Scrutinizing the relationship between major physiological and compositional changes during ‘Merryl O’Henry’ peach growth with brown rot susceptibility
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Abstract

In the present work, the major physiological and compositional changes occurring during ‘Merry’l O’Henry’ peach growth and its relationship with susceptibility to three strains of Monilinia spp. at 49, 77, 126 and 160 d after full bloom (DAFB) were explored. Results of disease incidence indicated wide differences among phenological stages, being 49 and 126 DAFB the moment when peaches showed significantly lower susceptibility to brown rot (40% and 23% of rotten fruit, respectively, for strain ML8L). Variation in brown rot susceptibility among different growth stages was also strain-dependent. Lower fruit susceptibility to ML8L at 49 and 126 was accompanied by noticeable changes in the fruit ethylene and respiration patterns, and also in sugars and organics acids content. By employing a Partial Least Squares (PLS) regression model, a strong negative relationship between citric acid, and a positive association of ethylene with peach susceptibility to Monilinia spp. at diverse phenological stages was observed. The results obtained herein highlight that the content of certain compounds such as citrate, malate and sucrose, the respiratory activity and the fruit ethylene production may mediate in a coordinated manner the fruit resistance to Monilinia spp. at different phenological stages of peach fruit.
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INTRODUCTION

The causal agent of brown rot (Monilinia spp.) is able to infect peach fruit at any stage of stone fruit development (Byrde and Willets, 1977) either with the presence of an opening (i.e., stomata, lenticels, wounds, micro-cracks) or through contact with an intact surface.
After conidial germination, the fungus produces germ tubes and appressoria, (Garcia-Benitez et al., 2017; Lee and Bostock, 2006) which penetrate the fruit surface yet depending on the environmental conditions (Rungjindamai et al., 2014) as well as the fruit developmental stage. (Oliveira et al., 2016) In this sense, the growth and ripening of fleshy fruit is typically accompanied by numerous biochemical and physiological changes, such as activation of key hormones, including ethylene, and cell-wall loosening enzymes, increase of soluble sugars or decline of acidity, among others, that are somehow synchronised with changes in brown rot susceptibility (De Cal et al., 2013; Garcia-Benitez et al., 2017).

Biochemical approaches in *Monilinia* spp.-stone fruit pathosystem have been used to explain resistance in unripe fruit. Phenolic compounds have been repeatedly linked with higher resistance to *M. fructicola* by its action in inhibiting cutinase activity (Bostock et al., 1999; Lee et al., 2010; Lee and Bostock, 2007; Wang et al., 2002). Similarly, an inhibitory effect of chlorogenic and neochlorogenic acids, compounds that tend to be higher in unripe fruit, (Bostock et al., 1999) had been reported as crucial for *M. laxa* pathogenicity by interfering with fungal melanin biosynthesis (Villarino et al., 2011). Regarding the molecular determinants of the fruit ripening-associated changes in brown rot susceptibility, scarce information is relatively available (Baró-Montel et al., 2019). Guidarelli et al. (2014) compared the gene expression profile between susceptible (two weeks before the pit hardening) and resistant (pit hardening) peach fruit developmental stages, finding noteworthy changes in phenylpropanoid and jasmonate-related genes. In addition, a recent study have pointed out the differential role that ethylene plays in the interaction *Monilinia* spp.-stone fruit (Baró-Montel et al., 2019). Accordingly, ethylene might have an effect not only on inducing defence responses to both abiotic and biotic stress in the plant, but on promoting susceptibility to certain fruit pathogens (Chagué et
al., 2006; Shigenaga and Argueso, 2016). From the studies listed above it is clear the complexity and sometimes controversial results obtained for fruit-pathogen interaction studies and especially when considering different phenological stages.

In particular, for brown rot, relatively little information exists explaining what specific changes on the host may account for the observed differences along different phenological stages. Accordingly, this study was performed to further explore changes along development and ripening of peaches (host) and their potential relationship with brown rot (pathogen) susceptibility. To this aim, peaches were characterised at a morphological, physiological and biochemical level at potential moments for infection, and inoculated with *M. fructicola* and *M. laxa*, the main causal agents of brown rot in Europe. Finally, all these data were integrated into a chemometric approach in order to understand the relationship among all the investigated variables.

