

This version of the article has been accepted for publication, after peer review (when applicable) and is subject to Springer Nature's <u>AM terms of use</u>, but is not the Version of Record and does not reflect post-acceptance improvements, or any corrections. The Version of Record is available online at: <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/s43017-023-00482-1</u>

Document downloaded from:



1 Greenhouse gas emissions and mitigation in rice agriculture

- Haoyu Qian^{1,2}, Xiangchen Zhu¹⁻³, Shan Huang⁴, Bruce Linquist⁵, Yakov Kuzyakov⁶⁻⁸, Reiner
 Wassmann^{9,10}, Kazunori Minamikawa¹¹, Maite Martinez-Eixarch¹², Xiaoyuan Yan¹³, Feng Zhou¹⁴,
- 4 Bjoern Ole Sander⁹, Weijian Zhang¹⁵, Ziyin Shang¹⁵, Jianwen Zou¹⁶, Xunhua Zheng¹⁷, Ganghua
- 5 Li^{1,2}, Zhenhui Liu^{1,2}, Songhan Wang^{1,2}, Yanfeng Ding^{1,2†}, Kees Jan van Groenigen^{18†}, Yu Jiang^{1,2†}
- 6

8

- 7
 - ¹Jiangsu Collaborative Innovation Center for Modern Crop Production, Nanjing Agricultural University, Nanjing, China
- ⁹ ²Key Laboratory of Crop Physiology and Ecology in Southern China, Nanjing Agricultural
- 10 University, Nanjing, China
- ¹¹ ³Department of Agronomy, Yichun University, Yichun, China
- ¹² ⁴Ministry of Education and Jiangxi Key Laboratory of Crop Physiology, Ecology and Genetic
- 13 Breeding, Jiangxi Agricultural University, Nanchang, China
- ⁵Department of Plant Sciences, University of California, Davis, Davis, CA, USA
- ¹⁵ ⁶Department of Soil Science of Temperate Ecosystems, University of Goettingen, Goettingen,
- 16 Germany
- ¹⁷ ⁷Department of Agricultural Soil Science, University of Goettingen, Goettingen, Germany
- ⁸ Peoples Friendship University of Russia (RUDN University), Moscow, Russia
- 19 ⁹International Rice Research Institute, Los Banos, Philippines
- 20 ¹⁰Karlsruhe Institute of Technology, Garmisch-Partenkirchen, Germany
- 21 ¹¹Crop, Livestock and Environment Division, Japan International Research Center for Agricultural
- 22 Sciences (JIRCAS), Tsukuba, Japan
- 23 ¹²IRTA Institute of Agrifood Research and Technology, Marine and Continental Waters, Sant Carles
- 24 de la Ràpita, Spain
- 25 ¹³Institute of Soil Science, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Nanjing, China
- ²⁶ ¹⁴Institute of Carbon Neutrality, Sino-France Institute of Earth System Science, College of Urban
- 27 and Environmental Sciences, Peking University, Beijing, China
- 28 ¹⁵Institute of Crop Sciences, Chinese Academy of Agricultural Sciences, Beijing, China
- 29 ¹⁶College of Resources and Environmental Sciences, Nanjing Agricultural University, Nanjing,
- 30 China
- 31 ¹⁷Institute of Atmospheric Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China
- ³² ¹⁸Department of Geography, University of Exeter, Exeter, UK
- 33 Haoyu Qian and Xiangchen Zhu contributed equally to this work
- 34
- ³⁵ [†]e-mail: yujiang@njau.edu.cn; dingyf@njau.edu.cn; kj.vangroenigen@exeter.ac.uk

36 Abstract

37 Rice paddies supply half the global population with staple food, but also account for $\sim 48\%$ of 38 greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions from croplands. In this Review, we outline the characteristics of 39 GHG emissions (CH₄ and N₂O) from paddy soils, focusing on climate change effects and mitigation 40 strategies. Global mean annual area-scaled and yield-scaled GHG emissions are ~7870 kg CO₂e ha⁻ ¹ and 0.9 kg CO₂e kg⁻¹, respectively, with 94% from CH₄. However, emissions vary markedly, 41 42 primarily reflecting the impact of management practices. In particular, organic matter additions and 43 continuous flooding of paddies both stimulate CH₄ emissions, whereas fertilizer N application rate 44 is the most important driver of N₂O emissions. Although contemporary changes in emissions are uncertain, future elevated [CO2] and warming are projected to increase CH4 emissions by 4-40% 45 46 and 15-23%. Yet integrated agronomic management strategies-including cultivar, organic matter, 47 water, tillage and nitrogen management-offer GHG mitigation potential. In particular, new rice 48 variety, non-continuous flooding, and straw removal strategies reduce GHG emissions by 24%, 44%, 49 and 46% on average, respectively. However, approaches need to be optimized based on seasonal 50 CH₄ emission patterns, necessitating improved quantification and reduced uncertainty in regional 51and global GHG estimates, especially in low latitudes.

52

53 [H1] Introduction

Rice is a vital crop for food security and human nutrition, with global rice paddies currently occupying ~1.7 million km² (ref ¹). It is the main staple food for more than half of the global population and provides 20% of dietary energy supply². While China and India dominate consumption, global consumption has increased markedly, growing from 157 million tons in 1960, to 520 million tons in 2022 (ref ³). Consumption is further expected to rise by an additional ~6% up to 2030 (ref ⁴). China, India, Bangladesh, Indonesia and Vietnam contribute the most to rice production, which in 2020, totaled ~757 million tons⁵.

Although an important food crop, rice paddies are a major source of greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions. For example, rice contributes 22% and ~11% of total agricultural methane⁶ (CH₄) and nitrous oxide⁷ (N₂O) emissions, respectively. Owing to these high CH₄ emissions, rice has the highest area-scaled (that is, GHG emissions per unit land area) and yield-scaled (that is, GHG emissions per unit yield) emissions of all food crops^{8,9}, and despite increasing soil organic carbon (SOC) stocks^{10–12}, are generally considered a net source of GHG¹.

In addition to soil properties and agricultural management practices, these GHG emissions are strongly influenced by climatic conditions, including temperature and precipitation^{13,14} (**Box 1**). For instance, warming can increase substrate availability for methanogens as well as their abundance, resulting in higher CH₄ emissions^{15–17}. Indeed, meta-analyses indicate that warming enhances CH₄ emissions from rice paddies by 15-23% (ref ^{18–20}). Moreover, warming can increase N₂O emissions by increasing the abundance of nitrite reductase genes²¹.

This combination of climatic change and expansion of rice growing area have, therefore, contributed to rising anthropogenic GHG emissions. Estimates of current annual CH₄ and N₂O emissions from rice paddies total 24-31 Tg yr⁻¹ (refs ^{5, 6}) and 130 Gg yr⁻¹ (ref ¹⁴), respectively. Accordingly, there is a need for mitigation. Agricultural practices offer such possibility because they alter soil C, N, and O₂ availability. For instance, high-yielding rice cultivars can reduce CH₄ emissions owing to higher root O₂ release²², mid-season drainage can reduce CH₄ emissions by 52% (ref ²³), and returning straw during the non-rice growing season could potentially reduce global CH₄ 80 emissions by 5.4 Tg yr⁻¹ (ref 24).

In this Review, we outline GHG emissions and mitigation potential of rice agriculture. We begin by evaluating GHG emissions from rice paddies across spatial scales, before then discussing the impacts of climate change on these emissions; focus is placed on empirical, field scale research (**Supplementary text**). We follow with a discussion of mitigation strategies that can reduce GHG emissions from paddies, offering insight on which are most likely to be successful. Finally, we identify research gaps that need to be addressed to achieve further GHG reductions.

87

88 [H1] Spatiotemporal characteristics of GHG emissions

GHG emission rates vary depending on climatic conditions and agricultural practices. The
 spatiotemporal characteristics, overarching dynamics, and longer-term changes of in-situ GHG
 emissions (Supplementary Methods; Supplementary Data) are now discussed.

92 [H2] Geographic characteristics

Averaging across all in-situ available observations provides a reasonable indicator of overarching rice paddy GHG emissions and their characteristics. Annual CH₄ and N₂O emissions are 283 kg ha⁻¹ and 1.7 kg ha⁻¹, respectively (**Supplementary Table 1**). These values can be combined and converted to global warming potential (GWP) over a 100-year time horizon⁶ to give area-scaled and yield-scaled GHG emissions. Area-scaled emissions are estimated at 7870 kg CO₂e ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹, with CH₄ contributing ~94% of that total, and yield-scaled emissions at 0.9 kg CO₂e kg⁻¹.

99 However, there is substantial heterogeneity in annual GHG emissions (Fig. 1), varying up to 100 two orders of magnitude across paddies, even within the same country (Supplementary Data 1). 101 Embedded within this heterogeneity is latitudinal dependence. For instance, mean CH₄ emissions 102 reach 614 kg ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ from 10°S-10°N, generally declining to 272 kg ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ at >40°N 103 (Supplementary Table 2). N₂O emissions, in contrast, exhibit less latitudinal dependence, peaking at 1.95 kg ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ for 10-20°N and dropping to a more consistent 1.29 kg ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ at 10°S-10°N 104 (Supplementary Table 2). Given the dominance of CH₄ in quantifying area-scaled and yield-scaled 105 GHG emissions, these also peak at 10°S and 10°N, totaling 18,171 kg CO₂e ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ and 1.19 kg 106 CO2e kg⁻¹, respectively (Supplementary Table 2). These values should be interpreted with caution 107 108 given the low number of in situ observations in low-latitude regions.

109 At the country level, China, India, and Indonesia have the largest rice area and so are the largest countries in terms of total CH₄ emissions, contributing 22-38%, 11-19%, and 7-9% of the 24-37 Tg 110 yr¹ global total (), respectively^{5,25}. However, the Philippines has the highest area-scaled and yield-111 112 scaled CH₄ emissions, reflecting the impact of high temperatures and the fact that most rice paddies 113 are continuously flooded. In contrast, China and Bangladesh have the lowest yield-scaled CH₄ 114 emissions mainly owing to high rice yield and widely applied non-continuous flooding (NCF) 115 practices⁹. China, India, and Indonesia also have the largest total N₂O emissions from rice cultivation, 116 contributing 27%, 17%, and 15% of the global total (130 Gg yr⁻¹), respectively¹⁴.

117

118 [H2] GHG emission drivers

119 Management practices have a key influence on GHG emissions from rice paddies, 120 overshadowing the impact of climate and soil type. For CH₄, organic matter (straw and manure) and 121 water management are the most important predictors of emissions (**Supplementary Fig. 1a**). 122 Organic amendments are commonly applied to increase soil fertility, crop yields, and soil C 123 sequestration^{26–28}. However, the added organic matter provides substrate for methanogens, thereby 124 stimulating CH₄ production. Higher CH₄ availability, in turn, provides substrate for methanotrophs which consume CH₄. Production generally outweighs consumption²⁹, resulting in a net increase in 125 126 CH₄ emissions, but the response varies with the type of organic matter that is being added. For 127 instance, livestock manure tends to increase CH₄ emissions by 60% on average, whereas straw 128 application increases CH₄ emissions by an average of 92% (ref ³⁰). Moreover, long-term organic 129 matter addition can shift the community composition of methanogens and methanotrophs, altering the relative abundance of acetoclastic and hydrogenotrophic methanogens^{31–33} and increasing the 130 abundance of methanotrophs with preference for high CH₄ concentrations³⁴. Thus, CH₄ emissions 131 132 with straw addition could decrease over time, owing to increased abundance of methanotrophs, 133 presumably by stimulating root growth and O_2 release into the soil^{29,34}.

134 Water management is also a key control of CH₄ emissions. Compared to continuous flooding, 135 NCF practices (including mid-season drainage, intermittent irrigation, alternate wetting and 136 drying)³⁵ typically reduce the abundance and activity of methanogens (Supplementary text). These practices also increase soil O₂ concentrations, soil Eh (redox potential), and the abundance and 137 activity of methanotrophs. Together, these aspects lower CH₄ emissions³⁶⁻³⁸ by an average of 53% 138 during the rice season²³. Extrapolated to the global scale, multiple drainages during the rice season 139 could potentially reduce CH₄ emissions by 4.1 Tg yr⁻¹ (ref 24). In addition, NCF can exert carry-over 140 141 impact on GHG emissions in following fallow and cropping seasons, which has not been taken into 142 consideration in previous assessments. For instance, mid-season drainage reduced CH4 emissions 143 by ca. 60% during the fallow season when the paddies were permanently flooded³⁹.

144 Different factors control N₂O emissions. N application rate is considered the most important 145 driver (Supplementary Fig. 1b), increasing N_2O emissions by 182% on average compared to a situation without N fertilizer addition⁴⁰. The underlying mechanisms are likely attributed to 146 147 increases in substrates for both nitrification and denitrification, and decreasing soil pH⁴¹⁻⁴³. The 148 global direct N₂O-N emission factor (the percentage of applied N emitted directly as N₂O-N) for 149 rice is estimated at 0.52% (0.15% to 1.3%)¹⁴, roughly consistent with 0.3% in continuous flooding systems and 0.5% in NCF systems suggested by IPCC Guidelines⁴⁴; higher values in NCF systems 150 151 reflect that nitrification and denitrification reactions are strongly reduced under continuously 152flooded conditions.

For area-scaled and yield-scaled GHG emissions, organic matter management is the most important driver (**Supplementary Figs. 1c&d**). Indeed, organic matter addition increases areascaled total GHG emissions by 66-85% and yield-scaled emissions by 37-87%^{30,45}, emphasizing the importance of optimizing organic matter management to lower GHG emissions while maintaining high rice yields.

158

159 [H2] GHG emission dynamics

Rice GHG emissions also exhibit variability over various temporal scales, including the growing season. These growing season emission dynamics are largely determined by management practices and environmental conditions, and for CH₄, can be divided into 4 typical temporal patterns (**Fig. 2; Supplementary Table 3**); there are no generally occurring patterns for N₂O, though peaks can be found after N fertilization events or during draining periods^{46–48}.

The first of these CH₄ patterns is a single, early emission peak (**Fig. 2a**). In this pattern, CH₄ fluxes increase after flooding for rice transplanting or sowing because straw and/or stubble from the previous season provides substrate for CH₄ production. CH₄ emissions peak at the tillering stage, and in most cases (especially with midseason drainage and intermittent irrigation) decrease sharply
to near-zero until harvest^{46,49,50}. About 40% of the observations follow this temporal pattern,
particularly in China (n=168, 52% of Chinese observations), Korea (n=14, 35%), and Vietnam
(n=16, 37%) (Supplementary Table 3).

