

This is a post-peer-review, pre-copyedit version of an article published in Cell and Tissue Research. The final authenticated version is available online at: https://doi.org/10.1007/s00441-021-03446-3

Document downloaded from:



- 1 Description of the larval and adult hindgut tract of the common spider crab Maja
- 2 brachydactyla Balss, 1922 (Brachyura, Decapoda, Malacostraca).
- 3 Diego Castejón^{1,2*}, Guiomar Rotllant³, Enric Ribes⁴, Mercè Durfort⁴, and Guillermo
- 4 Guerao⁵
- ¹ Centro de Maricultura da Calheta. Av. D. Manuel I 7. 9370-135 Calheta, Madeira
- 6 (Portugal).
- ² IRTA, Centre d'Aqüicultura, Ctra. del Poble Nou Km 5.5. 43540 Sant Carles de la
- 8 Ràpita, Tarragona (Spain).
- 9 ³ CSIC, Institut de Ciències del Mar, Passeig Marítim de la Barceloneta 37-49. 08003
- 10 Barcelona (Spain).
- ⁴ Unitat de Biologia Cel·lular, Departament de Biologia Cel·lular, Fisiologia i
- 12 Immunologia, Facultat de Biologia, Universitat de Barcelona, Diagonal 645. 08028
- 13 Barcelona (Spain).
- ⁵ Independent Researcher. 08028 Barcelona (Spain).
- 15 **Short title**: Brachyuran larval and adult hindgut tract
- *Corresponding author: Diego Castejón. E-mail: diego.castejon.dcb@gmail.com;
- phone: +34 681 154 398; postal address: Centro de Maricultura da Calheta. Av. D.
- Manuel I 7. 9370-135 Calheta, Madeira (Portugal).

Abstract

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

tendon cells

Arthropods are the most diversified animals on Earth. The morphology of the digestive system has been widely studied in insects; however, crustaceans have received comparatively little attention. This study describes the hindgut tract of the common spider crab Maja brachydactyla Balss, 1922 in larvae and adults using dissection, light and electron microscopical analyses. The hindgut tract maintains a similar general shape in larvae and adults. Major differences among stages are found in the morphology of epithelial cells and microspines, the thickness of the cuticle and connective-like tissue, and the presence of rosette glands (only in adults). Here we provide the description of the sub-cellular structure of the folds, epithelium (conformed by tendon cells), musculature, and microspines of the hindgut of larvae and adults of M. brachydactyla. The morphological features of the hindgut of M. brachydactyla is compared with those of other arthropods (Insecta, Myriapoda and Arachnida). Our results suggest that the morphology of the hindgut is associated mainly with transport of faeces. In adults, the hindgut may also exert an osmoregulatory function, as described in other arthropods. At difference from holometabolous insets, the hindgut of *M. brachydactyla* (Decapoda) does not undergo a true metamorphic change during development, but major changes observed between larval and adult stages might respond to the different body size between life stages. **Keywords**: Arthropoda; larval development; rosette glands; cuticular microspines;

1. Introduction

Arthropods are the most diversified animals on Earth, with an estimated global
richness of 6–8 million species (ØDegaard 2000; Stork et al. 2015). The alimentary tract
of all arthropods shares a number of common traits, mainly subdivision into foregut,
midgut and hindgut (Yoshikoshi 1975; Schultz and Kennedy 1976; Terra 1990;
Felgenhauer 1992; Klann and Alberti 2010; Klowden 2013; Davie et al. 2015; Nardi et
al. 2016). Since arthropod diversity is concomitant with diverse feeding regimes, the
morphology of their alimentary tract is equally diverse responding to the phylogeny and
the feeding strategies (Wigglesworth 1972; Klowden 2013; Watling 2013; Terra and
Ferreira 2020). For example, the foregut of carabid beetles varies from a grinding
gizzard when intake consists of insect pieces, to a muscular pump in semi-fluid feeders
with pre-oral digestion (Forsythe 1982), the phasmids ("stick" and "leaf insects") have
an alimentary tract which midgut caeca are absent in related orders, probably reflecting
the evolutionary and dietary constrains of the group (Shelomi et al. 2015), and in
hemipterans ("stink" and "assassin bugs") the ultraestructure of the epithelial midgut
cells is more correlated with the phylogeny than with the feeding habits (Santos et al.
2017). The digestive system of the crustaceans is also constrained by phylogeny and
feeding. Considering the example of the foregut, the shape of the gastric mill of land
crabs varies between carnivorous and herbivorous species (Allardyce and Linton 2010),
while in mysids ("opossum shrimps") it is dominated by spines and setae which type
and distribution is apparently correlated with the diet (Metillo and Ritz 1994), and in
amphipods ("beach hoppers" and "sand fleas"), despite being a "simple" tube with
channels and setae screens, it showed to be highly divergent when comparing species
with different food preferences(Coleman 1991; Coleman 1992; Coleman 1994).

The hindgut is the terminal section of the digestive system of the arthropods. In Decapoda (crabs, lobsters, prawns and related taxa) it is probably one of the digestive system organs that received less attention, as reflected by several reviews (Ceccaldi 1989; Felgenhauer 1992; Icely and Nott 1992; Watling 2013; Davie et al. 2015). This organ is generally described as a simple tube lined internally by a cuticle, involved in the transport of waste material, osmoregulation, and reabsorption of water and ions (Phillips et al. 1987; Ceccaldi 1989; Felgenhauer 1992; Icely and Nott 1992; Watling 2013; Davie et al. 2015). More than a "simple" tube, in decapods the hindgut shows several interesting features: 1) the hindgut is longitudinally folded (Barker and Gibson 1977; Barker and Gibson 1978; Harris 1993b); 2) the cuticle projects microspines pointed backward, probably to protect the cuticle and to help the faecal movement (Elzinga 1998; Chisaka et al. 1999); 3) a layer of connective-like tissue surrounds the epithelium, musculature, and glands (Barker and Gibson 1977; Barker and Gibson 1978); 4) it has two main types of musculature: inner longitudinal muscles placed inside the folds, and outer circular muscles in the periphery, their role is to generate the wave movements to excrete the waste material (Chisaka et al. 1999); and 5) rosette or tegumental glands located below the epithelium along the entire hindgut length, its role is unclear (Barker and Gibson 1977; Barker and Gibson 1978). Little information is available regarding the sub-cellular structure of the hindgut, even if higher taxa are considered. In this sense, the cuticle and epithelial cells have been described in crabs and lobsters (Mykles 1979), woodlouses (Bogataj et al. 2018), and the strange mystacocarids (Herrera-Alvarez et al. 2000); and the rosette glands were described in the ghost shrimps (Felder and Felgenhauer 1993).

65

66

67

68

69

70

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

79

80

81

82

83

84

85

86

87

88

89

The morphology of the alimentary tract, including the hindgut, during larval stages has been widely documented in numerous insect species (Maxwell 1955; Areekul

1957; Jones 1960; Judy and Gilbert 1969; Mall 1980; Rowland and Goodman 2016). In decapods, the morphology of the alimentary tract of larval stages has been studied in clawed lobsters and crayfishes (Hinton and Corey 1979; Factor 1981), hermit and king crabs (Williams 1944; Abrunhosa and Kittaka 1997), true crabs (Schlegel 1911; Jantrarotai and Sawanyatiputi 2005), spiny lobsters(Mikami et al. 1994), and prawns and shrimps (Lovett and Felder 1989; Tziouveli et al. 2011). However, these studies devoted little to none attention to the morphology of the hindgut tract and its ontogeny. Thus far, the majority of those studies are light microscopy descriptions in which the hindgut is reduced to a simple tube with cuticle. In holometabolous insects, the hindgut have been more detailed described showing radical transformations during the metamorphosis, e.g.in wasps and bees it elongates, convolutes, and differentiates into ileum and rectum (Green 1933; Gonçalves et al. 2017), while in moths the hindgut becomes an enlarged and coiled tube with a rectal sac (Judy and Gilbert 1969; Rowland and Goodman 2016); such changes are associated with drastic changes in lifestyle and diet (Rowland and Goodman 2016). Since several decapods also undergo a drastic metamorphosis (Martin et al. 2014), could a detailed description of the larval hindgut reveal some degree of transformative change?

