

This document is a postprint version of an article published in Journal of Stored Products Research © Elsevier after peer review. To access the final edited and published work see <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jspr.2021.101894</u>

Document downloaded from:



1	Effect of packaging	chickpeas with	CO <sub>2</sub> modified atn	nospheres on	mortality of

# 2 Callosobruchus chinensis (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae)

4	Luz Rao	uel Bourne	-Murrieta <sup>a</sup>	. Rev I	D. Iturralde	-García <sup>a</sup> .	Francisco J.	Wong-Corra	l <sup>a</sup> . Cristina
	Lul Ituv	avi Douine	/ IVIAIIIVUA	,, .	Di Itallalae	Guivia	1 I univide 0 0 0	, wong come	, cristina

- 5 Castañé<sup>b</sup>, Jordi Riudavets<sup>b \*</sup>
- <sup>6</sup> <sup>a</sup> Departamento de Investigación y Posgrado en Alimentos, Universidad de Sonora, Rosales
- 7 y Luis Encinas s/n, 83000 Hermosillo, Sonora, Mexico
- 8 <sup>b</sup> IRTA, Sustainable Plant Protection Program. Ctra. Cabrils km 2, E-08348, Cabrils,
- 9 Barcelona, Spain
- 10
- 11 Luz Raquel Bourne-Murrieta https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2054-1440
- 12 Rey D. Iturralde-García https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8027-1529
- 13 Francisco J. Wong-Corral https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2851-3580
- 14 Cristina Castañé. Castañé https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1080-1253
- 15 Jordi Riudavets https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7839-0323
- 16
- 17 \*Corresponding author:
- 18 Jordi Riudavets; jordi.riudavets@irta.cat
- 19 IRTA, Sustainable Plant Protection
- 20 Ctra, Cabrils Km 2. 08348 Cabrils (Barcelona); SPAIN
- 21 Tel. +34937507511

24 High  $CO_2$  modified atmosphere packaging (MAP) is a sustainable alternative for pest control 25 in stored products. The effectiveness of this method varies depending on the  $CO_2$ 26 concentration used, insect exposure time, temperature, humidity, the tested insect species, and the insect's stage of development. One factor that substantially influences the 27 28 concentration of CO<sub>2</sub> available inside the packages, and therefore the effectiveness of the 29 treatment, is the sorption of gas in the commodity. This study evaluated the impacts of 30 packaging chickpeas in modified atmospheres of 50% and 90% CO<sub>2</sub> with filling ratios 31 (proportion of grain relative to the volume of the package) of 1% and 96% grain on the 32 mortality of C. chinensis eggs and pupae and on the fecundity of the females emerging from 33 the surviving individuals. In packages with a 96% filling ratio, CO<sub>2</sub> concentration in the 34 headspace of packages reduced to 60% and 30% from initial concentrations of 90% and 50% 35 (respectively) in the first 24 hours of exposure. Despite this reduction in CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations, 36 no differences in the mortality of C. chinensis eggs and pupae were observed between these 37 packages and those with a 1% filling ratio. The estimated exposure time to achieve 95% 38 mortality (LT<sub>95</sub>) of the eggs ranged from 38 to 68 hours; for pupae, it ranged from 142 to 248 39 hours. The fecundity of females that emerged from the surviving pupae decreased after 48 40 hours of exposure to CO<sub>2</sub>, but the fecundity of females that emerged from surviving eggs was 41 not affected by exposure time, MAPs, or filling ratio. Therefore, effective pest control can 42 be accomplished with the use of sufficient treatment times to eradicate the most tolerant 43 developmental stages, regardless of whether the packages are full or not.

44 Keywords



### 46 **1. Introduction**

47 Callosobruchus chinensis (L) (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae) is one of the most voracious 48 insects that attacks legume grains (Dick & Credland, 1984; Desroches et al., 1995; Ahmed 49 et al., 2003). This species has a short life cycle and a high breeding capacity, which makes it 50 a dangerous pest during the long storage periods that are typical for legumes. Fumigants such 51 as phosphine (PH<sub>3</sub>) or residual insecticides are used to prevent and control pests in legumes 52 (Wong-Corral et al., 2013). However, the toxicity of these products, their negative impact on 53 the environment, and the resistance that insects have developed to them are reasons to limit 54 their continued use to control pests (Flora et al., 2006; Navak et al., 2020).