**MATERIAL AND METHODS**

**Plant material and experimental design**

Experiments were conducted with ‘Merryl O’Henry’ peaches (*Prunus persica* (L.) Batch) obtained from an organic orchard located in Vilanova de Segrià (Lleida, Catalonia, NE Spain). Fruit that were free of physical injuries and rot were picked at successive developmental stages. The growth stages were based on d after full bloom (DAFB), being full bloom the stage when at least 50% of flowers were open, and framed in the BBCH scale (Meier et al., 1994) as follows: 20 (BBCH = 71), 49 (BBCH = 72), 77 (BBCH = 76), 112 (BBCH = 77), 126 (BBCH = 81) and 160 (BBCH = 87) DAFB.

After each harvest, peaches were immediately transported to IRTA facilities under acclimatised conditions (20 °C). Upon arrival at the laboratory, fruit were separated into three different batches depending on whether they were used for: i) morphological and
physiological analysis, ii) biochemical analysis, and iii) assessment of brown rot susceptibility. Morphological and physiological analysis were conducted with 4 replicates of 5 fruit each, 20 fruit per each phenological growth stage; biochemical analysis was conducted with 3 replicates of 5 fruit each, 15 fruit per each phenological growth stage, and assessment of brown rot susceptibility was conducted with 4 replicates of 10 fruit each, thereby assessing 40 fruit per each phenological growth stage and strain inoculated. For biochemical measurements, samples of peel and pulp tissue (10 mm diameter and 5 mm deep) were collected using a cork borer and immediately frozen with liquid nitrogen. Afterwards, samples were lyophilised in a freeze-dryer (Cryodos, Telstar S.A., Terrassa, Spain) operating at 1 Pa and -50 °C for 5 d and grounded prior to being kept at -80 °C until further biochemical analysis. At 20 and 112 DAFB only morphological and physiological analysis were carried out.

**Morphological and physiological changes during fruit development and ripening**

**Fruit growth rate**

Fruit weight was measured by using a digital balance and expressed in g, whereas fruit diameter was determined at the equatorial section of the fruit with an electronic digital calliper (Powerfix, Ilford, UK) and expressed in millimetres (mm).

**Fruit ethylene production and respiration rate**

Ethylene production and fruit respiration were determined as described elsewhere (Baró-Montel et al., 2019). Four replicates of 5 fruit each were placed in sealed flasks of different volumes, in an acclimatised chamber at 20 °C, equipped with a silicon septum for sampling the gas of the headspace after 2 h incubation.

**Biochemical changes during fruit development and ripening**
Determination of pH

Freeze-dried powder of each sample was rehydrated in purified water obtained using
Elix® Advantage water purification system E-POD (Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany)
and homogenised using an Ultra-Turrax (IKA Ultra-Turrax® T25 Digital, IKA®-Werke
GmbH & Co. KG, Munich, Germany). The amount of water added to each sample was
calculated based on the weight loss after freeze-drying. Subsequently, the pH was
measured using a pH meter (Model GLP22, Crison Instruments S.A., Barcelona, Spain)
with a penetration electrode (5231 Crison).

Determination of fructose, glucose and sucrose content

Soluble sugars were extracted from freeze-dried powder of each sample as described by
Giné-Bordonaba et al. (2017) with some modifications. Fifty mg of each sample were
diluted in 1 mL of 62.5% (v/v) aqueous methanol solvent and placed in a thermostatic
bath at 55 °C for 15 min, mixing the solution with a vortex every 5 min to prevent
layering. Then, the samples were centrifuged at 24,000 × g for 15 min at 20 °C.
The supernatants of each sample were recovered and used for enzyme-coupled
spectrophotometric determination of glucose and fructose (hexokinase / phosphoglucone
isomerase) and sucrose (β-fructosidase) using a commercial kit (BioSystems S.A.,
Barcelona, Spain) and following the manufacturer’s instructions. Results were expressed
on a standard fresh weight basis (g kg⁻¹) and on fruit basis (g per fruit). The
monosaccharides / disaccharides (M/D) ratio was determined as the amount of fructose
and glucose divided by the amount of sucrose.

Determination of malic, citric and gluconic acids content

Organic acids were extracted from freeze-dried powder of each sample as described by
Giné-Bordonaba et al. (2017) with some modifications. Fifty mg of each sample were
diluted in 1 mL of distilled water and placed at room temperature for 10 min, mixing the solution with a vortex every 5 min to prevent layering. Then, the samples were centrifuged at 24,000 × g for 5 min at 20 °C.

The supernatants of each sample were recovered and used for enzyme-coupled spectrophotometric determination of malic (L-malate dehydrogenase), citric (citrate lyase / malate dehydrogenase) and gluconic (gluconate kinase / 6-phosphogluconate dehydrogenase) acids, using commercial kits (BioSystems S.A., Barcelona, Spain) and following the manufacturer’s instructions. Results were expressed on a standard fresh weight basis (g kg⁻¹) and on fruit basis (g per fruit).