90

91

92

93

94

95

96

97

98

99

100

101

102

103

104

105

106

107

108

109

110

111

112

113

114

The common spider crab *Maja brachydactyla* Balss, 1922 is a true crab (Brachyura) native from the coastal waters of the Atlantic Europe (Abelló et al. 2014). It has a high economic and ecological significance, supporting fisheries along the NE Atlantic coasts (Spain, Portugal, France, Ireland and UK). The high fishing pressure tolerated by populations of this crab (Freire et al. 2002), together with its growth and reproductive characteristics (González-Gurriarán et al. 1995; Andrés et al. 2007; Andrés et al. 2008; Andrés et al. 2010; Guerao and Rotllant 2010; Simeó et al. 2015) define the species as potentially interesting for aquaculture. This species also shows interesting

particularities as a model species to study the larval development of marine decapods, including easy adult culture and spawning, high fecundity and a larval development that requires around two weeks at 21 °C to be completed without special requirements (Castejón et al. 2018b; Castejón et al. 2019b). The larval development consists in two planktonic zoeal stages (zoea I and zoea II), and a single transitional planktonic-benthic megalopa stage that metamorphoses to benthic juvenile (Guerao et al. 2008). Several digestive organs of *M. brachydactyla* during larval and adult stages have been described in previous studies, e.g. the general digestive tract anatomy (Castejón et al. 2018a), oesophagus (Castejón et al. 2018c), stomach (Castejón et al. 2019a).

Following the previous studies realized on this species, here we describe in detail the hindgut tract in the common spider crab *Maja brachydactyla* Balss, 1922 in larval and adult stages, excluding the rectum, combining different techniques: dissection, and light and electron microscopical analyses. The hindgut morphology was compared between larval stages and adults and discussed with information available for other arthropod taxa.

2. Material and methods

2.1 Adult and larval culture system

Local enterprises (CADEMAR S.COOP.R.L., Tarragona, Spain; FUNDACIÓN LONXANET, A Coruña, Spain) provided the adult specimens. They were transported to the Institut de Recerca i Tecnologia Agroalimentàries (IRTA, Sant Carles de la Ràpita, Tarragona, Spain). The broodstock was maintained in 2,000 L cylindrical tanks connected to a recirculation unit system: $3.5 \text{ m}^3 \text{ h}^{-1}$ renewal rate, $18 \pm 1 \,^{\circ}\text{C}$, $35 \pm 1 \,^{\circ}\text{psu}$, 12 light h:12 dark h photoperiod, and fed with fresh and frozen mussels (genus *Mytilus*).

The broodstock tanks were connected to collector units in which the larvae were recovered ca. 12 hours after hatching. The larvae were maintained in 600 mL glass beakers placed inside 360 L tanks (96 x 96 x 40 cm) used as incubation chambers with the following conditions: 21 ± 1 °C, 35 ± 1 psu, 12 light h:12 dark h photoperiod. The larvae were fed with *Artemia* sp. nauplii and metanauplii (INVE Aquaculture Nutrition, Salt Lake UT, USA). The larvae were sampled daily. The larvae reached the zoea II stage in 3 days, the megalopa stage in 6 days, and the first juvenile in 11-12 days.

2.2 Gross morphology

An adult female was placed for 45 min in ice for sedation before dissection to show the alimentary tract. The alimentary tract was fixed in formaldehyde 4% and photographed using a digital camera (Panasonic DMC-TZ3, Kadoma, Japan). Around 80 larvae were fixed in formaldehyde 4% and dissected to show the midgut-hindgut junction as starting point to measure the hindgut length. Then, a Nikon SMZ800 stereomicroscope was used to show by transparency the hindgut through the pleon. The total length of the hindgut was measured as the distance from the midgut-hindgut junction to the anus employing AnalySIS® software tools (Soft Imaging System, Münster, Germany). The total length of the hindgut was measured in four to six larvae per day of development.

2.3 Light microscopical analysis

The whole larvae and portions of the hindgut tract of the adults were fixed with Davidson's fixative (ethanol absolute: seawater: formaldehyde 37%: glycerol: glacial acetic acid in proportion 3: 3: 2: 1: 1) during 24 h. The material was dehydrated in an increasing graded ethanol series and embedded in paraffin using a paraffin processor (AP208, Myr, Spain). The paraffin blocks were cut into 2 µm slices (microtome Leica RM2155, Wetzlar, Germany). The slices were stained using: 1) Hematoxylin and Eosin

(H-E) to show the general morphology; 2) Periodic Acid–Schiff (PAS) with Methylene Blue to reveal substances with affinity to neutral polysaccharides and mucopolysaccharides; 3) Periodic acid-Schiff (PAS) combined with Alcian Blue (pH 2.5) and contrasted with Hematoxylin to reveal the presence of acid mucopolysaccharides; and 4) Mallory's Trichrome stain (Acid Fuchsine, Orange G and Aniline Blue stains) to visualize the structure of the muscular and connective tissues. The observations were realized on the zoea I, zoea II, megalopa, and adult stages, using an optical microscope (Leica LB30T 111/97, Wetzlar, Germany) with a camera (Olympus DP70 1.45 Mpx) and an image analyzing system (DP Controller 2.1.1.83 and DP Manager 2.1.1.163; Olympus).

2.4 Electron microscopical analysis

The whole larvae and portions of the adult hindgut tract were fixed in a solution of cacodylate buffer (0.1 mol L⁻¹ pH 7.4) with 2% paraformaldehyde and 2.5% glutaraldehyde; the samples were maintained in total darkness at 4 °C for 12 h. Then, they were rinsed twice with cacodylate buffer and post-fixed in 1% osmium tetroxide solution in cacodylate buffer. After the post-fixation the samples were dehydrated in an increasing graded series of acetone. The transmission electron microscopy required the embedding of the post-fixed samples in Spurr's resin and cut into semi-thin (0.5 μm) and ultrathin (50-70 nm) sections with an ultramicrotome (Leica UCT, Wetzlar, Germany). Before observation, grids were contrasted with uranyl acetate and lead citrate. The observations were realized on the megalopa and adult stages using a JEOL EM-1010 electron microscope at 80 kV equipped with an image analysis system (AnalySIS, SIS, Münster, Germany). The scanning electron microscopy required the critical-point-drying of the post-fixed samples, then they were mounted on SEM stubs with self-adhesive stickers and coated with carbon. The observations were realized on

the zoea I and adult stages using a JEOL JSM-7001F scanning electron microscope. The post-fixative treatment and TEM and SEM observations were realized at CCiTUB (Hospital Clinic, University of Barcelona, Spain).

3. Results

Gross morphology. The hindgut tract of larvae and adults is a large tube that runs along the length of the animal from the midgut-hindgut junction (located in the middle of the cephalothorax length) to the rectum (Fig. 1A-B). During the larval development (zoea I to megalopa), the hindgut tract maintains a similar morphology, cellular organisation and total length (mean length = 1.7 ± 0.1 mm; Fig. 1C). The gross morphology of the hindgut tract shares certain features between larvae and adults: 1) the hindgut lumen has a stellate shape with radial symmetry caused by the presence of five main longitudinal folds (Figs. 2C-D; 3C); 2) the lumen is lined by a simple epithelium covered by a cuticle (Figs. 2-3) with microspines projected backward (Fig. 2D; 4-5; 7); and 3) the inner longitudinal muscles are located inside the folds, while the outer circular muscles surround the hindgut perimeter (Figs. 2D; 3C-E).

