



This is the peer reviewed version of the following article: Lafarga, Tomás, Eimear Gallagher, Ariadna Bademunt, Gloria Bobo, Gemma Echeverria, Inmaculada Viñas, and Ingrid Aguiló-Aguayo. 2018. "Physiochemical And Nutritional Characteristics, Bioaccessibility And Sensory Acceptance Of Baked Crackers Containing Broccoli Co-Products". International Journal Of Food Science & Technology, which has been published in final form at <https://doi.org/10.1111/ijfs.13908>. This article may be used for non-commercial purposes in accordance with Wiley Terms and Conditions for Use of Self-Archived Versions.

1 **Physiochemical and nutritional characteristics, bioaccessibility, and**
2 **sensory acceptance of baked crackers containing broccoli co-products**

3 Tomás Lafarga ^a, Ariadna Bademunt ^b, Eimear Gallagher ^c, Gloria Bobo ^a, Gemma
4 Echeverria ^a, Inmaculada Viñas ^b, and Ingrid Aguiló-Aguayo ^{a*}

5 ^a IRTA, XaRTA-Postharvest, Parc Científic i Tecnològic Agroalimentari de Lleida, Parc
6 de Gardeny, Edifici Fruitcentre, 25003, Lleida, Catalonia, Spain.

7 ^b Food Technology Department, University of Lleida, XaRTA-Postharvest, Agrotecnio
8 Center, Lleida, Spain

9 ^c Teagasc Food Research Centre Ashtown, Department of Food Chemistry and
10 Technology, Dublin 15, Ireland.

11

12 ***Corresponding author:**

13 Dr Aguiló-Aguayo, phone: (+34) 973003431 Ext. 1551, email: Ingrid.Aguilo@irta.cat

14

15

16 **Running title:** Broccoli co-products as novel ingredients in baked crackers

17

18 **Abbreviations**

19 a_w : Water activity; DW: Dry weight; SDF: Soluble dietary fibre; IDF: Insoluble dietary fibre,

20 TDF: Total dietary fibre, TGC: Total glucosinolate content, DPPH: 2,2-diphenyl-1-

21 picrylhydrazyl; C^*_{ab} : Chroma; δE : Difference from the control; S.D.: Standard deviation;

22 ANOVA: Analysis of variance.

23 **Abstract**

24 The effects of the inclusion of broccoli co-products into crackers on the bioaccessibility
25 as well as their overall physical and nutritional quality were evaluated. Crackers were
26 formulated using a 12.5 or 15.0% flour substitution level. Broccoli-containing crackers
27 presented higher specific volume and spread ratio and lower weight and specific volume
28 than control crackers ($p<0.05$). Crackers containing broccoli co-products showed an
29 increased green hue and a higher colour intensity ($p<0.05$). Incorporation of broccoli co-
30 products into crackers significantly increased the total phenolic content and antioxidant
31 capacity ($p<0.05$). A simulated gastrointestinal digestion suggested that the amount of
32 phenolic and antioxidant compounds released during digestion might be higher than what
33 could be expected from common water-organic extracts. The incorporation of broccoli
34 co-products into baked crackers would not only reduce the amount of food discarded as
35 waste but also promote health and open novel commercial opportunities to food
36 processors.

37

38 **Keywords:** functional foods, antioxidant activity, baked goods, broccoli co-products,
39 bioaccessibility, phenolic compounds

40 **Introduction**

41 Unfortunately, the generation of waste in the food processing industry is unavoidable. A
42 large amount of the food and food co-products currently discarded as waste or used for
43 low value purposes are rich in valuable compounds which could be reincorporated into
44 the food chain. The utilization of edible co-products rich in health-promoting compounds
45 as novel ingredients for the development of functional foods would not only reduce the
46 amount of food discarded as waste, or used for low value purposes, but also promote
47 health and open novel commercial opportunities for food processors. Functional foods
48 deliver additional or enhanced benefits over their basic nutritional value and the
49 functional foods market is currently one of the top trends in the food industry. In addition,
50 the global market for snack foods is projected to exceed US\$630 billion by 2020, driven
51 by robust demand for functional snacks and the rising popularity of organic and natural
52 ingredients-based snacks (INC. 2015). Crackers represent an important share of the snack
53 market and provide a large number of opportunities for new product development,
54 especially in the area of functional foods (Millar *et al.*, 2017).