**Determination of malondialdehyde**

Malondialdehyde (MDA) was analysed as an index of lipid peroxidation using the thiobarbituric acid reactive substrates (TBARS) (Giné-Bordonaba et al., 2017). Five hundred mg of each sample were homogenized in 4 mL of 0.1% trichloroacetic acid (TCA) solution. Then, the samples were centrifuged at 23,300 × g for 20 min at 20 °C and 0.5 mL of the supernatant was added to 1.5 mL of a 0.5% thiobarbituric acid (TBA) in 20% TCA solution. Another aliquot (0.5 mL) of the supernatant was added to a solution containing only 20% TCA as a control. The mixture was incubated at 90 °C for 30 min until stopped by placing the reaction tubes in an ice-water bath. Then, the samples were centrifuged at 23,300 × g for 10 min at 4 °C, and the absorbance of the supernatant was measured at 532 nm and subtracted to the unspecific absorption read at 600 nm. The amount of MDA-TBA complex (red pigment) was calculated using its molar extinction coefficient 155 mM⁻¹ cm⁻¹. Results were expressed on a standard fresh weight basis (µmol kg⁻¹) and on fruit basis (µmol per fruit).

**Determination of fruit antioxidant capacity and total phenolic content**
Extracts for antioxidant capacity (AC) and total phenolic content (TPC) were prepared as described elsewhere (Giné-Bordonaba et al., 2017) with some modifications. Fifty mg of freeze-dried powder of each sample were diluted in 1 mL of 79.5% (v/v) methanol and 0.5% (v/v) HCl aqueous solvent. The mixture was held in the dark at room temperature with constant agitation for 2 h, mixing the solution with a vortex every 15 min to prevent layering. Then, the samples were centrifuged at 24,000 × g for 5 min at 20 °C. The supernatants of each sample were recovered and used for spectrophotometric determination.

TPC was determined at 765 nm after the reaction of 0.05 mL of each sample extract with 0.25 mL of Folin-Ciocalteau reagent, 4.2 mL of Milli-Q water and 0.5 mL of 20% (p/v) of Na₂CO₃. Results were expressed on a standard fresh weight basis (g kg⁻¹ gallic acid equivalents (GAE)) and on fruit basis (g GAE per fruit). AC was determined at 593 nm of the above mentioned extracts following the Ferric Reducing Antioxidant Power (FRAP) protocol as described by Giné-Bordonaba and Terry (2016). Results were expressed on a standard fresh weight basis (g kg⁻¹ Fe³⁺) and on fruit basis (g Fe³⁺ per fruit).

**Determination of ascorbic and dehydroascorbic acids**

Extracts for ascorbic (AsA) and dehydroascorbic (dhAsA) acids determination were obtained from 300 mg of freeze-dried powder of each sample that were diluted in 4 mL of 3% (v/v) meta-phosphoric acid (MPA) and 8% (v/v) acetic acid aqueous solvent. The mixture was homogenised with a vortex for 1 min. Then, the samples were centrifuged at 43,000 × g for 22 min at 4 °C. The supernatants of each sample were filtered through a 0.45 µm filter for High Performance Liquid Chromatography (HPLC) (Millipore, Bedford, MA, USA) and used for HPLC-UV determination protocol as described by Collazo et al. (2018). Results were expressed on a standard fresh weight basis (mg kg⁻¹) and on fruit basis (mg per fruit).
Changes in susceptibility to brown rot during fruit development and ripening

In this study three single-spore strains of *Monilinia* spp. were used: *M. fructicola* (CPMC6) and *M. laxa* (CPML11 and ML8L). The strains CPMC6 and ML8L are deposited in the Spanish Culture Type Collection (CECT 21105 and CECT 21100, respectively). All strains were maintained in 20% glycerol (w/v) at -80 °C for long-term storage and subcultured periodically on Petri dishes containing potato dextrose agar (PDA; Biokar Diagnostics, 39 g L⁻¹) supplemented with 25% of tomato pulp and incubated under 12-h photoperiod at 25 °C / 18 °C for 7 d.

Conidial suspensions at a concentration of 10⁵ conidia mL⁻¹ were prepared, and ‘Merryl O’Henry’ peaches were infected at 49, 77, 126 and 160 DAFB following the methodology described by Baró-Montel et al (2019). All the fruit were incubated in a chamber for a maximum of 14 d at 20 °C and inspected daily to know when disease symptoms initiated. After 7 and 14 d of storage, the number of brown rot infected fruit was recorded.

