylem vessels permit movement of water with
17 lower resistance, facilitating growth (Hacke et al., 2000; Villar-Salvador et al., 1997). Our data,
18 showing a highly significant positive correlation between root length and anatomical phenes (i.e.
19 xylem area, average diameter, or cortex area) in well-watered conditions but negative correlation
20 during gradual water depletion, support the latter statement and highlight the importance of the
21 modulation of the different anatomical phenes as adaptive drought response.

22

23 Overall, this study showed that adaptation to gradual water depletion is based on many functional
24 and morpho-anatomical phenes expressed in different organs at different levels (model presented
25 in Fig 11). Since they are not mutually exclusive, different phene combination might lead to
26 different adaptive strategies. The mechanisms triggered by the two oat genotypes studied were
27 not different in absolute terms although their fine-tuning differed widely. One of the key factors for
28 drought tolerance in oat was the maintenance of root growth. Two interpretations can arise from
29 the differential root growth observed in the two genotypes studied. On one hand, the limited
30 capacity of Flega to promote root growth, would reduce water and nutrient availability in this
31 genotype. Consequently, it would suffer more severely than Patones, leading to a reduction of
32 stomatal conductance, transpiration and hydraulic conductivity. On the other hand, a dramatic
33 and fast ABA response in Flega following drought sensing might contribute to the early reduction
34 of stomata conductance hence hindering assimilation and root growth which could lead to a
35 vicious circle aggravating and fastening its drought symptoms. From our time-course data we can
36 infer that drought sensing occurs very early in both genotypes since they reduced shoot growth
37 as early as 6 daww altering root/shoot ratio under drought. This early drought sensing triggered
38 a dramatic ABA response in Flega as compared to that observed in Patones. The theory of an
39 uncontrolled ABA response in Flega would be supported by the almost 2 fold ABA concentration
40 detected in Flega by the end of the time-course, while the moderate concentration detected in

1 Patones was sufficient to almost completely close stomata. The possible role/side effect of this
2 additional ABA production in Flega remains unknown as discussed above, although it could be
3 related to its effect on auxin transport regulation. It has been recently reported that root auxin
4 transport *via* PIN1 is limited in an ABA-regulated manner, with high ABA levels decreasing auxin
5 concentrations, meristem size and root growth (Rowe et al., 2016). In agreement with this, ABA
6 response in Flega, was observed at 6 daww, before root growth reduction. The two interpretations
7 thus, are not incompatible but complementary. The root length increase in Patones, observed
8 from 12 daww, may have contributed to a higher water availability, which contributed to maintain
9 higher conductance, transpiration and water potential. Consequently, it may have had a positive
10 feedback promoting further root growth. Our data suggest, nevertheless, that this would not have
11 been possible if the initial and further ABA response in Patones had been similar to that observed
12 in Flega.

13

14 Considering the early ABA increase in Flega, prior to any observed root growth decrease, we
15 might say that Flega showed a quite conservative response. The early reduction of stomata and
16 root conductance would primarily impact the water potential gradients along the soil-root-shoot
17 continuum inducing water-saving reaction in the leaves (Maurel et al. 2010). The down regulation
18 of the root conductance might also be considered as a protective reaction to restrict a possible
19 backflow of water from the plant into the soil. However, this strategy resulted in earlier drought
20 symptoms and lower yield and biomass under gradual water deficit as observed under controlled
21 conditions and in the field. By contrast, the resistant Patones followed a more opportunistic and/or
22 risky strategy since the high conductivity might facilitate a backward flow of water from the plant
23 into the drying soil, even taking into account that its higher root growth increases water availability.
24 The mechanism driving water flux (cohesion-tension) during transpiration would also place xylem
25 under tension, making it vulnerable to cavitation-induced embolism. However, other mechanisms
26 including the changes in root anatomy were orchestrated in order to minimize these risks and the
27 overall result was a better leaf water status maintaining transpiration longer, which resulted in
28 prolonged photosynthetic activity and higher yield and biomass in the field (Sánchez-Martín et al.
29 2015; Sánchez-Martín et al. 2014).

30

31 Our data show the importance of the orchestration of interconnected mechanisms to cope with
32 gradual water depletion. Thus, selecting for increased transpiration without considering the
33 increased conductivity necessary to maintain water status and/or the anatomical root changes
34 that promote root growth and limit the risk of embolisms would not be successful. The strategies
35 observed in the two genotypes cannot be labelled as beneficial or harmful in absolute terms as it
36 would depend on the stress intensity or dynamics of the seasonal water deficit. Our data showed
37 that under the gradual water depletion, the 'water spending' model observed in Patones has
38 advantages over the most conservative 'water saving' model observed in Flega, which could be
39 more appropriate in the harshest environments. The data presented showed a comprehensive

1 understanding of the successful sequence of responses, which can facilitate oat improvement for
2 water stress in Mediterranean environments.

