

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Research in Veterinary Science



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/rvsc

MicroRNA expression in specific segments of the pig periovulatory internal genital tract is differentially regulated by semen or by seminal plasma



Manuel Álvarez-Rodríguez^{a,b,1}, Cristina A. Martinez-Serrano^{a,b,1}, Jaume Gardela^{b,c,d}, Helena Nieto^a, Eduardo de Mercado^a, Heriberto Rodríguez-Martínez^{b,*}

^a Department of Animal Reproduction, Spanish National Institute for Agricultural and Food Research and Technology (INIA-CSIC), 28040 Madrid, Spain
^b Department of Biomedical & Clinical Sciences (BKV), BKH/Obstetrics & Gynecology, Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, Linköping University, 58185 Linköping, Sweden

^c IRTA, Programa de Sanitat Animal, Centre de Recerca en Sanitat Animal (CReSA), Campus de la Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona (UAB), 08193 Bellaterra, Barcelona, Spain

^d Unitat mixta d'Investigació IRTA-UAB en Sanitat Animal, Centre de Recerca en Sanitat Animal (CReSA), Campus de la Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona (UAB), 08193 Bellaterra, Barcelona, Spain

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords: Epigenetics ncRNA Semen Female genitalia Pig

ABSTRACT

microRNAs play pivotal roles during mammalian reproduction, including the cross-talk between gametes, embryos and the maternal genital tract. Mating induces changes in the expression of mRNA transcripts in the female, but whether miRNAs are involved remains to be elucidated. In the current study, we mapped 181 miRNAs in the porcine peri-ovulatory female reproductive tract: Cervix (Cvx), distal and proximal uterus (Dist-Ut, Prox-Ut), Utero-tubal-junction (UTJ), isthmus (Isth), ampulla (Amp), and infundibulum (Inf) when exposed to semen (natural mating (NM) or artificial insemination (AI-P1)) or to infusions of sperm-free seminal plasma (SP): the first 10 mL of the sperm rich fraction (SP-P1) or the entire ejaculate (SP-E). Among the most interesting findings, NM decreased mir-671, implicated in uterine development and pregnancy loss prior to embryo implantation, in Cvx, Dist-UT, Prox-UT, Isth, and Inf, while it increased in Amp. NM and SP-E induced the downregulation of miRlet7A-1 (Dist-UT, Prox-UT), a regulator of immunity during pregnancy. miR-34C-1, a regulator of endometrial receptivity gene expression, was increased in Dist-UT, UTJ and Amp (NM), in Prox-UT (AI-P1), and in Amp (SP-P1). miR-296, a modulator of the inflammatory response and apoptosis, was upregulated in the UTJ (all treatments). NM elicited the highest miRNA activity in the sperm reservoir (UTJ), suggesting that key-regulators such as miR-34c or miR-296 may modulate the metabolic processes linked to the adequate preparation for gamete encounter in the oviduct. Our results suggest that SP should be maintained in AI to warrant miRNA regulation within the female genital tract for reproductive success.

1. Introduction

Mating alters gene expression in different tissues of the internal female genital tract, in several species (Alvarez-Rodriguez et al., 2020b). Moreover, the presence of spermatozoa and/or seminal plasma (SP) during the pre/*peri* ovulatory porcine estrus period is also recognized to differentially impact on endometrial gene expression (Rodriguez-Martinez et al., 2021), particularly on immune-related genes (Álvarez-Rodríguez et al., 2020). The genes displaying changes include those ruling the synthesis of proteins such as the cortisol receptor, prostaglandins, and other complex molecules as the RNA binding molecules, with active roles in the maternal immune response to spermatozoa (and SP) in the reproductive tract (Gardela et al., 2020; Ruiz-Conca et al., 2020). During natural mating (NM) in pigs, the ejaculate is expelled into the female genital tract in well-defined fractions with specific compositions: sperm-peak (P1), sperm-rich, and post-sperm-rich fractions (Rodríguez-Martínez et al., 2009), eliciting inflammatory uterine responses (Rodriguez-Martinez et al., 2011). The immune response by the female against semen is paradoxical, comprising primary rejection while issuing immune tolerance to guarantee pregnancy success. Mating implies, therefore, a crucial decision by the female immune system, which is to maintain protection against pathogens and up to 80% of surplus

* Corresponding author.

¹ These authors contributed equally

Received 7 October 2023; Received in revised form 30 December 2023; Accepted 30 December 2023 Available online 2 January 2024

0034-5288/© 2024 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

E-mail address: heriberto.rodriguez-martinez@liu.se (H. Rodríguez-Martínez).

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rvsc.2023.105134

M. Álvarez-Rodríguez et al.

foreign spermatozoa while at the same time allowing the survival of potentially fertile spermatozoa in the sperm reservoir (Rodriguez-Martinez et al., 1990), as well as triggering an influx of antigen-presenting cells responsible for presenting paternal antigens to elicit activation of lymphocytes in the adaptive immune compartment, exerting a state of immune tolerance in the latter stages of embryo development (Robertson et al., 2003).

Several families of non-coding RNAs (ncRNAs): microRNAs (miR-NAs), short interfering RNAs (siRNAs), piwi-interacting RNAs (piRNAs), and transfer RNA (tRNA) have been identified across species (Chen et al., 2017; Hua et al., 2021). The most described family (miRNAs) is a class of ncsRNA, roughly 21-22 nucleotides in length, which are master gene regulators (Chen et al., 2017; Hua et al., 2021). miRNAs are intracellularly located but they can also be secreted -mainly via extracellular vesicles- to the extracellular environment, establishing a welldescribed cross-talk between cells and their extracellular environment (Ng et al., 2013; Valadi et al., 2007; Vilella et al., 2015). miRNAs control gene expression post-transcriptionally, by hybridizing to target mRNAs and thereby regulating their translation or stability (Filipowicz et al., 2008; Hausser et al., 2013). Moreover, miRNAs influence protein production and translation (Vasudevan et al., 2007), and one single miRNA could target multiple mRNAs (Pritchard et al., 2012). miRNAs are widely recognized as key regulators of many reproductive processes and pathways, including embryo development and implantation, placentation, and sperm capacitation, among others (Liang et al., 2017; Nothnick, 2012; Robertson et al., 2017; Salas-Huetos et al., 2019). Moreover, miRNAs are modulators of several immunological pathways during pregnancy (Baltimore et al., 2008) and help maintain the maternal immune environment required for pregnancy success in several species (Robertson et al., 2017; Schjenken et al., 2016).