**Statistical analysis**

Data were collated and statistically analysed with JMP® software version 13.1.0 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA). Means were compared by analysis of variance (ANOVA) of data expressed on a standard fresh weight basis and on fruit basis, aiming to understand the net assimilation of the target compounds without considering the increase in fruit volume occurring during fruit growth. Values per fruit were calculated using the average value on a standard fresh weight basis multiplied by the average fruit weight (kg) (obtained after weighing 20 individual fruit per each phenological stage). When the analysis was statistically significant, the Tukey’s test at the level *p* < 0.05 was performed for separation of means. Significance of correlations between traits was checked by Spearman’s rank correlation.
A Partial Least Squares (PLS) analysis was conducted, using the same software described above, to find the underlying physiological and biochemical traits (X factors) that account for most of the variation in brown rot susceptibility (Y response) considering different phenological growth stages. The corresponding data matrix included 12 samples (the triplicate values of each sample at 49, 77, 126 and 160 DAFB) and 19 variables (ethylene, respiration, RQ (respiratory quotient), DW/FW ratio, glucose, fructose, sucrose, M/D ratio, malic acid, citric acid, total gluconic acid, AsA, dhAsA, total AsA (T-AsA), AC, TPC, MDA, pH and incidence for ML8L strain). As a pre-treatment, data for chemometric analysis was centred and autoscaled to provide similar weights for all the variables. The Nonlinear Iterative Partial Least Squares (NIPALS) algorithm with 2 factors was used to estimate the model parameters.

RESULTS

Morphological changes during ‘Merryl O’Henry’ development and ripening

The weight and diameter of growing ‘Merryl O’Henry’ peach fruit were monitored from 0 to 160 DAFB (Figure 1(a)). Both parameters followed a double-sigmoid growth curve that hinted three growth phases: i) cell division, ii) pit hardening, and iii) final swell. The first growth phase lasted approximately 50 DAFB with an average growth rate of 0.07 g (R^2 = 0.96) and 0.58 mm (R^2 = 0.99) per d, until fruit reached 4.5 g for weight, and a maximum diameter of 28.6 mm. The phase from 50 to 77 DAFB, registered an average growth rate of 0.96 g (R^2 = 0.97) and 0.29 mm (R^2 = 0.99) per d, until fruit reached a weight of 31 g, and 36.6 mm diameter. This second phase included the hardening period and was characterised by little morphological changes. Finally, the third phase was the period of rapid fruit growth rate with values of 2.7 g (R^2 = 0.99) and 0.52 mm (R^2 = 0.93) of weight and diameter per d, respectively, being greater than those of the earlier phases. In general, there was a strong positive exponential correlation between fruit weight and
diameter (Figure 1(b)). During all the phases, morphological changes in fruit appearances occurred, but most significantly during the latter phase, where the massive fruit growth was accompanied by colour changes (from greenish to yellow and red; Figure 1(c)).

**Fruit ethylene production and respiration rate changes during ‘Merryl O’Henry’ development and ripening**

Significant differences in the kinetics of ethylene production were found between 160 DAFB and the earlier sampling points (Figure 2). At the start of the trial, ethylene production was 8 pmol kg$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$ (Figure 2(a)). Later, it decreased by half, and remained almost undetectable through the second and early third phase. Finally, at 160 DAFB, ethylene production was 15.5 pmol kg$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$, coinciding with the climacteric rise. The pattern of net ethylene production per fruit followed the same trend, except for the first 49 DAFB when values were almost zero (Figure 2(b)).

As shown in Figure 2(c), the behaviour on a standard weight basis showed a tendency towards lower amounts of CO$_2$ released, being the maximum at 0 DAFB (2,718 nmol kg$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$ CO$_2$) and the minimum at 122 DAFB (205 nmol kg$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$ CO$_2$). The pattern of net CO$_2$ released (Figure 2(d)), however, was completely opposed, and this was likely cause by the fact that fruit increases in size during development. The net respiratory activity increased constantly throughout fruit development up to 55 nmol s$^{-1}$ CO$_2$ per fruit at 160 DAFB, coinciding with the ethylene peak. It is noteworthy to mention that a first and transient respiratory peak (32 nmol s$^{-1}$ CO$_2$ per fruit) was observed at 112 DAFB coinciding also with a peak on the ethylene production on a fruit basis ($R^2 = 0.92$; $p < 0.0001$; Supplemental Figure S1). Regarding RQ, values throughout development were
between 0.73 (49 DAFB) and 1.29 (20 DAFB), fitting the range from 0.7 to 1.3 for aerobic respiration reported for fresh fruit and vegetables (Kader and Saltveit, 2003).