The second pattern exhibits two emission peaks (**Fig. 2b**). Here, CH₄ fluxes increase after transplanting or sowing, peak at the tillering stage and then decline. Emissions typically exhibit a 2^{nd} peak at booting or heading stage, when reflooding and rice roots provide substrates for CH₄ production. CH₄ production subsequently decreases until harvest^{33,49,51}. About 27% of total observations fall into this category, including in China (n=93, 29%), the Philippines (n=9, 29%), and Vietnam (n=12, 28%).

178 The third pattern consists of a single, late emission peak (Fig. 2c). In this case, initial CH₄ 179 fluxes after transplanting or sowing are low, because low temperatures slow down CH₄ production. 180 Other factors that can cause low initial CH₄ fluxes are decomposition of organic matter from 181 preceding crops under aerobic conditions during the preceding fallow season (thereby reducing 182 substrate for CH₄ production), and aerobic soil conditions of dry-direct seeded rice. As the growing 183 season progresses, CH₄ fluxes gradually increase owing to rising temperatures and increasing root 184 exudates. CH₄ then peaks at the booting or heading stage owing to root exudates. The decrease in emissions after heading arises because of pre-harvest drainage and low temperature⁵²⁻⁵⁴. About 24% 185 of total observations fall into this classification, particularly in the USA (n=14, 61%), Japan (n=24, 186 187 58%), and India (n=18, 43%).

The final pattern is characterised by near-continuous emissions that resemble a bell-shape (**Fig. 2d**). Here, CH₄ fluxes increase after transplanting or sowing, remain high owing to continuous flooding and high temperature, and then decrease until draining at the end of rice season^{55,56}. About 8% of total observations follow this pattern, most often in the Philippines (n=9, 29%) and India (n=8, 19%).

193 In addition to emissions during the growing season, as characterised by the 4 temporal patterns, 194 rice fields can also emit CH₄ during the fallow season. These fallow season emissions are 195 particularly common in Mediterranean, subtropical and temperate areas, where winter flooding and 196 rice straw incorporation after harvest is a common practice⁵⁷. Agricultural practices during the 197 fallow season also affect GHG emissions during the following rice season. For instance, a dry fallow 198 season reduces CH₄ emissions during the subsequent growing season in comparison to winter flooding^{11,58}. However, the contribution of these fallow season emissions to total global paddy 199 200 emissions is unclear owing to the lack of measurements.

201 202

[H2] Long term trends in global emissions

203 In addition to these seasonal characteristics, GHG emissions from rice paddies have also evolved in the longer-term owing to changing agricultural practices⁵⁹, namely water management 204 205 and organic matter management. One pool of thought suggests that CH4 emissions changed quite substantially. For instance, the IPCC sixth assessment report⁶ outlines that CH₄ decreased from 45 206 to 29 Tg yr⁻¹ between 1980-1989 and 2000-2009, before increasing to 31 Tg yr⁻¹ over 2008-2017. 207 Similar changes are derived from the EDGAR v7 dataset²⁵. However, other datasets suggest greater 208 stability in global CH4 emissions. FAO data⁵, for instance, indicate emissions varied from 22 Tg yr⁻ 209 ¹ in 1980-1989, 23 Tg yr⁻¹ during 2000-2009, and 24 Tg yr⁻¹ during 2010-2019. 210

211

212 Steady increases in average rice yield per hectare (Supplementary Fig. 2) have reduced global

213 yield-scaled CH₄ emissions by 38-55% from the 1980s to 2010s (ref ^{5,6,25}), as observed in most

214 countries (Supplementary Fig. 3).

Although corresponding data are not available for N_2O emissions from rice paddies, the increase in chemical N fertilizer use and N surpluses, combined with the rising popularity of NCF practices during the same period^{5,9,60}, suggests that global N_2O emissions from rice paddies have also increased⁶¹.

219

220 [H1] Climate change effects

By the end of the 21^{st} century, atmospheric CO₂ concentrations are predicted to be almost 1000 ppm and average global surface temperature to have risen by 1.4-4.4°C⁶. Elevated atmospheric CO₂ concentrations (eCO₂), warming and other climate change impacts will have substantial effects on GHG emissions from rice paddies, as now discussed.

225

226 [H2] Elevated CO₂ concentrations

227 eCO_2 is thought to increase CH_4 emissions from rice paddies. Indeed, under mean +180ppm conditions, CH₄ emissions are typically enhanced by 20-40% (ref ^{62,63}), although with marked 228 229 variability (Fig. 3a). These enhancements occur through increases in leaf photosynthesis and rice growth^{64,65}, which, in turn, increase available organic substrate for methanogens (rhizodeposits, root 230 exudates and litter) and subsequently methanogen abundance^{15,66}. Increased substrate availability 231 232 can also affect the composition of soil microbial community, for example by causing a shift from 233 acetoclastic (using acetate as an electron acceptor) to hydrogenotrophic methanogens, and 234 decreasing the relative abundance of methanotrophs with preference for high O₂ and tolerance to 235 low CH₄ concentrations^{15,16}.

These eCO₂ effects on CH₄ emissions could wane over time, as evidenced by two long-term FACE experiments and a pot experiment^{67,68}. This reduction occurs as high root O₂ release and low NH₄⁺ concentrations increase the abundance of methanotrophs^{67,68}. If this pattern is representative for real-world cropping systems, then previous short-term experiments might have overestimated the effect of rising CO₂ concentrations on future CH₄ emissions.

241 Agricultural practices interact with the effects of eCO₂ on CH₄. For instance, FACE 242 experiments indicate that eCO2 and N fertilization collectively enhance CH4 emissions compared to 243 eCO₂ alone ⁶⁹. This enhanced effect possibly arises because the increase in soil N availability 244 reduces the C/N ratio of the plant residues, promoting plant residue decomposition, and increasing root growth and rhizodeposition^{70,71}. Water management similarly has an impact; under eCO₂ and 245 246 continuously flooded conditions, CH_4 emissions increased by 50%, whereas there was no effect 247 under NCF conditions. This effect is explained by O_2 availability during frequent drainage that 248 restricts the growth of methanogens, even under eCO_2 (ref⁷²).

Straw management also modulates the effect of eCO_2 on CH₄ emissions, explaining more variability in the response of CH₄ emissions to eCO_2 than a wide range of environmental and experimental factors (water management, N fertilization, experimental duration)⁷³. Indeed, a mesocosm experiment indicates that eCO_2 had no effect on CH₄ emissions from paddy soils with straw incorporation because rhizodeposition was not key substrate for methanogens⁷³. Overall, accounting for the interactions between CO₂ and straw management and the current coverage of straw incorporation, eCO_2 is estimated to enhance global CH₄ emissions from rice agriculture by only 3.7%, much lower than suggested in earlier experiments without straw incorporation^{62,63}.

257 eCO₂ also has an impact on N₂O emissions from rice paddies. While, on average, eCO₂ elevates N_2O emissions by 27%, there is large variation in treatment effects (Fig. 3b)⁷⁴. This variation might 258 largely be caused by differences in experimental duration⁷⁴. Short-term eCO_2 often stimulates N₂O 259 emissions by increasing the availability of labile soil C and NO₃⁻, thus promoting denitrification^{63,75}. 260 261 Yet, long-term results suggest that eCO2 reduces N2O emissions, mainly by a reduction of soil N 262 availability^{68,76}. Given the impacts on CH₄ and N₂O, eCO₂ also increases area-scaled GHG emissions by 16% on average⁶³. However, the effects on yield-scale GHG emissions are 263 inconsistent; some field experiments report increases of $\sim 39\%^{77}$ while others show increases of 264 265 $\sim 3\%^{75}$.

266

267 [H2] Warming

Like eCO₂, temperature changes can also influence GHG emissions from rice paddies¹⁸. On average, experimental warming (with a range of temperature increases) enhanced CH₄ emissions by 15-23%¹⁸⁻²⁰ (**Fig. 3a**). Indeed, 1 °C warming increased CH₄ emissions from China's paddies by 12.6% (ref ¹⁹).

272 These increases likely occur by enhancing substrate availability for methanogens, the ratio of CH₄ to CO₂, and methanogenic activity¹⁵⁻¹⁷; and reducing soil redox potential to favor CH₄ 273 274 production through decreased O₂ solubility in water/soil solution, accelerating the consumption of 275 O_2 and other electron acceptors by microbes⁷⁸. However, these average increases in CH₄ emissions with warming mask substantial variability in experimental results (Fig. 3a), likely reflecting 276 dependence on background temperature range¹⁹. For example, while CH₄ emissions increased by 277 278 25.6% from rice paddies at medium temperature range (23°C-30°C), no effect is observed at low 279 (<23°C) or high temperatures (>30°C)¹⁹. This sensitivity arises through stimulation of methanogens 280 within the biologically favorable medium temperature range^{19,79}, although this hypothesis does not 281 fully explain the stagnant response to warming at low background temperatures. Given that the mean 282 temperature of the growing season in most rice growing regions falls between 23-30°C, 283 anthropogenic warming could thus enhance CH₄ emissions from rice paddies.

Warming also stimulates N₂O emissions from rice paddies by 26% (ref ²⁰; **Fig.3b**). These enhanced N₂O emissions likely occur by accelerating soil organic matter, increasing the inorganic N availability for N₂O production^{18,80,81}. Moreover, warming could stimulate N₂O emissions by affecting the soil microbial community, increasing the abundance of the N₂O reductase, ammoniaoxidizing and nitrite reductase genes in archaea and bacteria²¹. Although there are numerous reports on the effects of warming on either CH₄ emissions or N₂O emissions, quantification of GHG species changes combined—and therefore on area-scale and yield-scaled emissions—is limited^{75,77}.

291

292 [H2] Combined elevated CO₂ and warming

Given that changes in eCO_2 and warming are concurrent, it is important to investigate the combined effect of these environmental drivers (**Fig. 3**). While determination of these combined effects is limited, there are synergistic effects -- mean effects are higher than those of only eCO_2 or only warming. For instance, the combined effects of experimental warming and eCO_2 enhance CH₄ and N₂O emissions by 71% and 36% on average, respectively, compared to ambient temperature and ambient CO₂ levels (**Fig. 3**; **Supplementary Table 4**). Accordingly, the effects of anthropogenic climate change on GHG emissions from rice paddies might be higher than currently assumed from

300 single-factor experiments.

301

302 [H2] Other climate change impacts

303 Beyond eCO_2 and warming, other anthropogenic perturbations to the climate system are also 304 anticipated to influence rice GHG emissions through impacts on rice plant growth and soil microbial 305 activity^{82–85}. For instance, sea level rise –predicted to rise by up to 0.28-0.55m by the end of this 306 century even under the very low GHG emissions scenario) will cause economic and environmental problems such as soil salinity, loss of agricultural land area and yield declines^{86–88}, with lowland 307 rice fields being particularly vulnerable. Increases in soil salinity tend to reduce CH₄ emissions⁵⁰. 308 309 Moreover, enhanced frequency and severity of extreme weather events (including heat, drought, heavy precipitation, and compound events) will strongly affect rice cropping systems^{82,89}. For 310 311 instance, extreme temperatures are estimated to reduce global rice yields by 33.6% in the 2090s²⁸. 312 However, the effects of sea level rise and extreme weather events are difficult to mimic under field 313 conditions, and so their effect on GHG emissions remains highly uncertain.

314

315 [H1] Mitigation strategies

Management and agricultural practices have a key role in mitigating GHG emissions from rice paddies, including through rice variety selection, water management, organic and mineral fertilization, tillage, crop establishment, and other soil amendments (**Figs. 4 and 5; Supplementary Tables 5 and 6**). These mitigation strategies are now discussed.

320

321 [H2] Rice variety selection

322 Rice plants regulate CH₄ emissions through two primary mechanisms⁹⁰, the balance of which 323 determines differences in CH4 emissions among rice varieties, highlighting mitigation potential 324 through cultivar selection. The first of these mechanisms is that rice plants provide substrates for 325 methanogens via rhizodeposition, accounting for 40-60% of the organic C as CH₄ substrate from the booting stage onwards^{91–93}. The production of CH₄ is also affected by the quality of root exudates. 326 327 For instance, roots and root exudates containing higher contents of carbohydrates increased the expression of the CH₄ production gene in the soil microbial community⁹⁴. The second mechanism 328 is that rice plants can stimulate CH₄ oxidation by diffusion of atmospheric O₂ via aerenchyma into 329 the rhizosphere^{90,95}. These rhizospheric CH₄ oxidation rates can reach up to 94% (ref ⁹⁶), and often 330 increase with increasing levels of root radial O_2 release^{97–99}. Thus, rice varieties with high 331 332 rhizodeposition might increase CH₄ production, whereas large root systems could promote CH₄ 333 oxidation. Nevertheless, the aerenchyma also acts as a conduit for CH₄ from the rhizosphere to the 334 atmosphere¹⁰⁰.

335 Balancing these two mechanisms through selecting cultivars with a high harvest index (HI) or 336 with high plant biomass offers opportunities for mitigation (Fig. 4). Cultivars with a high HI might 337 reduce CH_4 emissions from rice paddies (Fig. 4a); a high HI increases the amount of photosynthate allocated to grains, reducing the amount allocated to rhizodeposits, which, in turn, reduces substrate 338 availability for methanogens^{101,102}. This hypothesis has been tested in two experimental approaches: 339 reducing photosynthate allocation to grains by removing rice spikelets¹⁰¹, and increasing the 340 341 allocation of photosynthate to grains by a single transcription factor gene addition¹⁰². Both 342 approaches reduce CH₄ emissions in continuously flooded systems by lowering rhizodeposits. 343 However, high HI cultivars did not reduce CH₄ emissions in NCF systems¹⁰³, likely owing to high

 $\begin{array}{ll} 344 & O_2 \mbox{ concentrations and } Eh \mbox{ limiting the growth of methanogens during the drain and reflooding stages} \\ 345 & (Fig. 4a)^{103}. \mbox{ Thus, although the empirical basis is still limited, CH_4 emission mitigation through HI} \\ 346 & \mbox{ improvement might be limited in rice paddies when NCF practices are applied in the last half of the season.} \end{array}$

348 Alternatively, CH_4 could be mitigated by selecting cultivars with high plant biomass (Fig. 4b); 349 larger plants generally have larger root systems that can release more O₂, facilitating CH₄ oxidation by methanotrophs⁹⁵.. However, the relationship between rice biomass and CH₄ emissions is 350 inconsistent¹⁰⁴⁻¹⁰⁶, likely reflecting interactions of genotype and environments. Indeed, rice cultivars 351 with high biomass reduce CH4 emissions by ~24% under high levels of organic soil C, regardless 352 353 of whether the C was derived from autochthonous soil organic matter or straw incorporation²²; in 354 this case, the abundance of methanotrophs increases more strongly than the abundance of 355 methanogens owing to high CH₄ concentrations in soils and more root radial oxygen loss²². In 356 contrast, high biomass cultivars enhance CH₄ emissions from soils with low organic C stocks, likely 357 because organic C from root dominates the substrate for CH_4 production in these soils²². Yet, higher 358 biomass might stimulate CH₄ emissions in the long term by increasing soil C input, unless the straw 359 is removed.