55 Broccoli (*Brassica oleracea italica*) contains health-promoting substances including
56 phenolic compounds and glucosinolates. Large amounts of co-products including leaves,
57 stems, and stalks are generated during processing of broccoli. Recent studies suggested
58 that broccoli stems and leaves contain high levels of total phenolics and high antioxidant
59 and anticarcinogenic activities. Moreover, Lafarga *et al.*, (2018b) reported comparable
60 nutritional profiles and a similar resistance to thermal processing between the florets and
61 stems of several *Brassica* vegetables including varied broccoli varieties. Although health-
62 promoting compounds found in cruciferous vegetables can be heavily lost during thermal
63 processing (Lafarga *et al.*, 2018a; Sarvan *et al.*, 2012), previous studies suggested that

64 heat-sensible ingredients could be resistant to thermal processing when incorporated into
65 baked products (Lafarga *et al.*, 2016).

66 The aim of this work was to produce functional crackers with enhanced concentrations of
67 fibre, glucosinolates, and phenolic compounds using broccoli co-products and to study
68 the influence of their inclusion on the physicochemical parameters of the product
69 including weight, density, colour, firmness, moisture content, and fibre content as well as
70 on the overall quality and acceptance of the end product. The effects of broccoli inclusion
71 into crackers on parameters including colour, texture, moisture, water activity (a_w),
72 antioxidant capacity, acceptance, and total glucosinolate (TGC) were studied over a 14-
73 day period.

74 **Materials and methods**

75 **Preparation of crackers**

76 Broccoli stems were cut into 10 × 10 × 10 mm cubes, sanitized in 100 ppm sodium
77 hypochlorite for 2 min, rinsed with tap water, and left to dry at room temperature to reduce
78 surface contamination. The co-products were frozen, freeze-dried, milled to a thin
79 powder, vacuum sealed, and stored at -20 °C until further use. The doughs were prepared
80 for mixing according to the formulations listed in Table S1 and following the
81 methodology described in Supplementary File S1. Control crackers without powdered
82 freeze-dried broccoli were labelled as F00.0. Crackers containing broccoli at a flour
83 substitution level of 12.5 and 15.0% (w/w) were labelled as F12.5 and F15.0, respectively.

84 **Dimensions, weight, and chemical composition of crackers**

85 The weight and dimensions of 30 crackers were averaged for each formulation and
86 replicate. Length, width, and thickness were measured with Verner calipers and the spread
87 ratio, specific volume, and density were calculated for each sample. Weight, length,
88 width, and thickness measurements were taken at day 1 post-baking.

89 Moisture content was determined using AACC method 44-15.02. Soluble (SDF),
90 insoluble (IDF), and total (TDF) dietary fibre were determined according to AOAC
91 Method 991.43, using the ANKOM dietary fibre analyser (ANKOM technology, NY,
92 USA) and expressed as percentage.

93 **Colour and texture**

94 Colour recordings were taken using a Minolta CR-200 colorimeter (Minolta INC, Tokyo,
95 Japan). CIE values were recorded in terms of L^* (lightness), a^* (redness/greenness), and
96 b^* (yellowness/blueness). Calibration was carried out using a standard white tile (Y:92.5,
97 x:0.3161, y:0.3321) provided by the manufacturer and the D65 illuminant, which

98 approximates to daylight. Chroma (C^*_{ab}) and difference from the control (δE) were
99 calculated following the methodology described by Wibowo *et al.*, (2015). Results are
100 the average of 10 measurements per formulation and replicate taken on day 1 post-baking.
101 Texture characteristics were assessed using a TA.XT2 Texture Analyzer (Stable Micro
102 Systems Ltd., Surrey, England) connected to Exponent software v. 5.0.6.0. Hardness was
103 determined using the hardness measurement by cutting test provided by the manufacturer
104 and a knife edge with slotted insert probe (HDP/BS). Ten samples were taken for each
105 formulation and replicate and measurements were carried out on day 1 post-baking.