**Changes in sugar and acid content during 'Merryl O’Henry' development and ripening**

During the course of the experiment, sucrose increased from 7.3 up to 18 g kg\(^{-1}\), being at 160 DAFB significantly higher \((p = 0.0006)\) than in earlier sampling points (Figure 3(a)).

Overall, fructose showed higher levels than glucose throughout the experiment, with the exception of 49 DAFB. Net accumulation of sucrose, glucose and fructose on a peach basis was evident (Figure 3(b)), and especially during the last month before harvest, when fruit reached their maximum sugar content (4.7, 2.9 and 3.4 g per fruit, respectively). A strong negative correlation was observed between glucose and sucrose \((R^2 = 0.83; p = 0.0008; \text{Supplemental Figure S1})\), and between fructose and sucrose \((R^2 = 0.69; p = 0.0126; \text{Supplemental Figure S1})\). Malic acid content ranged from 2 to 9.3 g kg\(^{-1}\), displaying two statistically significant \((p < 0.0001)\) peaks at 49 and 160 DAFB (Figure 3(c)).

Similar to that observed for sugars accumulation on a fruit basis, malic and citric acid content increased during the course of the experiment, reaching final values of 2.4 and 0.98 g per fruit, respectively (Figure 3(d)). Regarding total gluconic acid, initial values were 0.5 g kg\(^{-1}\) (Figure 3(c)). Afterwards, levels for this compound increased by 2-fold, and then decreased progressively until almost zero at 160 DAFB. Unlike other organic acids, total gluconic content on a fruit basis did not display an increase throughout development and ripening. Moreover, the accumulation pattern was the same than on a concentration basis, reaching a maximum of 31 mg per fruit at 77 DAFB and a minimum at harvest.

**INSERT FIGURE 3**
Changes in antioxidants and malondialdehyde content during ‘Merryl O’Henry’ development and ripening

Concerning the fruit AC, a transient peak up to 10.72 g kg\(^{-1}\) at 77 DAFB was observed (Figure 4(a)). After peaking, AC content decreased until a minimum of 4.89 g kg\(^{-1}\) at 160 DAFB. The TPC varied from 1.83 to 4.47 g kg\(^{-1}\), following a pattern similar to that observed for AC, but on a much smaller scale. The results presented on a fruit basis shown a parallel gradual increase of AC and TPC during development (Figure 4(b)), with a significantly higher \((p < 0.005)\) amount per fruit at harvest if compared to earlier developmental stages. Our results also evidenced that fruit development was accompanied by an increased accumulation of ascorbate both at a concentration and on a fruit basis, ranging from 1.2 to 78 mg kg\(^{-1}\) (Figure 4(c)) and from 0.05 to 21 g per fruit (Figure 4(d)), respectively. In contrast, dehydroascorbate concentration was greatly reduced during fruit growth, reaching a minimum of 17 mg kg\(^{-1}\) at 160 DAFB.

In our study, when analysed on a concentration basis (Figure 4(e)), no statistically significant differences in MDA content were observed among the different phenological growth stages. Nevertheless, when analysed on a fruit basis, changes in MDA showed four clearly significant \((p < 0.0001)\) levels (Figure 4(f)). MDA content steadily increased throughout fruit growth, and especially during the last month before harvest, where the accumulated levels were over 3-fold than those monitored in earlier phenological growth stages.

INSERT FIGURE 4

Changes in susceptibility to brown rot during ‘Merryl O’Henry’ development and ripening
In addition to the observed physiological and biochemical changes during growth, ‘Merryl O’Henry’ peach fruit also displayed variation in brown rot susceptibility along the different phenological stages (Figure 5). For strains CPMC6 and CPML11, no significant differences were found among the different phenological growth stages. Regarding *M. fructicola*, 100% of the fruit developed the disease. Meanwhile, brown rot incidence for strain CPML11 of *M. laxa* ranged from 90 to 100%. On the contrary, strain ML8L of *M. laxa* showed a wide range of values. Non-wounded peaches artificially inoculated with this later strain showed significantly lesser degree of susceptibility to brown rot at 49 DAFB (40%; *p* = 0.0003) and 126 DAFB (23%; *p* < 0.0001) DAFB. Within these two sampling points (at 77 DAFB), susceptibility significantly increased (*p* < 0.0001) up to 75%. Again, increased susceptibility to *Monilinia* infection occurred at 160 DAFB. Taken together, results for ML8L confirmed that susceptibility varied during fruit development, evidencing the sharp increase in susceptibility between 126 DAFB (before the colour break) and 160 DAFB (when epidermis had acquired a uniformly yellow and red colour).