Plant breeding efforts have focused on developing rice varieties with both high biomass and high yield^{107–110}. Importantly, CH₄ emissions also differ among these new high-yielding rice varieties^{22,106}, but key traits of high-yielding and low CH₄ emissions rice varieties are unclear. In addition, rice growth duration is an important factor determining CH₄ emissions given that longduration varieties require paddies to be flooded for longer periods of time⁹⁰. High-yielding shortduration rice varieties might therefore have potential to reduce CH₄ emissions¹¹¹.

366Rice plants can affect N2O emissions by providing C substrates for denitrification and altering367soil N availability and moisture. Observations across several rice cropping systems suggest that N2O368emissions negatively correlated with HI¹¹². Reduction in HI through spikelet removal drastically369increased N2O fluxes by 67%-155% under NCF systems, because of increased root exudation and370reduced plant N uptake¹¹². However, the effect of rice cultivars with high biomass on N2O emissions371is still unclear.

372

373 [H2] Water management

374 To maximize reductions in GHG emissions with NCF practices, the number and timing of 375 drying events and soil drying severity can be optimized (**Fig. 5**). Indeed, the effect of NCF on CH_4 376 emissions correlates with the total number of unflooded days, with single and multiple drying events reducing CH₄ emissions by 33% and 64% on average, respectively²³. Other estimates suggest CH₄ 377 378 emissions reductions of 29% and 45% (ref 44), likely owing to different methodologies (statistical 379 analysis of combined field observations vs. direct side-by-side comparison). Besides drainage 380 duration, the severity of drainage also affects CH₄ emissions. For instance, a single mid-season 381 drain event, if severe enough, can keep CH4 emissions low for the rest of the season without reducing 382 rice yields¹¹³. Finally, the timing of the drainage event is also important; drainage at peak CH₄ emissions maximizes CH₄ emissions mitigation from rice paddies¹¹⁴. 383

NCF practises also influence N₂O emissions. In continuously flooded rice systems, N₂O emissions are typically low or negative throughout the growing season^{46,113} given nitrification and denitrification processes during soil-drying and reflooding¹¹⁵. Compared to continuous flooding, NCF practices effectively increase soil O₂ concentrations and the activity of most nitrogen-

- 388 converting microorganisms, resulting in N₂O emissions that are 105% higher, on average^{23,36,38}. 389 While in most cases N₂O emissions increase with drying events, the baseline N₂O emissions are low 390 and these increments generally do not offset the benefit of reduced CH4 emissions²³. Furthermore, 391 while NCF practices increase soil C loss and N₂O emissions, the reductions in CH₄ emissions generally outweigh these effects in terms of GWP¹¹⁶. However, exceptionally high N₂O emissions 392 (33 kg ha⁻¹ season⁻¹) have also been observed, leading to an overall higher GWP compared to 393 continuous flooding^{48,117,118}. This results from a field drying when soil extractable N is high; thus, 394 395 the timing of the drying period and soil N need to be co-managed^{48,119}.
- 396 NCF practices are thus beneficial to reduce GHG emissions from rice paddies. Based on 397 assessments of daily precipitation, water loss through crop evapotranspiration and potential 398 percolation, such NCF practices could be implemented in 76% of global rice plant areas without 399 reductions in rice yield¹²⁰. However, the actual area in which NCF can be implemented will be substantially lower owing to logistical challenges of implementing paddy drainage. NCF practices 400 are already widely adopted in China, Japan, Korea and the southern USA^{35,59,121,122}. Yet, current 401 adoption rates of NCF in some South or Southeast Asian countries are relatively low¹²⁰, probably 402 because the rainy season presents challenges implementing NCF¹²³ that can be exacerbated by the 403 low altitude of rice growing areas. In Europe, most of the rice growing area is irrigated by continuous 404 flooding^{124,125}, but NCF practices have been tested in Italy^{117,126} and Spain¹²⁷, suggesting there is 405 potential to expand NCF practices in Europe. NCF practices can also be intensified further in 406 countries with high adoption rates of NCF. For example, most rice paddies in China are continuously 407 408 flooded during the early stage of the rice growing season when CH₄ emissions are very high⁵⁹. Thus, 409 the mitigation of further CH_4 emission through NCF is still appreciable even in systems that already 410 apply some form of NCF during the later stages.

411 Water management in rice paddies therefore offers a large potential to reduce CH₄ emissions 412 from rice agriculture²³. To maintain high rice yields and maximize reductions in GHG emissions, 413 draining at high CH₄ emissions stages, multiple draining events, and moderate drainage severity are 414 recommended. During the fallow season, rice paddies should be kept unflooded, if possible.

415

416 [H2] Organic matter management

417 Long-term organic matter management practices (for instance, the retention or removal of straw, farm manure, green manure, cover crops, crop residue) similarly influence net GHG emissions^{128,129}. 418 419 Compared to straw removal, straw addition increases CH₄ emissions with a GWP that was 3.2-3.9 420 times higher than the straw-induced SOC sequestration rate, resulting in higher net GHG emissions¹²⁹ Accordingly, organic matter addition to rice paddies generally does not result in a net 421 422 climate benefit¹³⁰. Although crop yields often increase with increasing SOC content, yield increases 423 level off at high SOC content¹³¹, suggesting that in paddies with high SOC content, CH₄ emissions 424 can be reduced through straw removal and by lowering manure addition while maintaining rice yield.

425Paddy CH4 emissions can also be reduced through optimizing the form, timing and application426rate of organic matter, and through tillage practices (**Fig. 5**). The incremental effect of organic matter427addition on CH4 emissions typically increases with application rate¹³, and is lower for composted428manure than for fresh manure^{10,132}. Adding green manure with higher C/N ratios generally leads to429higher CH4 emissions from rice fields^{33,41}. However, the impact could be reduced through early430application, for example during a preceding upland crop or in the fallow season rather than adding431organic manure just before rice transplanting. This effect can be explained by aerobic decomposition

- 432 before transplanting, which reduces substrate availability for methanogens once the field is 433 flooded^{49,133,134}. Returning straw during the non-rice growing season could potentially reduce global 434 CH₄ emissions by 5.4 Tg yr⁻¹ (ref ²⁴). Similarly, a short-term experiment in a rice-fallow system 435 found that the climate benefit of soil C storage with straw retention can outweigh the increase in 436 CH₄ emissions¹⁰. However, in many rice cropping systems (double rice and rice-wheat), there will 437 be little time between flooded periods for straw to decompose under aerobic conditions.
- Biochar-- charcoal produced through pyrolysis of biomass under O_2 deficient conditions—has further been proposed as an amendment to reduce GHG emissions from rice paddies. Biochar application reduces CH₄ emissions from rice paddies by 13% on average⁴⁰, possibly owing to reduced soil bulk density and increased soil pH, thereby increasing methanotrophic abundance and CH₄ oxidation rates^{135–137}. The effect of biochar on methanogens is still unclear, although decreases in the abundance of methanogenic archaea have been reported¹³⁸.
- 444 Biochar application similarly reduces N₂O emissions by 22% in experiments \geq 2 years⁴⁰, likely 445 because biochar application generally raises soil pH, thereby reducing N₂O production ratios (N₂O $(N_2 + N_2O)$ from nitrification. Biochar application might also reduce N₂O production from 446 denitrification by stimulating the production and activity of the N_2O reductase enzyme^{139,140}. Straw 447 448 returned to the field in the form of biochar might reduce global CH₄ emissions from rice paddies by ~4.6 Tg yr⁻¹ (ref ²⁴). However, biochar is currently applied on a limited area due to high cost¹⁴¹ and 449 450 the lack of functional and non-polluting biochar production equipment suitable for rice straw, the 451 most abundant residue of rice production¹⁴¹.
- 452 Organic matter management practices can thus strongly affect crop yield and CH₄ emissions, 453 but these effects vary with SOC content. In soils with low SOC contents, compost manure addition, 454 straw incorporation, and planting low C/N green manure are recommended to maintain rice yield 455 and maximize reductions in GHG emissions. In soils with high SOC content, straw removal or straw 456 return during the the upland crop seasons or fallow season (if unflooded) are preferred mitigation 457 strategies.
- 458

459 [H2] Mineral N management

460 Mineral N fertilizers—which have increased in use¹⁴²—also affect CH₄ emissions. These 461 effects emerge through several mechanisms: increasing shoot and root growth, thereby substrate 462 availability for methanogens¹⁴³; reducing CH₄ consumption owing to CH₄ monooxygenase binding 463 and reacting with NH₄⁺ instead of CH₄ (ref ¹⁴⁴); stimulating the growth and activity of 464 methanotrophs¹⁴⁵; and increasing soil N availability^{146,147}.

465 The response of GHG emissions to mineral N fertilization varies with fertilizer rate and type. 466 The effects of N input on CH₄ emissions decreases with increasing N rate^{40,41}, while N₂O emissions from rice paddies increase exponentially with increasing N application rates^{8,40,148}. Considering N 467 468 surplus and spatially explicit emission factors, optimizing N application rates could reduce N₂O 469 emissions from rice paddies by ~43% without compromising rice yields¹⁴. The effect of N application on GWP did not vary with N application rates⁴⁰ and yield-scaled GHG emissions are 470 most easily achieved at optimal N rates at which maximum yield is achieved¹⁴⁸. In addition, 471 ammonium sulfate application can reduce CH₄ emissions because SO₄²⁺ strongly suppresses CH₄ 472 production^{149,150}. 473

474 Several practices focused on improving N use efficiency can also reduce GHG emissions.
 475 Enhanced-efficiency N fertilizers (controlled-release fertilizers, urease and nitrification inhibitors)

decrease CH₄ emissions¹⁵¹⁻¹⁵³, mainly by increasing CH₄ oxidation¹⁵⁴. Enhanced-efficiency N 476 fertilizers also reduced N₂O emissions by 20-60% (ref ^{41,155,156}) by lowering the availability of N 477 substrate for nitrification and denitrification^{157,158}. Compared with broadcasting, sub-surface N 478 479 application generally reduces CH₄ emissions from rice paddies by 13% on average by creating 480 conditions that stimulate CH₄ oxidation rates, such as high Eh, and high availability of NO_3^- to act as electron acceptors^{41,159-162}; the magnitude of N₂O emission reduction, however, is 481 inconsistent^{159,163}. Enhanced-efficiency N fertilizers and sub-surface N application also reduce total 482 GHG emissions and generally increase rice yield^{40,41}, further emphasizing the potential to lower 483 484 yield-scaled GHG emissions through improving N use efficiency.

489

490 [H2] Tillage and crop establishment effects

Tillage practices affect soil bulk density, soil structure, moisture, temperature, and residue 491 distribution, all of which could influence GHG emissions^{164,165}. Thus, the type and timing of soil 492 493 tillage operations can be altered to reduce GHG emissions (Fig. 5). No-till, one of the key 494 components of conservation agriculture, reduces CH4 emissions from rice paddies by 23% on average⁴⁰, likely through reduced labile C availability, soil Eh, and methanogen abundance¹⁶⁶⁻¹⁶⁸; 495 no overall effect is observed on N2O emissions and rice yield40. Tillage during the fallow season can 496 497 further stimulate residue decomposition under aerobic conditions, thereby reducing GHG emissions 498 during the rice growing season. For example, shifting tillage from spring (before transplanting) to 499 winter (after harvest) reduced net GHG emissions by 46%-82% in a double rice system¹⁶⁹.

500 Crop establishment effects are also important. In Asia, rice is commonly grown by 501 transplanting seedlings, although high labor cost has caused a shift towards other establishment forms^{170,171}. Other strategies include wet direct seeding (where seeds are broadcasted directly into a 502 503 well-soaked or shallow flooded field) or dry direct seeding (where rice seeds are planted in a field, 504 similar to maize or wheat; through rainfall or irrigation the rice establishes in a largely aerobic soil 505 environment for the first month, after which the field is flooded). Both conventional-till and no-till 506 direct seeded systems reduce CH₄ emissions by 40% to 60% compared to conventional-till 507 transplanted rice, while direct seeded rice either increased N₂O emissions or the emission were 508 similar¹⁷². Thus, provided that direct seeding equipment and technology to sustain rice yield are available, direct seeding, particularly dry direct seeding, shows great potential as a strategy to reduce 509 510 GHG emissions.

511 However, these mitigation effects can be compounded by diversity in water mater management 512 practices in direct-seeded systems¹⁷⁰. For instance, comparing wet and dry direct seeding, yields are 513 comparable between them; however, overall GWP is lower in dry direct seeded rice despite it having higher N2O emissions^{173,174}. On sandy loam soil, direct-seeded rice is often grown without flooding 514 515 of the field, so that the soil conditions largely reflect those of aerobic rice systems. In these cases, 516 the reduction in GHG emissions is mainly caused by the non-flooded water regime and not by the 517 seeding method per se. In case of heavy rainfalls and clayey soils, however, direct seeding typically encompasses flooded conditions. Comparisons between transplanted and direct-seeded systems 518 should also consider GHG emissions in flooded nurseries in transplanting systems¹⁷⁵. The 519

contribution of GHG emissions in flooded nurseries to annual emissions are still unknown. However,
 since rice nursery beds generally occupy less than 10% of the total field¹⁷⁵, the net difference in
 GHG emissions between direct-seeded and transplanted systems will be determined mostly by the
 water management during the seeding stage in direct-seeded systems.