In the porcine species, the miRNA expression profile has been explored in the context of pregnancy failure and embryo loss by studying the differences in miRNA expression in the implantation site of healthy and arrested embryos during different periods of pregnancy. miRNA expression profile in the pig endometrium has been characterized on days 15 (implantation period), 26 (placentation period) and 50 (midgestation period), three critical stages for embryo/fetal loss in the species. The miRNAs differentially expressed across these days were involved in several pathways, such as focal adhesion, cell proliferation and tissue remolding, such as miR-181a and miR-181c which play relevant roles in the regulation of genes and pathways known as involved in embryo implantation and placentation through immune signaling (Su et al., 2014).

On the male side, differentially expressed miRNAs were identified between fresh and capacitated boar spermatozoa. From a total of 204, 12 miRNAs were found to be involved in sperm capacitation-related PI3K-Akt, MAPK, cAMP-PKA and Ca²⁺ signaling pathways (Li et al., 2018a). Moreover, different miRNAs have been identified within the different ejaculated fractions using high-output small RNA sequencing (Martinez et al., 2022). Four miRNAs (mir-1285, mir-92a, mir-34c, mir-30), were differentially expressed among spermatozoa sourced from ejaculate fractions. These miRNAs target genes with key roles in fertility, sperm survival, immune tolerance, or cell cycle regulation, among others. On the other hand, different fractions of the SP, have been shown to elicit changes in the miRNAs profile secreted by explants of the female genital tract (uterus, utero-tubal junction and isthmus) in vitro. Dysregulated miRNAs, miR-34b, miR-205, miR-4776-3p and miR-574-5p, found in the explants were involved in functions and pathways related to immune responses (Barranco et al., 2020).

Against this background, it is reasonable to wonder whether the different fractions of the ejaculate may exert differences in the miRNA profile of the female genital tract after natural mating (NM) or artificial insemination (AI) and whether it may impact on latter stages of pregnancy. To address the hypothesis that spermatozoa, and/or SP components modulate miRNA expression sperm survival during colonization of the sperm reservoir (utero-tubal junction, UTJ) and sperm transit to the site of fertilization, we examined the effect of semen (entire ejaculate-mating or AI-P1 fraction) or sperm-free SP deposition (from the entire ejaculate or the P1 fraction) on the expression of miRNA transcripts across segments of the preovulatory sow internal genital tract (cervix to infundibulum).

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Ethics approval

Animal handling and experiments were carried out in accordance with the European Community Directive 2010/63/EU, 22/09/2010, and current Swedish legislation (SJVFS 2017:40). The study was accepted by the Regional Committee for Ethical Approval of Animal Experiments (Linköpings Djurförsöksetiska nämnd, Linköping, Sweden). Permits number 75–12 (10/02/2012), ID1400 (02/02/2018), and Dnr 03416–2020 (26/03/2020).

2.2. Animal management

At the Translational Medicine Centre (TMC/CBR-3) of Linköping University, weaned sows (parity 1–3, n = 20) and young matured boars (9–11 months of age, n = 5) of the Swedish Landrace breed (*Sus scrofa* domestica) were housed in individual pens under temperature and light (12 h:12 h light/dark cycle) control. Commercial feed was used to feed the animals, and water was available at all times. While pro-estrus and estrus behavior signals were observed, experienced staff applied back pressure to sows twice daily. Sows were also subjected to snout-to-snout contact with nearby boars.

2.3. Experimental design

Sows were randomly assigned to one of the five experimental groups when they displayed an estrus reflex, on the first day of behavioral estrus. Sows were at the onset of estrus and randomly allowed to be mounted by an individual boar or cervically inseminated/infused with the sperm-peak ejaculate fraction (containing 25% of the total spermatozoa of the ejaculate) or SP-harvested after double centrifugation at 1500 ×*g* for 10 min and microscopically checked for absence of spermatozoa, either from the sperm-peak fraction or from the whole ejaculate.

In brief, females were naturally mated with a single male (NM, n = 4), or cervically infused with pooled sperm rich-fraction of the ejaculate (AI-P1, n = 4), with pools of sperm-free SP of the entire ejaculate (SP-E group, n = 4), sperm-free SP harvested from pools of sperm-peak fractions (SP-P1 group, n = 4), as shown in Fig. 1. The control group (Control, n = 4) received cervical infusions of BTS-extender. Sows were given general anesthesia for the tissue collection process after receiving each treatment for 24 h.

2.4. Tissue collection

All sows were subjected to mid-ventral laparotomies to collect mucosal tissue samples 24 h after the procedures (pre/peri-ovulation period), as previously described (Alvarez-Rodriguez et al., 2019). Briefly, sows were sedated by the intramuscular administration of a mixture of 5 mg dexmedetomidine (Dexdomitor, Orion Pharma Animal Health, Sollentuna, Sweden) and 100 mg tiletamine hydrochloride/ zolazepam hydrochloride (Zoletil vet, Virbac A/S, Kolding, Denmark) followed by induced intravenous anesthesia with sodium thiopental



Experimental Design

Fig. 1. Schematic representation of the experimental design. Sows were subjected to natural mating (NM); insemination with the first 10 mL of the sperm rich fraction (AI-P1); infusion with seminal plasma from the first 10 mL of the sperm rich fraction (SP-P1); infusion with seminal plasma from the tirst 10 mL of the sperm rich fraction (SP-P1); infusion with seminal plasma from the whole ejaculate (SP-E); or infusion of BTS extender (Control). 24 h after treatments, tissue samples were collected from different segments of the reproductive internal genital tract: Cervix (Cvx), distal uterus (Dist-Ut), proximal uterus (Prox-Ut), utero-tubal junction (UTJ), and the oviductal segments isthmus (Isth), ampulla (Amp), and infundibulum (Inf). BTS: Beltsville Thawing Solution (Pursel and Johnson, 1975).