106 **Water activity and pH**

107 The a_w of all samples was measured using an AquaLab meter (Decagon Devices Inc.,
108 WA, USA) and approximately 2 g of ground sample. Three measurements were taken for
109 each formulation and replicate on days 1, 7, and 14 post-baking.

110 The pH of 1g of ground crackers added to 10 g of distilled water was measured in a Basic
111 20 pH meter (Crison Instruments S.A., Barcelona, Spain) as previously described by
112 O'Shea *et al.*, (2017). pH measurements were carried out in triplicate for each formulation
113 and replicate at day 1 post-baking.

114 **Total phenolic content**

115 The TPC was determined by the Folin Ciocalteu method following the modifications
116 described by Altisent, Plaza, Alegre, Viñas, and Abadias (2014). Briefly, samples were
117 homogenized with 70% (w/w) methanol at a sample to solvent ratio of 3:10 (w/v) at 4 °C
118 for 1 min using a T-25 digital ULTRA-TURRAX® homogenizer (IKA, Staufen,
119 Germany) at 14,000 rpm. Extraction was held at 4 °C and constant shaking in an ice bath
120 for 20 min. Absorbance was measured at 760 nm using a GENESYS™ 10S-UV Vis
121 spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, MA, USA). The TPC was determined in

122 triplicate for each formulation and replicate on days 1, 7, and 14 post-baking. Results
123 were expressed on a dry weight (DW) basis as mg of gallic acid equivalents per 100 g.

124 **Antioxidant activity**

125 Antioxidant activity was measured using two different methods: the FRAP and the 2,2-
126 diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl radical (DPPH·) scavenging activity assays following the
127 methodologies previously described by Altisent, Plaza, Alegre, Viñas, and Abadias
128 (2014) and using the same extract used for TPC determination. Absorbance was measured
129 at 593 and 515 nm for FRAP and DPPH· assays respectively. Antioxidant activity was
130 determined in triplicate for each formulation and replicate on days 1, 7, and 14 post-
131 baking. Results were expressed as g of ascorbic acid equivalents per 100 g of DW.

132 **Total glucosinolate content**

133 The TGC of the broccoli stems and of the control and broccoli-containing crackers were
134 determined following the methodologies described by Mawlong *et al.*, (2017). Briefly,
135 spectrophotometric estimation was done using methanolic extract prepared from by
136 homogenizing 0.1 g defatted sample with 80% (v/v) methanol. The homogenized sample
137 was centrifuged at 3,000 rpm for 4 min after keeping overnight at room temperature. The
138 supernatant was collected and made up to 2 ml with 80% (v/v) methanol. Absorbance
139 was measured at 425 nm using a GENESYS™ 10S-UV Vis spectrophotometer (Thermo
140 Fisher Scientific, MA, USA). TGC was determined in triplicate for each broccoli-
141 containing formulation and replicate on days 1, 7, and 14 post-baking. TGC was
142 expressed as mg of glucoraphanin equivalents per 100 g DW.

143 **Sensory evaluation**

144 Sensory evaluation was undertaken at day 1 post-baking with 40 untrained panellists
145 recruited from IRTA Fruitcentre. Sensory evaluation was conducted in a sensory

146 laboratory with separate booths following the methodology described by Millar *et al.*,
147 (2017) with some modifications. Briefly, samples were placed on white polystyrene
148 plates labelled with random codes and presented to consumers in a randomised order. A
149 60-s time laps was employed between each sensory palate, to reduce sensory fatigue.
150 Each panellist assessed all three samples and was asked to indicate his or her opinion on
151 the firmness, crunchiness, overall visual appearance, and overall acceptability of the
152 products using a 9-point hedonic scale (from 1: dislike extremely to 9: like extremely).

153 ***In vitro* gastrointestinal digestion**

154 A simulated gastrointestinal digestion of the control and broccoli-containing crackers was
155 performed following the methodology previously described by Zudaire *et al.*, (2017). The
156 methodology consists of three sequential stages including an oral (α -amylase, pH 7.0),
157 gastric (pepsin, pH 3.0), and intestinal (pancreatin and fresh bile, pH 7.0) phase.
158 Determinations of TPC and antioxidant capacity were carried out after both gastric and
159 intestinal phases by substituting the methanolic extract for the same amount of the
160 digestive enzymatic extracts.