55 Currently, modified or controlled atmospheres are a safe and sustainable alternative 56 for insect pest control in many types of stored grains (Riudavets et al., 2009; Navarro, 2012; 57 Cui et al., 2016). Studies of three species of weevils (Callosobruchus maculatus (Fabricius), 58 Zabrotes subfasciatus (Boheman), and Acanthoscelides obtectus (Say) (Coleoptera: 59 Chrysomelidae) demonstrate the efficacy of atmospheres with low oxygen  $(O_2)$ 60 concentrations and high concentrations of carbon dioxide  $(CO_2)$  on the mortality of these 61 insects (Wong-Corral et al., 2013; Iturralde-García et al., 2016). The most tolerant stages to 62 hypercarbia are the egg and pupal stages; adults are the least tolerant (Mbata et al., 2000; 63 Wong-Corral et al., 2013). In addition to mortality, Dawson (1995) demonstrated that 64 modified atmospheres can also affect the reproductive potential of the surviving females of 65 C. maculatus.

66 When using modified atmospheres with a high concentration of  $CO_2$ , it is important 67 to note that the grains absorb the gas, thereby decreasing the  $CO_2$  concentration in the 68 headspace of the grain's packaging. This sorption generates a negative pressure that can 69 collapse the package and eventually break it (Navarro, 1997; Cofie-Agblor et al., 1998; Jian 70 et al., 2014). The ability of packaged chickpeas to absorb CO<sub>2</sub> at different package-filling 71 ratios (proportion of grain relative to the volume of the package) was measured by Iturralde-72 García et al. (2019). However, that study did not determine whether the amount of gas in the 73 headspace of a package after sorption is sufficient to achieve insect mortality. Iturralde-74 García et al. (2020) showed that a decrease in CO<sub>2</sub> concentration due to sorption in modified 75 atmospheres with a 50% or higher concentration of CO<sub>2</sub> results in reduced mortality of the 76 eggs and adults of Rhyzopertha dominica (F.) (Coleoptera: Bostrichidae), an occasional 77 chickpea pest. However, this decrease in CO<sub>2</sub> concentration due to sorption does not result 78 in a decrease in mortality in the larvae and pupae of this pest, which develop inside the grain. 79 C. chinensis, the key pest of stored chickpeas, has a similar pattern of development 80 to *R. dominica*: The eggs and adults are located outside the grain, and the larvae and pupae 81 are concealed inside it. However, unlike R. dominica, C. chinensis does not lay loose eggs; 82 rather, the eggs are attached to the grain's surface, and the newly emerged larvae bore directly 83 into the grain and develop inside it until adulthood. As mentioned before, Chrysomelidae is 84 most tolerant of  $CO_2$  during the egg and pupal stages. A question that arises, therefore, is 85 whether CO<sub>2</sub> sorption in chickpea packages at high filling ratios (which are typical for 86 commercial products) decrease the efficacy of lethal CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations to the most CO<sub>2</sub>-87 tolerant stages of C. chinensis, as is the case with the egg stage of R. dominica.

Therefore, for the present study, it was hypothesized that the mortality of *C. chinensis* eggs and pupae in packaged chickpeas with modified atmospheres would increase as the exposure period and the initial concentration of  $CO_2$  increased. It was further hypothesized that mortality would decrease for eggs as the filling ratio of containers increased, that mortality would stay the same for pupae regardless of filling ratio, and that fecundity would be decreased at high  $CO_2$  concentrations. To verify these hypotheses, we aimed to assess the 94 impact of packaging chickpeas in modified atmospheres of 50% and 90% CO<sub>2</sub> and with
95 filling ratios of 1% and 96% grain-to-volume on the mortality of *C. chinensis* eggs and pupae
96 and on the fecundity of surviving individuals.

97

# 98 2. Materials and Methods

Eggs and pupae of *C. chinensis* were obtained from cultures maintained in a climatic chamber at  $28 \pm 2^{\circ}$ C,  $70 \pm 5\%$  R.H., and a photoperiod of 16:8 (L:D) hours. Chickpeas (200 g) were placed in plastic jars and infested with 200 *C. chinensis* adults for 24 hours. The adults were removed, and chickpeas with a desired number of eggs attached to their surface were selected and placed in ventilated incubation cages. The eggs were then incubated for a period of one day; pupae were incubated for 20 days. Five grains infested with three eggs or pupae were placed in woven bags for each replicate.