(Abbot Scandinavia AB, Solna, Sweden, 7 mg/kg BW) and maintained with isoflurane (3.5%-5%, Baxter Medical AB, Kista, Sweden) administered via a tracheal cuffed tube by a close-circuit PVC-ventilator (Servo ventilator 900 D, Siemens-Elema AB, Solna, Sweden). Peripheral blood plasma was analyzed (ELISA) for progesterone (P4) and 17ß-estradiol (E2) contents, confirming the sows were in pre/peri-ovulatory estrus (P4 = 0.77 ± 0.35 pg/mL; E2 ranging 294.2–376.50 \pm 27.76 pg/mL, p > 0.05 among sows/groups). The ovarian follicles were visually counted. The mean was 22.30 \pm 7.29 (mean \pm standard deviation) follicles per sow, without significant differences between sow groups or sides. Samples of the mucosa, including the lining epithelium and the subjacent connective tissue, were collected under a surgical microscope (Wild M651, Heerbrugg, Switzerland) from specific segments: endocervix (Cvx), right distal uterine horn (Dist-UT), right proximal uterine horn (Prox-UT), right utero-tubal junction (UTJ; as a reference tissue for its role as sperm reservoir in the pig (Rodriguez-Martinez et al., 1990; Rodríguez-Martínez et al., 2005), right isthmus (Isth), right ampulla (Amp), and right infundibulum (Inf), from all the specimens. Mucosal samples were directly plunged into liquid nitrogen and stored in cryovials at -80 °C in RNAlater (Ambion, Thermo Fisher Scientific Baltics UAB, Vilnius, Lithuania) until analyzed.

2.5. Transcriptome analysis

Total RNA from reproductive samples was extracted following a TRIzol (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) modified protocol (Álvarez-Rodríguez et al., 2020). RNA concentration, integrity evaluation, cDNA synthesis, and microarray analyses (GeneChip® Porcine Gene 1.0 ST Array, Affymetrix Inc., Santa Clara, CA, USA) were performed according to methods previously described (Álvarez-Rodríguez et al., 2020). Only samples with RIN (RNA integrity number) values larger than 9 were employed for microarray hybridization. The GeneChip®Whole

Transcript Plus reagent kit (Affymetrix, Santa Clara, CA, USA) was used to synthesize cDNA (250 ng/reaction). An initial incubation of the hybridization cocktail at 99 °C for 5 min was done after a fall to 45 °C before loading the array chip (GeneChip® Porcine Gene 1.0 ST Array, Affymetrix Inc., 3420 Central Expressway, Santa Clara, CA, USA). The cocktail hybridization solution (130 μ L) was then put into each array chip and incubated for 16 h at 45 °C under 60 rotations/min. The hybridized cartridge array was unloaded after incubation and washed and stained with the GeneChip® Fluidics Station 450 (Affymetrix, Santa Clara, CA, USA) before being scanned with the Affymetrix GeneChip® Scanner GCS3000 (Affymetrix, Santa Clara, CA, USA).

2.6. Bioinformatics and enrichment analyses

Transcriptomic results were processed following a previously described protocol (Alvarez-Rodriguez et al., 2020a). Briefly, the array chip data were processed using robust multi-array average (RMA) normalization, computing average intensity values by background adjustment, quantile normalization among arrays, and finally, log2 transformation for extracting the expression values of each transcript in the probe set. The normalized RNA expression data of the 181 detected transcripts were analyzed using the Transcription Analysis Console (TAC, Affymetrix). Differentially expressed transcripts were calculated using a linear model and the empirical Bayes' approach implemented in the package limma, included in the TAC console. A principal component analysis-based *p*-value correction was used, establishing a fold change (FC) >1 or < -1. From this set of differentially expressed miRNAs, 8 miRNAs were selected for further analysis based on their biological roles in reproductive and other physiological processes. GO terms and pathways were analyzed by PANTHER (Mi et al., 2013) based on the KEGG database (Kanehisa and Goto, 2000).



Fig. 2. Volcano plot depicting a summary of the differential miRNA transcripts cargo in the reproductive internal genital tract segments (cervix, distal uterus, proximal uterus, utero-tubal junction, isthmus, ampulla, and infundibulum), 24 h after natural mating (NM; A), insemination with the first 10 mL of the sperm rich fraction (AI-P1; B), infusion with seminal plasma from the first 10 mL of the sperm rich fraction (SP-P1; C), and infusion with seminal plasma from the whole ejaculate (SP-E; D), compared to unmated, Sham, infusion of BTS extender (Control). The x-axis shows the log2 fold-changes in expression and the y-axis the statistical significance ($-\log 10 p$ -value). This figure depicts p < 0.05 and p < 0.01 relative to control.

3. Results

3.1. Mucosal miRNAs were differentially expressed among segments of the female reproductive tract in response to mating, artificial insemination, or infusion of different fractions of seminal plasma

A total of 181 miRNAs were detected among endometrial and oviductal mucosal tissue segments within groups. From these, miRNAs up-regulated and down-regulated, depending on the treatment tested, are depicted in the volcano plot (Fig. 2, Supplementary Table 1). The principal component analysis explained 29.9%, 28.3%, 31%, 30.7%, 26.8%, 26.8% and 24% of the variation in the two components, in Cvx, DistUt, ProxUt, UTJ, Isth, Amp and Inf, respectively (Supplementary Fig. 1). The hierarchical clustering analysis through a heap map representation (Supplementary Fig. 2) showed a heterogeneous grouping pattern in some of the tissues, with the endometrial tissues being the ones showing a more discrete grouping pattern.

3.2. Commonly dysregulated miRNAs among the different tissues and treatments

Results on the co-detection of altered miRNA transcripts across tissues after comparing the different treatments with the Control group are depicted in Fig. 3. Only one transcript, miR296, is commonly altered (up-regulated) in UTJ by all treatments (Fig. 3D).

Results on the co-detection of altered miRNA transcripts across treatments after comparing the different tissue segments with the UTJ (used as a reference Control), and 24 h after mucosal samples (including the lining epithelium and the subjacent connective tissue) are depicted in Fig. 4. Natural mating showed 1 dysregulated miRNA in all tissues (miR-671).