524 The practice of harvesting a second rice crop from tillers originating from the stubble of a 525 previously harvested crop--ratooning-is expanding in the US, China and Africa¹⁷⁶, and has important influences on GHG emissions. In such a system in the USA, CH₄ emissions during the 526 ratoon crop accounted for almost 75% of total growing season emissions¹⁷⁷, attributed to straw from 527 528 the first crop decomposing under flooded, anaerobic conditions during the ratoon crop. Yet, in China, 529 CH₄ emissions are much lower in the ration rice season than in the first rice season owing to lower 530 temperatures, shorter rice-growth periods, and lower C inputs from root residues and 531 rhizodeposition^{178,179}. Compared to the double rice system, the ratoon rice system reduces the area-532 scaled and yield-scaled GHG emissions in China¹⁷⁹.

533 Finally, CH₄ emissions from rice cropping systems also depend on whether and how rice is 534 being rotated with other crops (rice-rice, rice-wheat, and rice-rape)^{180–182}. For example, CH₄ 535 emissions during the rice growing season were 61% higher in a rice-rape system than in a rice-wheat 536 system¹⁸¹, likely because the total C amount of rapeseed residues was much higher than that of 537 wheat residues. In addition, a long-term rotation for upland crops can change the form of soil Fe, 538 delaying the development of reductive soil conditions in the next rice season¹⁸³, thereby possibly 539 reducing CH₄ emissions.

540 Tillage and crop establishment management thus affect a range of soil conditions, with 541 consequences for CH₄ and N₂O emissions. To optimize rice yields while minimizing GHG 542 emissions, conventional tillage during the fallow season and no-tillage during the rice season are 543 generally recommended. However, these management practices require no-tillage transplanting 544 equipment and technology to sustain rice yield, which might not always be available. No-tillage 545 practices can be combined with direct seeding, if direct seeding equipment is available. Where 546 thermal energy exceeds the requirement for single rice but is not enough for double rice, planting 547 ratoon rice is an option.

548

549 [H2] Liming

Soil acidification, a key limiting factor for crop production, promotes the solubility and toxicity
 of aluminum (Al), manganese (Mn), and iron (Fe), causing nutrient deficiencies^{184–186}. The global
 lowland rice paddy area with such acid soils (where pH <5.5) is estimated at 0.32 million km² (ref
 ¹⁸⁷). Lime (limestone and dolomite) application is a common practice to alleviate soil acidification
 and improve crop yield^{188,189}. However, because liming alters soil physio-chemical and biological
 properties, it can also affect GHG emissions^{190–192}.

In general, liming is thought to reduce CH_4 emissions from acidic rice paddies by ~20% (ref 187,193).Several mechanisms drive this reduction, including the stimulation of soil microbial activity and organic matter decomposition under fallow conditions, thereby reducing substrate availability for methanogens¹⁹⁴; increased rice root growth and root O₂ loss, reducing methanogenic growth and stimulating methanotrophic growth¹⁹⁴; and reduced N₂O emissions, mainly by increasing the activity of N₂O reductase enzymes and shifting the soil microbial community towards bacterial dominance^{187,193}.

563

The mining, transport and application of lime requires energy, thereby causing indirect CO2

emissions from fossil fuel burning. In addition, the dissolution of lime in soil also produces CO₂ (ref
¹⁸⁶). However, the GWP of these additional CO₂ emissions (97-102 kg CO₂ ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹) are much lower
however than the reduction of CH₄ emissions (1172 kg CO₂e ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹) from acidic paddy soils¹⁸⁷.
Moreover, the production of some alternative liming materials such as steel slag require little
additional CO₂ emissions, as they are by-product of steel manufacturing^{195,196}. Liming of acidic rice
paddies generally increases rice yields¹⁹⁷ and slightly increases SOC stocks¹⁸⁷. Thus, liming can
increase rice yields while reducing GHG emissions from acidic paddies.

571 Liming represents a relatively new approach to reduce CH_4 emissions from rice paddies with 572 an increase rice yields in acid soils. Because liming material is relatively expensive, whether farmers 573 will adopt this practice will likely depend on financial incentives (government funding).

574

575 [H2] Emerging mitigation practices

576 Multiple new techniques have emerged to reduce GHG emissions from rice paddies that have 577 not yet been tested in broader field experiments. For instance, irrigation of oxygen-nanobubble water reduces CH₄ emissions owing to the oxygenation of shallow soil^{198,199}, as also achieved with, 578 addition of oxygen-releasing chemicals (magnesium peroxide and calcium peroxide)²⁰⁰ and oxygen-579 releasing biofertilizers (azolla and blue-green algae)^{201,202}. Application of Fe(III) fertilizer can 580 581 further reduce seasonal CH₄ by suppressing methanogenesis and stimulating anaerobic oxidization by Fe(III)²⁰³. Moreover, foliar application of kinetin and indole acetic acid can reduce CH₄ emissions, 582 presumably by lowering root biomass, while increasing rice yield²⁰⁴. Cellulose acetate coated 583 ethephon could also lower CH4 emissions from rice paddies through reducing the abundance of 584 585 active methanogens²⁰⁵.

586 In addition to these applications, soil microbial communities can also be modified through 587 natural selection and genetic engineering to reduce GHG emissions. For example, a one-time 588 inoculation of cable bacteria reduced CH4 emissions by 93% via increased soil sulfate levels through electrogenic sulfide oxidation²⁰⁶. Application of efficient CH₄ utilizing and plant growth promoting 589 bacteria can reduce CH₄ emissions by 7-12% (ref ²⁰⁷). Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi inoculation 590 also reduce N_2O emissions from rice paddies through increasing plant N uptake²⁰⁸, as also achieved 591 by inoculation of nosZ+ and non-genetically modified organism nosZ++ strains of B. japonicum at 592 a field scale²⁰⁹. Inoculation with plant growth-promoting bacterium can also reduce N₂O emissions 593 from cropland through reduction of ammonia oxidizing bacteria and increases in the abundance of 594 N₂O-reducing bacteria²¹⁰. 595

596

597 [H2] Choosing effective mitigation practices

598 The most effective approaches to reduce GHG emissions vary with the temporal emission 599 patterns (Fig. 2). For an early emission peak (often found in rice growing regions with high organic 600 C input and with effective NCF practices), it is important to reduce substrate availability to 601 methanogens or increase CH₄ oxidation at the beginning of the growing season. This need can be 602 achieved through a reduction in organic C input such as straw and manure, or by switching from fresh manure to compost manure instead of fresh manure, especially in paddies with high SOC. 603 604 Effective mitigation approaches also include the deep placement of N fertilizer, enhanced-efficiency 605 N fertilizers, rice cultivars with high O₂ release, and dry direct seeding.

606 For two emission peaks (rice growing regions with high organic C input but without effective 607 NCF practices), applying NCF during the late stage of rice season to reduce the late peak of CH₄ emissions is the most effective mitigation approach. In addition, reduced organic C input and deep
 placement of N fertilizer and enhanced-efficiency N fertilizers can help to minimize the first peak
 of CH₄ emissions.

For a late emission peak (rice growing regions with low SOC and organic C input), applying NCF during the late stage of rice season and selecting rice cultivars with low rhizodeposition are the most effective approaches. These approaches are successful given that rhizodeposition forms a key substrate source for methanogens in the later stage of the growing season^{91–93}.

Finally, for the bell-shaped temporal pattern (rice growing regions with continuous flooding and high temperature), NCF practices are the most effective approaches. However, in wet season rice or in lowlands, it is difficult to apply the NCF practices and CH₄ mitigation potential of NCF will be smaller²¹¹. In this case, deep placement of N fertilizer, enhanced-efficiency N fertilizers and selecting rice cultivars with low rhizodeposition are the most effective approaches.

620

621 [H1] Summary and future perspectives

622 Rice paddies are important sources of the powerful greenhouse gases CH₄ and N₂O, 623 particularly in China and India, CH₄ produced during anaerobic decomposition of organic matter 624 and N_2O a by-product of nitrification and denitrification (**Box 1**). Based on *in-situ* observations, 625 global CH₄ emissions, N₂O emissions and yield-scaled GHG emissions from rice paddies average 626 283 kg CH₄ ha⁻¹, 1.7 kg N₂O ha⁻¹, and 0.9 kg CO₂e kg⁻¹, respectively. Global average yield-scaled 627 CH₄ emissions have been reduced by 38-55% since ~1980, largely owing to rice yield increase and 628 expansion of paddy drainage practices. These emissions are projected to increase under climate 629 change scenarios, with field experiments suggesting that warming will increase global CH4 630 emissions by 15-23%. Optimizing organic matter, water, and nitrogen management are the most 631 promising avenues to reduce GHG emissions from global paddies while maintaining rice yields. 632 However, the efficiency of mitigation approaches will depend on the local CH₄ emission patterns of 633 rice growing seasons.

634 Despite advancing understanding, several key issues should be addressed in future research. 635 Regional and global CH₄ emissions are currently associated with large uncertainties. To reduce this 636 uncertainty, in situ GHG emission measurements from understudied regions should be expanded, 637 particularly in low-latitude regions with high levels of rice production, such as the Philippines, 638 Indonesia and Southern India. Data on the distribution of key agricultural practices known to affect 639 GHG emissions scale should also be collected on the national or provincial level. Several discovered 640 mechanisms that affect CH4 emissions, such as the effects of rice plants on CH4 oxidation rate and 641 the activity of methanogens and methanotrophs have not yet been incorporated into leading GHG 642 models such as DNDC, CH4MOD, DAYCENT, and DLEM²¹²⁻²¹⁵.

643 The effects of climate change on GHG emissions from rice paddies vary with agricultural practices and local environmental conditions^{19,69,73}. However, the number of climate change field 644 experiments is still low, particularly in low-latitude regions^{19,73}. Furthermore, most previous 645 experiments were short-term (< 5 years), even though many factors that affect GHG emissions (plant 646 adaptation, soil physical and chemical properties) might operate on longer-term scales^{68,76,216,217}. 647 648 Moreover, to improve the resilience of rice cropping systems to climate change, a great deal of effort 649 has been directed towards germplasm development and improvement of agronomic practices 650 (Supplementary text). Yet, the interaction effects of climate change and adaptation strategies on 651 GHG emissions are still unknown. Thus, to accurately estimate the effects of climate change, more

long-term experiments under climate change conditions covering typical soil and climatic regionsand adaptation strategies are urgently needed.

654 Optimization of any single practice has limited potential of GHG mitigation and rice yield 655 improvement (Supplementary Table 5). To increase rice yield while reducing GHG emissions, 656 integrated agronomic management (cultivar, water, organic matter, nitrogen, and tillage) should be 657 optimized²¹⁸, but there is limited assessment of integrated agronomic management on GHG emissions^{218,219}. Indeed, individual agricultural practices interact in their effects on GHG emissions 658 (cultivar×straw, N application×water management, water×straw)^{22,220-222}, but many of these 659 660 interactions are still unclear. Future research should quantify these interactions and consider GHG 661 emissions both during the rice season and the fallow season to determine the efficacy of agronomic 662 management practices in mitigating GHG emissions.

663 While agricultural practices can affect CH₄ and N₂O emissions, they also affect soil respiration 664 and lead to soil C change. Unfortunately, side-by-side comparisons of CH₄ and N₂O fluxes vis-à-665 vis C storage has seldom been investigated in long-term field experiments with a sufficient time 666 horizon to determine the net effect of climate change and agricultural practices on the overall GHG balance^{29,128-130}. Furthermore, previous field experiments and meta-analyses generally focus on 667 668 direct GHG emissions and neglect indirect GHG emissions (GHG release from the production of 669 nitrogen fertilizer, biochar, and compost manure). However, these indirect emissions can contribute substantially to total GHG emissions from agricultural systems^{223,224}. For example, GHG emissions 670 from the composting process accounts for 35% of total GHG emissions induced by compost manure 671 application²²⁴. Thus, long-term experiments that compare changes in SOC vs. GHG emissions from 672 673 rice paddies and life cycle assessments are urgently needed.

674 Quantification of GHG emissions from rice paddies often rely on meta-analyses. Yet, meta-675 analyses vary substantially in their methodological approach to weight the importance of individual 676 effect sizes and to account for non-independence of effect sizes, strongly affecting results²²⁵. Although quality standards for meta-analyses in agronomy have been proposed²²⁶, the adherence to 677 such standards is still limited²²⁷. Rigorous assessment is thus needed to ensure the quality and 678 credibility of meta-analyses²²⁸. Moreover, apparent inconsistencies in past meta-analyses might be 679 resolved through so-called second order meta-analyses²²⁹. Importantly, literature included in meta-680 681 analyses are not always representative of real-world agricultural practices, as evident by inclusion 682 of straw management practices reducing eCO₂-related CH₄ emissions by an order of magnitude⁷³. 683 New meta-analytic techniques and upscaling approaches are available that account for variation in 684 environmental factors and management practices, including the meta-forest approach²¹⁷.

Finally, soil microorganisms are a key factor controlling GHG emissions from ecosystems⁹⁵ and thus, understanding the links between community composition and function is key. While measurement of the abundances and turn-over rates of soil microorganisms in rice paddies is done, the effects of climate change and agricultural practices on the soil microbial community composition has received less attention^{15,32}. Advances in molecular technologies in soil microbiology provide an opportunity to unravel the functional linkage between GHG emissions and soil microorganisms which can be applied in to develop new mitigation strategies.