### 106 2.1. *Mortality of C. chinensis*

107 Semi-rigid plastic packages with a capacity of 710 mL and a thickness of 500 mm 108 (polyethylene terephthalate, PET) were used. The packages were filled with chickpeas at two 109 different filling ratios: a 1% filling ratio (6 g of chickpeas) and a 96% filling ratio (500 g of 110 chickpeas). The packages also contained the abovementioned woven bags containing grains 111 infested with the eggs or pupae of C. chinensis. Next, the packages were filled with the 112 desired modified atmosphere, which was previously prepared in a gas mixer (Witt Km 100-113 3M gas mixer/MEM, Witt Gasetechnick, Witten, Germany). Two types of modified 114 atmosphere packaging (MAPs) were tested with different initial concentrations of gases: The 115 first MAP contained 50% CO<sub>2</sub>, 10% O<sub>2</sub>, and 40% N<sub>2</sub>; the second contained 90% CO<sub>2</sub>, 3% 116 O<sub>2</sub>, and 7% N<sub>2</sub>. A gas analyzer (OXYBABY® Witt Gasetechnick, Witten, Germany) was 117 used to verify the amount of CO<sub>2</sub> and O<sub>2</sub> inside the packages, and the gas levels were

measured at the beginning and end of each exposure period. The tested exposure periods were 6, 18, 24, 30, 48, 72, and 96 hours for eggs and 6, 24, 48, 72, and 120 hours for pupae. After exposure to the MAPs, the containers were opened, and the infested chickpeas were stored in the climatic chamber until adults emerged in order to assess mortality. Three replicates of each MAP, filling ratio, and exposure time were conducted. A control treatment with the infested grains but without MAP was also included. To test the permeability of the packages, three containers without grain were included for each of the two MAPs tested.

125 2.2. Fecundity of C. chinensis

Emerging adults of *C. chinensis* that survived the MAPs treatments were sexed and placed in new plastic cages with 50 g chickpeas for seven days to allow them to mate and lay eggs. After the seven-day interval, the cages were opened, the adults were removed, and the newly deposited eggs were counted. Only replicates that initially contained more than four females were included in the analysis.

131 2.3. Data analysis

132 After 48 hours of exposure, the available gas volume in the semi-rigid package, the volume 133 of CO<sub>2</sub> sorbed by chickpeas, and the negative pressure (vacuum) produced at the filling ratio 134 of 96% were calculated using the equations described in Iturralde-García et al. (2019). 135 Sorption was calculated using the equation  $S = (\rho CO_2 V_S) / M_{chickpea}$ , where S is sorption of 136  $CO_2$  (g) per mass of chickpea (kg);  $\rho CO_2$  is the  $CO_2$  density of 0.00182952176 g/mL, according to the equation for the density of gases; V<sub>S</sub> is volume of CO<sub>2</sub> sorbed by the 137 138 chickpeas (mL); and M<sub>chickpeas</sub> is chickpeas mass (kg). Negative pressure was calculated using 139 the equation  $P_f = ((m R T) / (V_{gas} M_{CO2})) - P_i (2)$ , where  $P_f$  is final pressure (kPa); R is the 140 universal gas constant (8.314472 L kPa/K mol); T is temperature (K); V<sub>gas</sub> is the gas volume 141 available in the container (L);  $M_{CO2}$  is the molar mass of the CO<sub>2</sub> (g/mol);  $P_i$  is the initial 142 pressure (Kpa); and m is total mass of  $CO_2$  sorbed in the container (g) (obtained from m = S143 M<sub>chickpeas</sub>). The percentages of egg and pupae mortality were calculated using the initial 144 number of individuals placed in each cage and analyzed with a Probit analysis (Poloplus, 145 LeOra Software). The estimated exposure times to achieve 50% and 95% mortality ( $LT_{50}$ 146 and  $LT_{95}$ ) were compared using fiducial limits (95% confidence interval) for the ratio of LTs, 147 which was the criterion used to identify significant differences in egg and pupae mortality 148 for different filling ratios and MAPs (Robertson et al., 2017). The mean fecundity rates for 149 adults emerging from eggs and pupae were compared using a three-way ANOVA; exposure 150 time, CO<sub>2</sub> concentration, and filling ratio were used as independent factors. The statistical analysis was performed using JMP<sup>®</sup> 14.2.0 (SAS Institute Inc. 2018). A nominal significance 151 152 level of 5% (P < 0.05) was used.

153

## 154 **3. Results**

### 155 3.1. Changes in gas concentration

156 Fig. 1 shows the CO<sub>2</sub> concentration inside the packages with chickpeas throughout the tested 157 exposure times. The  $CO_2$  concentration in the empty packages (without chickpeas) reduced 158 by a maximum of 1% at the end of the experiment for both MAPs, indicating that the 159 containers were very airtight. In the packages with a filling ratio of 1% chickpeas, CO<sub>2</sub> 160 concentration reduced by no more than 1%, as in the empty packages. However, in packages 161 with a filling ratio of 96% chickpeas,  $CO_2$  concentration reduced by up to 20-30% (Fig. 1). 162 In the full packages, the final CO<sub>2</sub> concentration reduced from 90% to 60% and from 50% to 163 30% by the end of the exposure time. The largest decrease in CO<sub>2</sub> concentration occurred 164 during the first few hours of exposure.