3.3. A specific set of miRNAs was studied further based on their biological role within the reproductive tract

Differentially expressed miRNAs across reproductive tissues in response to mating, artificial insemination, or infusion of different fractions of seminal plasma were selected based on their biological function, and a specific set of miRNAs with key roles in reproductive processes was further investigated (Fig. 5, Supplementary Table 2). Target genes for the selected set of miRNAs are shown in Table 1. miR-671 was significantly decreased in Cvx, Dist-UT, Prox-UT, Isth, and Inf, while it was up-regulated in Amp in response to natural mating. It was also downregulated in UTJ, Amp and Inf in response to artificial insemination (AI-P1), and only in UTJ when SP from the first 10 mL of the sperm rich fraction was infused (SP-P1). miR-let7A-1 was found downregulated in Dist-UT and Prox-UT when sows were exposed to mating and SP from the entire ejaculate, while up-regulated in Amp in the AI-P1 treatment. miR-34C-1 increases in Dist-UT, UTJ and Amp in response to mating, in Prox-UT in response to artificial insemination (AI-P1), and in Amp after SP infusion (SP-P1). miR-101 is overexpressed in Cvx (SP-E), and Inf (NM and AI-P1), and repressed in Amp (NM). miR-628 was up-regulated in UTJ (NM and SP-P1), Inf (NM and AI-P1), and downregulated in Amp (NM). miR-191, was up-regulated in UTJ (NM and SP-P1), and down-regulated in Dist-UT (SP-E). miR-27B was down-regulated in Dist-UT (SP-P1 and SP-E), Amp (NM), up-regulated in Prox-UT (NM), UTJ (AI-P1), Isth (SP-P1), Amp (AI-P1) and Inf (NM). miR-296 was upregulated by all treatments in UTJ.

3.4. Main biological functions of selected miRNAs target genes

From the broader set of miRNAs reported in this study, we selected 8 miRNAs based on the biological roles of their target genes. These miR-NAs hold the potential to regulate the expression of genes with crucial roles in reproductive processes, encompassing inflammatory responses, uterine angiogenesis, vascular remodeling, and various other functions.

The top biological terms and pathways associated with these target genes are visually represented in Fig. 6 and Supplementary Fig. 3, respectively.

4. Discussion

miRNAs play pivotal roles in a plethora of different biological and molecular processes, including many aspects of mammalian reproduction. During the pre/peri-ovulatory period in the porcine species, miRNA expression and function across the female genital tract may depend on the presence or absence of semen, as well as either the type of semen deposition (NM vs. AI), or even the fraction of the ejaculate deposited. To test our working hypothesis, we hereby studied the miR-NAs differential abundance in the different segments of the female genital tract in response to different treatments: exposure to semen (by NM or AI), or exposure to sperm-free seminal plasma (from the entire ejaculate or from the rich fraction). A total of 181 miRNAs were detected across the entire genital tract in response to the different treatments.

Semen exposure by mating triggered the downregulation of miR671 in all tissues studied (from Cvx to Inf, despite in Amp where it was upregulated). However, semen exposure by AI only motivated changes in miR-671 expression in the UTJ and the oviduct (Amp and Inf), all downregulated. miR-671 has been identified as a relevant regulator of the inflammatory response observed in humans with inflammatory skin disorders (Nunes et al., 2018). It has also been associated with suppression of NF-kB activity and inhibition of interleukin-8 and CXCL3 messenger RNA expression in colonic epithelial HCT116 cells during Crohn's disease (Chuang et al., 2014). A recent study in porcine monocyte-derived dendritic cells (Mo-DC) demonstrated that miR-671 was upregulated in Mo-DC stimulated with Bifidobacterium lactis BB12 while showing a downregulation on IL-10 profile (Bravo-Parra et al., 2023). Interestingly, the downregulation of miR-671 observed in the present study agrees with previous findings from our group where we addressed an increase of IL-10 in the pre/peri-ovulatory UTJ (Gardela et al., 2022). It is well known that the act of mating induces a local inflammatory response that is tightly regulated and requires a switch in gene expression, leading to a downregulation of inflammatory mediators (Robertson et al., 1997). It is reasonable to assume that AI does not trigger such a strong inflammatory response as the one observed after mating since several infection-triggers as pathogens or sperm debris are eliminated from the equation, thus potentially explaining the smaller dysregulation of miR-671 along the genital tract in this group. One interesting note is the upregulation of Fibroblast Growth Factor Receptor Two (FGFR2) gene expression observed in uterine and oviductal tissues after mating in a previous study from our laboratory (Gardela et al., 2022). FGFR2 is a key target of miR-671 and its repression is implicated in alterations of uterine development and pregnancy loss prior to embryo implantation in mice (Filant and Spencer, 2014).

Another important regulator of key reproductive processes (miR-34c) was found to be overexpressed in Dist-UT, UTJ and Inf after mating. Only in Dist-UT after AI and in Inf after SP-P1 deposition. It has been proposed as an important regulator of the expression of some marker genes of endometrial receptivity (Liu et al., 2020). Achievement of endometrial receptivity, indispensable for embryo implantation (Galán et al., 2000) involves dynamic processes of morphological and physiological changes (Cheng et al., 2009; Heng et al., 2010). Previous studies have shown that miRNAs can induce endometrial cell (EC) apoptosis in mice and goat (Ma et al., 2022; Nie et al., 2017; Yuan et al., 2019). Interestingly, miR-34c was found to inhibit EC proliferation and induce EC apoptosis in dairy goats by downregulating the antiapoptotic gene BCL2 (Li et al., 2018c; Liu et al., 2020). In addition, EC's treated in vitro with miR-34c mimic or inhibitor showed changes in vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF), forkhead box M1 (FOXM1), prostaglandinendoperoxide synthase 2 (PTGS2), and osteoporin (OPN) protein levels, strongly involved in the maternal immune tolerance and uterine receptivity (Liu et al., 2020). The levels of VEGF, FOXM1, and OPN were



Fig. 3. Venn diagram plot depicting a summary of the differential miRNA transcripts in the reproductive internal genital tract segments (cervix (Cvx; A), distal uterus (Dist-UT; B), proximal uterus (Prox-UT; C), utero-tubal junction (UTJ; D), isthmus (Isth; E), ampulla (Amp; F), and infundibulum (Inf; G)), 24 h after natural mating, insemination with the first 10 mL of the sperm rich fraction (AI-P1), infusion with seminal plasma from the first 10 mL of the sperm rich fraction (SP-P1), and infusion with seminal plasma from the whole ejaculate (SP-E), compared to unmated, Sham, infusion of BTS extender (Control).