**Involvement of physiological and biochemical traits in determining changes in susceptibility to brown rot**

All the data presented herein were integrated on a multivariate analysis to further explore the relationship between the major physiological and biochemical changes occurring during growth with changes in brown rot susceptibility. Although the three strains were able to directly infect non-wounded ‘Merryl O’Henry’ peaches, the present section focuses on strain ML8L of *M. laxa* since this strain was responsible for the greatest variability in disease incidence among phenological stages. The corresponding loadings plot using the first two PLS factors, accounted for more than 93% of the variation observed (Figure 6). Besides, the correlation between predicted and measured values was high (*R*² = 0.94) and
led to a highly effective model for predicting ML8L incidence (data not shown). The Variable Importance Plot (VIP) showed that all predictors, except TPC and MDA had values exceeding 0.8 (Figure 6, insert). Cut-off values for the VIP vary throughout the literature, but there is some agreement that values greater than 1.0 indicate predictors that are important (Wold, 1995) within the model. Based on this criterion, DW/FW (1.43), citric acid (1.34), ethylene (1.21), RQ (1.10), malic acid (1.08) and sucrose (1.00) were the most influential variables in determining the PLS projection model and explaining the variable ML8L susceptibility over peach growth in terms of physiological and compositional changes.

DISCUSSION

The physiological and biochemical changes that fruit experience throughout development and ripening modify their texture and flavour, leading to fruit suitable for consumption. However, these changes can also cause the fungi to go from a quiescent to a pathogenic state, leading to noticeable changes in fruit susceptibility (Cantu et al., 2008). In this context, phenolic compounds are accounted as a first line of defence against pathogen attack (Yang et al., 2010), and together with phytoalexins and other secondary metabolites, tend to accumulate in regions near the infection as part of a locally induced defences response (Lattanzio et al., 2006). Previous studies (Bostock et al., 1999; Lee and Bostock, 2006) suggest that development of *M. fructicola* in unripe peach fruit was inhibited by phenolic acids. A similar conclusion was drawn for *M. laxa* (Villarino et al., 2011). By comparing different phenological stages, the results from our study do not strictly support these findings since both AC and the TPC were higher in immature ‘Merryl O’Henry’ peach fruit, especially at 77 DAFB (Figure 4), when fruit susceptibility to *Monilinia spp.* was also very high (Figure 5). In this sense, specific compounds rather
than the TPC or AC, or the combination of high antioxidant content with high or low content of other biochemical compounds, are likely involved in the higher resistance to *Monilinia spp.* reported by others (Lee and Bostock, 2006). In our study, special attention was given to ascorbate, a powerful antioxidant and cellular reductant for plants involved in numerous plant responses to biotic stress. Indeed, AsA may act together with glutathione (GSH) and other enzymatic antioxidants via the AsA-GSH cycle providing the correct redox environment to regulate multiple defence pathways including the expression of plant defense genes through the activation of the regulatory transcription factor NPR1 (Nonexpressor of Pathogenesis-Related protein 1), but also modulating hormonal signalling networks involved in plant defense (Boubraki, 2017). Overall, the detected ASA levels in our study were within the range of that found in the literature (30-32) yet no clear associations were found between AsA levels and the fruit susceptibility to *Monilinia spp.*