Epithelial cells. The epithelial cells of larvae are generally squamous and surround the inner longitudinal muscle cells located in the centre of the fold (Figs. 4B; 5). The apical membrane forms short microvilli-like extensions projected toward the cuticle (Fig. 5B-D); the lateral membranes show electron-dense epithelial-to-epithelial cell junctions located near to the cell apex (Fig. 5A-C); while the basal membrane shows highly electron-dense epithelial cell-to-muscle cell hemiadherens junctions (Fig. 5). The cytoplasm contains mitochondria, short globular cisternae of the rough endoplasmic reticulum, and bundles of fibres structures (Figs. 4B; 5B-C). The epithelial cells of adults differ considerably from those of larvae. In this regard, they are tall columnar cells (ca. 30–40 μm height, Figs. 3; 6). The apical membrane shows electron-

dense infolds, we denominated them as "apical complexes" (Fig. 6E). The lateral membranes have epithelial-to-epithelial cell junctions near the cell apex (Fig. 6D), as well numerous interdigitations, whose number, size and complexity increases toward the base of the cell (Fig. 6A-C). The basal membrane is highly infolded (Fig. 6C). The cytoplasm is rich in PAS-positive granules. The cytoplasm contains mitochondria (ca. 2–3 µm length and 200–300 nm width) concentrated in the supra-nuclear region (Fig. 6B). The cells are crossed by well-developed bundles of fibres structures (Fig. 6F) extended from the base (Fig. 6C) to the apex of the cell, where they anchor to the "apical complexes" (Fig. 6E).

Cuticle and microspines. The epithelium of the hindgut tract is covered by a cuticle. The cuticle of larvae is very thin (Figs. 2; 4-5). Electron-microscopy reveals that the larval cuticle has an outer electron-dense epicuticle and an inner less electron-dense procuticle (Figs. 5C). The cuticle of adults accounts for approximately a third of the epithelial cell height (ca. 12 μm height) and shows an outer epicuticle and an underlying procuticle (Fig. 3B). The cuticular surface is rich in microspines (Figs. 2D; 4-5; 7), in which some bacillus-shaped bacteria are occasionally present (Fig. 7C-D). The microspines of the larval stages are very short (ca. 0.5–1 μm length). The larval microspines are simple fang-like structures projected backward into the hindgut (Fig. 7B). In contrast, adults show two types of microspines: elongated and sharp microspines (ca. 4–6 μm; Fig. 7D), and midget and blunt microspines (less than 1μm; Fig. 7D-E). Microspines can be classified into two types of aggregations: type I, composed of 1–4 elongated microspines (occasionally higher numbers can be observed) and up to 200 midget microspines surrounding the elongated microspines (Fig. 7C-D, F); and type II, composed of more than 200 midget microspines (Fig. 5E-F). Type I aggregations form

longitudinal bands in the tip and lateral sides of the hindgut folds, while those belonging to type II are intercalated between two parallel type I aggregations (Fig. 7A, F).

238

239

240

241

242

243

244

245

246

247

248

249

250

251

252

253

254

255

256

257

258

259

260

261

262

Connective-like tissue and musculature. The presence of a connective-like tissue is unclear in the larvae. It may be the single cell layer that surrounds perimeter of the outer circular musculature (Fig. 2D). In adults, a wide layer of connective-like tissue surrounds the epithelium, the rosette glands, the inner longitudinal muscles located inside the folds, and the periphery of the outer circular musculature (Fig. 3C-E). Occasional haemolymph have been identified in the outer connective-like layer located on the periphery of the outer circular musculature, probably corresponding to the blood sinuses denominated by Wirkner and Richter (2013) (Fig. 3E). The inner longitudinal musculature has a characteristic organisation in larvae (Figs. 2; 4-5). In a transversal section, the inner longitudinal muscle cells are columnar and occupy the centre of the folds, being surrounded by a single layer of epithelial cells. The epithelial and inner longitudinal muscle cells are connected by electron-dense junctions similar to the hemiadherens junctions described by Bitsch and Bitsch (2002) (Fig. 5). The packs of myofibrils are located on the apex of the inner longitudinal muscle cells (Figs. 2; 4-5). In adults, the inner longitudinal musculature comprises numerous bundles located inside the folds (Fig. 3). The outer circular musculature of larvae and adults forms a thin band on the periphery of the organ (Figs. 2-4).

Rosette glands. Rosette glands are absent during larval stages (Fig. 2B-D) but are found during adulthood (Fig. 8A,C). The distribution pattern of the rosette glands is still unclear (Fig. 3A). Albeit observed along the entire hindgut length, in some histological sections the rosette glands are very scarce or absent (Fig. 3C-E) and in others they are very abundant (Fig 8C). These glands are globular clusters of cells composed of gland cells surrounding a slender central duct, which is formed by duct

cells (Fig. 8A-B, D-E). The gland cells are pyramidal (Fig. 8A-B, D-E). The cytoplasm has a foamy appearance due to the abundance of vesicles, whose content has variable staining affinity (Fig. 8A). The vesicles have a variable degree of fusion among them and show a variable electron-density (Fig. 8E-F). The gland cells are rich in Golgi complexes composed of numerous densely packed cisternae (Fig. 8E, G). The central duct of the rosette glands is formed by cells which cytoplasm does not contain vesicles (Fig. 8E, H). The central duct is lined by a very thin cuticle (Fig. 8H).

4. Discussion

The hindgut tract of *M. brachydactyla* is a large, straight tube without differentiated regions, excluding the rectum. This morphology has been observed in other crustacean species (Reddy 1937; Pugh 1962; Holdich and Ratcliffe 1970; Yoshikoshi 1975; McLaughlin 1983; Schmitz and Scherrey 1983; Günzl 1991), and it differs from that of insects, in which it is subdivided into highly specialised regions, e.g. pylorus and ileum (Richins 1938; Areekul 1957; Klowden 2013; Rowland and Goodman 2016). In Myriapoda, the hindgut is a large tube resembling that described in the present study (Nardi et al. 2016), while in some Arachnida it is reduced to a short anal atrium (Mathieson and Lehane 2002; Talarico et al. 2011). The independent evolution of the digestive system might explain the divergent morphological differences reported among the above mentioned arthropods.

Our findings reveal that the hindgut of *M. brachydactyla* larvae is formed by five folds composed of inner longitudinal muscle cells occupying the centre of each fold and attached to the epithelial cells, the periphery is surrounded by an outer circular musculature separated by a thin basal lamina. This morphology has not been reported to date. Previous studies of decapod larvae did not describe the morphology of the hindgut

folds (Schlegel 1911; Factor 1981; Mikami et al. 1994; Abrunhosa and Kittaka 1997). The hindgut morphology of other arthropods differs greatly from that of M. brachydactyla described herein: 1) in many insects (including larval stages), no muscles are present inside the folds (Woods 1918; Mathur 1973; Diaz et al. 1998), and longitudinal and circular muscles occasionally transpose positions between the ileum and colon (Woods 1918; Potts 1927); 2) in isopods, the musculature forms a square mesh network (Holdich and Ratcliffe 1970; Holdich and Mayes 1975); and 3) in tardigrades, the musculature consists of two pairs of muscles (Dewel and Dewel 1979). However, if musculature and epithelium are separated by a wide connective-like layer, then an adult-like morphology is described, which is similar to the reported in several adult decapods such as crabs (Barker and Gibson 1978; Erri Babu et al. 1982; Heeren and Mitchell 1997), clawed lobsters (Barker and Gibson 1977), and crayfishes (Chisaka et al. 1999). The hindgut of M. brachydactyla shows inner longitudinal muscles more developed than the outer circular muscles. Given these muscular features, we propose that the main movement of the hindgut involves longitudinal contraction waves. These waves, helped by folds and microspines, may allow the transport of waste materials, as discussed below.

287

288

289

290

291

292

293

294

295

296

297

298

299

300

301

302

303

304

305

306

307

308

309

310

The similarities of the hindgut of *M. brachydactyla* between larval and adult stages might be a reason to not consider a truly metamorphic change. However, four major features of the hindgut of *M. brachydactyla* suffer a certain transformation during the development: 1) the morphology and ultrastructure of the epithelium, 2) the morphology of the microspines, 3) the formation of a wide layer of connective-like tissue, and 4) the apparition of the rosette glands. The role of each one these structures is discussed below, then a hypothesis to explain such transformation will be proposed.