161 **Statistical analysis**

162 Results are expressed as mean \pm standard deviation (S.D.). Differences between samples
163 were analyzed using analysis of variance (ANOVA) with JMP 13 (SAS Institute Inc.,
164 NC, USA). Where significant differences were present, a Tukey pairwise comparison of
165 the means was conducted to identify where the sample differences occurred ($p < 0.05$).

166 **Results and discussion**

167 In the current study broccoli stems showed an antioxidant potential of 317 ± 29 and 239
168 ± 14 mg/100 g DW calculated using the FRAP and DPPH \cdot methods, respectively. The
169 TPC of the broccoli co-products used in the current study was calculated as 312 ± 41
170 mg/100 g DW. Results compared well in terms of TPC and antioxidant bioactivity to
171 those recently obtained by Lafarga *et al.*, (2018b) who assessed the TPC and antioxidant
172 capacity of the stems of different broccoli varieties.

173 **Physical quality**

174 The inclusion of broccoli co-products into the cracker formulations significantly affected
175 the colour parameters of the baked crackers listed in Table 1. The L^* parameter which
176 denotes lightness and varies from 0 (black) to 100 (white) was significantly lower in both
177 broccoli-containing crackers, F12.5% and F15.0%, when compared to the control
178 ($p < 0.05$). This denotes a lighter appearance of the control samples. A negative correlation
179 was observed between L^* values and broccoli content ($r^2 = -0.837$). Similar results were
180 obtained previously after inclusion of coloured ingredients into baked products such as
181 seaweed (Fitzgerald *et al.*, 2014) or blackcurrant pomace (Schmidt *et al.*, 2018). As
182 expected, inclusion of broccoli co-products into crackers increased the green hue of the
183 final product (data not shown) and the C^*_{ab} value, a quantitative indicator of colourfulness
184 ($p < 0.05$). This indicates that the broccoli-containing crackers had a higher colour
185 intensity. A positive correlation was observed between L^* values and broccoli content
186 ($r^2 = 0.947$). Similar increases in C^*_{ab} were observed in baked crackers after substitution
187 of flour with pulses (Millar *et al.*, 2017). The δE combines the change in L^* , a^* , and b^*
188 values to quantify the colour deviation from a standard reference sample, in this case,
189 wheat flour crackers. Those samples with $\delta E > 3$ display a visible colour deviation

190 (Wibowo *et al.*, 2015). As expected, broccoli-containing crackers had a $\delta E > 3$, exhibiting
191 a visible colour deviation when compared to the control.

192 Broccoli-containing crackers showed a lower pH at day 1 post-baking when compared to
193 the control crackers ($p < 0.05$). Overall, physical characteristics of the crackers were
194 significantly affected by the inclusion of broccoli at 12.5 and 15.0% (w/w; $p < 0.05$). Both
195 broccoli-containing formulations presented higher specific volume and spread ration and
196 lower weight and specific volume than control crackers ($p < 0.05$). A positive correlation
197 was observed between the spread ratio and broccoli content ($r^2 = 0.966$). Higher spread
198 ratios are considered more desirable at industrial level (Tiwari *et al.*, 2011). In addition,
199 the moisture content of the crackers was comparable to that of previous formulations and
200 was higher when compared to other snacks such as legume-containing crackers (Colla
201 and Gamlath 2015). Both broccoli-containing crackers had a lower moisture content than
202 the control ($p < 0.05$) and a negative correlation was observed between the broccoli content
203 and water content ($r^2 = -0.975$). The moisture content of the three cracker formulations was
204 significantly lower at day 7 when compared to day 1 ($p < 0.05$) and no differences were
205 observed between the moisture content at day 7 and day 14 suggesting a stable product.
206 Inclusion of broccoli at a concentration of 15.0% (w/w) resulted in increased hardness
207 ($p < 0.05$). Although an increase in hardness was also appreciated for samples containing
208 broccoli at a concentration of 12.5% (w/w), results were not significantly different.
209 Previous studies suggested a direct relationship between hardness of crackers and total
210 fibre content and a negatively correlation between hardness and moisture content (Millar
211 *et al.*, 2017). Therefore, the observed increase in hardness observed after broccoli
212 inclusion in the current study could be caused by a reduced moisture and an increased
213 fibre content when compared to control samples.