Table 1 shows the CO<sub>2</sub> sorption by chickpeas and the negative pressure inside the packages after 48 hours of exposure (to 50% or to 90% CO<sub>2</sub> at 1% or 95% filling ratios). In a previous study (Iturralde-García et al., 2019), chickpea sorption levels stabilized after 48 hours of exposure. In our packages with 1% filling ratios, CO<sub>2</sub> sorption varied from 1.9 to 2.9 g per kg of chickpea; almost no negative pressure was observed. However, at the 96% filling ratio, sorption was much lower (0.2 to 0.35 g per kg of chickpeas), and a notable amount of negative pressure was generated inside the packages (73.9 to 58.6 kPa).

172 3.2. Mortality of C. chinensis

173 When eggs were exposed to 50% and 90% CO<sub>2</sub>, total mortality was achieved after 48 hours 174 at both filling ratios (1% and 96%) (Fig. 2). When LT<sub>50</sub> was calculated for *C. chinensis* eggs, 175 no significant differences were observed between different CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations at the 96% 176 filling ratio. However, when confidence intervals were compared, significant differences 177 were observed at the 1% filling ratio; LT<sub>50</sub> was higher at 90% CO<sub>2</sub> than at 50% CO<sub>2</sub> (Table 178 2). No significant differences in LT<sub>95</sub> values were observed at the 1% filling ratio, regardless 179 of CO<sub>2</sub> concentration. However, at the 96% filling ratio, a higher LT<sub>95</sub> was obtained at 50% 180 than at 90% CO<sub>2</sub>.

Pupae treated with 50% and 90%  $CO_2$  were all killed after 120 hours of exposure at both filling ratios (Fig. 3). No significant differences in  $LT_{50}$  or  $LT_{95}$  values were found when the confidence intervals between different MAPs and filling ratios were compared (Table 3). 3.3. *Fecundity of C. chinensis* 

To assess whether fecundity was altered by the treatments, the number of surviving females from exposure times under 30 hours for eggs and under 48 hours for pupae were tested. After longer exposure periods, too few individuals survived to estimate female fecundity. Females that developed from the eggs (Fig. 4) exposed to the two MAPs produced 189 41 and 94 eggs on average per female; no significant differences were observed between 190 different exposure times, initial CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations, or filling ratios (F = 1.3577; df = 19, 76; 191 P = 0.1747).

192 The fecundity of females emerging from treated pupae differed significantly for 193 different treatments (F = 4,4974; df = 15, 68; P < 0.0001). Females exposed to high CO<sub>2</sub> 194 MAPs for 48 hours produced an average of 21 eggs, significantly fewer than those exposed 195 for shorter periods, which produced an average of 67 eggs (F = 17,7280; df = 3; P < 0.0001) 196 (Fig. 5). However, no significant differences were observed between different initial 197 concentrations of CO<sub>2</sub> (F = 0.9608; df = 1; P = 0.3305), filling ratios (F = 0.1456; df = 1; P198 = 0.7040). There were also no significant differences in the interactions between exposure 199 time and CO<sub>2</sub> concentration (F = 0.9701; df = 3; P = 0.4120), between exposure time and filling ratio (F = 0.7704; df = 3; P = 0.5146), between CO<sub>2</sub> concentration and filling ratio (F200 = 2.4048; df = 1; P = 0.1256), or among all three factors (F = 2.4752; df = 3; P = 0.0688). 201