- 692
- 693
- 694
- 695

696 References

- Liu, Y. *et al.* Rice paddy soils are a quantitatively important carbon store according to a global
 synthesis. *Commun. Earth Environ.* 2, 154 (2021).
- Yuan, S. *et al.* Sustainable intensification for a larger global rice bowl. *Nat. Commun.* 12, 7163
 (2021).
- 3. USDA. USDA ERS Rice Yearbook. *Economic Research Service (U.S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE)* https://www.ers.usda.gov/data-products/rice-yearbook/ (2023).
- 4. IRRI. Global Rice Science Partnership. Rice Agri-Food System CRP, RICE. (2016).
- FAO. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations: FAOSTAT-Food and agriculture. https://www.fao.org/faostat/en/#home (2022).
- For the Sixth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. (Cambridge University Press, 2021). doi:10.1017/9781009157896.
- 709 7. EPA. Non-CO₂ Greenhouse Gas Data Tool | US EPA. A data exploration tool for viewing non710 CO₂ GHG projections and mitigation assessments as compiled in the EPA Non-CO₂
 711 Greenhouse Emission Projections & Mitigation Potential Reports (2019 & 2022)
 712 https://cfpub.epa.gov/ghgdata/nonco2/ (2022).
- 8. Linquist, B., Groenigen, K. J., Adviento-Borbe, M. A., Pittelkow, C. & Kessel, C. An agronomic assessment of greenhouse gas emissions from major cereal crops. *Glob. Change Biol.* 18, 194–209 (2012).
- 716 9. Carlson, K. M. *et al.* Greenhouse gas emissions intensity of global croplands. *Nat. Clim.*717 *Change* 7, 63–68 (2017).
- Lee, J. H. *et al.* Straw recycling in rice paddy: Trade-off between greenhouse gas emission and
 soil carbon stock increase. *Soil Tillage Res.* 199, 104598 (2020).
- Belenguer-Manzanedo, M. *et al.* Effect of post-harvest practices on greenhouse gas emissions
 in rice paddies: flooding regime and straw management. *Plant Soil* 474, 77–98 (2022).
- Mandal, U. K. *et al.* Net ecosystem exchange of carbon, greenhouse gases, and energy budget
 in coastal lowland double cropped rice ecology. *Soil Tillage Res.* 212, 105076 (2021).
- Yan, X., Yagi, K., Akiyama, H. & Akimoto, H. Statistical analysis of the major variables
 controlling methane emission from rice fields. *Glob. Change Biol.* 11, 1131–1141 (2005).
- Cui, X. *et al.* Global mapping of crop-specific emission factors highlights hotspots of nitrous
 oxide mitigation. *Nat. Food* 2, 886–893 (2021).
- Wang, C. *et al.* An additive effect of elevated atmospheric CO₂ and rising temperature on
 methane emissions related to methanogenic community in rice paddies. *Agric. Ecosyst. Environ.* 257, 165–174 (2018).
- 16. Liu, Y. *et al.* Responses of methanogenic and methanotrophic communities to elevated
 atmospheric CO₂ and temperature in a paddy field. *Front. Microbiol.* 7, (2016).
- 17. Yvon-Durocher, G. *et al.* Methane fluxes show consistent temperature dependence across
 microbial to ecosystem scales. *Nature* 507, 488–491 (2014).
- 18. Liu, S. *et al.* Increased soil release of greenhouse gases shrinks terrestrial carbon uptake
 enhancement under warming. *Glob. Change Biol.* 26, 4601–4613 (2020).
- Qian, H. *et al.* Unexpected parabolic temperature dependency of CH₄ emissions from rice
 paddies. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 56, 4871–4881 (2022).
- 739 20. Gao, H., Tian, H., Zhang, Z. & Xia, X. Warming-induced greenhouse gas fluxes from global

740		croplands modified by agricultural practices: A meta-analysis. Sci. Total Environ. 820, 153288
741		(2022).
742	21.	Wang, H. et al. Effects of free-air temperature increase on grain yield and greenhouse gas
743		emissions in a double rice cropping system. Field Crops Res. 281, 108489 (2022).
744	22.	Jiang, Y. et al. Higher yields and lower methane emissions with new rice cultivars. Glob.
745		<i>Change Biol.</i> 23 , 4728–4738 (2017).
746	23.	Jiang, Y. et al. Water management to mitigate the global warming potential of rice systems: A
747		global meta-analysis. Field Crops Res. 234, 47-54 (2019).
748	24.	Wang, J. et al. The role of rice cultivation in changes in atmospheric methane concentration
749		and the Global Methane Pledge. Glob. Change Biol. 29, 2776-2789 (2023).
750	25.	EDGAR v7.0. Global Greenhouse Gas Emissions. (2022).
751	26.	Lal, R. Soil carbon sequestration to mitigate climate change. Geoderma 123, 1–22 (2004).
752	27.	Xia, L., Lam, S. K., Yan, X. & Chen, D. How does recycling of livestock manure in
753		agroecosystems affect crop productivity, reactive nitrogen losses, and soil carbon balance?
754		Environ. Sci. Technol. 51, 7450–7457 (2017).
755	28.	Zhu, X. et al. Manure amendment can reduce rice yield loss under extreme temperatures.
756		Commun. Earth Environ. 3, 147 (2022).
757	29.	Jiang, Y. et al. Acclimation of methane emissions from rice paddy fields to straw addition. Sci.
758		<i>Adv.</i> 5 , eaau9038 (2019).
759	30.	Zhao, X. et al. Management-induced greenhouse gases emission mitigation in global rice
760		production. Sci. Total Environ. 649, 1299-1306 (2019).
761	31.	Zhang, W. et al. Effects of continuous manure application on methanogenic and
762		methanotrophic communities and methane production potentials in rice paddy soil. Agric.
763		Ecosyst. Environ. 258, 121–128 (2018).
764	32.	Kong, D. et al. Linking methane emissions to methanogenic and methanotrophic communities
765		under different fertilization strategies in rice paddies. Geoderma 347, 233-243 (2019).
766	33.	Raheem, A. et al. Leguminous green manure mitigates methane emissions in paddy field by
767		regulating acetoclastic and hydrogenotrophic methanogens. Eur. J. Soil Biol. 108, 103380
768		(2022).
769	34.	Yang, Y. et al. Long-term incorporation of wheat straw changes the methane oxidation potential,
770		abundance and community composition of methanotrophs in a paddy ecosystem. Appl. Soil
771		<i>Ecol.</i> 173 , 104384 (2022).
772	35.	Minamikawa, K., Yamaguchi, T. & Tokida, T. Dissemination of water management in rice
773		paddies in Asia. https://km.fftc.org.tw/article/443 (2018).
774	36.	Jiao, Z. et al. Water management influencing methane and nitrous oxide emissions from rice
775		field in relation to soil redox and microbial community. Commun. Soil Sci. Plant Anal. 37,
776		1889–1903 (2006).
777	37.	Ma, K. & Lu, Y. Regulation of microbial methane production and oxidation by intermittent
778		drainage in rice field soil: Methanogens and methanotrophs in paddy soil. FEMS Microbiol.
779		<i>Ecol.</i> 75 , 446–456 (2011).
780	38.	Islam, S. F., van Groenigen, J. W., Jensen, L. S., Sander, B. O. & de Neergaard, A. The effective
781		mitigation of greenhouse gas emissions from rice paddies without compromising yield by
782		early-season drainage. Sci. Total Environ. 612 , 1329–1339 (2018).
783	39.	Martínez-Eixarch, M., Beltrán-Miralles, M., Guéry, S. & Alcaraz, C. Extended methane

784		mitigation capacity of a mid-season drainage beyond the rice growing season: a case in Spain.
785		Environ. Monit. Assess. 194, 648 (2022).
786	40.	Liao, P. et al. Identifying agronomic practices with higher yield and lower global warming
787		potential in rice paddies: a global meta-analysis. Agric. Ecosyst. Environ. 322, 107663 (2021).
788	41.	Linquist, B. A., Adviento-Borbe, M. A., Pittelkow, C. M., van Kessel, C. & van Groenigen, K.
789		J. Fertilizer management practices and greenhouse gas emissions from rice systems: A
790		quantitative review and analysis. Field Crops Res. 135, 10-21 (2012).
791	42.	Guo, J. H. et al. Significant Acidification in Major Chinese Croplands. Science 327, 1008-
792		1010 (2010).
793	43.	Prosser, J. I., Hink, L., Gubry-Rangin, C. & Nicol, G. W. Nitrous oxide production by ammonia
794		oxidizers: Physiological diversity, niche differentiation and potential mitigation strategies.
795		<i>Glob. Change Biol.</i> 26 , 103–118 (2020).
796	44.	IPCC. 2019 Refinement to the 2006 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories.
797		https://www.ipcc.ch/report/2019-refinement-to-the-2006-ipcc-guidelines-for-national-
798		greenhouse-gas-inventories/ (2019).
799	45.	Feng, J. et al. Impacts of cropping practices on yield-scaled greenhouse gas emissions from
800		rice fields in China: A meta-analysis. Agric. Ecosyst. Environ. 164, 220–228 (2013).
801	46.	Zou, J., Huang, Y., Jiang, J., Zheng, X. & Sass, R. L. A 3-year field measurement of methane
802		and nitrous oxide emissions from rice paddies in China: Effects of water regime, crop residue,
803		and fertilizer application. Glob. Biogeochem. Cycles 19, B2021 (2005).
804	47.	Sander, B. O., Samson, M. & Buresh, R. J. Methane and nitrous oxide emissions from flooded
805		rice fields as affected by water and straw management between rice crops. Geoderma 235-236,
806		355–362 (2014).
807	48.	Kritee, K. et al. High nitrous oxide fluxes from rice indicate the need to manage water for both
808		long- and short-term climate impacts. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 115, 9720-9725 (2018).
809	49.	Lu, W. F. et al. Methane emissions and mitigation options in irrigated rice fields in southeast
810		China. Nutr. Cycl. Agroecosystems 58, 65–73 (2000).
811	50.	Vo, T. B. T. et al. Methane emission from rice cultivation in different agro-ecological zones of
812		the Mekong river delta: seasonal patterns and emission factors for baseline water management.
813		Soil Sci. Plant Nutr. 64, 47–58 (2018).
814	51.	Hang, X. et al. Differences in rice yield and CH4 and N2O emissions among mechanical
815		planting methods with straw incorporation in Jianghuai area, China. Soil Tillage Res. 144, 205-
816		210 (2014).
817	52.	Haque, Md. M., Kim, G. W., Kim, P. J. & Kim, S. Y. Comparison of net global warming
818		potential between continuous flooding and midseason drainage in monsoon region paddy
819		during rice cropping. Field Crops Res. 193, 133-142 (2016).
820	53.	Simmonds, M. B. et al. Seasonal methane and nitrous oxide emissions of several rice cultivars
821		in direct-seeded systems. J. Environ. Qual. 44, 103-114 (2015).
822	54.	Takakai, F. et al. Effect of the long-term application of organic matter on soil carbon
823		accumulation and GHG emissions from a rice paddy field in a cool-temperate region, Japan-II.
824		Effect of different compost applications. Soil Sci. Plant Nutr. 66, 96-105 (2020).
825	55.	Alberto, Ma. C. R. et al. Straw incorporated after mechanized harvesting of irrigated rice
826		affects net emissions of CH ₄ and CO ₂ based on eddy covariance measurements. <i>Field Crops</i>
827		<i>Res.</i> 184 , 162–175 (2015).

828	56.	Dash, P. K. et al. Mitigation of greenhouse gases emission through value-added straw
829		amendments in rice-green gram system. Int. J. Environ. Sci. Technol. 20, 1019-1036 (2023).
830	57.	Martínez-Eixarch, M. et al. Neglecting the fallow season can significantly underestimate
831		annual methane emissions in Mediterranean rice fields. PLOS ONE 13, e0198081 (2018).
832	58.	Cai, Z., Tsuruta, H., Gao, M., Xu, H. & Wei, C. Options for mitigating methane emission from
833		a permanently flooded rice field. Glob. Change Biol. 9, 37-45 (2003).
834	59.	Zhang, Y. et al. Contribution of rice variety renewal and agronomic innovations to yield
835		improvement and greenhouse gas mitigation in China. Environ. Res. Lett. 14, 114020 (2019).
836	60.	Bouwman, A. F. et al. Lessons from temporal and spatial patterns in global use of N and P
837		fertilizer on cropland. Sci. Rep. 7, 40366 (2017).
838	61.	Shcherbak, I., Millar, N. & Robertson, G. P. Global metaanalysis of the nonlinear response of
839		soil nitrous oxide (N ₂ O) emissions to fertilizer nitrogen. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 111, 9199–9204
840		(2014).
841	62.	van Groenigen, K. J., van Kessel, C. & Hungate, B. A. Increased greenhouse-gas intensity of
842		rice production under future atmospheric conditions. Nat. Clim. Change 3, 288-291 (2013).
843	63.	Liu, S. et al. Climatic role of terrestrial ecosystem under elevated CO ₂ : a bottom-up greenhouse
844		gases budget. Ecol. Lett. 21, 1108–1118 (2018).
845	64.	Kuzyakov, Y., Horwath, W. R., Dorodnikov, M. & Blagodatskaya, E. Review and synthesis of
846		the effects of elevated atmospheric CO ₂ on soil processes: No changes in pools, but increased
847		fluxes and accelerated cycles. Soil Biol. Biochem. 128, 66-78 (2019).
848	65.	Ainsworth, E. A. & Long, S. P. 30 years of free-air carbon dioxide enrichment (FACE): What
849		have we learned about future crop productivity and its potential for adaptation? Glob. Change
850		<i>Biol.</i> 27 , 27–49 (2021).
851	66.	Inubushi, K. et al. Effects of free-air CO ₂ enrichment (FACE) on CH ₄ emission from a rice
852		paddy field. Glob. Change Biol. 9, 1458-1464 (2003).
853	67.	Qian, H. et al. Acclimation of CH ₄ emissions from paddy soil to atmospheric CO ₂ enrichment
854		in a growth chamber experiment. Crop J. 10, 140-146 (2022).
855	68.	Yu, H. et al. Elevated CO ₂ does not necessarily enhance greenhouse gas emissions from rice
856		paddies. Sci. Total Environ. 810, 152363 (2022).
857	69.	Zheng, X. et al. Nitrogen-regulated effects of free-air CO ₂ enrichment on methane emissions
858		from paddy rice fields. Glob. Change Biol. 12, 1717-1732 (2006).
859	70.	Liu, Y. et al. Carbon input and allocation by rice into paddy soils: A review. Soil Biol. Biochem.
860		133 , 97–107 (2019).
861	71.	Xiao, M. et al. Effect of nitrogen fertilizer on rice photosynthate allocation and Carbon input
862		in paddy soil. Eur. J. Soil Sci. 70, 786–795 (2019).
863	72.	Qian, H. et al. Intermittent flooding lowers the impact of elevated atmospheric CO ₂ on CH ₄
864		emissions from rice paddies. Agric. Ecosyst. Environ. 329, 107872 (2022).
865	73.	Qian, H. et al. Lower-than-expected CH4 emissions from rice paddies with rising CO2
866		concentrations. Glob. Change Biol. 26, 2368-2376 (2020).
867	74.	Yu, H. et al. Effects of elevated CO ₂ concentration on CH ₄ and N ₂ O emissions from paddy
868		fields: A meta-analysis. Sci. China Earth Sci. 65, 96-106 (2022).
869	75.	Bhattacharyya, P. et al. Impact of elevated CO2 and temperature on soil C and N dynamics in
870		relation to CH ₄ and N ₂ O emissions from tropical flooded rice (Oryza sativa L.). Sci. Total
871		<i>Environ</i> . 461–462 , 601–611 (2013).