The larval epithelial cells have squamous shape and abundant microvilli-like extensions, the latter are associated with the formation of new cuticle (Dillaman et al. 2013), an expected role considering the short intermoult intervals during the larval development (Guerao et al. 2010; Pazos et al. 2018). The larval epithelial cells show two characteristic features: epithelial-to-muscle cell hemiadherens junctions and bundles of fibres structures; both are associated with an arthropod cell type called "tendon cells", i.e. specialized epithelial cells that connect the cuticle with the underlying muscular cells (Nakazawa et al. 1992; Bitsch and Bitsch 2002; Žnidaršič et al. 2012), which have been identified in insects (Smit and Akster 1974; Reedy and Beall 1993), crustaceans (Nakazawa et al. 1992; Žnidaršič et al. 2012), and arachnids (Smith et al. 1969; Beadle 1973). The adult epithelial cells show abundant mitochondria in the apical region, and infolded membranes with lateral junctions. Such features are associated with the reabsorption of water and ions in several arthropods, including insects, crustaceans and millipedes (Mykles 1979; Phillips et al. 1987; Nardi et al. 2006; Nardi et al. 2009; Nardi et al. 2016; Bogataj et al. 2018). Moreover, the fibres structures of the epithelial cells are more developed in adults than in larvae, and have also been proposed to be involved in the intracellular transport of water and ions in different crustacean groups (Komuro and Yamamoto 1968; Witkus et al. 1969; Vernon et al. 1974). Adult cells also have features of tendon cells: fibres structures crossing the cell height and anchored to conical invaginations of the apical membrane, which are described in this study as "apical complexes" (Smith et al. 1969; Beadle 1973; Nakazawa et al. 1992; Reedy and Beall 1993; Žnidaršič et al. 2012). Since the fibres structures have two potential roles (intracellular transport and mechanical connection), it raises one question: are both roles inclusive or mutually exclusive? Bogataj et al. (2018) suggested both roles for the hindgut epithelial cells of the woodlouse. In our

311

312

313

314

315

316

317

318

319

320

321

322

323

324

325

326

327

328

329

330

331

332

333

334

opinion, the hindgut epithelial cells of the larvae and adults probably are tendon cells, connecting the muscular action with the cuticle, but in adults the same cells also develop a sophisticated transport system for osmoregulation.

M. brachydactyla larvae show separate individual microspines, while two types of aggregations are observed in adults. The presence of microspines in the hindgut is widely observed among arthropod groups (Tables 1-3). The morphology of microspines in M. brachydactyla varies spatially, as occurs in other adult malacostracans (Chisaka et al. 1999; Moon and Kim 1999), insects (Byers and Bond 1971; Elzinga and Hopkins 1995) and millipedes (Miyoshi et al. 2005). The role of microspines is unknown; however, it has been proposed that they: 1) attach the peritrophic membrane, thus promoting the backward movement of faeces (Hopkin and Nott 1980; Felder and Felgenhauer 1993) and preventing their forward movement under conditions of anal water intake (Felder and Felgenhauer 1993); 2) shred the peritrophic membrane, thereby facilitating water and ion absorption (Byers and Bond 1971); and 3) serve as binding sites for microbial communities (Harris 1993a; Cazemier et al. 1997; Elzinga 1998; Nardi et al. 2016). We agree with these roles for microspines in M. brachydactyla, excepting the shredding of the peritrophic membrane, since it is excreted undamaged.

The rosette glands of the hindgut of *M. brachydactyla* were observed only in adults. Similar glands appear in the hindgut of other adult decapods (Barker and Gibson 1977; Barker and Gibson 1978; To et al. 2004), as well associated to other body structures, e.g. shrimp gills (Doughtie and Rao 1982) and mouthparts (Alexander 1989), crab oesophagus (Castejón et al. 2018c), ghost shrimp pereiopods (Dworschak 1998), and clawed lobster pleopods (Talbot et al. 1991). Despite being distributed widespread within the decapods, to our knowledge the presence of those glands in non-crustacean arthropods is non-described. We did not found evidence of duct openings on the

epithelium or cuticle, coinciding with previous authors (Barker and Gibson 1977;
Barker and Gibson 1978; To et al. 2004). The fact that rosette glands are associated to different body structures in other decapods might suggests that they are different glands sharing morphology and staining affinity, but looks unlikely. The staining affinity of rosette glands coincides with that observed in previous studies done in other crabs, which reported a content comprising neutral and acid mucopolysaccharides, including sulphated mucopolysaccharides, sulphated sialomucins and hyaluronic acid (Erri Babu et al. 1979; Trinadha Babu et al. 1989). Considering their location and the type of secretion, the rosette glands located in the hindgut could produce lubricant barriers against abrasive and toxic agents and against pathogens. *M. brachydactyla* presents a terminal moult after maturation (González-Gurriarán et al. 1993; Corgos et al. 2011), while larvae moult frequently (Guerao et al. 2010). Therefore, adults will benefit from the protection of this secretion, while the secretion and rosette glands are redundant in larvae as their cuticle is renewed regularly. Further studies are necessary to elucidate the role of the rosette glands.

In our opinion, the hindgut of *M. brachydactyla* does not realize a true metamorphic change during the development. Still, a few major changes were observed. In our opinion, these changes can be explained by the animal size, i.e. the carapace length increases from around 1.1 mm in the the zoea I (Guerao et al. 2008), to more than 150 mm in late juveniles and adults (Guerao and Rotllant 2010). The small size during the larval stages could facilitate the movement of water and ions through the epithelium without requiring a sophisticated transport system like the adults. Moreover, the smaller hindgut of the larvae precise thinner musculature to generate the peristalsis required for excretion, while the adult hindgut requires a more developed musculature to move larger masses, which in turn requires a wide connective-like tissue and blood irrigation

for accommodation and maintenance. If the rosette glands participate in the cuticle maintenance, then their absence during the larval development can be easily explained because the larvae moult in short time periods, on the contrary moulting and cuticle restoration ceased when adulthood is reached (González-Gurriarán et al. 1993; Corgos et al. 2011). The crustacean literature support this hypothesis. Small sized crustaceans (hindgut diameter 150 µm or less) have a hindgut without connective-like tissue that resembles the larval hindgut described in this study (Wägele et al. 1981; Schmitz and Scherrey 1983; Herrera-Alvarez et al. 2000); while big sized crustaceans (hindgut diameter 800 µm or higher) have a wide hindgut with a developed connective-like tissue resembling the adult hindgut described in this study (Barker and Gibson 1977; Barker and Gibson 1978; Erri Babu et al. 1982; Heeren and Mitchell 1997; Chisaka et al. 1999). We suggest two complementary methods to test the proposed hypothesis. The first method will consists in analysing the hindgut morphology in the entire development of a big sized species, with special attention to juvenile stages to establish the start of the formation of the connective-like tissue. Alternatively, the hindgut of taxa with significant size differences can be compared. In both cases, a size disparity around two magnitude orders is recommended.

5. Acknowledgments and Funding Information

386

387

388

389

390

391

392

393

394

395

396

397

398

399

400

401

402

403

404

405

406

407

408

409

410

Financial support provided by the Spanish Ministry of Economy and Competitiveness through the INIA project [grant number RTA2011-00004-00-00] to G.G and a predoctoral fellowship to D.C. [FPI-INIA]. The authors would like to thank to the technicians at IRTA, Sant Carles de la Ràpita (David Carmona, Glòria Macià, Magda Monllaó, Francesc X. Ingla and Olga Bellot) and CCiTUB Hospital Clinic, Barcelona (Adriana Martínez, Almudena García, José Manuel Rebled and Rosa Rivera) for their assistance.