202

### 203 **4. Discussion**

204 The susceptibility of C. chinensis to the various MAPs differed for the two developmental 205 stages tested. The eggs were more susceptible to the treatment, reaching 100% mortality after 206 48 hours of exposure. On the other hand, pupae were more tolerant to the treatment, reaching 207 the same mortality after 120 hours of exposure. In both cases, mortality increased as the time of exposure to CO<sub>2</sub> increased. The eggs of a related species, C. maculatus, are also more 208 209 susceptible than the pupae (Wong-Corral et al., 2013; Iturralde-García et al., 2016). For C. 210 maculatus eggs, LT<sub>99</sub> ranges from 2.5 to 4.1 days, depending on CO<sub>2</sub> concentration (70% 211 and 50%, respectively). For pupae, a minimum of nine days are necessary to obtain 100% 212 mortality with CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations greater than 50% (Wong-Corral et al., 2013). Two other 213 bruchid species, A. obtectus and Z. subfasciatus, require nine days of exposure to achieve 214 total mortality (Wong-Corral et al., 2013). In contrast, in the present study, C. chinensis 215 reached 100% mortality after five days of exposure, suggesting that C. chinensis is more 216 susceptible to high CO<sub>2</sub> concentration modified atmospheres than the species used in 217 previous studies. Similarly, pupae of *Callosobruchus subinnotatus* Pic (Coleoptera: 218 Bruchidae) reached 100% mortality after seven days of exposure to hypercarbic atmospheres 219 (99% CO<sub>2</sub>). With hypoxic atmospheres (2% O<sub>2</sub>), more time (10 days) was required to achieve 220 similar mortality (Mbata et al., 2000). Furthermore, in stored horse grams (Macrotyloma 221 uniflorum (Lam.) Verdc.) and pigeon peas (Cajanus cajan (L.) Huth) infested with C. 222 chinensis, no insect damage was recorded after six months and one year of exposure to 223 concentrations of 40% and 50% CO<sub>2</sub>, respectively (Divya et al., 2016; Padmasri et al., 2017). 224 However, variations in filling ratios had little effect on the egg mortality and no effect 225 on pupae mortality for C. chinensis. In comparison, Iturralde-García et al. (2020) observed a 226 decrease in *R. dominica* egg mortality when chickpeas were packaged with a filling ratio of 227 96%. In that study, for the internal developmental stages of larvae and pupae, susceptibility to CO<sub>2</sub> did not change based on filling ratio. Eggs are more susceptible to modified 228 229 atmospheres because young eggs tend to lose oxygen and water; atmosphere impacts older 230 eggs because the larvae's respiratory activity increases shortly before it emerges (Mbata et 231 al., 2004). Pupae have been shown to have lower metabolic rates and therefore lower oxygen

232 demand. Therefore, pupae can continue developing in an environment with a high  $CO_2$ 

concentration, which enables them to survive longer periods of exposure (Mbata et al., 2000).

233

The decrease in the  $CO_2$  concentration observed in the headspace of the packages with a 96% filling ratio was related to the sorption of gas into the chickpea grains. This decrease was not observed in packages with a 1% filling ratio, where there were too few 237 chickpeas to absorb enough CO<sub>2</sub> to reduce the CO<sub>2</sub> concentration inside the packages. 238 Sorption occurs in two phases. The first, physical sorption, involves Van der Waals forces. 239 The second, chemisorption, involves electron transfer between the gas and the product 240 (Brunauer, 1943). In both MAPs, the most important reduction in  $CO_2$  concentration 241 occurred during the first few hours of exposure; this can be attributed to physical sorption. 242 The amount of negative pressure produced by the  $CO_2$  sorption differed for the different 243 treatments; it was highest at 90% CO<sub>2</sub> and a 96% filling ratio. According to Iturralde-García 244 et al. (2019), the CO<sub>2</sub> sorption of chickpeas increases with a higher initial concentration of 245 CO<sub>2</sub> and a lower filling ratio (due to increased availability of gas in the package headspace). 246 However, the highest negative pressure occurs with a higher initial concentration of  $CO_2$  and 247 a higher filling ratio (due to the low amount of gas available in the package headspace).

248 The fecundity of C. chinensis females emerging from treated eggs was not affected 249 by MAP concentration levels, filling ratios, or exposure time. Similarly, the fecundity of 250 females emerging from treated pupae was not affected by the concentration of CO<sub>2</sub> or the 251 filling ratio. However, the fecundity of females emerging from treated pupae was reduced 252 after exposure times of 48 hours. According to Pascua et al. (2021), exposing eggs of C. 253 maculatus to high CO<sub>2</sub> modified atmosphere (MA) conditions disrupts the structure of the 254 eggs, leading to reduced development duration and longevity and increased mortality for the 255 surviving adults that emerge. Other studies on the fecundity of *Tribolium castaneum* (Herbst) 256 and Tribolium confusum Duval (Coleoptera: Tenebrionidae) in modified atmospheres 257 (hypoxia and hypoxia/hypercarbia) report increased fecundity in these species after exposure 258 to 5-20% oxygen and 10% CO<sub>2</sub> (Spratt, 1984). Cheng et al. (2013) quantified genes encoding 259 protease enzymes in C. maculatus under conditions of hypoxia and hypoxia/hypercarbia; in 260 the latter set of conditions, significantly higher proteolysis was detected after the insects

returned to normoxia. This suggests that, in states of hypoxia/hypercarbia, CO<sub>2</sub> may
somehow encourage metabolic recovery and promote the development of insects.