Fig. 4. Venn diagram plot depicting a summary of the differential miRNA transcripts found when comparing the different reproductive internal genital tract segments (cervix (Cvx), distal uterus (DistUt), proximal uterus (ProxUt), utero-tubal junction (UTJ), isthmus (Isth), ampulla (Amp), and infundibulum (Inf)), 24 h after Natural Mating (NM; A), insemination with the first 10 mL of the sperm rich fraction (AI-P1; B), infusion with seminal plasma from the first 10 mL of the sperm rich fraction (SP-P1; C), or infusion with seminal plasma from the whole ejaculate (SP-E; D) compared to unmated, Sham, infusion of BTS extender (Control).



Fig. 5. Schematic representation of selected miRNAs up or down-regulated among the different reproductive internal genital tract segments (cervix (Cvx), distal uterus (Dist-UT), proximal uterus (Prox-UT), utero-tubal junction (UTJ), isthmus (Isth), ampulla (Amp), and infundibulum (Inf)), 24 h after Natural Mating (NM; A), insemination with the first 10 mL of the sperm rich fraction (AI-P1; B), infusion with seminal plasma from the first 10 mL of the sperm rich fraction (SP-P1; C), or infusion with seminal plasma from the whole ejaculate (SP-E; D), compared to unmated, Sham, infusion of BTS extender (Control). FC: Fold Change.

significantly upregulated by miR-34c mimic, whereas the inhibitor only decreased the OPN protein levels. In addition, the miR-34c mimic decreased the PTGS2 protein levels, whereas the miR-34c inhibitor increased these levels. In the present study, mating seemed to potentiate the strongest signaling of this miRNA across the genital tract suggesting a better preparation of the endometrial environment than that achieved by AI. We know that although AI procedure is effective, i.e. yielding fertility outcomes similar to those achieved by NM (Roca et al., 2016). But, could it be even more efficient and bust economic benefit if we mimicked natural conditions? In this regard, AI bypasses the requirement for seminal fluid as a transport medium for spermatozoa, which is absent or highly extended in buffer solutions (as BTS) and is not required for fertilization. However, an increasing amount of evidence suggests that seminal fluid has a substantial effect on the female reproductive tract and potentially changes the immune function of T-cells, B cells, NK cells and macrophages in several species to allow paternal antigen recognition and embryo tolerance (Bromfield, 2014).

In line with our hypothesis, the results showed miR-let-7a-1 was downregulated in Dist-UT and Prox-UT after mating and SP-E deposition indicating a clear influence of SP on the regulation of this miRNA. Let-7 family has been associated with the immunology of pregnancy. It is down-regulated during preimplantation embryo development in mice (Ma et al., 2010) and in dormant blastocysts at 3 h post oestradiol activation (Liu et al., 2012). Garcia-Lopez et al., highlighted a continuous decrease in the expression of primary and mature miR-let-7a (García-López and del Mazo, 2012) during preimplantation embryo development, indicating that a low level of miR-let-7a is needed during implantation. As the down-regulation of the maternal immune system is required prior to embryo implantation to avoid embryo rejection (Martinez et al., 2020), the downregulation of miR-let-7a 24 h after NM or SP deposition showed in the present study suggests that SP components might have a key role in paternal antigen recognition and sperm survival. miR-let-7a also suppresses angiogenesis via down-regulation of TGFBR3 expression (Wang et al., 2019), a novel target for miR-let-7a (Yan et al., 2016), leading our results to suggest a positive influence of SP in the process of angiogenesis during the early inflammatory process. This is not surprising, since several studies have demonstrated that TGF^β is the active compound in SP responsible for the increased expression of endometrial inflammatory mediators and ultimately post-insemination inflammation (Ibrahim et al., 2019; Sharkey et al., 2012).

The present study analyzed a particular timeframe, the pre/periovulatory period when spermatozoa have already colonized the female sperm reservoir in the UTJ (Rodríguez-Martínez et al., 2005). Notably, pro-angiogenic miRNA (miR-296) was overexpressed only in UTJ in all treatments tested. This implies that SP itself has the potential to alter the pattern of expression of this miRNA in the sperm reservoir. Fluid production and composition in the UTJ and the oviduct undergo dynamic changes throughout the oestrous cycle associated with increased vascular permeability (Saint-Dizier et al., 2019) and contribute to the establishment of the specific environment necessary for gamete transport, fertilization and embryo development. The target genes of miR-296 are involved in the modulation of angiogenesis, inflammatory response, hypertension, cellular proliferation and apoptosis (Li et al., 2018b; Peng et al., 2018). Upregulation of miR-296 has been linked to the induction of morphologic characteristics associated with angiogenesis of human endothelial cells (Würdinger et al., 2008) by increased expression levels of VEGF and VEGFR2 which are crucial in angiogenesis (Lungu and Mehedinti, 2023). These results suggest that components of SP have the potential to facilitate oviductal environment preparation for gamete transport via miRNA regulation.

5. Conclusions

Here, the major changes in miRNA expression were elicited by NM when compared to AI or SP infusion. This fact suggests that the synergic effect of both sperm and SP from the entire ejaculate (highly extended when performing AI) is crucial for miRNA regulation within the female genital tract, and whether these miRNAs have the potential to impact the offspring's outcomes needs further evaluation. In addition, The UTJ-sperm reservoir exhibited a notable increase in miRNA activity, particularly after mating. This observation raises the possibility that key-regulator miRNAs, including miR-34c or miR-296, could not only relate to sperm survival (sperm metabolism, apoptosis blockade) but also modulate metabolic and cell adhesion processes, preparatory for gamete encounter in the upper oviduct. However, further confirmation of this hypothesis is required.

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rvsc.2023.105134.