411	6. Compliance with Ethical Statements
412	Conflict of Interest. The authors declare no conflict of interest in the elaboration and
413	publication of this manuscript.
414	Funding. G.G. INIA Project (grant number RTA2011-00004-00-00) funded by
415	Ministerio de Economía y Competitividad (Spanish Ministry of Economy and
416	Competitiveness). D.C. FPI-INIA fellowship (INIA Project RTA2011-00004-00-00)
417	funded by Ministerio de Economía y Competitividad (Spanish Ministry of Economy
418	and Competitiveness).
419	Ethical approval. All applicable international, national, and/or institutional guidelines
420	for the care and use of animals were followed. This article does not contain any studies
421	with human participants performed by any of the authors.
422	Bibliography
423 424	Abelló P, García Raso JE, Guerao G, Salmerón F (2014) <i>Maja brachydactyla</i> (Brachyura: Majidae) in th
425	western Mediterranean. Mar Biodivers Rec 7:
426	Abrunhosa FA, Kittaka J (1997) Morphological changes in the midgut, midgut gland and hindgut during
427	the larval and postlarval development of the red king crab Paralithodes camtschaticus. Fisheries
428	Science 63:746-754
429	Alexander CG (1989) Tegumental glands in the paragnaths of <i>Palaemon serratus</i> (Crustacea: Natantia).
430	Journal of the Marine Biological Association of the United Kingdom 69:53-63
431	Allardyce BJ, Linton SM (2010) Functional Morphology of the Gastric Mills of Carnivorous,
432	Omnivorous, and Herbivorous Land Crabs. J Morphol 271:61-72
433	Andrés M, Estévez A, Anger K, Rotllant G (2008) Developmental patterns of larval growth in the edible
434	spider crab, Maja brachydactyla (Decapoda: Majidae). J Exp Mar Biol Ecol 357:35-40
435	Andrés M, Estévez A, Rotllant G (2007) Growth, survival and biochemical composition of spider crab
436	Maja brachydactyla (Balss, 1922) (Decapoda: Majidae) larvae reared under different stocking
437	densities, prey:larva ratios and diets. Aquaculture 273:494-502
438	Andrés M, Estévez A, Simeó CG, Rotllant G (2010) Annual variation in the biochemical composition of
439	newly hatched larvae of Maja brachydactyla in captivity. Aquaculture 310:99-105

440	Areekul S (1957) The comparative internal larval anatomy of several genera of Scarabaeidae
441	(Coleoptera)1. Annals of the Entomological Society of America 50:562-577
442	Barker PL, Gibson R (1977) Observations on the feeding mechanism, structure of the gut, and digestive
443	physiology of the european lobster Homarus gammarus (L.) (Decapoda: Nephropidae). J Exp
444	Mar Biol Ecol 26:297-324
445	Barker PL, Gibson R (1978) Observations on the structure of the mouthparts, histology of the alimentary
446	tract, and digestive physiology of the mud crab <i>Scylla serrata</i> (Forskål) (Decapoda: Portunidae).
447	J Exp Mar Biol Ecol 32:177-196
448	Beadle DJ (1973) Muscle attachment in the tick, <i>Boophilus decoloratus</i> Koch (Acarina : Ixodidae).
449	International Journal of Insect Morphology and Embryology 2:247-255
450	Bitsch C, Bitsch J (2002) The endoskeletal structures in arthropods: cytology, morphology and evolution.
451	Arthropod Structure & Development 30:159-177
452	Bogataj U, Praznik M, Mrak P, Štrus J, Tušek-Žnidarič M, Žnidaršič N (2018) Comparative ultrastructure
453	of cells and cuticle in the anterior chamber and papillate region of Porcellio scaber (Crustacea,
454	Isopoda) hindgut. ZooKeys 427
455	Byers JR, Bond EF (1971) Surface specializations of the hindgut cuticle of lepidopterous larvae.
456	Canadian Journal of Zoology 49:867-876
457	Castejón D, Alba-Tercedor J, Rotllant G, Ribes E, Durfort M, Guerao G (2018a) Micro-computed
458	tomography and histology to explore internal morphology in decapod larvae. Scientific Reports
459	8:14399
460	Castejón D, Rotllant G, Alba-Tercedor J, Font-i-Furnols M, Ribes E, Durfort M, Guerao G (2019a)
461	Morphology and ultrastructure of the midgut gland ("hepatopancreas") during ontogeny in the
462	common spider crab Maja brachydactyla Balss, 1922 (Brachyura, Majidae). Arthropod Structure
463	& Development 49:137-151
464	Castejón D, Rotllant G, Giménez L, Torres G, Guerao G (2018b) Influence of temperature and light
465	regime on the larval development of the common spider crab Maja brachydactyla Balss, 1922
466	(Brachyura: Majidae). Aquac Res 49:3548-3558
467	Castejón D, Rotllant G, Guerao G (2019b) Factors influencing successful settlement and metamorphosis
468	of the common spider crab Maja brachydactyla Balss, 1922 (Brachyura: Majidae): Impacts of
469	larval density, adult exudates and different substrates. Aquaculture 501:374-381
470	Castejón D, Rotllant G, Ribes E, Durfort M, Guerao G (2015) Foregut morphology and ontogeny of the
471	spider crab Maja brachydactyla (Brachyura, Majoidea, Majidae). J Morphol 276:1109-1122

472	Castejon D, Rotliant G, Ribes E, Durfort M, Guerao G (2018c) Morphology and ultrastructure of the
473	esophagus during the ontogeny of the spider crab <i>Maja brachydactyla</i> (Decapoda, Brachyura,
474	Majidae). J Morphol 279:710-723
475	Castejón D, Rotllant G, Ribes E, Durfort M, Guerao G (2019c) Structure of the stomach cuticle in adult
476	and larvae of the spider crab Maja brachydactyla (Brachyura, Decapoda). J Morphol 280:370-
477	380
478	Cazemier AE, Hackstein JHP, Op den Camp HJM, Rosenberg J, van der Drift C (1997) Bacteria in the
479	intestinal tract of different species of arthropods. Microbial Ecology 33:189-197
480	Ceccaldi HJ (1989) Anatomy and physiology of digestive tract of Crustaceans Decapods reared in
481	aquaculture. Advances in Tropical Aquaculture, Workshop at Tahiti, French Polynesia, vol 9.
482	Actes de colloques Ifremer, Tahiti, French Polynesia, pp 243-259
483	Coleman CO (1991) Comparative fore-gut morphology of Antarctic Amphipoda (Crustacea) adapted to
484	different food sources. Hydrobiologia 223:1-9
485	Coleman CO (1992) Foregut morphology of amphipoda (crustacea). An example of its relevance for
486	systematics. Ophelia 36:135-150
487	Coleman CO (1994) Comparative anatomy of the alimentary canal of hyperiid amphipods J Crust Biol
488	14:346-370
489	Corgos A, Bernárdez C, Sampedro P, Verísimo P, Freire J (2011) Spatial structure of the spider crab,
490	Maja brachydactyla population: Evidence of metapopulation structure. J Sea Res 66:9-19
491	Chisaka H, Ueno M, Futaesaku Y (1999) Spines in the hindgut of the crayfish <i>Procambarus clarkii</i>
492	(Decapoda): their distribution and correlation with hindgut muscles. J Crust Biol 19:337-343
493	Davie PJF, Guinot D, Ng PKL (2015) Anatomy and functional morphology of Brachyura. In: Castro P,
494	Davie PJF, Guinot D, Schram F, Von Vaupel Klein C (eds) Treatise on Zoology - Anatomy
495	Taxonomy Biology. The Crustacea Volume 9 Part C. Brill, pp 11-163
496	Dewel RA, Dewel WC (1979) Studies on the tardigrades. IV. Fine structure of the hindgut of <i>Milnesium</i>
497	tardigradum doyère. J Morphol 161:79-109
498	Diaz E, Cisneros R, ZuñIga G, Uria-Galicia E (1998) Comparative anatomical and histological study of
499	the alimentary canal of Dendroctonus parallelocollis, D. rhizophagus, and D. valens
500	(Coleoptera: Scolytidae). Annals of the Entomological Society of America 91:479-487
501	Dillaman RM, Roer R, Shafer T, Modla S (2013) The Crustacean Integument: Structure and Function. In
502	Watling L, Thiel M (eds) The Natural History of the Crustacea, vol. 1. Functional Morphology
503	and Diversity, vol Volume 1. Oxford University Press, pp 140-165