The sugar content and the ratio between monosaccharides and disaccharides were one of the parameters that mostly varied throughout the development and ripening of ‘Merryl O’Henry’ peach fruit. Sucrose, glucose and fructose in proportion of about 3:1:1 are the main sugars in peaches (Génard et al., 2003), representing about 75% of total soluble sugars (Crisosto and Valero, 2008). Immature fruit contain starch grains that are rapidly converted into soluble sugars as the fruit mature and ripen (Crisosto and Valero, 2008), and more rapidly during the last few days of maturation on-tree (Ramina et al., 2008). The results regarding sugar changes during growth were in agreement with the literature (Famiani et al., 2016). Sucrose accumulation, occurred mainly during the second exponential growth phase, and may be explained by the up-regulation of genes for hexose transport, together with a gene encoding for sucrose phosphate synthase as reported by others in peach fruit (Nonis et al., 2007). Furthermore, the sharp increase in sucrose levels observed both at a concentration...
and on fruit basis, was coincident with the ethylene burst. These results, jointly with the strong positive correlation observed between sucrose and ethylene ($R^2 = 0.92; p < 0.0001$; Supplemental Figure S1), and between sucrose and respiration ($R^2 = 0.97; p < 0.0001$; Supplemental Figure S1), pointed out the key role of this molecule on peach ripening as also observed in other species (Lindo-García et al., 2019). In contrast, glucose and fructose content at harvest reached their minimum (11 and 12.8 g kg$^{-1}$, respectively), which likely highlights their function as primary photoassimilates for the synthesis of translocated compounds (Osorio and Fernie, 2013) as well as their potential usage as respiratory substrates. Sugars, however, in addition to being the core of primary plant metabolism, have also been implicated in responses to different types of biotic and abiotic stress (Kou et al., 2018). Sugars can be oxidized and induce the production of organic acids (Prusky and Wilson, 2018), as well as a wide range of secondary metabolites which are related to host defence responses (Berger et al., 2007). In the present study, the results of the multivariate analysis revealed that sucrose was positively related to the development of brown rot, pointing out that the catabolism of sucrose could be one of the main sources of carbon and energy that the fungus may use during host colonization. In fact, in *M. fructicola* it has been shown that the progression of the disease is accompanied by a decrease in the content of sucrose and an increase in the content of reducing sugars and soluble solids, as result of the decomposition of this disaccharide into more accessible molecules to the fungus (Kou et al., 2018). Besides, previous studies have already shown that sucrose metabolism and especially invertases play a key role in plant defences against biotic stresses (Tauzin and Giardina, 2014).

Like sugars, organic acids are generally considered as important respiratory substrates (Famiani et al., 2016). Our results showed that in ‘Merryl O’Henry’ peach fruit, organic acids content was highly variable and greatly influenced by the phenological growth.
stage. Interestingly, the regression coefficients obtained in the present study showed that citric acid was the parameter most negatively correlated with ML8L incidence. A fall in citrate levels of healthy fruit at specific times during growth may lead to greater susceptibility to brown rot which, in turn, may be explained by the loosening of acidity and the antimicrobial activity elicited by this compound (Shokri, 2011). If citrate levels are low within the fruit tissue, the buffering capacity of the fruit is also low and hence it is likely that alkalinisation and acidification, via the secretion of ammonia or organic acids (Prusky et al., 2016) may be easier for the pathogen. In this way, peaches and nectarines infected by *M. fructicola* also showed significant decreases in pH due to the organic acids produced by the fungus (De Cal et al., 2013), supporting the potential role of organic acids in modulating the host environment, as well as enhancing pathogen virulence. In our study, since we are working with healthy peaches neither accumulation of gluconic acid nor changes in pH among the different growth stages were detected.

Finally, it is noteworthy to mention that ethylene displayed positive regression coefficients with disease incidence. Ethylene induces fruit ripening as well as plant senescence and many other developmental processes that may be linked to an increased susceptibility to fungal pathogens (Mengiste et al., 2010). During growth, fruit are continuous exposed to various forms of biotic stresses, such as pathogen attack, and ethylene, together with ROS-mediated responses, plays a pivotal role in the activation of signalling pathways related with host defence response to necrotrophic pathogens (Hammond-Kosack and Jones, 1996). The role of ethylene, however, is two-sided since this hormone can promote susceptibility or resistance, depending on multiple factors (Baró-Montel et al., 2019). As a whole, our findings support the crucial role of ethylene in pathogenicity and consequently, let us to hypothesise that the high levels of ethylene jointly with the low and high levels of citric acid and sucrose, respectively, in healthy
fruit at 160 DAFB may explain the rise in susceptibility. Concomitantly, the enhanced ethylene production may trigger polygalacturonase (PG) and pectin methyl esterase (PME) (Pech et al., 2008; Wang et al., 2017), especially the former, hence probably facilitating the penetration of the fungus in the fruit tissue.

CONCLUSIONS

The results obtained herein provide a global view of the most relevant changes at morphological, physiological and biochemical level occurring during development and ripening of ‘Merryl O’Henry’ peach fruit and its relationship to brown rot susceptibility. It is unlikely that specific compounds can account for the lower or higher susceptibility to Monilinia spp. along different phenological stages. This said, the content of certain compounds such as citrate, malate and sucrose, the respiratory activity and the fruit ethylene production may co-ordinately act as natural fruit resistance mechanisms to Monilinia spp. at diverse phenological stages. A better understanding of these mechanisms may provide a framework for developing more rational control alternatives to synthetic fungicides, and especially for organic production which has been expanding rapidly in most developed countries. In addition, the results from this study also highlight the differential ability of the three strains of Monilinia spp. to infect non-wounded peaches. Hence, not only the specie, but each strain-specific mechanisms may have a specific way to colonise the host.
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Fig. 1. Changes in fruit weight (■) and diameter (●) of ‘Merryl O’Henry’ peach fruit during growth and ripening, expressed as d after full bloom (DAFB) (A). Each point represents the mean and vertical bars indicate the standard deviation of the mean (n = 4). Relationship between fruit weight and diameter calculated according to a polynomial linear regression (B). Image of the different phenological stages corresponding to each sampling point (C). In bold, phenological growth stages selected for the biochemical and susceptibility measurements (49, 77, 126 and 160 DAFB).