M. Álvarez-Rodríguez et al.

Term name Term 1D Padi -log1c(Pad) -log1c	XX10 Image: Constraint of the constraint of	OXA9
tube morphogenesis G0:003523 9.206×10 ⁻³⁸ 0 0		
vssculature development G0:0001944 4.967×10 ⁻³⁷ blod vssel development G0:0001686 4.084×10 ⁻³⁶ blod vssel development G0:0001686 4.084×10 ⁻³⁶ anatomical structure formation involved in morphogenes G0:0001625 1.740×10 ⁻³¹ angiogenesis G0:0001625 1.740×10 ⁻³³ Image: Comparison of the		
blod vessel development G0:0001568 4.044x10 ⁻³⁶ Image: Comparison of the compar		
blod vessel morphogenesis G0:0048514 1.578×10 ⁻³⁴ Image and the set of the se		
anatomical structure formation involved in morphogene G0:0048648 8.138×10 ⁻³⁴ Image: Comparison of the compariso		
angiogenesis G0:0001525 1.740×10 ⁻³³ Image: Constraint of the second sec		
tissue development G0:0009888 1.938×10 ⁻²⁶ Image: Company		
cellular response to endogenous stimulus G0:007166 Add\$x10^-26		
cellular response to endogenous stimulus G0:007149 7.048×10 ⁻²⁶ Image: Company Structure Stimulus Company Structure Stimulus Company Structure Struct		
cell communication G0:0007154 8.602×10 ⁻²⁶ Image: Communication Image: Communicati		
cellular response to growth factor stimulus G0:0071363 1.406×10 ⁻²⁵ Image: Colored and the stimulus Colore		
response to growth factor GO:0070848 2.224x10 ⁻²⁵ Image: Comparison of the state of th		
enzyme-linked receptor protein signaling pathway G0:0007167 3.093x10 ⁻²⁵		
sprouting angiogenesis 60:0002040 3.005×10-23		
aproximg anglogeneara 00.0002040 0.000×10		
epithelium development GO:0060429 4.325×10 ⁻²³		
regulation of gene expression GO:0010468 1.103×10 ⁻²²		
cell motility GO:0048870 1.502×10 ⁻¹⁹		
RNA biosynthetic process GO:0032774 1.691×10 ⁻¹⁹		
regulation of DNA-templated transcription GO:0006355 3.411×10 ⁻¹⁹		
regulation of RNA biosynthetic process GO:2001141 3.906×10 ⁻¹⁹		
regulation of cell death G0:0010941 1.100×10 ⁻¹⁸		
regulation of apoptotic process GO:0042981 1.937x10 ⁻¹⁸		
tissue migration 60:0090130 2.934×10 ⁻¹⁷		
immune system process G0:0002376 3.908×10 ⁻¹⁵		
response to oxygen-containing compound GO:1901700 2.056×10 ⁻¹²		
immune effector process GO:0002252 4.200×10 ⁻¹²		
leukocyte differentiation GO:0002521 7.161×10 ⁻¹²		
MAPK cascade GO:0000165 7.381×10 ⁻¹²		
regulation of cytokine production GO:0001817 3.364×10 ⁻¹¹		
response to stress GO:0006950 7.729×10-11		
cellular response to vascular endothelial growth factor GO:0035924 1.917×10 ⁻¹⁰		
blood vessel endothelial cell migration GO:0043534 2.136x10 ⁻¹⁰		
Techcocyte activation involved in immune response G0:0002366 3:111101/0		
EKK1 and EKK2 cascade G0:00/03/1 3.945×10 ⁻¹⁰		
GO:BP stats a		
	TGF TFAP TC	TRP
	5 M 8 33	13 17
tube morphogenesis GO:0035239 9.200×10-36		
vasculature development GO:0001944 4.96/x10 ⁻³		
blood vessel development GO:0001568 4,08470-34		
biodo vessel morphogenesis co-cudasi 4 Ls/skul		
aniadumical su docular formation modified in modified energy of the state of the st		
ussue development G0.0003666 1.356/10-		
Centradinace receptor signaling patimaty G0.5007100 4.544010		
cell communication GC:0007154 8 602x10 ⁻²⁶		
cen communication constant con		
response to growth factor G0:0070848 2.224×10 ⁻²⁵		
enzyme-linked receptor protein signaling pathway 60:0007167 3.093×10 ⁻²⁵		
sprouting angiogenesis GO:0002040 3.005×10-23		
epithelium development GO:0060429 4.325×10 ⁻²³		
regulation of gene expression GO:0010468 1.103×10 ⁻²²		
cell motility G0:0048870 1.502×10 ⁻¹⁹		
RNA biosynthetic process GO:0032774 1.691×10 ⁻¹⁹		
regulation of DNA-templated transcription GO:0006355 3.411×10 ⁻¹⁹		
regulation of RNA biosynthetic process GO:2001141 3.906×10 ⁻¹⁹		
regulation of cell death GO:0010941 1.100×10 ⁻¹⁸		
regulation of apoptotic process GO:0042981 1.937×10 ⁻¹⁸		
tissue migration G0:0090130 2.934×10 ⁻¹⁷		
immune system process G0:0002376 3.908×10 ⁻¹⁵		
response to oxygen-containing compound G0:1901700 2.056x10 ⁻¹²		
immune effector process GO:0002252 4.200×10 ⁻¹²		
leukocyte differentiation GO:0002521 7.161×10 ⁻¹²		
MAPK cascade GO:0000165 7.381×10 ⁻¹²		
regulation of cytokine production GO:0001817 3.364×10 ⁻¹¹		
response to stress G0:0006950 7.729x10 ⁻¹¹		
cellular response to vascular endothelial growth factor 60:0035924 1.917/10110		
Diodo vessel endormenial cell migration GC:0043534 2.136510110		
ieukocyte activation involved in immune response GO:0002366 3.111×10 ⁻¹⁰		
EPK1 and EPK2 escende		

The colors for different evidence codes in the table:



Fig. 6. List of most significant Gene Ontology (GO) biological processes (Bp) associated with selected target genes of miRNAs up or down-regulated among the different reproductive internal genital tract segments (cervix, distal uterus, proximal uterus, utero-tubal junction, isthmus, ampulla, and infundibulum, 24 h after Natural Mating, insemination with the first 10 mL of the sperm rich fraction, infusion with seminal plasma from the first 10 mL of the sperm rich fraction, or infusion with seminal plasma from the whole ejaculate, compared to unmated, Sham (infusion of BTS extender) controls. The functional enrichment analysis was performed using g:Profiler (version e109_eg56_p17_1d3191d) with g:SCS multiple testing correction method applying a significance threshold of 0.01 (Raudvere et al., 2019).