214 **Nutritional characteristics of baked crackers**

215 Previous studies obtained increased TDF content in crackers and other baked goods after
216 substitution of wheat flour with other vegetable-derived sources (Millar *et al.*, 2017). In
217 the current study, the TDF and IDF of broccoli-containing crackers was higher when
218 compared to the control. However, the observed increase in fibre content was not
219 statistically significant.

220 The TPC of the baked crackers was higher in both broccoli-containing crackers when
221 compared to the control ($p < 0.05$; Figure 1). Similar results were observed previously after
222 inclusion of broccoli into bread at a concentration of 2% (w/w) (Gawlik-Dziki *et al.*,
223 2014). TPC at day 1 was positively correlated with broccoli content ($r^2 = 0.919$).
224 Moreover, the TPC of all cracker formulations was significantly lower at day 7 when
225 compared to day 1 ($p < 0.05$). Previous studies observed a decrease in the TPC of foods
226 during storage (Patras *et al.*, 2011, Howard *et al.*, 2010). In the current study, no
227 differences were observed between the TPC measured at days 7 and 14 for all cracker
228 formulations suggesting stable products.

229 Due to the high TPC measured in both broccoli-containing formulations, an increased
230 antioxidant activity was expected. The expected increase in antioxidant activity was
231 achieved in both broccoli-containing crackers ($p < 0.05$). Gawlik-Dziki *et al.*, (2014)
232 obtained increased antioxidant capacity after inclusion of broccoli sprouts into wheat
233 bread formulations at concentrations ranging from 1 to 5% (w/w). Similar results were
234 obtained by Lee (2015). In the current study, a positive correlation was observed between
235 the antioxidant potential at day 1 and broccoli content when assessed using the DPPH (r^2
236 = 0.760) and FRAP ($r^2 = 0.911$) methods. Other food products such as soups have shown
237 increased antioxidant capacity after incorporation of broccoli co-products into their recipe
238 (Alvarez-Jubete *et al.*, 2014). Antioxidant activity assessed using the DPPH· method was
239 lower at day 7 and 14 when compared to day 1. However, the observed decrease was only

240 statistically significant for cF12.5 ($p < 0.05$). When assessed using the FRAP method, the
241 antioxidant capacity of F00.0 and F12.5 was significantly lower at days 7 and 14 when
242 compared to day 1 ($p < 0.05$). A positive correlation was observed between the antioxidant
243 capacity as assessed using the FRAP method and the TPC at day 1 ($r^2 = 0.985$). Similar
244 results were observed after inclusion of vegetable co-products such as mango peel into
245 baked goods (Ajila *et al.*, 2010). The TGC of F12.5 and F15.0 is shown in Figure 1. No
246 differences were observed between the TGC of F12.5 and F15.0. In addition, the TGC of
247 both F12.5 and F15.0 was affected by storage and decreased at days 7 and 14 ($p < 0.05$).
248 A decrease in the TGC of formulation F12.5 was observed from day 7 to day 14 but it
249 was not statistically significant.

250 **Sensory analysis**

251 Scores obtained after sensory analysis for overall appearance, overall acceptability,
252 firmness, and crunchiness are shown in Figure S1. Inclusion of broccoli into the crackers
253 formulation resulted in increased overall acceptability ($p < 0.05$). Previous studies which
254 assessed the effect of the incorporation of fruit and vegetable co-products into baked
255 goods obtained acceptability scores comparable to the control (Schmidt *et al.*, 2018,
256 Chareonthaikij *et al.*, 2016). Results are comparable to previous studies which obtained
257 high acceptability scores after inclusion of broccoli powder into bread (Gawlik-Dziki *et al.*
258 *et al.*, 2014). The overall visual appearance score obtained for F12.5 was significantly high
259 when compared to F00.0 and F15.0 ($p < 0.05$). Although a decrease in crunchiness and
260 firmness was perceived after inclusion of broccoli, results were not statistically different.