505	Comparative morphology, cyclical activity, and innervation. J Morphol 171:41-67
506 507	Dworschak PC (1998) The role of tegumental glands in burrow construction of two Mediterranean callianassid shrimp. Senckenbergiana maritima 28:143-149
508 509	Elzinga RJ (1998) Microspines in the alimentary canal of arthropoda, onychophora, annelida. International Journal of Insect Morphology and Embryology 27:341-349
510 511	Elzinga RJ, Hopkins TL (1995) Microspine variation in hindgut regions of four families of cockroaches (Blattaria). International Journal of Insect Morphology and Embryology 24:203-211
512513514	Erri Babu D, Shyamasundari K, Hanumantha Rao K (1979) The structure and histochemistry of the oesophageal glands in the crab <i>Menippe rumphii</i> (Frabricius) (Crustacea : Brachyura). Proceedings: Animal Sciences 88:277-285
515 516	Erri Babu D, Shyamasundari K, Rao KH (1982) Studies on the digestive system of the crab <i>Menippe rumphii</i> (Fabricius) (Crustacea:Brachyura). J Exp Mar Biol Ecol 58:175-191
517 518	Factor JR (1981) Development and metamorphosis of the digestive system of larval lobsters, <i>Homarus americanus</i> (Decapoda: Nephropidae). J Morphol 169:225-242
519 520 521	Felder DL, Felgenhauer BE (1993) Morphology of the midgut–hindgut juncture in the ghost shrimp Lepidophthalmus louisianensis (Schmitt) (Crustacea: Decapoda: Thalassinidea). Acta Zoologica 74:263-276
522523524	Felgenhauer BE (1992) Chapter 3. Internal Anatomy of the Decapoda: An Overview. In: Harrison FW, Humes AG (eds) Microscopic Anatomy of Invertebrates. Volume 10: Decapod Crustacea, vol 10, Decapod Crustacea. Wiley-Liss, Inc., pp 45-75
525 526	Forsythe TG (1982) Feeding mechanisms of certain ground beetles (Coleoptera: Carabidae). The Coleopterists' Bulletin 26-73
527 528 529	Freire J, Bernárdez C, Corgos A, Fernández L, González-Gurriarán E, Sampedro MP, Verísimo P (2002) Management strategies for sustainable invertebrate fisheries in coastal ecosystems of Galicia (NW Spain). Aquatic Ecology 36:41-50
530 531 532	Gonçalves WG, Fernandes KM, Santana WC, Martins GF, Zanuncio JC, Serrão JE (2017) Postembryonic changes in the hindgut of honeybee <i>Apis mellifera</i> workers: Morphology, cuticle deposition, apoptosis, and cell proliferation. Developmental Biology 431:194-204
533534535	González-Gurriarán E, Fernández L, Freire J, Muiño R, Parapar J (1993) Reproduction of the spider crab <i>Maja squinado</i> (Brachyura: Majidae) in the southern Galician coast (NW Spain). International Council for the Exploration of the Sea. Shellfish Committe ICES C.M. 1993/K:19

536	González-Gurriarán E, Freire J, Parapar J, Sampedro MP, Urcera M (1995) Growth at moult and moulting
537	seasonality of the spider crab, Maja squinado (Herbst) (Decapoda: Majidae) in experimental
538	conditions: implications for juvenile life history. J Exp Mar Biol Ecol 189:183-203
539	Green TL (1933) Some aspects of the metamorphosis of the alimentary system in the wasp, Vespa
540	vulgaris (Hymenoptera). Proceedings of the Zoological Society of London 103:629-644
541	Guerao G, Pastor E, Martin J, Andrés M, Estévez A, Grau A, Duran J, Rotllant G (2008) The larval
542	development of Maja squinado and M. brachydactyla (Decapoda, Brachyura, Majidae)
543	described from plankton collected and laboratory-reared material. J Nat Hist 42:2257-2276
544	Guerao G, Rotllant G (2010) Development and growth of the early juveniles of the spider crab Maja
545	squinado (Brachyura: Majoidea) in an individual culture system. Aquaculture 307:105-110
546	Guerao G, Rotllant G, Anger K (2010) Characterization of larval moulting cycles in Maja brachydactyla
547	(Brachyura, Majidae) reared in the laboratory. Aquaculture 302:106-111
548	Günzl H (1991) The ultrastructure of the posterior gut and caecum in Alona affinis (Crustacea,
549	Cladocera). Zoomorphology 110:139-144
550	Harris J (1993a) The presence, nature, and role of gut microflora in aquatic invertebrates: A synthesis.
551	Microbial Ecology 25:195-231
552	Harris JM (1993b) Widespread occurrence of extensive epimural rod bacteria in the hindguts of marine
553	Thalassinidae and Brachyura (Crustacea: Decapoda). Mar Biol 116:615-629
554	Heeren T, Mitchell BD (1997) Morphology of the mouthparts, gastric mill and digestive tract of the giant
555	crab, Pseudocarcinus gigas (Milne Edwards) (Decapoda: Oziidae). Marine and Freshwater
556	Research 48:7-18
557	Herrera-Alvarez L, Fernández I, Benito J, Pardos F (2000) Ultrastructure of the midgut and hindgut of
558	Derocheilocaris remanei (Crustacea, Mystacocarida). J Morphol 244:177-189
559	Hinton DJ, Corey S (1979) The mouthparts and digestive tract in the larval stages of <i>Homarus</i>
560	americanus. Canadian Journal of Zoology 57:1413-1423
561	Holdich DM, Mayes KR (1975) A Fine-Structural Re-Examination of the so-called 'Midgut' of the isopod
562	Porcellio. Crustaceana 29:186-192
563	Holdich DM, Ratcliffe NA (1970) A light and electron microscope study of the hindgut of the
564	herbivorous isopod, Dynamene bidentata (Crustacea: Peracarida). Zeitschrift für Zellforschung
565	und Mikroskopische Anatomie 111:209-227

500	Hopkin SP, Nott JA (1980) Studies on the digestive cycle of the shore crab Carcinus maenas (L.) with
567	special reference to the b cells in the hepatopancreas. Journal of the Marine Biological
568	Association of the United Kingdom 60:891-907
569	Icely JD, Nott JA (1992) Chapter 6. Digestion and Absorption: Digestive System and Associated Organs.
570	In: Harrison FW, Humes AG (eds) Microscopic Anatomy of Invertebrates. Volume 10: Decapod
571	Crustacea, vol 10, Decapod Crustacea. Wiley-Liss, Inc., pp 45-75
0.1	Crusimotal, 182 18, 2 compact crusimotal (1110), 2100, 2101, pp 10-70
572	Jantrarotai PN, Sawanyatiputi SA (2005) Histological study on the development of digestive system in
573	zoeal stages of mud crab (Scylla olivacea). Kasetsart Journal 39:666-671
574	Jones JC (1960) The anatomy and rhythmical activities of the alimentary canal of Anopheles larvae.
575	Annals of the Entomological Society of America 53:459-474
576	Judy KJ, Gilbert LI (1969) Morphology of the alimentary canal during the metamorphosis of <i>Hyalophora</i>
577	cecropia (Lepidoptera: Saturniidae)1. Annals of the Entomological Society of America 62:1438-
578	1446
579	Klann AE, Alberti G (2010) Histological and ultrastructural characterization of the alimentary system of
580	solifuges (Arachnida, Solifugae). J Morphol 271:225-243
581	Klowden MJ (2013) Chapter 6 - Metabolic Systems. Physiological Systems in Insects (Third Edition).
582	Academic Press, San Diego, pp 305-364
583	Komuro T, Yamamoto T (1968) Fine structure of the epithelium of the gut in the crayfish (<i>Procambarus</i>
584	clarkii) with special reference to the cytoplasmic microtutubles. Archivum histologicum
585	japonicum 30:17-32
586	Lovett DL, Felder DL (1989) Ontogeny of gut morphology in the white shrimp Penaeus setiferus
587	(Decapoda, Penaeidae). J Morphol 201:253-272
588	Mall SB (1980) Histomorphology of the alimentary canal and associated glands of the mature larva of
589	Marasmia trapezalis Guen. (Pyralidae: Lepidoptera). J Nat Hist 14:97-110
590	Martin JW, Olesen J, Høeg JT (2014) Atlas of Crustacean Larvae. Johns Hopkins University Press,
591	Baltimore
592	Mathieson BRF, Lehane MJ (2002) Ultrastructure of the alimentary canal of the sheep scab mite,
593	Psoroptes ovis (Acari: Psoroptidae). Veterinary Parasitology 104:151-166
594	Mathur LML (1973) Histology of the alimentary canal of the mature larva of <i>Prodenia litura</i> Fabr.
595	(Lepidoptera). J Nat Hist 7:653-664