Table 1 List of target genes for the selected differentially expressed miRNAs.

miRNA	Ν	Predicted Targets Name
miRlet7A	377	 ARID4B; RICTOR; PLAG1; TUT4; CCDC126; PUM2; PPM1A; CASP8AP2; DCUN1D4; HMGXB4; SOX9; EEA1; PTCH1; NR3C1; TAOK1; ITCA6; ANK3; WAC; DCAF7; USP25; NEUROG2; LRP6; DOCK1; IER5; NR2F2; PNIS; HECTD2; RFS2; MAST4; NFY8; SMARCA5; PPPAR2; CEMIP2; NUDT4; PPML1; TRINGS; QKF IPA151; DGKH; YY1; NB64; MON2; CNOT6L; TVP23C; APOOL; ICK; NLGN1; KIAA1217; PIK3R4; PFKFB3; PSD3; RAB11FIP2; NCKAP1; EMP2; BTAF1; PCDH8; CCNA2; COLCA2; F3; CEP76; BDNF; ACTR3; APP, BST1; INO80D; DDP1A; TVP23B; AKAP9; AKTP; ARHGAP44; LYSMD3; GTFSC3; FRMO4B; PTPR6; TMR132B; ATAD5; RAB10; SLAN2; NKTR; FAM3C; HOOX3; ITM2B; FOXO1; ZNF800; POLR2K, DCLRE1B; RBM12B; MARCH6; OPA1; HEATR5B; ZFYVE16; BTBD3; GDAP2; MMS22L; CAMTA1; ARHGAP20; WNT5B; TSH23; AAK1; PDE10A; FUT9; NR5A2; ACVR2B; TCF3; AMMEKCH1; KOM6A; FRX034; PIK3C2A; ZMYM4; RABGGTB; NASP; GPR37; GE164; COK19; SH3G13; SDC2; RC3H1; KIAA040B; RPRD1A; DAAM1; SNAPC1; EDIL3; EPHB4; B3GNT2; CLIP1; ARIH1; TOB1; DLL4; PTBP3; TLE1; MNX1; DCAF6; KLF3; LAT51; TAF4B; LEM03; DACT1; NUFIP2; IRX3; GL5; PPP4R3B; PRH1-TAS2R14; WASF3; ARAP2; ARLO55; CLI054; ABL1; OLFML2B; RIMKLE; GNAQ; PDLIM5; SCAMP1; SPQ: CRISPL01; MARK1; SET97; ZNF367; GABRG1; HE51; SPOCK3; NDC1; COL4A1; PSD2; ITCH; ZNF506; FOXN2; HMGCR; LRP1B; PPP3CA; MINDY3; DLX2; TRPM7; FNDC3B; PAXBP1; REV3L; CPEB3; ZNF827; SLC43; UBE3C; UNC119B; CNO1C; SNCAP; USP12; NAA16; BMPER; MEX3B; DCLX3; SCAI; PANX3; RNF24; SGTB; MED6; PLC12; C1QTNF7; ZMYM6; ERF; PUM1; UBT; AAA16; BMPER; MEX3B; DCLX3; SCAI; PANX3; RNF24; SGTB; MED6; PLC12; C1QTNF7; ZMYM6; ERF; PUM1; UBT; AAA16; BMPER; MEX3B; DCLX3; SCAI; PANX3; RNF24; SGTB; MED6; PLC12; C1QTNF7; ZMYM6; ERF; PUM1; UBT; AAA16; BMPER; MEX3B; DCLX3; SCAI; PANX3; RNF24; SGTB; MED6; PLC12; C1QTNF7; ZMYM6; ERF; PUM1; UBT; CAT6A; P15; TEAD1; KHL2; GRM5; RHOBTB3; TBC1D2B; CEPT1; CACNA1C; MBLAC2; TET2; SLC35A3; HOXA9; C10BP; BC162; HECTD1; ZNF29; BR52; DER11; VMA21; BTT314; NAA25; USSURP; TMTC2; AHR; IGF9P1; GIF24; SLC363; ILMA1; DS62; DCC; GSK3B; MED183; TBC1D2B; CEPT1; CANA16; MBLAC2; TET2; LIC353; HOXA9; C1039; ULF41; NF02
miR27B	306	AFF4; GXYLT1; ARFGEF1; GCC2; DCUN1D4; PLK2; TNPO1; TRPV3; GAB1; GRIA4; BEX3; MBTD1; PDS5B; AKIRIN1; RPS6KA5; RGPD6; PHLPP2; TAB3; SO51; FBXW7; CD51; RGPD5; SEMAGA; NRK; USP42; ABHD17C; GPAM; ARHGEF26; RGPD4; KCNK2; EYA1; RGPD8, ZBTB34; KIAA1109; ST6GALNAC3; EYA4; PLEKH1; SJF2; PRR3; ONECUT2; TMBIM6; FOXA3; TG2; ADAMTSL3; RUNX1; ACVR1C; TMEM170B; TMCC1; EHF, GRIN20; USP46; C2CD2; WNK3; MMD; HOXA3; SLC7A11; RNF139; GATC; DNA/C27; KDM7A; PNKD; KCTD8; SUTRK1; PDIA5; ABCA1; EDRF1; KLF3; SMAD9; TFAP2B; HIPK2; UNK1; PLEKH11; PLC12; RORA1; SEMA7A; CKAP4; VAV2; CDR2; FBXO10; LIFR; ABHD6; ZFHX3; ADORA2B; GOLM1; EPS8; CCNK; UGCG; IPMK; HIVEP3; PEG10; SZRD1; SLC35F1; ZHX1; GNS; MARK1; ZVF800; RREB1; PDE7B; C200;TJ94; CREBRF; DNA/C13; TSC2D2; GSPT1; B4GA173; CCNG1; NR2F6; ITSN2; CSRP2; NECAP1; ID4; RO60; RALGAPA2; MAP1B; GRM5; ST3GAL6; ASPH; BRPF3; SSH1; STYK1; KDM3A; COG7; EDEM3; SLC25A25; SNRNP27; DOT11; KIAA12111; BICC1; INSM2; ZCHC24; GALNT7; B3GNT7; APPBP2; PPARG; UBE2V1; RMND5A; SHE; NIPAL4; ARF3; CTH; KCNA4; ENDOU; PCNX1; TNRC18; INO80D; PTGER3; KITLG; USP25; ZSCAN26, NPAS3; SLC39A11; GRIA3; PCDH9; A6FG1; VSIG10; MIER3; C1orJ52; ADAMTS10; SLC6A1; HMGCR; PALM2; GAREM1; HBEGF; RESV1; EPB41L44; SFRP1; CREB1; TPR; ELFN2; FAM1B4A; ATAD2B; STAB2; MTURN; PAGR9; DIPK14; MKNX2; FBXO34; PARD6B; ARHGAP23; STAG1; NABP1; RP56KB1; TRIN23; RCAN2; ACTA2; HOXA10; MATN3; TEAD1; RAP1; NPEPPS; LPAR6; LCN3; PANK1; RNF141; TLK2; YWHAQ; LPCAT1; MAP2K4; ING5; AK4; NEMP2; CACNG2; NRSA2; CEP135; DTNA; BRWD3; SNAP25; MYT1; LPIN1; E2F7; PPP4R2; PDE10A; PLPP; HAPIN1; CLK2; TNRC6B; SOX11; CA10; SCAF11; SRGAP2; MARC1; MED121; LONRF1; DYNC2111; MTMR4; KMT2C; GOLGA1; (IPC; FAM133B; IKZ7; NGFR; RGS8; SNN02); RARC2; ARLC1; TILNG; FGRF; FR33; TMEM189- UBE2V1; NXT2; STAC; SLC2A23; LCOR; NPTN; RELN; KLL129; COLEC10; PTGDR; ANK1; PAX9; ZMYM4; SETD5; CCM2; COMMD3BMI1; ATP2B1; USF3; OTUIN; FZD4; HSD17B12; HOXC6; CAP15; NEURL4; TRIN50; GATA6; RARA; FRMD6; ZNF329; SIX1; AQP11; PSPC1; NEK6; PDPK1; COLGALT2; SLC9B1; PLXND1; PAX7; PLPR1; MED1
miR34-C	103	HCN3; FAM76A;MDM4; DLL1; FKBP1B; SYT1; E2F5; PPP1R11; RAP1GD51; FAM167A; SDK2; SATB2; SCN2B; MYCN; NECTIN1; CELF3; MGAT4A; LGR4; NAV3; NAV1; MET; FLOT2; XYLT1; AHCYL2; TGIF2; PAC21; PKP4; CACNATE; MLLT3; FUT9; RRAS; PITPNC1; MPP2M; VAMP2; ABR; SLC25A27; FOXP1; CAMTA1; SRPA; MEX3C; JAKMIP1; ELMOD1; TOB2; FUT8; LEF1; SHANK3; NPNT; KIAA1217; GPR22; DAAM1; ASIC2, GALNT7; NUMBL; TBL1RR1; BMP3; GABRA3; TNRC18; UBP1; PPARGC1B; CUEDC1; ZMYM4; ARID4B; FAM117B; ATMIN; CYREN; HNFAA; SFT2D1; CDK6; NRN1; EML51; SAR1A; TMEM255A; FOXN2; TASOR; TPPP; FGD6; PDE7B; ADO, ANK3; UNC13C; LMAN1; CTIND2; POC2; KDM5D; SNA11; AKIP1; SLC4A7; CBX3; TAF4B; FGF23; RPS6KL1; SERPINF2; STRN3; DNM1L; UCN2; PPP2R3A; AMER1; FOXJ2; PPIA1; ADIPOR2; GPR158; SMIM15; CREB3L2
miR101-2	1	SARD3
miR191	5	NEURL4; TAF5; CREBBP; CASTOR2; TMOD2
miR296	9	ZNF99; ZNF117; AZI2; ZFP90; ITM2A; ZNF138; ABLIM3; C1QTNF7; CADPS
miR628	32	RBM41; SINHCAF; MAMDC2; PAIP1; EPHA7; BEND4; ATRX; LSM12; SLC40A1; ABCA13; ZNF197; SPAG1; DDX5; RICTOR; MED23; SEPT7; PPP3CB; SGCD; HSPBAP1; PTTG1IP; VAMP2; DGKI; ALKAL1; RCN1; DERA; ZNF606; ZFP82; KLB; AIDA; ITCH; ALG9; LIN54
miR671	45	USP46; SATB2; AAK1; CAMKK2; C16orf72; TBL2; ST8SIA5; CA7; VSNL1; KRT38; C12orf77; CFL2; C10orf25; EDN1; SSBP1; ACTR2; GID4; CBS; KRT9; KIT ; KCNMA1; SLC30A6; SGK2; RAG1 ; MAP3K19; MARK1; FGFR2; ZNF805; JADE1; GALNT10; LIN9; FOXP2; SPTBN1; FADS1; SPOCK1; DVL3; ADCY1; MAML2; LOC102724951; ACBD6; LOC102723360; LOC102724219; SPPL3; LOC102724843; LGALS3BP