872	76.	Yao, Z. et al. Elevated atmospheric CO2 reduces yield-scaled N2O fluxes from subtropical rice
873		systems: Six site-years field experiments. Glob. Change Biol. 27, 327-339 (2021).
874	77.	Wang, B. et al. Responses of yield, CH4 and N2O emissions to elevated atmospheric
875		temperature and CO ₂ concentration in a double rice cropping system. Eur. J. Agron. 96, 60–69
876		(2018).
877	78.	Wei, L. et al. Comparing carbon and nitrogen stocks in paddy and upland soils: Accumulation,
878		stabilization mechanisms, and environmental drivers. Geoderma 398, 115121 (2021).
879	79.	Gaihre, Y. K. et al. Effects of increased temperatures and rice straw incorporation on methane
880		and nitrous oxide emissions in a greenhouse experiment with rice: Greenhouse gas emissions
881		under elevated temperatures. Eur. J. Soil Sci. 67, 868-880 (2016).
882	80.	Li, L. et al. Terrestrial N2O emissions and related functional genes under climate change: A
883		global meta-analysis. Glob. Change Biol. 26, 931–943 (2020).
884	81.	Bai, E. et al. A meta-analysis of experimental warming effects on terrestrial nitrogen pools and
885		dynamics. New Phytol. 199, 441-451 (2013).
886	82.	Wassmann, R. et al. Chapter 3 Regional Vulnerability of Climate Change Impacts on Asian
887		Rice Production and Scope for Adaptation. in Advances in Agronomy vol. 102 91-133
888		(Academic Press, 2009).
889	83.	Jägermeyr, J. et al. Climate impacts on global agriculture emerge earlier in new generation of
890		climate and crop models. Nat. Food 2, 873-885 (2021).
891	84.	Cavicchioli, R. et al. Scientists' warning to humanity: microorganisms and climate change. Nat.
892		Rev. Microbiol. 17, 569–586 (2019).
893	85.	Neubauer, S. C. Ecosystem responses of a tidal freshwater marsh experiencing saltwater
894		intrusion and altered hydrology. Estuaries Coasts 36, 491-507 (2013).
895	86.	Syvitski, J. P. M. et al. Sinking deltas due to human activities. Nat. Geosci. 2, 681-686 (2009).
896	87.	Wassmann, R., Hien, N. X., Hoanh, C. T. & Tuong, T. P. Sea Level Rise Affecting the
897		Vietnamese Mekong Delta: Water Elevation in the Flood Season and Implications for Rice
898		Production. Clim. Change 66, 89-107 (2004).
899	88.	Wassmann, R. et al. High-resolution mapping of flood and salinity risks for rice production in
900		the Vietnamese Mekong Delta. Field Crops Res. 236, 111-120 (2019).
901	89.	Wassmann, R. et al. Chapter 2 Climate Change Affecting Rice Production: The Physiological
902		and Agronomic Basis for Possible Adaptation Strategies. in Advances in Agronomy (ed. Sparks,
903		D. L.) vol. 101 59-122 (Academic Press, 2009).
904	90.	Wassmann, R. et al. Characterization of methane emissions from rice fields in Asia. III.
905		Mitigation options and future research needs. Nutr. Cycl. Agroecosystems 58, 23-36 (2000).
906	91.	Watanabe, A., Takeda, T. & Kimura, M. Evaluation of origins of CH4 carbon emitted from rice
907		paddies. J. Geophys. Res. Atmospheres 104, 23623-23629 (1999).
908	92.	Tokida, T. et al. Methane and soil CO ₂ production from current-season photosynthates in a rice
909		paddy exposed to elevated CO ₂ concentration and soil temperature. <i>Glob. Change Biol.</i> 17,
910		3327–3337 (2011).
911	93.	Yuan, Q., Pump, J. & Conrad, R. Partitioning of CH4 and CO2 production originating from rice
912		straw, soil and root organic carbon in rice microcosms. PLoS ONE 7, e49073 (2012).
913	94.	Ding, H. et al. Effect of microbial community structures and metabolite profile on greenhouse
914		gas emissions in rice varieties. Environ. Pollut. 306, 119365 (2022).
915	95.	Conrad, R. Microbial Ecology of Methanogens and Methanotrophs. in Advances in Agronomy

- 916 vol. 96 1–63 (Elsevier, 2007).
- 917 96. Groot, T. T., Bodegom, P. M. V. & Harren, F. J. M. Quantification of methane oxidation in the
 918 rice rhizosphere using 13C-labelled methane. *Biogeochemistry* 64, 355–372 (2003).
- 919 97. Kim, W.-J., Bui, L. T., Chun, J.-B., McClung, A. M. & Barnaby, J. Y. Correlation between
 920 methane (CH₄) emissions and root aerenchyma of rice varieties. *Plant Breed. Biotechnol.* 6,
 921 381–390 (2018).
- 922 98. Gutierrez, J., Atulba, S. L., Kim, G. & Kim, P. J. Importance of rice root oxidation potential as
 a regulator of CH₄ production under waterlogged conditions. *Biol. Fertil. Soils* 50, 861–868
 924 (2014).
- 925 99. Zheng, H., Fu, Z., Zhong, J. & Long, W. Low methane emission in rice cultivars with high
 926 radial oxygen loss. *Plant Soil* 431, 119–128 (2018).
- 100. Aulakh, M. S., Wassmann, R., Rennenberg, H. & Fink, A. S. Pattern and amount of aerenchyma
 relate to variable methane transport capacity of different rice cultivars. *Plant Biol.* 2, 182–194
 (2000).
- 101. Denier van der Gon, H. A. C. *et al.* Optimizing grain yields reduces CH₄ emissions from rice
 paddy fields. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 99, 12021–12024 (2002).
- 932 102. Su, J. *et al.* Expression of barley SUSIBA2 transcription factor yields high-starch low-methane
 933 rice. *Nature* 523, 602–606 (2015).
- 103. Jiang, Y. *et al.* Limited potential of harvest index improvement to reduce methane emissions
 from rice paddies. *Glob. Change Biol.* 25, 686–698 (2019).
- 104. Ma, K., Qiu, Q. & Lu, Y. Microbial mechanism for rice variety control on methane emission
 from rice field soil. *Glob. Change Biol.* 16, 3085–3095 (2009).
- 105. Huang, Y., Sass, R. & Fisher, F. Methane emission from Texas rice paddy soils. 2. Seasonal
 contribution of rice biomass production to CH₄ emission. *Glob. Change Biol.* 3, 491–500
 (1997).
- 941 106. Zhang, Y. *et al.* Aboveground morphological traits do not predict rice variety effects on CH4
 942 emissions. *Agric. Ecosyst. Environ.* 208, 86–93 (2015).
- 943 107. Yuan, L. P. The prospect of Chinese super hybrid rice breeding techniques. *Chin. Rural Discov.*944 18–21 (2015).
- 108. Richards, R. A. Selectable traits to increase crop photosynthesis and yield of grain crops. J. *Exp. Bot.* 51, 447–458 (2000).
- 947 109. Peng, S., Khush, G. S., Virk, P., Tang, Q. & Zou, Y. Progress in ideotype breeding to increase
 948 rice yield potential. *Field Crops Res.* 108, 32–38 (2008).
- 110. Cheng, S.-H. *et al.* Super Hybrid Rice Breeding in China: Achievements and Prospects. J.
 Integr. Plant Biol. 49, 805–810 (2007).
- 111. Gogoi, N., Baruah, K. K. & Gupta, P. K. Selection of rice genotypes for lower methane
 emission. Agron. Sustain. Dev. 28, 181–186 (2008).
- 112. Jiang, Y. *et al.* Optimizing rice plant photosynthate allocation reduces N₂O emissions from
 paddy fields. *Sci. Rep.* 6, 29333 (2016).
- Perry, H., Carrijo, D. & Linquist, B. Single midseason drainage events decrease global
 warming potential without sacrificing grain yield in flooded rice systems. *Field Crops Res.* 276,
 108312 (2022).
- 114. Souza, R., Yin, J. & Calabrese, S. Optimal drainage timing for mitigating methane emissions
 from rice paddy fields. *Geoderma* 394, 114986 (2021).

960	115.	Bouwman, A. F. Nitrogen oxides and tropical agriculture. Nature 392, 866-867 (1998).
961	116.	Livsey, J. et al. Do alternative irrigation strategies for rice cultivation decrease water footprints
962		at the cost of long-term soil health? Environ. Res. Lett. 14, 074011 (2019).
963	117.	Lagomarsino, A. et al. Alternate wetting and drying of rice reduced CH4 emissions but
964		triggered N ₂ O peaks in a clayey soil of central Italy. <i>Pedosphere</i> 26 , 533–548 (2016).
965	118.	Karki, S., Adviento-Borbe, M. A. A., Massey, J. H. & Reba, M. L. Assessing Seasonal Methane
966		and Nitrous Oxide Emissions from Furrow-Irrigated Rice with Cover Crops. AgricBASEL 11,
967		261 (2021).
968	119.	Wassmann, R. et al. New records of very high nitrous oxide fluxes from rice cannot be
969		generalized for water management and climate impacts. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 116, 1464-1465
970		(2019).
971	120.	Bo, Y. et al. Global benefits of non-continuous flooding to reduce greenhouse gases and
972		irrigation water use without rice yield penalty. Glob. Change Biol. 28, 3636-3650 (2022).
973	121.	Yan, X., Akiyama, H., Yagi, K. & Akimoto, H. Global estimations of the inventory and
974		mitigation potential of methane emissions from rice cultivation conducted using the 2006
975		Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change Guidelines. Glob. Biogeochem. Cycles 23,
976		GB2002 (2009).
977	122.	Massey, J. H. et al. Early cascade rice irrigation shutoff (ECIS) conserves water: implications
978		for cascade flood automation. Irrig. Sci. 41, 355-364 (2022).
979	123.	Sander, B. O., Wassmann, R., Palao, L. K. & Nelson, A. Climate-based suitability assessment
980		for alternate wetting and drying water management in the Philippines: a novel approach for
981		mapping methane mitigation potential in rice production. Carbon Manag. 8, 331–342 (2017).
982	124.	Kraehmer, H., Thomas, C. & Vidotto, F. Rice Production in Europe. in Rice Production
983		Worldwide (eds. Chauhan, B. S., Jabran, K. & Mahajan, G.) 93-116 (Springer International
984		Publishing, 2017). doi:10.1007/978-3-319-47516-5_4.
985	125.	Peyron, M. et al. Greenhouse gas emissions as affected by different water management
986		practices in temperate rice paddies. Agric. Ecosyst. Environ. 232, 17-28 (2016).
987	126.	Monaco, S. et al. Effects of the application of a moderate alternate wetting and drying
988		technique on the performance of different European varieties in Northern Italy rice system.
989		Field Crops Res. 270, 108220 (2021).
990	127.	Martínez-Eixarch, M. et al. Multiple environmental benefits of alternate wetting and drying
991		irrigation system with limited yield impact on European rice cultivation: The Ebre Delta case.
992		Agric. Water Manag. 258, 107164 (2021).
993	128.	Shang, Q. et al. Net annual global warming potential and greenhouse gas intensity in Chinese
994		double rice-cropping systems: a 3-year field measurement in long-term fertilizer experiments.
995		<i>Glob. Change Biol.</i> 17, 2196–2210 (2011).
996	129.	Xia, L., Wang, S. & Yan, X. Effects of long-term straw incorporation on the net global warming
997		potential and the net economic benefit in a rice-wheat cropping system in China. Agric. Ecosyst.
998		<i>Environ.</i> 197 , 118–127 (2014).
999	130.	Liu, C., Lu, M., Cui, J., Li, B. & Fang, C. Effects of straw carbon input on carbon dynamics in
1000		agricultural soils: a meta-analysis. Glob. Change Biol. 20, 1366-1381 (2014).
1001	131.	Oldfield, E. E. et al. Positive associations of soil organic matter and crop yields across a
1002		regional network of working farms. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J. 86, 384-397 (2022).
1003	132.	Song, H. J., Lee, J. H., Canatoy, R. C., Lee, J. G. & Kim, P. J. Strong mitigation of greenhouse
		23