596	Maxwell DE (1955) The comparative internal larval anatomy of sawflies (Hymenoptera: Symphyta).
597	Memoirs of the Entomological Society of Canada 87:5-132
598	McLaughlin PA (1983) 1. Internal Anatomy. In: Mantel LH (ed) The Biology of Crustacea Vol. 5.
599	Internal Anatomy and Physiological Regulation, vol Vol. 5. Academic Press, pp 1-52
600	Metillo EB, Ritz DA (1994) Comparative foregut functional morphology of three co-occurring mysids
601	(Crustacea: Mysidacea) from south-eastern Tasmania. JMBA-Journal of the Marine Biological
602	Association of the United Kingdom 74:323-336
603	Mikami S, Greenwood JG, Takashima F (1994) Functional morphology and cytology of the phyllosomal
604	digestive system of Ibacus ciliatus and Panulirus japonicus (Decapoda, Scyllaridae and
605	Palinuridae). Crustaceana 67:212-225
606	Miyoshi AR, Gabriel VA, Fantazzini ER, Fontanetti CS (2005) Microspines in the pylorus of
607	Pseudonannolene tricolor and Rhinocricus padbergi (Arthropoda, Diplopoda). Iheringia Série
608	Zoologia 95:183-187
609	Moon YW, Kim HH (1999) Morphological study of the digestive tract of the mud crab (Hemigrapsus
610	penicillatus De Haan) and the symbiotic crab (Pinnotheres cyclinus Shen). Korean Journal of
611	Biological Sciences 3:407-412
612	Mykles DL (1979) Ultrastructure of alimentary epithelia of lobsters, <i>Homarus americanus</i> and <i>H</i> .
613	gammarus, and crab, Cancer magister. Zoomorphologie 92:201-215
614	Nakazawa E, Katoh K, Ishikawa H (1992) The association of microtubules with the plasmalemma in
615	epidermal tendon cells of the river crab. Biology of the Cell 75:111-119
616	Nardi JB, Bee CM, Miller LA, Nguyen NH, Suh S-O, Blackwell M (2006) Communities of microbes that
617	inhabit the changing hindgut landscape of a subsocial beetle. Arthropod Structure &
618	Development 35:57-68
619	Nardi JB, Bee CM, Miller LA, Taylor SJ (2009) Distinctive features of the alimentary canal of a fungus-
620	feeding hemipteran, Mezira granulata (Heteroptera: Aradidae). Arthropod Structure &
621	Development 38:206-215
622	Nardi JB, Bee CM, Taylor SJ (2016) Compartmentalization of microbial communities that inhabit the
623	hindguts of millipedes. Arthropod Structure & Development 45:462-474
624	ØDegaard F (2000) How many species of arthropods? Erwin's estimate revised. Biological Journal of the
625	Linnean Society 71:583-597
626	Pazos G, Fernández J, Linares F, Sánchez J, Otero JJ, Iglesias J, Domingues P (2018) The complete life
627	cycle in captivity of the spider crab, Maja brachydactyla, Herbst 1788. Aquac Res 0:

628	Phillips JE, Hanrahan J, Chamberlin M, Thomson B (1987) Mechanisms and control of reabsorption in
629	insect hindgut. In: Evans PD, Wigglesworth VB (eds) Advances in Insect Physiology, vol 19.
630	Academic Press, pp 329-422
631	Potts SF (1927) The alimentary canal of the Mexican bean beetle. The Ohio Journal of Science 27:127-
632	137
633	Pugh JE (1962) A contribution toward a knowledge of the hind-gut of fiddler crabs (Decapoda,
634	Grapsidae). Transactions of the American Microscopical Society 81:309-320
635	Reddy AR (1937) The physiology of digestion and absorption in the crab <i>Paratelphusa</i> (<i>Oziotelphusa</i>)
636	hydrodromus (Herbst). Proceedings of the Indian Academy of Sciences - Section B 6:170-193
637	Reedy MC, Beall C (1993) Ultrastructure of developing flight muscle in <i>Drosophila</i> . II. Formation of the
638	myotendon junction. Developmental Biology 160:466-479
639	Richins CA (1938) The metamorphosis of the digestive tract of <i>Aedes dorsalis</i> Meigen. Annals of the
640	Entomological Society of America 31:69-73
641	Rowland IJ, Goodman WG (2016) Magnetic resonance imaging of alimentary tract development in
642	Manduca sexta. PLOS ONE 11:e0157124
643	Santos HP, Rost-Roszkowska M, Vilimova J, Serrão JE (2017) Ultrastructure of the midgut in
644	Heteroptera (Hemiptera) with different feeding habits. Protoplasma 254:1743-1753
645	Schlegel C (1911) Anatomie sommaire de la première zoé de Maja squinado Latr. (Note préliminaire à
646	des recherches sur l'Organogénese des Décapodes brachyoures). Archives de Zoologie
647	Experimentale et Générale 5° Série T. VIII.:29-40
648	Schmitz EH, Scherrey PM (1983) Digestive anatomy <i>Hyalella azteca</i> (Crustacea, Amphipoda). J Morphol
649	175:91-100
650	Schultz TW, Kennedy JR (1976) The fine structure of the digestive system of <i>Daphnia pulex</i> (Crustacea:
651	Cladocera). Tissue and Cell 8:479-490
652	Shelomi M, Sitepu IR, Boundy-Mills KL, Kimsey LS (2015) Review of the gross anatomy and
653	microbiology of the phasmatodea digestive tract. Journal of Orthoptera Research 24:29-40, 12
654	Simeó CG, Andrés M, Estévez A, Rotllant G (2015) The effect of male absence on the larval production
655	of the spider crab Maja brachydactyla Balss, 1922. Aquac Res 46:937-944
656	Smit W, Akster H (1974) Bridges between microtubules and desmosomes in the terminal filament-cuticle
657	connection and in the muscle-cuticle connection (tendon cell) of the Colorado beetle
658	(Leptinotarsa decemlineata Say). Netherlands Journal of Zoology 25:122-124