263 In conclusion, a total of five days (120 hours) of exposure to either 50% or 90% CO<sub>2</sub> 264 killed both the eggs and pupae of C. chinensis. However, no differences in the treatment 265 times required to achieve 100% mortality would be expected between the tested MAPs, 266 between eggs and pupae, or between different filling ratios. The fecundity of females that 267 emerged from the eggs that survived MAP exposure was not affected, but females that 268 emerged from pupae exposed to MAPs for at least 48 hours demonstrated reduced fecundity. 269 This suggests that if packages lose CO<sub>2</sub>-tightness during packaging or if exposure time is too 270 short, then the surviving insects could continue to develop and reproduce and, consequently, 271 could damage the stored chickpeas.

272

## 273 Acknowledgments

274 This research was funded by the Instituto Nacional de Investigaciones Agrarias (INIA) of the 275 Spanish Ministry of Economy and Competitiveness (grant RTI2018-095526-R-100) and by 276 the CERCA Program (Centres de Recerca de Catalunya, Spain) of the Generalitat de 277 Catalunya. The first author would like to thank, first, the Consejo Nacional de Ciencia y 278 Tecnología (CONACYT, México) for the predoctoral grant; second, the staff of the Institut 279 de Recerca i Tecnologia Agroalimentàries (IRTA Cabrils, España) for the facilities to 280 conduct this research, and, third, the University of Sonora for support during her research 281 residency.

#### 283 **References**

- Ahmed, K.S., Itino, T., Ichikawa, T., 2003. Duration of developmental stages of
   *Callosobruchus chinensis* L. (Coleoptera: Bruchidae) on azuki bean and the effects of
   neem and sesame oils at different stages of their development. Pak. J. Biol. Sci. 6, 932 335.
- Brunauer, S., 1943. The Adsorption of Gases and Vapours: Physical Adsorption. Princeton
  University Press, Princeton.
- 290 Cheng, W., Lei, J., Ahn, J.E., Wang, Y., Lei, C., Zhu-Salzman, K., 2013. CO<sub>2</sub> enhances
- 291 effects of hypoxia on mortality, development, and gene expression in cowpea bruchid,
- 292 Callosobruchus maculatus. J. Insect Physiol. 59, 1160-1168.
   293 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jinsphys.2013.08.009.
- 294 Cofie-Agblor, R., Muir, W.E., Jayas, D.S., White, N.D.G., 1998. Carbon dioxide sorption by
- 295 grains and canola at two CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations. J. Stored Prod. Res. 34, 159-170.
  296 https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-474X(97)00045-3.
- 297 Cui, S., Wang, L., Qiu, J., Liu, Z., Geng, X., 2016. Comparative metabolomics analysis of
- 298 Callosobruchus chinensis larvae under hypoxia, hypoxia/hypercapnia and normoxia.
- 299 Pest. Manag. Sci. 73, 1267-1276. https://doi.org/10.1002/ps.4455.
- Dawson, C., 1995. The effect of carbon dioxide induced anaesthesia on fecundity of
   *Callosobruchus maculatus* (Coleoptera: Bruchidae). J. Stored Prod. Res. 31: 49–54.
- 302 https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-474X(94)00035-R.
- 303 Desroches P., El Shazly E., Mandon, N., Duc G., Huignard J., 1995. Development of
- 304 Callosobruchus chinensis (L.) and C. maculatus (F.) (Coleoptera: Bruchidae) in seeds

- 305 of *Vicia faba* L. differing in their tannin, vicine and convicine contents. J. Stored Prod.
- 306 Res. 31, 83-89. https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-474X(94)00028-R.
- Dick, K.M., Credland, P.F., 1984. Egg production and development of three strains of
   *Callosobruchus maculatus* (F.) (Coleoptera: Bruchidae). J. Stored Prod. Res. 20, 221-
- 309 227. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-474X(84)90007-9</u>.
- 310 Divya, P., Durga, K. K., Sunil, N., Rajasri, M., Keshavulu, K., Udayababu, P., 2016.
  311 Modified atmosphere storage technique for the management of pulse beetle,
- 312 Callosobruchus chinensis in Horse gram. Legume Res, 39 (3), 474-478.
  313 http://dx.doi.org/10.18805/lr.v0iOF.9610.
- Flora, J.W., Byers, L.E., Plunkett, S.E., Faustini, D.L., 2006. Residue formations of
  phosphorus hydride polymers and phosphorus oxyacids during phosphine gas
  fumigations of stored products. J. Agric. Food Chem. 54, 107-111.
- 317 Iturralde-García, R.D., Borboa-Flores, J., Cinco-Moroyoqui, F.J., Riudavets, J., Del Toro-
- 318 Sánchez, C.L., Rueda-Puente, E.O., Martínez-Cruz, O., Wong-Corral, F.J., 2016. Effect
- 319 of controlled atmospheres on the insect Callosobruchus maculatus Fab. in stored
- 320 chickpea. J. Stored Prod. Res. 69, 78-85. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jspr2016.06.004.
- 321 Iturralde-García, R.D., García-Regueiro, J.A., Castañé, C., Riudavets, J., 2019. Sorption of
- 322 carbon dioxide by chickpeas packaged in modified atmospheres. J. Stored Prod. Res. 83,
- 323 54-60. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jspr.2019.05.0 08.
- 324 Iturralde-García, R.D., Wong-Corral, F.J., Castañé, C., Riudavets, J., 2020. Susceptibility of
- 325 *Rhyzopertha dominica* to high CO<sub>2</sub> modified atmospheres in packaged chickpeas. J.
- 326 Stored Prod. Res. 85, 101-537. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jspr.2019.101537.