3 4 5 **CONCLUSION**

6
7 In this study, we dissected two different strategies to cope with water deficit: the most conservative
8 “water saving” strategy vs the more opportunistic and/or risky “water spending” strategy in oats.
9 A dramatic and early ABA accumulation in the susceptible genotype resulted in a tight and rapid
10 reduction of stomatal conductance. Despite of this, leaf water potential decreased concomitantly
11 due to a decrease in root hydraulic conductivity. By contrast, the resistant genotype, showed a
12 mild and slow ABA accumulation that allowed a longer maintenance of transpiration. This
13 response was associated with an increase in root hydraulic conductance and conductivity through
14 the promotion of total root length and the length of the thinnest roots. This was also coupled with
15 anatomical changes reducing metabolic cost. These changes allowed the resistant genotype to
16 maintain higher water potential, reducing drought symptoms and promoting growth under water
17 deficit conditions. In summary, this work advances our knowledge about the resistance
18 mechanisms engaged at root and whole plant levels to cope with drought in oats. It reveals the
19 importance of the fine-tuning of interconnected mechanisms at biochemical, physiological,
20 morphological and anatomical level as part of a complex strategy to cope with gradual water
21 depletion. In addition, we identified several gene aggregates that could contribute to improve
22 drought tolerance in oats.

23 24 **AUTHOR CONTRIBUTION**

25
26 FJC conducted most of the experimental work and data analysis. VA supervised the abscisic acid
27 quantification and their results. APL supervised the root anatomy assessment and their results.
28 OGT supervised the leaf water potential and root hydraulic conductivity as well as their results
29 and contributed to the interpretation of results. NR and EP steered the research, designed
30 experiments, and contributed to the interpretation of results and writing of the manuscript. All
31 authors also contributed to critical reading and writing.

32 33 **CONFLICT OF INTEREST**

34
35 The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

36 37 **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

38
39 This work was supported by the Spanish Ministry of Economy and Competitiveness [AGL2016-
40 78965AGR], (AEI/FEDER, UE) and regional government through the AGR-253 group, the

1 European Regional and Social Development Funds. FJC is holder of a FPI fellowship from the
2 Spanish Ministry of Economy and Competitiveness [BES-2014-071044]. We thank Dr. Ordaz for
3 his valuable comments to improve the manuscript.

4

5 REFERENCES

- 6 Araus, J.L., Slafer, G.A., Reynolds, M.P., Royo, C., 2002. Plant breeding and drought in C-3
7 cereals: What should we breed for? *Annals of Botany* 89, 925-940.
- 8 Aroca, R., Amodeo, G., Fernándezllescas, S., Herman, E.M., Chaumont, F., Chrispeels, M.J.,
9 2005. The role of aquaporins and membrane damage in chill-ing and hydrogen peroxide
10 induced changes in the hydraulic conduct-ance of maize roots. *Plant Physiology* 137, 341–
11 353.
- 12 Aroca, R., Vernieri, P., Irigoyen, J.J., Sanchez-Diaz, M., Tognoni, F., Pardossi, A., 2003.
13 Involvement of abscisic acid in leaf and root of maize (*Zea mays* L.) in avoiding chilling-
14 induced water stress. *Plant Science* 165, 671-679.
- 15 Ashraf, M., Foolad, M.R., 2007. Roles of glycine betaine and proline in improving plant abiotic
16 stress resistance. *Environmental and Experimental Botany* 59, 206-216.
- 17 Beaudette, P.C., Chlup, M., Yee, J., Emery, R.J.N., 2007. Relationships of root conductivity and
18 aquaporin gene expression in *Pisum sativum*: diurnal patterns and the response to HgCl₂
19 and ABA. *Journal of Experimental Botany* 58, 1291-1300.
- 20 Blum, A., 2009. Effective use of water (EUW) and not water-use efficiency (WUE) is the target of
21 crop yield improvement under drought stress. *Field Crops Research* 112, 119-123.
- 22 Blum, A., 2015. Towards a conceptual ABA ideotype in plant breeding for water limited
23 environments. *Functional Plant Biology* 42, 502-513.
- 24 Blum, A., Mayer, J., Gozlan, G., 1982. Infrared thermal sensing of plant canopies as a screening
25 technique for dehydration avoidance in wheat. *Field Crops Research* 5, 137-146.
- 26 Borel, C., Frey, A., Marion-Poll, A., Tardieu, F., Simonneau, T., 2001. Does engineering abscisic
27 acid biosynthesis in *Nicotiana plumbaginifolia* modify stomatal response to drought? *Plant*
28 *Cell and Environment* 24, 477-489.
- 29 Boyer, J.S., Westgate, M.E., 2004. Grain yields with limited water. *Journal of Experimental Botany*
30 55, 2385-2394.
- 31 Bray, E.A., 2002. Abscisic acid regulation of gene expression during water-deficit stress in the
32 era of the *Arabidopsis* genome. *Plant Cell and Environment* 25, 153-161.
- 33 Canales, F.J., Montilla-Bascon, G., Risipail, N., Prats, E., 2019a. Salicylic acid regulates
34 polyamine biosynthesis during drought responses in oat. *Plant Signaling & Behavior* 14.
- 35 Canales, F.J., Nagel, K.A., Müller, C., Risipail, N., Prats, E., 2019b. Deciphering root architectural
36 traits involved to cope with water deficit in oat. *Frontiers in Plant Science* Accepted pending
37 revisions.
- 38 Chimungu, J.G., Brown, K.M., Lynch, J.P., 2014. Reduced root cortical cell file number improves
39 drought tolerance in maize. *Plant Physiology* 166, 1943-U1151.