- 1004gas emission impact via aerobic short pre-digestion of green manure amended soils during rice1005cropping. Sci. Total Environ. 761, 143193 (2021).
- 1006 133. Cai, Z. C., Tsuruta, H. & Minami, K. Methane emission from rice fields in China:
 1007 Measurements and influencing factors. J. Geophys. Res. Atmospheres 105, 17231–17242
 1008 (2000).
- 1009 134. Zhang, B. *et al.* Rice straw incorporation in winter with fertilizer-N application improves soil
 1010 fertility and reduces global warming potential from a double rice paddy field. *Biol. Fertil. Soils*1011 49, 1039–1052 (2013).
- 1012 135. He, T. *et al.* Combined application of biochar with urease and nitrification inhibitors have
 1013 synergistic effects on mitigating CH₄ emissions in rice field: A three-year study. *Sci. Total*1014 *Environ.* 743, 140500 (2020).
- 136. Nan, Q., Wang, C., Wang, H., Yi, Q. & Wu, W. Mitigating methane emission via annual biochar
 amendment pyrolyzed with rice straw from the same paddy field. *Sci. Total Environ.* 746,
 141351 (2020).
- 1018 137. Feng, Y., Xu, Y., Yu, Y., Xie, Z. & Lin, X. Mechanisms of biochar decreasing methane emission
 1019 from Chinese paddy soils. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 46, 80–88 (2012).
- 1020 138. Qi, L. *et al.* Biochar decreases methanogenic archaea abundance and methane emissions in a
 1021 flooded paddy soil. *Sci. Total Environ.* **752**, 141958 (2021).
- 1022 139. Song, K. *et al.* Influence of tillage practices and straw incorporation on soil aggregates, organic
 1023 carbon, and crop yields in a rice-wheat rotation system. *Sci. Rep.* 6, 36602 (2016).
- 1024 140. Cayuela, M. L. *et al.* Biochar's role in mitigating soil nitrous oxide emissions: A review and
 1025 meta-analysis. *Agric. Ecosyst. Environ.* 191, 5–16 (2014).
- 1026 141. Xia, L. *et al.* Integrated biochar solutions can achieve carbon-neutral staple crop production.
 1027 Nat. Food (2023) doi:10.1038/s43016-023-00694-0.
- 1028 142. Schroeder, J. I. *et al.* Using membrane transporters to improve crops for sustainable food
 1029 production. *Nature* 497, 60–66 (2013).
- 1030 143. Schimel, J. Rice, microbes and methane. *Nature* **403**, 375–377 (2000).
- 1031 144. Gulledge, J. & Schimel, J. P. Low-Concentration Kinetics of Atmospheric CH₄ Oxidation in
 1032 Soil and Mechanism of NH₄⁺ Inhibition. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 64, 4291–4298 (1998).
- 1033 145. Bodelier, P. L. E., Roslev, P., Henckel, T. & Frenzel, P. Stimulation by ammonium-based
 1034 fertilizers of methane oxidation in soil around rice roots. *Nature* 403, 421–424 (2000).
- 1035 146. Mohanty, S. *et al.* Impact of integrated nutrient management options on GHG emission, N loss
 1036 and N use efficiency of low land rice. *Soil Tillage Res.* 200, (2020).
- 1037 147. Yao, Z. *et al.* Benefits of integrated nutrient management on N₂O and NO mitigations in water 1038 saving ground cover rice production systems. *Sci. Total Environ.* 646, 1155–1163 (2019).
- 1039 148. Pittelkow, C. M., Adviento-Borbe, M. A., van Kessel, C., Hill, J. E. & Linquist, B. A.
 1040 Optimizing rice yields while minimizing yield-scaled global warming potential. *Glob. Change*1041 *Biol.* 20, 1382–1393 (2014).
- 1042 149. Minamikawa, K., Sakai, N. & Hayashi, H. The effects of ammonium sulfate application on
 1043 methane emission and soil carbon content of a paddy field in Japan. *Agric. Ecosyst. Environ.*1044 107, 371–379 (2005).
- 1045 150. Shrestha, M., Shrestha, P. M., Frenzel, P. & Conrad, R. Effect of nitrogen fertilization on
 1046 methane oxidation, abundance, community structure, and gene expression of methanotrophs in
 1047 the rice rhizosphere. *ISME J.* 4, 1545–1556 (2010).

- 1048 151. Mohanty, S. *et al.* Crop establishment and nitrogen management affect greenhouse gas
 1049 emission and biological activity in tropical rice production. *Ecol. Eng.* 104, 80–98 (2017).
- 1050 152. Datta, A. & Adhya, T. K. Effects of organic nitrification inhibitors on methane and nitrous
 1051 oxide emission from tropical rice paddy. *Atmos. Environ.* 92, 533–545 (2014).
- 1052 153. Boeckx, P., Xu, X. & Van Cleemput, O. Mitigation of N₂O and CH₄ emission from rice and
 1053 wheat cropping systems using dicyandiamide and hydroquinone. *Nutr. Cycl. Agroecosystems*1054 72, 41–49 (2005).
- 1055 154. Xu, X. *et al.* Methane emission from a simulated rice field ecosystem as influenced by
 1056 hydroquinone and dicyandiamide. *Sci. Total Environ.* 263, 243–253 (2000).
- 1057 155. Xia, L. *et al.* Can knowledge-based N management produce more staple grain with lower
 1058 greenhouse gas emission and reactive nitrogen pollution? A meta-analysis. *Glob. Change Biol.*1059 23, 1917–1925 (2017).
- 1060 156. Fan, D. *et al.* Global evaluation of inhibitor impacts on ammonia and nitrous oxide emissions
 1061 from agricultural soils: A meta-analysis. *Glob. Change Biol.* 28, 5121–5141 (2022).
- 1062 157. Meng, X. *et al.* Nitrification and urease inhibitors improve rice nitrogen uptake and prevent
 1063 denitrification in alkaline paddy soil. *Appl. Soil Ecol.* 154, 103665 (2020).
- 1064 158. Akiyama, H., Yan, X. & Yagi, K. Evaluation of effectiveness of enhanced-efficiency fertilizers
 1065 as mitigation options for N₂O and NO emissions from agricultural soils: meta-analysis. *Glob.*1066 *Change Biol.* 16, 1837–1846 (2010).
- 1067 159. Islam, S. M. M. *et al.* Mitigating greenhouse gas emissions from irrigated rice cultivation
 1068 through improved fertilizer and water management. *J. Environ. Manage.* 307, 114520 (2022).
- 1069 160. Liu, T. Q. *et al.* Advantages of nitrogen fertilizer deep placement in greenhouse gas emissions
 1070 and net ecosystem economic benefits from no-tillage paddy fields. *J. Clean. Prod.* 263, 121322
 1071 (2020).
- 1072 161. Fan, D. J. *et al.* Nitrogen deep placement mitigates methane emissions by regulating
 1073 methanogens and methanotrophs in no-tillage paddy fields. *Biol. Fertil. Soils* 56, 711–727
 1074 (2020).
- 1075 162. Bhuiyan, M. S. I., Rahman, A., Loladze, I., Das, S. & Kim, P. J. Subsurface fertilization boosts
 1076 crop yields and lowers greenhouse gas emissions: A global meta-analysis. *Sci. Total Environ.*1077 **876**, 162712 (2023).
- 1078 163. Gaihre, Y. K. *et al.* Impacts of urea deep placement on nitrous oxide and nitric oxide emissions
 1079 from rice fields in Bangladesh. *Geoderma* 259–260, 370–379 (2015).
- 1080 164. Busari, M. A., Kukal, S. S., Kaur, A., Bhatt, R. & Dulazi, A. A. Conservation tillage impacts
 1081 on soil, crop and the environment. *Int. Soil Water Conserv. Res.* 3, 119–129 (2015).
- 1082 165. Zhao, X. *et al.* Methane and nitrous oxide emissions under no-till farming in China: a meta1083 analysis. *Glob. Change Biol.* 22, 1372–1384 (2016).
- 1084 166. Ali, M. A., Lee, C. H., Lee, Y. B. & Kim, P. J. Silicate fertilization in no-tillage rice farming
 1085 for mitigation of methane emission and increasing rice productivity. *Agric. Ecosyst. Environ.*1086 132, 16–22 (2009).
- 1087 167. Kim, S. Y., Gutierrez, J. & Kim, P. J. Unexpected stimulation of CH₄ emissions under
 1088 continuous no-tillage system in mono-rice paddy soils during cultivation. *Geoderma* 267, 34–
 1089 40 (2016).
- 1090 168. Zhang, Z.-S., Cao, C.-G., Guo, L.-J. & Li, C.-F. Emissions of CH₄ and CO₂ from paddy fields
 1091 as affected by tillage practices and crop residues in central China. *Paddy Water Environ.* 14,

1092 85-92 (2016). 1093 169. Yang, Y. et al. Winter tillage with the incorporation of stubble reduces the net global warming 1094 potential and greenhouse gas intensity of double-cropping rice fields. Soil Tillage Res. 183, 1095 19-27 (2018). 1096 170. Kumar, V. & Ladha, J. K. Direct Seeding of Rice. in Advances in Agronomy vol. 111 297-413 1097 (Elsevier, 2011). 1098 171. Rao, A. N., Wani, S. P., Ramesha, M. S. & Ladha, J. K. Rice Production Systems. in Rice 1099 Production Worldwide 185-205 (Springer, Cham, 2017). doi:10.1007/978-3-319-47516-5 8. 1100 172. Chakraborty, D. et al. A global analysis of alternative tillage and crop establishment practices 1101 for economically and environmentally efficient rice production. Sci. Rep. 7, 9342 (2017). 1102 173. Pittelkow, C. M. et al. Nitrogen management and methane emissions in direct-seeded rice 1103 systems. Agron. J. 106, 968-980 (2014). 1104 174. LaHue, G. T., Chaney, R. L., Adviento-Borbe, M. A. & Linquist, B. A. Alternate wetting and 1105 drying in high yielding direct-seeded rice systems accomplishes multiple environmental and 1106 agronomic objectives. Agric. Ecosyst. Environ. 229, 30-39 (2016). 1107 175. Zhang, Y. et al. Differences in CH₄ and N₂O emissions between rice nurseries in Chinese major 1108 rice cropping areas. Atmos. Environ. 96, 220-228 (2014). 1109 176. Wang, Y., Li, X., Lee, T., Peng, S. & Dou, F. Effects of nitrogen management on the ration 1110 crop yield and head rice yield in South USA. J. Integr. Agric. 20, 1457-1464 (2021). 1111 177. Linquist, B. A. et al. Greenhouse gas emissions and management practices that affect emissions 1112 in US rice systems. J. Environ. Qual. 47, 395-409 (2018). 1113 178. Song, K. et al. Evaluation of methane and nitrous oxide emissions in a three-year case study 1114 on single rice and ratoon rice paddy fields. J. Clean. Prod. 297, 126650 (2021). 1115 179. Xu, Y. et al. Conversion from double-season rice to ratoon rice paddy fields reduces carbon 1116 footprint and enhances net ecosystem economic benefit. Sci. Total Environ. 813, 152550 (2022). 1117 180. Wang, T. et al. Garlic-rice system increases net economic benefits and reduces greenhouse gas 1118 emission intensity. Agric. Ecosyst. Environ. 326, 107778 (2022). 1119 181. Jiang, M. et al. Methane emission, methanogenic and methanotrophic communities during rice-1120 growing seasons differ in diversified rice rotation systems. Sci. Total Environ. 842, 156781 1121 (2022).1122 182. Huang, J. et al. Exploration of feasible rice-based crop rotation systems to coordinate 1123 productivity, resource use efficiency and carbon footprint in central China. Eur. J. Agron. 141, 1124 126633 (2022). 1125 183. Nishimura, S. *et al.* Combined emission of CH_4 and N_2O from a paddy field was reduced by 1126 preceding upland crop cultivation. Soil Sci. Plant Nutr. 57, 167-178 (2011). 1127 184. Bolan, N. S., Adriano, D. C. & Curtin, D. Soil acidification and liming interactions with 1128 nutrient and heavy metal transformation and bioavailability. in Advances in Agronomy vol. 78 1129 215-272 (Elsevier, 2003). 1130 185. Goulding, K. W. T. Soil acidification and the importance of liming agricultural soils with 1131 particular reference to the United Kingdom. Soil Use Manag. 32, 390-399 (2016). 1132 186. Raza, S. et al. Inorganic carbon losses by soil acidification jeopardize global efforts on carbon 1133 sequestration and climate change mitigation. J. Clean. Prod. 315, 128036 (2021). 1134 187. Wang, Y. et al. Potential benefits of liming to acid soils on climate change mitigation and food 1135 security. Glob. Change Biol. 27, 2807-2821 (2021).

1137 Total Environ. 610-611, 316-332 (2018). 1138 189. Liao, P. et al. Liming and straw retention interact to increase nitrogen uptake and grain yield 1139 in a double rice-cropping system. Field Crops Res. 216, 217-224 (2018). 1140 190. Khaliq, M. A., Khan Tarin, M. W., Jingxia, G., Yanhui, C. & Guo, W. Soil liming effects on 1141 CH4, N2O emission and Cd, Pb accumulation in upland and paddy rice. Environ. Pollut. 248, 1142 408-420 (2019). 1143 191. Paradelo, R., Virto, I. & Chenu, C. Net effect of liming on soil organic carbon stocks: A review. 1144 Agric. Ecosyst. Environ. 202, 98-107 (2015). 1145 192. Royer-Tardif, S., Whalen, J. & Rivest, D. Can alkaline residuals from the pulp and paper 1146 industry neutralize acidity in forest soils without increasing greenhouse gas emissions? Sci. 1147 Total Environ. 663, 537–547 (2019). 1148 193. Zhang, H.-M. et al. Liming modifies greenhouse gas fluxes from soils: A meta-analysis of 1149 biological drivers. Agric. Ecosyst. Environ. 340, 108182 (2022). 1150 194. Jiang, Y. et al. Lime application lowers the global warming potential of a double rice cropping 1151 system. Geoderma 325, 1-8 (2018). 1152 195. O'Connor, J. et al. Production, characterisation, utilisation, and beneficial soil application of 1153 steel slag: A review. J. Hazard. Mater. 419, 126478 (2021). 1154 196. Susilawati, H. L. et al. Effects of steel slag applications on CH4, N2O and the yields of 1155 Indonesian rice fields: a case study during two consecutive rice-growing seasons at two sites. 1156 Soil Sci. Plant Nutr. 61, 704-718 (2015). 1157 197. Liao, P. et al. Liming increases yield and reduces grain cadmium concentration in rice paddies: 1158 a meta-analysis. Plant Soil 465, 157-169 (2021). 1159 198. Minamikawa, K., Takahashi, M., Makino, T., Tago, K. & Hayatsu, M. Irrigation with oxygen-1160 nanobubble water can reduce methane emission and arsenic dissolution in a flooded rice paddy. 1161 Environ. Res. Lett. 10, 084012 (2015). 1162 199. Minamikawa, K. & Makino, T. Oxidation of flooded paddy soil through irrigation with water 1163 containing bulk oxygen nanobubbles. Sci. Total Environ. 709, 136323 (2020). 1164 200. Malyan, S. K. et al. Plummeting global warming potential by chemicals interventions in 1165 irrigated rice: A lab to field assessment. Agric. Ecosyst. Environ. 319, 107545 (2021). 1166 201. Malyan, S. K. et al. Mitigation of yield-scaled greenhouse gas emissions from irrigated rice 1167 through Azolla, Blue-green algae, and plant growth-promoting bacteria. Environ. Sci. Pollut. 1168 Res. 28, 51425-51439 (2021). 1169 202. Kimani, S. M. et al. Influence of Azolla incorporation and/or dual cropping on CH₄ and N₂O 1170 emission from a paddy field. Soil Sci. Plant Nutr. 68, 246-255 (2022). 1171 203. Liu, S., Zhang, L., Liu, Q. & Zou, J. Fe(III) fertilization mitigating net global warming potential 1172 and greenhouse gas intensity in paddy rice-wheat rotation systems in China. Environ. Pollut. 1173 164, 73-80 (2012). 1174 204. Borah, L. & Baruah, K. K. Effects of foliar application of plant growth hormone on methane 1175 emission from tropical rice paddy. Agric. Ecosyst. Environ. 233, 75-84 (2016). 1176 205. Cho, S. R. et al. A new approach to suppress methane emissions from rice cropping systems 1177 using ethephon. Sci. Total Environ. 804, 150159 (2022). 1178 206. Scholz, V. V., Meckenstock, R. U., Nielsen, L. P. & Risgaard-Petersen, N. Cable bacteria reduce 1179 methane emissions from rice-vegetated soils. Nat. Commun. 11, 1878 (2020).