659	Smith D, Järlfors U, Russell F (1969) The fine structure of muscle attachments in a spider (Latrodectus
660	mactans, Fabr.). Tissue and Cell 1:673-687
661	Stork NE, McBroom J, Gely C, Hamilton AJ (2015) New approaches narrow global species estimates for
662	beetles, insects, and terrestrial arthropods. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences
663	112:7519-7523
664	Talarico G, Lipke E, Alberti G (2011) Gross morphology, histology, and ultrastructure of the alimentary
665	system of Ricinulei (Arachnida) with emphasis on functional and phylogenetic implications. J
666	Morphol 272:89-117
667	Talbot P, Al-Hajj H, Demers D, Howard D (1991) Distribution of microfilaments and microtubules in
668	Homarus pleopod tegumental glands (Crustacea, Decapoda). Zoomorphology 110:329-338
669	Terra WR (1990) Evolution of digestive systems of insects. Annual Review of Entomology 35:181-200
670	Terra WR, Ferreira C (2020) Evolutionary trends of digestion and absorption in the major insect orders.
671	Arthropod structure & development 56:100931
672	To TH, Brenner TL, Cavey MJ, Wilkens JL (2004) Histological organization of the intestine in the
673	crayfish Procambarus clarkii. Acta Zoologica 85:119-130
674	Trinadha Babu B, Shyamasundari K, Hanumantha Rao K (1989) Observations on the morphology and
675	histology of the foregut of Portunus sanguinolentus (Crustacea: Brachyura). Folia Morphologica
676	37:364-372
677	Tziouveli V, Bastos-Gomez G, Bellwood O (2011) Functional morphology of mouthparts and digestive
678	system during larval development of the cleaner shrimp Lysmata amboinensis (de Man, 1888). J
679	Morphol 272:1080-1091
680	Vernon GM, Herold L, Witkus ER (1974) Fine structure of the digestive tract epithelium in the terrestrial
681	isopod. Armadillidium vulgare. J Morphol 144:337-359
682	Wägele J-W, Welsch U, Müller W (1981) Fine structure and function of the digestive tract of <i>Cyathura</i>
683	carinata (Krøyer) (Crustacea, Isopoda). Zoomorphology 98:69-88
684	Watling L (2013) Feeding and digestive system. In: Watling L, Thiel M (eds) The Natural History of
685	Crustacea. Vol. 1. Functional Morphology and Diversity. Oxford University Press, pp 237-260
686	Wigglesworth VB (1972) Digestion and Nutrition. The Principles of Insect Physiology. Springer
687	Netherlands, Dordrecht, pp 476-552
688	Williams RL (1944) The pre-zoea stage of <i>Porcellana platycheles</i> (Pennant). Preliminary anatomical and
689	histological notes. Journal of the Royal Microscopical Society 64:1-15

690	wirkner CS, Richter S (2013) Circulatory system and respiration. Natural History of Crustacea 1:376-41
691	Witkus ER, Grillo RS, Smith WJ (1969) Microtubule bundles in the hindgut epithelium of the woodlouse
692	Oniscus ascellus. Journal of Ultrastructure Research 29:182-190
693	Woods WC (1918) The alimentary canal of the larva of <i>Altica bimarginata</i> Say (Coleoptera)*. Annals of
694	the Entomological Society of America 11:283-313
695	Yoshikoshi K (1975) On the structure and function of the alimentary canal of <i>Tigriopus japonicus</i>
696	(Copepoda; Harpacticoida)-I Histological structure. Nippon Suisan Gakkaishi 41:929-935
697	Žnidaršič N, Mrak P, Tušek-Žnidarič M, Štrus J (2012) Exoskeleton anchoring to tendon cells and
698	muscles in molting isopod crustaceans. ZooKeys 39
699	
700	

701

702 Figure 1. Maja brachydactyla. Digestive tract, fixed in 4 % formaldehyde. Adult, the 703 midgut gland ("hepatopancreas") has been removed (A). Megalopa, the midgut gland 704 has been removed (B). Average length of the hindgut tract on each day during larval 705 development (C). Abbreviations: Jv, juvenile; Mg, megalopa; ZI, zoea I; ZII, zoea II. 706 Figure 2. Maja brachydactyla. Hindgut tract of larvae. Light and electron microscopical 707 analyses. General diagram (A). Zoea II, PAS contrasted with Methylene Blue (B-C): 708 longitudinal (B) and transversal sections (C). Megalopa, transversal section, TEM (D). 709 Abbreviations: C, cuticle; CM, outer circular muscles; CT, connective-like tissue; EC, 710 epithelial cells; LM, inner longitudinal muscles; Ms, microspines. 711 Figure 3. Maja brachydactyla. Hindgut tract of adults. Light microscopical analyses. 712 Mallory's trichrome stain. General diagram (A). Detailed view of the epithelium (B). 713 Transversal (C) and longitudinal sections (D). Detailed view of the connective-like 714 tissue, longitudinal section (E). Abbreviations: BS, blood sinus; C, cuticle; CM, outer 715 circular muscles; CT, connective-like tissue; E, epithelium; Ep, epicuticle; LM, inner 716 longitudinal muscles; Pr, procuticle. Figure 4. Maja brachydactyla. Hindgut tract of megalopa larvae. Ultrastructure (TEM) 717 718 of the hindgut fold. General diagram (A). General view, transversal section (B). Detail of the outer circular musculature, high magnification of the square "D" marked in the 719 720 picture B (C). Abbreviations: asterisk, epithelial-to-muscle cell junction; BL, basal lamina; C, cuticle; CM, outer circular muscles; EC, epithelial cell; EEJ, epithelial-to-721 epithelial cell junction; LM, inner longitudinal muscle cell; Mt, mitochondria; Ms, 722 723 microspines; My, myofibrils; RER, rough endoplasmic reticulum.

725 **Figure 5**. *Maja brachydactyla*. Hindgut tract of megalopa larvae. Ultrastructure (TEM) of the epithelial and muscle cells. Transition from the basal lamina to the epithelial-to-726 muscle cell junction (A). Detailed view of an epithelial cell (B). Detailed view of an 727 728 epithelial-to-epithelial cell junction (C). Detailed view of an epithelial-to-muscle cell junction (D). Abbreviations: asterisk, epithelial-to-muscle cell junction; BL, basal 729 lamina; C, cuticle; EC, epithelial cell; EEJ, epithelial-to-epithelial cell junction; Ep; 730 731 epicuticle; FS, fibres structures; LM, inner longitudinal muscle cell; Mt, mitochondria; 732 My, myofibrils of the inner longitudinal muscle cells; Ms, microspines; Mv, microvillilike extensions; Pr, procuticle; RER, rough endoplasmic reticulum. 733 734 Figure 6. Maja brachydactyla. Hindgut tract of adults. Ultrastructure (TEM) of the epithelial cells. General diagram (A). General view: apex of the cell, supranuclear 735 736 region (B), and base of the cell, infranuclear region (C). Cell apex, detail of the epithelial-to-epithelial cell junction (D). "Apical complex", high magnification (E). 737 738 Mitochondria and fibres structures, high magnification (F). Abbreviations: AC, "apical 739 complex"; BI, basal invaginations; BL, basal lamina; C, cuticle; EEJ, epithelial-toepithelial cell junction; LI, lateral interdigitations; FS, fibres structures; Mt, 740 741 mitochondria; N, nucleus; RER, rough endoplasmic reticulum. 742 Figure 7. Maja brachydactyla. Hindgut tract. Microspines (SEM). Distribution of the 743 microspines in adults, general diagram (A). Zoea I (B). Adult: aggregation type 1 covered by bacillus-shaped bacteria (C), aggregation type 1 with sparse bacteria (arrow-744 745 heads) (D), aggregation type 2 (E), and transition between aggregation type 1 and type 2 746 (F). Abbreviations: AT1, aggregation type 1; AT2, aggregation type 2; EM, elongated microspines; MM, midget microspines. 747

Figure 8. *Maja brachydactyla*. Hindgut tract. Rosette glands. Adult. General view, PAS and Alcian Blue contrasted with Hematoxylin (A). General diagram of the rosette glands (B). Glandular masses (bluish) in the hindgut tract, PAS contrasted with Methylene Blue (C). General diagram of the gland and duct cells (D). General view of the gland and duct cells, TEM (E). Detailed view of the vesicles (showing differential electron-density) of the gland cells, TEM (F). Detailed view of the Golgi cisternae of the gland cells, TEM (G). Detailed view of the cytoplasm and cuticle lining of the duct cells, TEM (H). Abbreviations: C, cuticle; CD, central ducts; CT, connective-like tissue; DC, duct cells; E, epithelium; G, Golgi cisternae; GC, gland cells; RG, rosette glands; V, vesicles.