- 1 De Ollas, C., Hernando, B., Arbona, V., Gomez-Cadenas, A., 2013. Jasmonic acid transient
2 accumulation is needed for abscisic acid increase in citrus roots under drought stress
3 conditions. *Physiologia Plantarum* 147, 296-306.
- 4 Ehlers, W., 1989. Transpiration efficiency of oat. *Agronomy Journal* 81, 810-817.
- 5 Ehlerl, C., Maurel, C., Tardieu, F., Simonneau, T., 2009. Aquaporin-mediated reduction in maize
6 root hydraulic conductivity impacts cell turgor and leaf elongation even without changing
7 transpiration. *Plant Physiology* 150, 1093-1104.
- 8 Farooq, M., Irfan, M., Aziz, T., Ahmad, I., Cheema, S.A., 2013. Seed priming with ascorbic acid
9 improves drought resistance of wheat. *Journal of Agronomy and Crop Science* 199, 12-22.
- 10 Franks, P.J., 2006. Higher rates of leaf gas exchange are associated with higher leaf
11 hydrodynamic pressure gradients. *Plant Cell and Environment* 29, 584-592.
- 12 Galmes, J., Flexas, J., Save, R., Medrano, H., 2007. Water relations and stomatal characteristics
13 of Mediterranean plants with different growth forms and leaf habits: responses to water
14 stress and recovery. *Plant and Soil* 290, 139-155.
- 15 Galmes, J., Ochogavia, J.M., Gago, J., Roldan, E.J., Cifre, J., Conesa, M.A., 2013. Leaf
16 responses to drought stress in Mediterranean accessions of *Solanum lycopersicum*:
17 anatomical adaptations in relation to gas exchange parameters. *Plant Cell and*
18 *Environment* 36, 920-935.
- 19 Garcia-Tejera, O., Lopez-Bernal, A., Villalobos, F.J., Orgaz, F., Testi, L., 2016. Effect of soil
20 temperature on root resistance: implications for different trees under Mediterranean
21 conditions. *Tree Physiology* 36, 469-478.
- 22 Glinka, Z., 1977. Effects of abscisic-acid and of hydrostatic-pressure gradient on water-movement
23 through excised sunflower roots. *Plant Physiology* 59, 933-935.
- 24 Gong, D.-S., Xiong, Y.-C., Ma, B.-L., Wang, T.-M., Ge, J.-P., Qin, X.-L., Li, P.-F., Kong, H.-Y., Li,
25 Z.-Z., Li, F.-M., 2010. Early activation of plasma membrane H⁺-ATPase and its relation to
26 drought adaptation in two contrasting oat (*Avena sativa* L.) genotypes. *Environmental and*
27 *Experimental Botany* 69, 1-8.
- 28 Guschina, I.A., Harwood, J.L., Smith, M., Beckett, R.P., 2002. Abscisic acid modifies the changes
29 in lipids brought about by water stress in the moss *Atrichum androgynum*. *New Phytologist*
30 156, 255-264.
- 31 Hacke, U.G., Sperry, J.S., Pittermann, J., 2000. Drought experience and cavitation resistance in
32 six shrubs from the Great Basin, Utah. *Basic and Applied Ecology* 1, 31-41.
- 33 Hacke, U.G., Stiller, V., Sperry, J.S., Pittermann, J., McCulloh, K.A., 2001. Cavitation fatigue.
34 Embolism and refilling cycles can weaken the cavitation resistance of xylem. *Plant*
35 *Physiology* 125, 779-786.
- 36 Haworth, M., Centritto, M., Giovannelli, A., Marino, G., Proietti, N., Capitani, D., De Carlo, A.,
37 Loreto, F., 2017. Xylem morphology determines the drought response of two *Arundo donax*
38 ecotypes from contrasting habitats. *Global Change Biology Bioenergy* 9, 119-131.
- 39 Henry, A., Cal, A.J., Batoto, T.C., Torres, R.O., Serraj, R., 2012. Root attributes affecting water
40 uptake of rice (*Oryza sativa*) under drought. *Journal of Experimental Botany* 63, 4751-4763.