261 **Simulated gastrointestinal digestion**

262 Bioaccessibility, which has is defined as the release of compounds from their natural food
263 matrix to be available for intestinal absorption, is one of the main limiting factors for
264 bioavailability (Stahl *et al.*, 2002). The *in vitro* gastrointestinal digestion strategy suggests

265 which compounds survive the gastrointestinal tract conditions and are likely to reach the
266 colon where they can act or be absorbed into the blood stream (McDougall *et al.*, 2007).
267 Overall, the results shown in Table 2 were comparable in magnitude for each cracker
268 formulation. Both the TPC and antioxidant potential increased after the gastric stage,
269 when compared to the initial stage, for all cracker formulations ($p<0.05$). An increase in
270 the TPC was also observed for all cracker formulations after the intestinal stage ($p<0.05$).
271 Strong pH variations and pepsin may affect the integrity of cell walls, facilitating the
272 liberation of phenolic and antioxidant compounds not detected in initial phases, and
273 hydrolysed wheat- and broccoli-derived proteins resulting in peptides with antioxidant
274 properties (Niu *et al.*, 2013, Cian *et al.*, 2015). The longer extraction process, if compared
275 to values prior to digestion (those obtained after the methanol:water extraction), may
276 partially explain these findings. Results obtained for TPC and antioxidant activity were
277 not comparable as the antioxidant capacity assessed using both the FRAP and DPPH-
278 assays was significantly lower after the intestinal phase when compared to the digestive
279 stage ($p<0.05$). Different pH values can affect racemization of molecules creating two
280 different chiral enantiomer. This could alter their biological activities and may render
281 antioxidant more reactive early in the digestive process, particularly at acidic pH values
282 (Jamali *et al.*, 2008). Similar results were published by Gawlik-Dziki *et al.*, (2009)
283 observed a significant increase in the TPC of the breads containing either 2.5 or 5.0%
284 (w/w) buckwheat flavones during the different stages of digestion. The TPC of these
285 breads varied from 0.50 and 0.72 mg/mL at the initial stage to 0.78 and 0.79 mg/mL, and
286 0.72 and 0.90 mg/mL after the gastric and intestinal phases, respectively. Pérez-Jiménez
287 and Saura-Calixto (2005) also reported that TPC and antioxidant activity of the digestive
288 enzymatic extracts was significantly higher when compared to that of the water-organic
289 extracts.

290 **Conclusions**

291 Broccoli co-products could be incorporated into baked products such as crackers at
292 relatively high concentrations in order to increase their nutritional and physicochemical
293 quality without affecting their overall acceptance. Incorporation of broccoli into cracker
294 formulations increased the content of dietary fibre, total phenolics, and glucosinolates as
295 well as the antioxidant capacity when compared to the flour-only crackers. Overall, a
296 decrease in the TPC and the antioxidant activity of all cracker formulations was observed
297 during storage, especially during the first week. Results obtained herein suggest that the
298 amount of phenolic and antioxidant compounds released during digestion may be higher
299 than what could be expected from common water-organic extracts.

300 **Acknowledgements**

301 This work was supported by the CERCA Programme of *Generalitat de Catalunya*. T.
302 Lafarga is in receipt of *Juan de la Cierva* contract awarded by the Spanish Ministry of
303 Economy and Competitiveness (FJCI-2016-29541). I. Aguiló-Aguayo thanks the Spanish
304 Ministry of Economy and Competitiveness and to the European Social Fund for the
305 Postdoctoral Senior Grant *Ramon y Cajal* (RYC-2016-19949). Authors thank Congelados
306 de Navarra S.A.U (Navarra, Spain) for providing broccoli processing co-products and
307 Silvia Villaró for her technical assistance.