188. Holland, J. E. et al. Liming impacts on soils, crops and biodiversity in the UK: A review. Sci.

1136

- 207. Rani, V., Bhatia, A. & Kaushik, R. Inoculation of plant growth promoting-methane utilizing
 bacteria in different N-fertilizer regime influences methane emission and crop growth of
 flooded paddy. *Sci. TOTAL Environ.* **775**, (2021).
- 208. Zhang, X., Wang, L., Ma, F. & Shan, D. Effects of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi on N₂O
 emissions from rice paddies. *Water. Air. Soil Pollut.* 226, 222 (2015).
- 209. Itakura, M. *et al.* Mitigation of nitrous oxide emissions from soils by Bradyrhizobium
 japonicum inoculation. *Nat. Clim. Change* 3, 208–212 (2013).
- 210. Wu, S. *et al.* Mitigation of nitrous oxide emissions from acidic soils by *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens*, a plant growth-promoting bacterium. *Glob. Change Biol.* 24, 2352–2365 (2018).
- 211. Tirol-Padre, A., Minamikawa, K., Tokida, T., Wassmann, R. & Yagi, K. Site-specific feasibility
 of alternate wetting and drying as a greenhouse gas mitigation option in irrigated rice fields in
 Southeast Asia: a synthesis. *Soil Sci. Plant Nutr.* 64, 2–13 (2018).
- 212. Huang, Y., Zhang, W, & Zheng, X.H. Modeling methane emission from rice paddies with
 various agricultural practices. J. Geophys. Res. 109, D08113 (2004).
- 1195 213. Li, C. *et al.* Modeling greenhouse gas emissions from rice-based production systems:
 1196 Sensitivity and upscaling. *Glob. Biogeochem. Cycles* 18, GB1043 (2004).
- 214. Cheng, K., Ogle, S. M., Parton, W. J. & Pan, G. Predicting methanogenesis from rice paddies
 using the DAYCENT ecosystem model. *Ecol. Model.* 261–262, 19–31 (2013).
- 215. Katayanagi, N. *et al.* Estimation of total CH₄ emission from Japanese rice paddies using a new
 estimation method based on the DNDC-Rice simulation model. *Sci. Total Environ.* 601–602,
 346–355 (2017).
- 1202 216. Wang, X. *et al.* Emergent constraint on crop yield response to warmer temperature from field
 1203 experiments. *Nat. Sustain.* 3, 908–916 (2020).
- 1204 217. Terrer, C. *et al.* A trade-off between plant and soil carbon storage under elevated CO₂. *Nature*1205 **591**, 599–603 (2021).
- 1206 218. Ma, Y. C. *et al.* Net global warming potential and greenhouse gas intensity of annual rice–
 1207 wheat rotations with integrated soil–crop system management. *Agric. Ecosyst. Environ.* 164, 209–219 (2013).
- 1209 219. Suryavanshi, P., Singh, Y. V., Prasanna, R., Bhatia, A. & Shivay, Y. S. Pattern of methane
 1210 emission and water productivity under different methods of rice crop establishment. *Paddy*1211 *Water Environ.* 11, 321–329 (2013).
- 1212 220. Banger, K., Tian, H. & Lu, C. Do nitrogen fertilizers stimulate or inhibit methane emissions
 1213 from rice fields? *Glob. Change Biol.* 18, 3259–3267 (2012).
- 1214 221. Pathak, H. *et al.* Methane emission from rice–wheat cropping system in the Indo-Gangetic
 1215 plain in relation to irrigation, farmyard manure and dicyandiamide application. *Agric. Ecosyst.*1216 *Environ.* 97, 309–316 (2003).
- 1217 222. Cowan, N. *et al.* Experimental comparison of continuous and intermittent flooding of rice in
 1218 relation to methane, nitrous oxide and ammonia emissions and the implications for nitrogen
 1219 use efficiency and yield. *Agric. Ecosyst. Environ.* **319**, (2021).
- 1220 223. Huang, X. *et al.* Quantification for carbon footprint of agricultural inputs of grains cultivation
 1221 in China since 1978. *J. Clean. Prod.* 142, 1629–1637 (2017).
- 1222 224. Jeong, S. T., Kim, G. W., Hwang, H. Y., Kim, P. J. & Kim, S. Y. Beneficial effect of compost
 utilization on reducing greenhouse gas emissions in a rice cultivation system through the

- 1224 overall management chain. *Sci. Total Environ.* **613–614**, 115–122 (2018).
- 1225 225. Hungate, B. A. *et al.* Assessing the effect of elevated carbon dioxide on soil carbon: a
 1226 comparison of four meta-analyses. *Glob. Change Biol.* 15, 2020–2034 (2009).
- 1227 226. Philibert, A., Loyce, C. & Makowski, D. Assessment of the quality of meta-analysis in
 1228 agronomy. Agric. Ecosyst. Environ. 148, 72–82 (2012).
- 1229 227. Fohrafellner, J., Zechmeister-Boltenstern, S., Murugan, R. & Valkama, E. Quality assessment
 1230 of meta-analyses on soil organic carbon. *SOIL* 9, 117–140 (2023).
- 1231 228. Haddaway, N. R. *et al.* Eight problems with literature reviews and how to fix them. *Nat. Ecol.*1232 *Evol.* 4, 1582–1589 (2020).
- 1233 229. Grados, D. *et al.* Synthesizing the evidence of nitrous oxide mitigation practices in
 1234 agroecosystems. *Environ. Res. Lett.* 17, 114024 (2022).
- 1235 230. Lu, Y., Wassmann, R., Neue, H. U., Huang, C. & Bueno, C. S. Methanogenic responses to
 1236 exogenous substrates in anaerobic rice soils. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 32, 1683–1690 (2000).
- 1237 231. Wassmann, R. *et al.* Spatial and seasonal distribution of organic amendments affecting methane
 1238 emission from Chinese rice fields. *Biol. Fertil. Soils* 22, 191–195 (1996).
- 1239 232. Fan, L. *et al.* Active metabolic pathways of anaerobic methane oxidation in paddy soils. *Soil*1240 *Biol. Biochem.* 156, 108215 (2021).
- 1241 233. Thauer, R. K. Functionalization of Methane in Anaerobic Microorganisms. *Angew. Chem. Int.* 1242 *Ed.* 49, 6712–6713 (2010).
- 1243 234. Kuypers, M. M. M., Marchant, H. K. & Kartal, B. The microbial nitrogen-cycling network.
 1244 *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* 16, 263–276 (2018).
- 235. Qu, Z., Wang, J., Almøy, T. & Bakken, L. R. Excessive use of nitrogen in Chinese agriculture
 results in high N₂O/(N₂O+N₂) product ratio of denitrification, primarily due to acidification of
 the soils. *Glob. Change Biol.* 20, 1685–1698 (2014).
- 1248 236. Yan, X., Shi, S., Du, L. & Xing, G. Pathways of N₂O emission from rice paddy soil. *Soil Biol.*1249 *Biochem.* 32, 437–440 (2000).
- 1250

1251 Acknowledgements

- Y.J. discloses support from the National Key R&D Program of China (2022YFD2300400) and
 National Natural Science Foundation of China (32271635, 32022061). H.Q. discloses support from
 the China Postdoctoral Science Foundation (BX20220154, 2021M701746) and Jiangsu Funding
 Program for Excellent Postdoctoral Talent (2022ZB350). F. Z. was supported by the National
 Natural Science Foundation of China (42225102).
- 1250 IV

1258 Author contributions

- Y.J. designed the concept for this Review. H.Q. and X.Z. collected the data. Y.J., H.Q., X.Z. Y.D.,
 and K.J.v.G. wrote the first draft. All authors contributed to the manuscript content.
- 1261

1262 **Competing interests**

- 1263 The authors declare no competing interests.
- 1264

1265 **Peer review information**

- 1266 Nature Reviews Earth & Environment thanks Shen Yuan, Arti Bhatia and the other, anonymous,
- 1267 reviewer(s) for their contribution to the peer review of this work.

1268 **Publisher's note**

1269 Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and 1270 institutional affiliations.

1271 Supplementary information

- 1272 Supplementary information is available for this paper at https://doi.org/10.1038/s415XX-XXX-
- 1273 XXXX-X

1274 Data availability

- 1275 Data used are available in Supplementary Data 1 and 2.
- 1276 1277

1278

1279Fig. 1 | In situ greenhouse gas flux measurements in rice paddies. a, Published estimates1280(Supplementary Data 1) of CH_4 emissions (n=269). The rice planting area is indicated in light grey.1281b, as in a, but for N₂O emissions (n=200). c, as in a, but for area-scaled GHG emissions from rice1282paddies (n=198). d, as in a, but for yield-scaled GHG emissions (n=185). In-situ observations1283indicate a high level of heterogeneity in annual CH₄, N₂O, area-scaled and yield-scaled greenhouse1284gas emissions.

1285 1286

1287Fig. 2 | CH₄ emission patterns throughout the rice growing season. a, hypothetical temporal1288pattern of relative CH₄ emissions (blue line) with an early emission peak, as observed in 232 in-situ1289sites (Supplementary Table 3), and mitigation priorities for this pattern. Blue shaded areas indicate1290when the soils were flooded b, as in a, but with two emission peaks (n=156). c, as in a, but with a1291late emission peak (n=137). d, as in a, but with bell-shaped pattern (n=48). Temporal emission1292patterns vary with climate and management practices throughout the growing season and can be1293broadly classified into 4 different types.

1294 1295

1296Fig. 3 | Effects of elevated CO2 and warming on CH4 and N2O emissions. a, Published estimates1297of the effects of elevated eCO_2 (blue⁷⁴) warming (green¹⁹) and their interaction (grey;1298Supplementary Table 4) on CH4 emissions. The horizontal line indicates the median, the1299boundaries of the box the lower quartile and the upper quartile, and error bars the maximum and1300minimum values excluding outliers. b, As in a, but N2O emissions (warming effects from ref ²⁰).1301Both elevated CO2 and warming generally increase GHG emissions from rice paddies.

- 1302
- 1303

Fig. 4 | **CH**₄ **emissions as affected by breeding strategies to increase rice yield. a**, The effect of increasing harvest index on CH₄ emissions. **b**, the effect of increasing rice plant biomass on CH₄ emissions. + and – indicate positive and negative effects, respectively. Increasing harvest index and increasing rice plant biomass reduce CH₄ emissions in continuously flooded rice paddies and in paddies with high soil organic C contents, respectively.

- 1309
- 1310

1311 **Fig. 5 | Potential mitigation strategies.** Overview of management practices in rice agriculture to 1312 achieve high yields and low GHG emissions.

1313

1314 **Box 1**: The key processes driving CH₄ and N₂O emissions from rice fields

1315

1316 CH₄ emissions from soils are the result of CH₄ production, oxidation, and transport processes.

1317**[b1] CH4 production:** CH4 is one of the end products of organic matter mineralization under1318anaerobic conditions (where Eh < -150 mV). Methane is produced by methanogens, which mainly1319belong to the domain Archaea, and include acetotrophic methanogens and hydrogenotrophic1320methanogens⁹⁵. The sources of methanogenic substrates include soil organic carbon, root exudates,1321residues from the preceding crops and external organic matter addition^{230,231}.

1322 **[b1] CH₄ oxidation:** CH₄ produced in rice paddies can be consumed by aerobic methanotrophs in 1323 the topsoil and rhizosphere where O_2 and CH₄ gradients overlap⁹⁵. While oxidation rates are highly 1324 variable, microbial taxa have distinct preferences in terms of high O_2 and tolerating low CH₄ 1325 concentrations vs. favoring high CH₄ and tolerating low O_2 concentrations. In addition, anaerobic 1326 oxidation generally consumes 10–20% of CH₄ that is being produced by tapping alternative electron 1327 acceptors^{232,233}.

1328 **[b1] CH₄ transport:** In rice paddies, CH₄ is transferred to the atmosphere via three pathways: 1329 ebullition (bubble formation), liquid phase diffusion, and transport through the aerenchyma of rice 1330 plants⁹⁰. The CH₄ emitted through the rice plant during flooding can reach up to 90% of the total 1331 emissions⁹⁰.

1332

1333 Net N₂O emissions mainly result from microbial nitrogen transformations, that is, nitrification and 1334 denitrification^{115,234}.

1335 **[b1]** N₂O production: N₂O is formed during NH₃ oxidation (nitrification, under aerobic conditions) 1336 as an intermediate product between NH₄⁺ and NO₂⁻ or NH₂OH. N₂O is also an intermediate of 1337 denitrification (anaerobic conditions) -- the reduction of NO₃ to N₂. In flooded rice paddies, 1338 nitrification rates are often limited by O₂ availability, resulting in low N₂O emissions. High N₂O 1339 production is observed under alternate wetting and drying condition (50–80% water-filled pore 1340 space)¹¹⁵.

1341 **[b1]** N₂O consumption: N₂O can be reduced to N₂ by N₂O reductase (denitrification). The activity 1342 of N₂O reductase is sensitive to soil pH and O₂ concentrations. Low soil pH decreases the activity 1343 of N₂O reductase and then results in high N₂O/(N₂O+N₂)²³⁵. Above 80% water-filled pore space, N₂ 1344 rather than N₂O becomes the main product of denitrification¹¹⁵.

1345 **[b1]** N_2O transport: When the soil is flooded, N_2O emission occurs predominantly through the rice 1346 plants, while in the absence of floodwater, N_2O is released mainly via diffusion to the soil surface²³⁶.

1347

1348 **ToC Blurb**

1349 Rice paddies account for a large proportion of total agricultural methane and nitrous oxide emissions.

1350 This Review outlines the characteristics, changes and mitigation options for these emissions,

1351 highlighting the benefits of water and organic matter management.