- 1 Hepworth, C., Doheny-Adams, T., Hunt, L., Cameron, D.D., Gray, J.E., 2015. Manipulating
2 stomatal density enhances drought tolerance without deleterious effect on nutrient uptake.
3 *New Phytologist* 208, 336-341.
- 4 Himmelbauer, M.L., Loiskandl, W., Kastanek, F., 2004. Estimating length, average diameter and
5 surface area of roots using two different Image analyses systems. *Plant and Soil* 260, 111-
6 120.
- 7 Hose, E., Steudle, E., Hartung, W., 2000. Abscisic acid and hydraulic conductivity of maize roots:
8 a study using cell- and root-pressure probes. *Planta* 211, 874-882.
- 9 Izanloo, A., Condon, A.G., Langridge, P., Tester, M., Schnurbusch, T., 2008. Different
10 mechanisms of adaptation to cyclic water stress in two South Australian bread wheat
11 cultivars. *Journal of Experimental Botany* 59, 3327-3346.
- 12 Jaramillo, R.E., Nord, E.A., Chimungu, J.G., Brown, K.M., Lynch, J.P., 2013. Root cortical burden
13 influences drought tolerance in maize. *Annals of Botany* 112, 429-437.
- 14 Kholova, J., Hash, C.T., Kakkera, A., Kocova, M., Vadez, V., 2010. Constitutive water-conserving
15 mechanisms are correlated with the terminal drought tolerance of pearl millet *Pennisetum*
16 *glaucum* (L.) R. Br. *Journal of Experimental Botany* 61, 369-377.
- 17 Landi, P., Sanguineti, M.C., Conti, S., Tuberosa, R., 2001. Direct and correlated responses to
18 divergent selection for leaf abscisic acid concentration in two maize populations. *Crop*
19 *Science* 41, 335-344.
- 20 Li, Y.P., Li, H.B., Li, Y.Y., Zhang, S.Q., 2017. Improving water-use efficiency by decreasing
21 stomatal conductance and transpiration rate to maintain higher ear photosynthetic rate in
22 drought-resistant wheat. *Crop Journal* 5, 231-239.
- 23 Lipiec, J., Doussan, C., Nosalewicz, A., Kondracka, K., 2013. Effect of drought and heat stresses
24 on plant growth and yield: a review. *International Agrophysics* 27, 463-477.
- 25 Lobell, D.B., Gourdjji, S.M., 2012. The influence of climate change on global crop productivity.
26 *Plant Physiology* 160, 1686-1697.
- 27 Lynch, J.P., 2011. Root phenes for enhanced soil exploration and phosphorus acquisition: tools
28 for future crops. *Plant Physiology* 156, 1041-1049.
- 29 Lynch, J.P., Brown, K.M., 2012. New roots for agriculture: exploiting the root phenome.
30 *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B-Biological Sciences* 367, 1598-1604.
- 31 Lynch, J.P., Chimungu, J.G., Brown, K.M., 2014. Root anatomical phenes associated with water
32 acquisition from drying soil: targets for crop improvement. *Journal of Experimental Botany*
33 65, 6155-6166.
- 34 Lynch, J.P., Ho, M.D., 2005. Rhizoeconomics: Carbon costs of phosphorus acquisition. *Plant and*
35 *Soil* 269, 45-56.
- 36 Mambelli, S., Setter, T.L., 1998. Inhibition of maize endosperm cell division and endoreduplication
37 by exogenously applied abscisic acid. *Physiologia Plantarum* 104, 266-272.
- 38 Markhart, A.H., Fiscus, E.L., Naylor, A.W., Kramer, P.J., 1979. Effect of abscisic-acid on root
39 hydraulic conductivity. *Plant Physiology* 64, 611-614.

- 1 Martre, P., Morillon, R., Barrieu, F., North, G., Nobel, P., Chrispeels, M., 2002. Plasma membrane
2 aquaporin play a significant role during recovery from water deficit. *Plant Physiology* 130,
3 2101–2110.
- 4 Maurel, C., Simonneau, T., Sutka, M., 2010. The significance of roots as hydraulic rheostats.
5 *Journal of Experimental Botany* 61, 3191-3198.
- 6 Maurel, C., Verdoucq, L., Luu, D.-T., Santoni, V., 2008. Plant aquaporins: Membrane channels
7 with multiple integrated functions. *Annual Review of Plant Biology* 59, 595-624.
- 8 Meinzer, F.C., Grantz, D.A., 1990. Stomatal and hydraulic conductance in growing sugarcane -
9 stomatal adjustment to water transport capacity. *Plant Cell and Environment* 13, 383-388.
- 10 Montilla-Bascón, G., Sanchez-Martin, J., Rispail, N., Rubiales, D., Mur, L., Langdon, T., Griffiths,
11 I., Howarth, C., Prats, E., 2013. Genetic diversity and population structure among oat
12 cultivars and landraces. *Plant Molecular Biology Reporter* 31, 1305-1314.
- 13 Morillon, R., Chrispeels, M.J., 2001. The role of ABA and the transpiration stream in the regulation
14 of the osmotic water permeability of leaf cells. *Proceedings of the National Academy of
15 Sciences of the United States of America* 98, 14138-14143.
- 16 Ober, E.S., Setter, T.L., Madison, J.T., Thompson, J.F., Shapiro, P.S., 1991. Influence of water
17 deficit on maize endosperm development - enzyme-activities and RNA transcripts of starch
18 and zein synthesis, abscisic-acid, and cell-division. *Plant Physiology* 97, 154-164.
- 19 Pál, M., Tajti, J., Szalai, G., Peeva, V., Végh, B., Janda, T., 2018. Interaction of polyamines,
20 abscisic acid and proline under osmotic stress in the leaves of wheat plants. *Scientific
21 Reports* 8, 12839.
- 22 Parent, B., Hachez, C., Redondo, E., Simonneau, T., Chaumont, F., Tardieu, F., 2009. Drought
23 and abscisic acid effects on aquaporin content translate into changes in hydraulic
24 conductivity and leaf growth rate: a trans-scale approach. *Plant Physiology* 149, 2000-
25 2012.
- 26 Passioura, J.B., 2012. Phenotyping for drought tolerance in grain crops: when is it useful to
27 breeders? *Functional Plant Biology* 39, 851-859.
- 28 Pregitzer, K.S., DeForest, J.L., Burton, A.J., Allen, M.F., Ruess, R.W., Hendrick, R.L., 2002. Fine
29 root architecture of nine North American trees. *Ecological Monographs* 72, 293-309.
- 30 Quarrie, S.A., 1991. Implications of genetic differences in ABA accumulation for crop production,
31 in: Daviesand, W.J.J., H.G. (Ed.), *Abscisic Acid: Physiology and Biochemistry*. Bios
32 Scientific Publishers, Oxford,UK, pp 227–243.
- 33 Rebetzke, G.J., Bonnett, D.G., Ellis, M.H., 2012. Combining gibberellic acid-sensitive and
34 insensitive dwarfing genes in breeding of higher-yielding, sesqui-dwarf wheats. *Field Crops
35 Research* 127, 17-25.
- 36 Reddy, S.K., Liu, S., Rudd, J.C., Xue, Q., Payton, P., Finlayson, S.A., Mahan, J., Akhunova, A.,
37 Holalu, S.V., Lu, N., 2014. Physiology and transcriptomics of water-deficit stress responses
38 in wheat cultivars TAM 111 and TAM 112. *Journal of Plant Physiology* 171, 1289-1298.