- Jian, F., Jayas, D.S., White, N.D.G., Fields, P.G., 2014. Carbon dioxide sorption by stored
- 328 canola under different storage conditions. J. Stored Prod. Res. 59, 101-107.
  329 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jspr.2014.05.009.
- 330 JMP. 2018. JMP 14.2.0. SAS Institute Inc., Cary NC, 1998-2018.
- 331 Mbata, G.N., Hetz, S.K., Reichmuth, C., Adler, C., 2000. Tolerance of pupae and pharate
- 332 adults of *Callosobruchus subinnotatus* Pic (Coleoptera: Bruchidae) to modified
- 333 atmospheres: A function of metabolic rate. J. Insect Physiol. 46, 145-151.
- 334 <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-1910(99)00110-9</u>.
- 335 Mbata, G.N., Philips, T.W., Payton, M., 2004. Mortality of egg of stored-product insect held
- 336 under vacuum: Effects of pressure, temperature, and exposure time. J. Econ. Entomol.
- 337 97, 695-702. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/jee/97.2.695</u>.
- 338 Navarro, S., 1997. Sorption of carbon dioxide by wheat. In: Donahaye, E.J., Navarro, S.,
- 339 Varnava, A. (Eds.), Proceedings of the International Conference on Controlled
- 340 Atmosphere and Fumigation in Stored Products, 21-26 April 1996. Printco Ltd., Nicosia,
- 341 Cyprus, pp. 193-202.
- 342 Navarro, S., 2012. The use of modified and controlled atmospheres for the disinfestation of
- 343 stored products. J. Pest. Sci. 85, 301-322. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s10340-012-0424-3</u>.
- 344 Nayak, M.K., Daglish, G.J., Phillips, T.W., Ebert, P.R., 2020. Resistance to the fumigant
- 345 phosphine and its management in insect pests of stored products: A global perspective. Annu.
- 346 Rev. Entomol. 65, 333-350.
- 347 Padmasri, A., Kumar, B.A., Kumari, J. A., Srinivas, C., 2017. Management of pulse beetle,
- 348 *Callosobruchus chinensis* L. in redgram by modified atmosphere. Bull. Env. Pharmacol.
- 349 Life. Sci. 6, 414-417.

- 350 Pascua, G.F.S., Bayogan, E.R.V., Salaipeth, L., Photchanachai, S., 2021. Pretreating
- 351 *Callosobruchus maculatus* (F.) eggs in mung bean with modified atmosphere conditions
- influence its adult emergence and survival. J. Stored Prod. Res. 91, 101771.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jspr.2021.101771.
- 354 Riudavets, J., Castañé, C., Alomar, O., Pons, M.J., Gabarra, R., 2009. Modified atmosphere
- 355 packaging (MAP) as an alternative measure for controlling ten pests that attack
- 356 processed food products. J. Stored Prod. Res. 45, 91-96.
   357 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jspr.2008.10.001.
- 358 Robertson, J.L., Jones, M.M., Olguin, E., Alberts, B., 2017. Bioassays with Arthropods, 3<sup>rd</sup>
- 359 ed. CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL.
- 360 Spratt, E.C., 1984. The effect of atmospheres containing 5-20% oxygen with and without
- 361 10% carbon dioxide on the productivity of *Tribolium castaneum* (Herbst) and *T*.
- 362 confusum Du Val (Coleoptera: Tenebrionidae). J. Stored Prod. Res. 20, 199-203.
- 363 <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-474X(84)90004-3</u>.
- 364 United Nations Environment Program (UNEP), 2006. Report of the Methyl Bromide
  365 Technical Options Committee (MBTOC). 2006 Assessment. http://
  366 www.unep.org/ozone/teap/Reports/TEAP Reports/.
- Wong-Corral, F.J., Castañé, C., Riudavets, J., 2013. Lethal effects of CO<sub>2</sub>-modified
  atmospheres for the control of three Bruchidae species. J. Stored Prod. Res. 55, 62-67.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jspr.2013.08.005.
- 370
- 371