308 **Conflict Statements**

309 The authors declare no conflict of interests.

310 **References**

- 311 Ajila, C. M., Aalami, M., Leelavathi, K. & Rao, U. J. S. P. (2010). Mango peel powder:
312 A potential source of antioxidant and dietary fiber in macaroni preparations.
313 *Innovative Food Science & Emerging Technologies*, **11**, 219-224.
- 314 Chareonthaikij, P., Uan-On, T. & Prinyawiwatkul, W. (2016). Effects of pineapple
315 pomace fibre on physicochemical properties of composite flour and dough, and
316 consumer acceptance of fibre-enriched wheat bread. *International Journal of*
317 *Food Science & Technology*, **51**, 1120-1129.
- 318 Cian, R. E., Vioque, J. & Drago, S. R. (2015). Structure–mechanism relationship of
319 antioxidant and ACE I inhibitory peptides from wheat gluten hydrolysate
320 fractionated by pH. *Food Research International*, **69**, 216-223.
- 321 Colla, K. & Gamlath, S. (2015). Inulin and maltodextrin can replace fat in baked savoury
322 legume snacks. *International Journal of Food Science & Technology*, **50**, 2297-
323 2305.
- 324 Fitzgerald, C., Gallagher, E., Doran, L., Auty, M., Prieto, J. & Hayes, M. (2014).
325 Increasing the health benefits of bread: Assessment of the physical and sensory
326 qualities of bread formulated using a renin inhibitory *Palmaria palmata* protein
327 hydrolysate. *LWT - Food Science and Technology*, **56**, 398-405.
- 328 Gawlik-Dziki, U., Dziki, D., Baraniak, B. & Lin, R. (2009). The effect of simulated
329 digestion in vitro on bioactivity of wheat bread with Tartary buckwheat flavones
330 addition. *LWT-Food Science and Technology*, **42**, 137-143.
- 331 Gawlik-Dziki, U., Świeca, M., Dziki, D., Sęczyk, Ł., Złotek, U., Różyło, R., Kaszuba,
332 K., Ryszawy, D. & Czyż, J. (2014). Anticancer and antioxidant activity of bread
333 enriched with broccoli sprouts. *BioMed research international*, **2014**.
- 334 Howard, L. R., Castrodale, C., Brownmiller, C. & Mauromoustakos, A. (2010). Jam
335 processing and storage effects on blueberry polyphenolics and antioxidant
336 capacity. *Journal of agricultural and food chemistry*, **58**, 4022-4029.
- 337 INC., G. I. A. (2015). *Snack Foods: A Global Strategic Business Report*. Pp. 1-1073.
- 338 Jamali, B., Bjørnsdottir, I., Nordfang, O. & Hansen, S. H. (2008). Investigation of
339 racemisation of the enantiomers of glitazone drug compounds at different pH
340 using chiral HPLC and chiral CE. *Journal of pharmaceutical and biomedical*
341 *analysis*, **46**, 82-87.
- 342 Lafarga, T., Bobo, G., Viñas, I., Collazo, C. & Aguiló-Aguayo, I. (2018a). Effects of
343 thermal and non-thermal processing of cruciferous vegetables on glucosinolates
344 and its derived forms. *Journal of Food Science and Technology*.
- 345 Lafarga, T., Gallagher, E., Aluko, R. E., Auty, M. A. & Hayes, M. (2016). Addition of an
346 enzymatic hydrolysate of bovine globulins to bread and determination of
347 hypotensive effects in spontaneously hypertensive rats. *Journal of agricultural*
348 *and food chemistry*, **64**, 1741-1750.
- 349 Lafarga, T., Viñas, I., Bobo, G., Simó, J. & Aguiló-Aguayo, I. (2018b). Effect of steaming
350 and sous vide processing on the total phenolic content, vitamin C and antioxidant
351 potential of the genus *Brassica*. *Innovative Food Science & Emerging*
352 *Technologies*, **47**, 412-420.