- 1 Rispaill, N., Montilla-Bascon, G., Sanchez-Martin, J., Flores, F., Howarth, C., Langdon, T.,
2 Rubiales, D., Prats, E., 2018. Multi-environmental trials reveal genetic plasticity of oat
3 agronomic traits associated with climate variable changes. *Frontiers in Plant Science* 9.
- 4 Saini, H.S., Westgate, M.E., 2000. Reproductive development in grain crops during drought, in:
5 Sparks, D.L. (Ed.), *Advances in Agronomy*, Vol 68, pp 59-96.
- 6 Sánchez-Martín, J., Canales, F.J., Tweed, J.K.S., Lee, M.R.F., Rubiales, D., Gomez-Cadenas,
7 A., Arbona, V., Mur, L.A.J., Prats, E., 2018. Fatty acid profile changes during gradual soil
8 water depletion in oats suggests a role for jasmonates in coping with drought. *Frontiers in*
9 *Plant Science* 9.
- 10 Sánchez-Martín, J., Heald, J., Kingston-Smith, A., Winters, A., Rubiales, D., Sanz, M., Mur, L.A.J.,
11 Prats, E., 2015. A metabolomic study in oats (*Avena sativa*) highlights a drought tolerance
12 mechanism based upon salicylate signalling pathways and the modulation of carbon,
13 antioxidant and photo-oxidative metabolism. *Plant Cell and Environment* 38, 1434-1452.
- 14 Sánchez-Martín, J., Mur, L.A.J., Rubiales, D., Prats, E., 2012. Targeting sources of drought
15 tolerance within an *Avena* spp. collection through multivariate approaches. *Planta* 236,
16 1529-1545.
- 17 Sánchez-Martín, J., Rispaill, N., Flores, F., Emeran, A.A., Sillero, J.C., Rubiales, D., Prats, E.,
18 2017. Higher rust resistance and similar yield of oat landraces versus cultivars under high
19 temperature and drought. *Agronomy for Sustainable Development* 37.
- 20 Sánchez-Martín, J., Rubiales, D., Flores, F., Emeran, A.A., Shtaya, M.J.Y., Sillero, J.C., Allagui,
21 M.B., Prats, E., 2014. Adaptation of oat (*Avena sativa*) cultivars to autumn sowings in
22 Mediterranean environments. *Field Crops Research* 156, 111-122.
- 23 Sanchez-Romera, B., Calvo-Polanco, M., Manuel Ruiz-Lozano, J., Maria Zamarreno, A., Arbona,
24 V., Maria Garcia-Mina, J., Gomez-Cadenas, A., Aroca, R., 2018. Involvement of the *def-1*
25 mutation in the response of tomato plants to arbuscular mycorrhizal symbiosis under well-
26 watered and drought conditions. *Plant and Cell Physiology* 59, 248-261.
- 27 Schneider, C.A., Rasband, W.S., Eliceiri, K.W., 2012. NIH Image to ImageJ: 25 years of image
28 analysis. *Nature Methods* 9, 671-675.
- 29 Schumacher, T.E., Smucker, A.J.M., Eshel, A., Curry, R.B., 1983. Measurement of short-term
30 root-growth by prestaining with neutral red. *Crop Science* 23, 1212-1214.
- 31 Scoffoni, C., Albuquerque, C., Brodersen, C.R., Townes, S.V., John, G.P., Bartlett, M.K., Buckley,
32 T.N., McElrone, A.J., Sack, L., 2017. Outside-xylem vulnerability, not xylem embolism,
33 controls leaf hydraulic decline during dehydration. *Plant Physiology* 173, 1197-1210.
- 34 Seiler, C., Harshavardhan, V.T., Rajesh, K., Reddy, P.S., Strickert, M., Rolletschek, H., Scholz,
35 U., Wobus, U., Sreenivasulu, N., 2011. ABA biosynthesis and degradation contributing to
36 ABA homeostasis during barley seed development under control and terminal drought-
37 stress conditions. *Journal of Experimental Botany* 62, 2615-2632.
- 38 Seki, M., Matsui, A., Kim, J.-M., Ishida, J., Nakajima, M., Morosawa, T., Kawashima, M., Satou,
39 M., To, T.K., Kurihara, Y., Kaminuma, E., Endo, T., Mochizuki, Y., Kobayashi, N., Toyoda,
40 T., Shinozaki, K., 2007. *Arabidopsis* whole-genome transcriptome analysis under drought,

1 cold, high-salinity, and ABA treatment conditions using tiling array and 454 sequencing
2 technology. *Plant and Cell Physiology* 48, S8-S8.