372 **Table 1.** CO<sub>2</sub> sorption and negative pressure inside packages with two different filling ratios

_	CO <sub>2</sub>	Filling ratio	Loss of CO <sub>2</sub>	CO <sub>2</sub> sorption	Negative pressure	
	(%)	(%)	(%)	(g/Kg chickpea)	(kPa)	
_	50	1	1.43	1.91209	99.3	
	50	96	19.53	0.22177	73.9	
	0.0	1	2.15	2.86813	98.3	
	90	96	30.38	0.34495	58.6	
374 _						
375						
376						
377						

373 of chickpeas after 48 hours of exposure to two different MAPs.

379 Table 2. Probit analysis of the toxicity of two MAPs (50 and 90% CO<sub>2</sub>) in two package

380 filling ratios (1% and 96%) on *C. chinensis* eggs.

<b>CO</b> <sub>2</sub>	Filling			95% fiducial		95% fiducial	2h ( 10)
(%)	Ratio (%)	Slope (SE)	L I 50 ( <b>f</b> )"	limits	L I 95 (II)"	limits	χ (ai)
50	1	8.33 (1.58)	26.34b	23.22-29.21	41.50ab	35.77-57.72	21.90 (19)
	96	5.37 (0.82)	33.62ab	27.85-38.73	68.03a	56.53-94.63	22.11 (19)
00	1	22.25 (5.20)	34.31a	31.47-36.03	40.67b	38.45-46.16	22.44 (22)
90	96	20.18 (5.32)	31.86ab	27.19-34.00	38.44b	35.63-52.71	34.57 (22)

381 <sup>a</sup> Values with different letters in the same column are significantly different (P < 0.05,

382 confidence interval for the ratio of LTs).

- 383 <sup>b</sup> Chi-square testing the linearity of dose-dependent mortality indicated that normal
- 384 distribution provided an adequate fit for the model in all cases (P < 0.05).
- 385
- 386
- 387
- 388

**Table 3.** Probit analysis of the toxicity of two MAPs (50 and 90% CO<sub>2</sub>) in two package

CO <sub>2</sub>	Filling			95% fiducial		95% fiducial	2h ( 10
(%)	Ratio (%)	Slope (SE)	LT <sub>50</sub> (h) <sup>a</sup>	limits	L I 95 ( <b>h</b> )"	limits	χ <sup>25</sup> (df)
50	1	3.81(0.69)	35.08a	26.41-42.08	142.79a	75.54-142.44	8.59 (13)
50	96	3.51 (0.65)	48.52a	37.32-58.02	222.90a	109.26-239.70	11.73 (13)
90	1	3.28(0.85)	48.15a	22.00-63.99	246.04a	103.15-733.74	17.40 (13)
	96	3.00 (0.64)	41.82a	28.41-52.85	248.26a	105.71-302.76	12.30 (13)

filling ratios (1 and 96%) on *C. chinensis* pupae.

- <sup>a</sup> Values with different letters in the same column are significantly different (P < 0.05,
- 392 confidence interval for the ratio of LTs).
- 393 <sup>b</sup> Chi-square testing the linearity of dose-dependent mortality indicated that normal
- 394 distribution provided an adequate fit for the model in all cases (P < 0.05).
- 395
- 396
- 397







Fig 2. Mortality (on Log10 and on a linear scale) for eggs exposed to two different MAPs (a:
50% CO<sub>2</sub>; b: 90% CO<sub>2</sub>) in packages of chickpeas with two filling ratios (1% and 96%) after
6 to 96 hours of exposure.





Fig 3. Mortality (on Log10 and on a linear scale) for pupae exposed to two different MAPs (a: 50% CO<sub>2</sub>; b: 90% CO<sub>2</sub>) in packages of chickpeas with two filling ratios (1% and 96%) after 6 to 120 hours of exposure.



421 Fig. 4. Number of eggs laid by females that emerged from eggs treated with 50% (a, b) or 422 90%  $CO_2$  (c, d), and with 1% (a, c) or 96% (b, d) filling ratios. The boxplot shows the mean 423 (solid circle), the median (solid line), the inter-quartile range (box length), and the minimum 424 and maximum values (whiskers).

426



Fig. 5. Number of eggs laid by females that emerged from pupae treated with 50% (a, b) or
90% CO<sub>2</sub> (c, d), and with 1% (a, c) or 96% (b, d) filling ratios. The boxplot shows the mean
(solid circle), the median (solid line), the inter-quartile range (box length), and the minimum
and maximum values (whiskers).