Fig. 2. Changes in ethylene production (pmol kg⁻¹ s⁻¹ C₂H₄; A or pmol s⁻¹ C₂H₄ per fruit; B) and fruit respiration (nmol kg⁻¹ s⁻¹ CO₂; C or nmol s⁻¹ CO₂ per fruit; D) of ‘Merryl O’Henry’ peach fruit at different phenological growth stages. The insert in Fig. 2C represents the calculated respiratory quotient (RQ). Each point represents the mean and vertical bars indicate the standard deviation of the mean (n = 4).

Fig. 3. Changes in soluble sugars (glucose (●), fructose (○) and sucrose (▼)) and organic acids (malic (●), citric (○) and gluconic (▼)) of ‘Merryl O’Henry’ peach fruit at different phenological growth stages. Data is expressed on a standard fresh weight basis (g kg⁻¹; A and C) and on fruit basis (g per fruit; B and D), respectively. Each point represents the mean and vertical bars indicate the standard deviation of the mean (n = 3). For each compound over time, mean values with the same letter are not significantly different according to analysis of variance (ANOVA) and Tukey’s HSD test (p < 0.05).
Fig. 4. Changes in the fruit antioxidant capacity (AC) (○) (g kg\(^{-1}\) Fe\(^{3+}\); A or g Fe\(^{3+}\) per fruit; B), total phenolic compounds (TPC) (●) (g kg\(^{-1}\) gallic acid equivalents (GAE); A or g GAE per fruit; B), ascorbic acid (AsA) (○) and dehydroascorbate (dhAsA) (●) (mg kg\(^{-1}\); C or mg per fruit; D), and malondialdehyde (MDA) (●) (µmol kg\(^{-1}\); E or µmol per fruit; F) of ‘Merryl O’Henry’ peach fruit at different phenological growth stages. Each point represents the mean and vertical bars indicate the standard deviation of the mean (n = 3). For each compound over time, mean values with the same letter are not significantly different according to analysis of variance (ANOVA) and Tukey’s HSD test (p < 0.05).

Fig. 5. Changes in brown rot susceptibility of ‘Merryl O’Henry’ peach fruit inoculated with different strains of Monilinia spp. at 49, 77, 126 and 160 d after full bloom (DAFB). Fruit were inoculated by immersion for 60 s in a conidial suspension containing \(10^5\) conidia mL\(^{-1}\) of strain CPMC6 of M. fructicola (■) or strains CPML11 (■) and ML8L (□) of M. laxa, and incubated for 7 d at 20 °C and 100 % relative humidity. Each point represents the mean and vertical bars indicate the standard deviation of the mean (n = 4). Mean values with the same uppercase letter within the same strain or mean values with the same lowercase letter within the same phenological stage are not significantly different according to analysis of variance (ANOVA) and Tukey’s HSD test (p < 0.05).

Fig. 6. Partial Least Squares (PLS) correlation loading plot depicting the contribution of each physiological and biochemical factors (green letters) to Monilinia laxa incidence (InML8L; blue letters) of ‘Merryl O’Henry’ peach fruit at different phenological growth stages. Each cluster is coloured as follows: 49 DAFB (blue), 77 DAFB (yellow), 126 DAFB (green) and 160 DAFB (orange). The insert represent the variable importance plot.
(VIP). Number of VIP > 0.8 (discontinuous red line) indicates that predictors are important in determining the two factors used in the PLS model.

Supplemental Fig. 1. Visualization of Spearman’s rank correlation matrix between physiological and biochemical traits of ‘Merril O’Henry’ peach fruit. Circles above and below the diagonal report the correlation coefficients between traits expressed on a standard weight basis and on fruit basis, respectively. Colour intensity and the size of each circle are proportional to the correlation coefficients. White squares denote non-significant correlations ($p > 0.05$). Dry weight / fresh weight ratio (DW / FW); monosaccharides / disaccharides ratio (M / D) and respiratory quotient (RQ).
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