3 Setter, T.L., 2006. The role of abscisic acid under water-limited conditions, in: Ribaut, J.M. (Ed.),
4 Drought Adaptation in Cereals. The Haworth Press, Inc., Binghamton, NY, USA, pp 505–
5 530.

6 Setter, T.L., Flannigan, B.A., 2001. Water deficit inhibits cell division and expression of transcripts
7 involved in cell proliferation and endoreduplication in maize endosperm. *Journal of*
8 *Experimental Botany* 52, 1401-1408.

9 Setter, T.L., Mambelli, S., Flannigan, B.A., Kim, S.E., 1996. Water deficit inhibition of cell division
10 in apical-kernel endosperms of maize: ABA and sugar responses. *Plant Physiology* 111,
11 225-225.

12 Sharp, R.E., 2002. Interaction with ethylene: changing views on the role of abscisic acid in root
13 and shoot growth responses to water stress. *Plant Cell and Environment* 25, 211-222.

14 Sharp, R.E., Poroyko, V., Hejlek, L.G., Spollen, W.G., Springer, G.K., Bohnert, H.J., Nguyen,
15 H.T., 2004. Root growth maintenance during water deficits: physiology to functional
16 genomics. *Journal of Experimental Botany* 55, 2343-2351.

17 Sharp, R.E., Wu, Y.J., Voetberg, G.S., Saab, I.N., Lenoble, M.E., 1994. Confirmation that
18 abscisic-acid accumulation is required for maize primary root elongation at low water
19 potentials. *Journal of Experimental Botany* 45, 1743-1751.

20 Siopongco, J., Sekiya, K., Yamauchi, A., Egdane, J., Ismail, A.M., Wade, L.J., 2008. Stomatal
21 responses in rainfed lowland rice to partial soil drying; Evidence for root signals. *Plant*
22 *Production Science* 11, 28-41.

23 Siopongco, J.D.L.C., Sekiya, K., Yamauchi, A., Egdane, J., Ismail, A.M., Wade, L.J., 2009.
24 Stomatal responses in rainfed lowland rice to partial soil drying; comparison of two lines.
25 *Plant Production Science* 12, 17-28.

26 Smith, M.S., Fridley, J.D., Yin, J.J., Bauerle, T.L., 2013. Contrasting xylem vessel constraints on
27 hydraulic conductivity between native and non-native woody understory species *Frontiers*
28 *in Plant Science* 4.

29 Sperry, J.S., Tyree, M.T., 1988. Mechanism of water stress-induced xylem embolism. *Plant*
30 *Physiology* 88, 581-587.

31 Spollen, W.G., LeNoble, M.E., Samuels, T.D., Bernstein, N., Sharp, R.E., 2000. Abscisic acid
32 accumulation maintains maize primary root elongation at low water potentials by restricting
33 ethylene production. *Plant Physiology* 122, 967-976.

34 Stasovski, E., Peterson, C.A., 1991. The effects of drought and subsequent rehydration on the
35 structure and vitality of *Zea mays* seedling roots. *Canadian Journal of Botany-Revue*
36 *Canadienne De Botanique* 69, 1170-1178.

37 Steudle, E., 2000. Water uptake by roots: effects of water deficit. *Journal of Experimental Botany*
38 51, 1531-1542.

39 Stewart, C.R., 1980. The mechanism of abscisic acid-induced proline accumulation in barley
40 leaves. *Plant Physiology* 66, 230-233.