- 353 Lee, S.-H. (2015). Quality and antioxidant properties of white breads enhanced with
354 broccoli (*Brassica oleracea* L.) powder. *Korean journal of food and cookery*
355 *science*, **31**, 614-622.
- 356 Mawlong, I., Sujith Kumar, M., Gurung, B., Singh, K. & Singh, D. (2017). A simple
357 spectrophotometric method for estimating total glucosinolates in mustard de-oiled
358 cake. *International Journal of Food Properties*, 1-8.
- 359 McDougall, G. J., Fyffe, S., Dobson, P. & Stewart, D. (2007). Anthocyanins from red
360 cabbage – stability to simulated gastrointestinal digestion. *Phytochemistry*, **68**,
361 1285-1294.
- 362 Millar, K. A., Barry-Ryan, C., Burke, R., Hussey, K., McCarthy, S. & Gallagher, E.
363 (2017). Effect of pulse flours on the physicochemical characteristics and sensory
364 acceptance of baked crackers. *International Journal of Food Science &*
365 *Technology*, **52**, 1155-1163.
- 366 Niu, L.-Y., Jiang, S.-T. & Pan, L.-J. (2013). Preparation and evaluation of antioxidant
367 activities of peptides obtained from defatted wheat germ by fermentation. *Journal*
368 *of Food Science and Technology*, **50**, 53-61.
- 369 O'Shea, N., Kilcawley, K. N. & Gallagher, E. (2017). Aromatic Composition and
370 Physicochemical Characteristics of Crackers Containing Barley Fractions. *Cereal*
371 *Chemistry*, **94**, 611-618.
- 372 Patras, A., Brunton, N. P., Tiwari, B. & Butler, F. (2011). Stability and degradation
373 kinetics of bioactive compounds and colour in strawberry jam during storage.
374 *Food and bioprocess technology*, **4**, 1245-1252.
- 375 Pérez-Jiménez, J. & Saura-Calixto, F. (2005). Literature data may underestimate the
376 actual antioxidant capacity of cereals. *Journal of agricultural and food chemistry*,
377 **53**, 5036-5040.
- 378 Sarvan, I., Verkerk R., & Dekker, M. (2012). Modelling the fate of glucosinolates during
379 thermal processing of *Brassica* vegetables. *LWT – Food Science and Technology*,
380 **49**, 178-183.
- 381 Schmidt, C., Geweke, I., Struck, S., Zahn, S. & Rohm, H. (2018). Blackcurrant pomace
382 from juice processing as partial flour substitute in savoury crackers: dough
383 characteristics and product properties. *International Journal of Food Science &*
384 *Technology*, **53**, 237-245.
- 385 Stahl, W., van den Berg, H., Arthur, J., Bast, A., Dainty, J., Faulks, R. M., Gärtner, C.,
386 Haenen, G., Hollman, P., & Holst, B. (2002). Bioavailability and metabolism.
387 *Molecular aspects of medicine*, **23**, 39-100.
- 388 Tiwari, B. K., Brennan, C. S., Jaganmohan, R., Surabi, A. & Alagusundaram, K. (2011).
389 Utilisation of pigeon pea (*Cajanus cajan* L) byproducts in biscuit manufacture.
390 *LWT - Food Science and Technology*, **44**, 1533-1537.
- 391 Wang, T., He, F., & Chen, G. (2014). Improving bioaccessibility and bioavailability of
392 phenolic compounds in cereal grains through processing technologies: A concise
393 review. *Journal of Functional Foods*, **7**, 101-111.
- 394 Wibowo, S., Vervoort, L., Tomic, J., Santiago, J. S., Lemmens, L., Panozzo, A., Grauwet,
395 T., Hendrickx, M. & Van Loey, A. (2015). Colour and carotenoid changes of
396 pasteurised orange juice during storage. *Food chemistry*, **171**, 330-340.

397 Zudaire, L., Viñas, I., Abadias, M., Simó, J., Echeverria, G., Plaza, L. & Aguiló-Aguayo,
398 I. (2017). Quality and bioaccessibility of total phenols and antioxidant activity of
399 calçots (*Allium cepa* L.) stored under controlled atmosphere conditions.
400 *Postharvest Biology and Technology*, **129**, 118-128.

401 **Legends to figure**

402 **Figure 1. (A) TPC, antioxidant activity measured using the (B) FRAP and (C) DPPH**
403 **methods, and (D) TGC**

404 Values represent the mean of three independent experiments \pm S.D. Capital letters
405 indicate significant differences between different formulations at the same sampling day.
406 Lower case letters indicate significant differences between sampling days for the same
407 cracker formulation.

408

409 **Supplementary items**

410 **Table S1. Cracker formulations**

411 **Supplementary File S1: Baking procedure**

412 **Figure S1. Sensory evaluation of broccoli-containing crackers assessed at day 1 post**
413 **baking**