- 1 Taleisnik, E., Peyrano, G., Cordoba, A., Arias, C., 1999. Water retention capacity in root segments
2 differing in the degree of exodermis development. *Annals of Botany* 83, 19-27.
- 3 Tang, R.S., Zheng, J.C., Jin, Z.Q., Zhang, D., Huang, H., Chen, L.G., 2008. Possible correlation
4 between high temperature-induced floret sterility and endogenous levels of IAA, GAs and
5 ABA in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *Plant Growth Regulation* 54, 37-43.
- 6 Thompson, A.J., Andrews, J., Mulholland, B.J., McKee, J.M.T., Hilton, H.W., Horridge, J.S.,
7 Farquhar, G.D., Smeeton, R.C., Smillie, I.R.A., Black, C.R., Taylor, I.B., 2007.
8 Overproduction of abscisic acid in tomato increases transpiration efficiency and root
9 hydraulic conductivity and influences leaf expansion. *Plant Physiology* 143, 1905-1917.
- 10 Tuberosa, R., 2012. Phenotyping for drought tolerance of crops in the genomics era. *Frontiers in*
11 *Physiology* 3.
- 12 Tuberosa, R., Sanguineti, M.C., Stefanelli, S., Quarrie, S.A., 1992. Number of endosperm cells
13 and weight of barley kernels in relation to endosperm abscisic acid content. *European*
14 *Journal of Agronomy* 1, 125–132.
- 15 Tyree, M.T., Sperry, J.S., 1989. Vulnerability of xylem to cavitation and embolism. *Annual Review*
16 *of Plant Physiology and Plant Molecular Biology* 40, 19-38.
- 17 Vadez, V., 2014. Root hydraulics: The forgotten side of roots in drought adaptation. *Field Crops*
18 *Research* 165, 15-24.
- 19 Vandeleur, R.K., Mayo, G., Shelden, M.C., Gilliam, M., Kaiser, B.N., Tyerman, S.D., 2009. The
20 role of plasma membrane intrinsic protein aquaporins in water transport through roots:
21 diurnal and drought stress responses reveal different strategies between isohydric and
22 anisohydric cultivars of grapevine. *Plant Physiology* 149, 445-460.
- 23 Villar-Salvador, P., Castro-Diez, P., Perez-Rontome, C., Montserrat-Marti, G., 1997. Stem xylem
24 features in three *Quercus* (*Fagaceae*) species along a climatic gradient in NE Spain. *Trees-*
25 *Structure and Function* 12, 90-96.
- 26 Violle, C., Navas, M.-L., Vile, D., Kazakou, E., Fortunel, C., Hummel, I., Garnier, E., 2007. Let the
27 concept of trait be functional! *Oikos* 116, 882-892.
- 28 Wan, X.C., Zwiazek, J.J., 2001. Root water flow and leaf stomatal conductance in aspen (*Populus*
29 *tremuloides*) seedlings treated with abscisic acid. *Planta* 213, 741-747.
- 30 Xiong, Y.-C., Li, F.-M., Zhang, T., Xia, C., 2007. Evolution mechanism of non-hydraulic root-to-
31 shoot signal during the anti-drought genetic breeding of spring wheat. *Environmental and*
32 *Experimental Botany* 59, 193-205.
- 33 Yang, J., Zhang, J., Liu, K., Wang, Z., Liu, L., 2007. Abscisic acid and ethylene interact in rice
34 spikelets in response to water stress during meiosis. *Journal of Plant Growth Regulation*
35 26, 318-328.
- 36 York, L.M., Nord, E.A., Lynch, J.P., 2013. Integration of root phenes for soil resource acquisition.
37 *Frontiers in Plant Science* 4.
- 38 Zhang, H., Tan, G., Yang, L., Yang, J., Zhang, J., Zhao, B., 2009. Hormones in the grains and
39 roots in relation to post-anthesis development of inferior and superior spikelets in
40 japonica/indica hybrid rice. *Plant Physiology and Biochemistry* 47, 195-204.

- 1 Zhang, J.H., Davies, W.J., 1990. Does aba in the xylem control the rate of leaf growth in soil-dried
- 2 maize and sunflower plants. *Journal of Experimental Botany* 41, 1125-1132.
- 3 Zhu, J.M., Brown, K.M., Lynch, J.P., 2010. Root cortical aerenchyma improves the drought
- 4 tolerance of maize (*Zea mays* L.). *Plant Cell and Environment* 33, 740-749.

5

6

7

1 **Tables**

2 **Table 1.** Pearson correlations between plant root conductivity and several physiological and
3 morphological parameters. *, ** and *** indicates significant correlations at $P < 0.05$, $P < 0.001$ and
4 $P < 0.001$ respectively.

5
6
7

Transpiration	0.5929***		
Area	0.3726*	0.6820***	
Root length	0.4286**	0.6807***	0.7534***
	Root_Cond	Transpiration	Area

8
9
10
11

1 Figure Legends

2
3 Fig. 1. Stomatal conductance of oat plants during a drought time course. Stomatal conductance
4 was measured in leaves of Flega (triangles) and Patones (circles), well watered (open symbols)
5 and droughted (solid symbols) plants during a time course of gradual water depletion. Data are
6 means of ten replicates \pm standard error. $\neq G$, $\neq T$, $\neq sRWC$ and $G \times T$ indicate overall statistical
7 significance between genotypes (G), treatment (T), soil relative water content (sRWC) and their
8 interaction, respectively. Different letters indicate significant differences ($P < 0.05$) between means
9 for a given time point. Measurements were taken at midday.

10
11 Fig. 2. Transpiration per unit leaf area and time in oat plants during a drought time course.
12 Transpiration was recorded for each sampling time during 8 hours in the central part of the light
13 photoperiod in susceptible Flega (triangles) and tolerant Patones (circles) well-watered (open
14 symbols) and droughted (solid symbols) plants during a time course of gradual water depletion.
15 Data are mean of five replicates \pm standard error. $\neq G$, $\neq T$, $\neq sRWC$ and $G \times T$ indicate overall
16 statistical significance between genotypes (G), treatment (T), soil relative water content (sRWC)
17 and their interaction, respectively. Different letters indicate significant differences ($P < 0.05$)
18 between means for a given time point.

19
20 Fig. 3. Abscisic acid content of oat plants during a drought time course. Abscisic acid was
21 quantified in susceptible Flega (triangles) and tolerant Patones (circles) well-watered (open
22 symbols) and droughted (solid symbols) leaves (a) and roots (b) during a time course of gradual
23 water depletion. Abscisic acid content data are mean of three replicates \pm standard error. Data
24 corresponding to 6 days after withholding water in a and b panels are represented in a magnified
25 scale in the right inset. (c) Response of transpiration to increasing abscisic acid concentration as
26 soil became drier during a drought time course treatment. $\neq G$, $\neq T$, $\neq sRWC$ and $G \times T$ indicate
27 overall statistical significance between genotypes (G), treatment (T), soil relative water content
28 (sRWC) and their interaction, respectively. Different letters indicate significant differences
29 ($P < 0.05$) between means for a given time point.

30
31
32 Figure 4. Root/shoot dry mass ratio of oat plants during a drought time course. Root/shoot dry
33 mass ratio was measured in Flega and Patones, well watered (open symbols) and droughted
34 (solid symbols) plants during a time course of gradual water depletion. Data are mean of five
35 replicates \pm standard error. $\neq G$, $\neq sRWC$ and $G \times sRWC$ indicate overall statistical significance
36 between genotypes (G), soil relative water content (sRWC) and their interaction, respectively. *
37 and *** indicate significant differences between genotypes at $P < 0.05$ and $P < 0.001$, respectively,
38 for a given time point.

1 Fig. 5. Leaf water potential in oat plants during a drought time course. Midday and pre-dawn leaf
2 water potential was measured, during the steady period, in susceptible Flega (triangles) and
3 tolerant Patones (circles) well-watered (open symbols) and droughted (solid symbols) plants
4 during a time course of gradual water depletion . Data are means of four replicates \pm standard
5 error. $\neq G$, $\neq T$, $\neq sRWC$ and $G \times T$ indicate overall statistical significance between genotypes (G),
6 treatment (T), soil relative water content (sRWC) and their interaction, respectively. Different
7 letters indicate significant differences ($P < 0.05$) between means for a given time point.

8

9 Fig. 6. Root hydraulic conductivity in oat plants during a drought time course. Total root hydraulic
10 conductivity (a) and root hydraulic conductivity per root unit (b) was measured in susceptible Flega
11 (triangles) and tolerant Patones (circles) well-watered (open symbols) and droughted (solid
12 symbols) plants during a time course of gradual water depletion. Data are means of four replicates
13 \pm standard error. $\neq G$, $\neq T$, $\neq sRWC$ and $G \times T$ indicate overall statistical significance between
14 genotypes (G), treatment (T), soil relative water content (sRWC) and their interaction,
15 respectively. Different letters indicate significant differences ($P < 0.05$) between means for a given
16 time point.

17

18 Fig. 7. Root morphological related traits of oat plants during a water deficit time course. Root
19 parameters were measured in susceptible Flega (triangles) and tolerant Patones (circles) well-
20 watered (open symbols) and droughted (solid symbols) plants during a time course of gradual
21 water depletion. Data are means of four replicates \pm standard error. $\neq G$, $\neq T$, $\neq sRWC$ and $G \times T$
22 indicate overall statistical significance between genotypes (G), treatment (T), soil relative water
23 content (sRWC) and their interaction, respectively. * and ** indicate significant differences
24 between genotypes at $P < 0.05$ and $P < 0.01$, respectively, for a given time point.

25

26 Fig. 8. Root cross sections of oat plants showing root anatomical features such as cortex (cor),
27 xilem (xi) and stele (st). Pictures corresponded to third order roots of susceptible Flega (a, c) and
28 tolerant Patones (b, d) well-watered (a and b) or droughted (c and d) plants.

29

30 Fig. 9. Root anatomical traits of oat plants during a drought time course. Number of cortex layer
31 and vessels and area of stele, total cortex, living cortex and xylem were measured in susceptible
32 Flega (triangles) and tolerant Patones (circles) well-watered (open symbols) and droughted (solid
33 symbols) plants during a time course of gradual water. Data are means of four replicates \pm
34 standard error. $\neq G$, $\neq T$, $\neq sRWC$ and $G \times T$ indicate overall statistical significance between
35 genotypes (G), treatment (T), soil relative water content (sRWC) and their interaction,
36 respectively. Different letters indicate significant differences ($P < 0.05$) between means for a given
37 time point.

38

39 Fig. 10. Scheme of Pearson correlations between plant root conductivity and several
40 morphological and anatomical root traits in well-watered (W) and droughted (D) oat plants. The

1 color assigned indicates the strength of a particular correlation between two traits with red-related
2 and blue-related colors for positive and negative correlations, respectively, as depicted in the
3 color key. *, ** and *** indicates significant correlations at $P < 0.05$, $P < 0.001$ and $P < 0.001$
4 respectively.

5

6 Fig. 11. Integrated model of 'water spending' strategy of the drought tolerance cultivar Patones
7 as compared with the 'water saving strategy' of the susceptible cultivar Flega. The schematic
8 brings together the biochemical, physiological, morphological and anatomical observations
9 described in this paper. In Patones, the moderate accumulation of abscisic acid in roots and
10 leaves allows fine modulation of stomatal closure maintaining transpiration for longer.
11 Morphological and anatomical changes in roots favour hydraulic root conductance and
12 conductivity improving water status and avoiding cavitation under the transpirative demand and
13 reduce metabolic cost promoting root growth. By contrast, in Flega a dramatic increase of abscisic
14 acid in roots and leaves lead to a rapid and tight stomatal closure. Reduced transpiration slowed
15 root growth but it does not improve plant water status as root hydraulic conductance was also
16 reduced. This reduction is due, at least partly, to the lack of adaptation through morphological and
17 anatomical root changes.

18