* Only target genes with a score >90 (miRbase) were selected.

** Genes highlighted in red were selected based on the importance of their biological role.

) with g:SCS multiple testing correction method applying a significance threshold of 0.01 (Raudvere et al., 2019).

Author contributions

All authors provided contributions to study conception and design, acquisition of data or analysis and interpretation of data, drafting the article or revising it critically for important intellectual content, and final approval of the version to be published.

Funding

This research was funded by the Research Council FORMAS, Stockholm (Project 2017-00946 and Project 2019-00288) and by the Grant RyC2020-028715-I, PID2019-108320RJ-I00, IJCI-2015-24380 and PID2022-136561OB-I00, funded by MCIN/AEI/10.13039/5011000110 33 (Spain) and FEDER funds (EU).

CRediT authorship contribution statement

Manuel Álvarez-Rodríguez: Formal analysis, Funding acquisition, Investigation, Methodology, Project administration, Resources, Supervision, Validation, Visualization, Writing – original draft, Conceptualization, Data curation. Cristina A. Martinez-Serrano: Software, Writing – original draft, Investigation. Jaume Gardela: Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Software, Writing – review & editing. Helena Nieto: Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Writing – review & editing. Eduardo de Mercado: Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Writing – review & editing. Heriberto Rodríguez-Martínez: Conceptualization, Funding acquisition, Supervision, Writing – review & editing.

Declaration of competing interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Data availability statement

The data presented in the study are deposited in the Harvard Dataverse public repository, accession number DVN/FJM7F4_2023 (link: https://doi.org/10.7910/DVN/FJM7F4).

Acknowledgments

The authors of this manuscript thank Annette Molbaek and Åsa Schippert, from the Genomics Core Facility at LiU for their assistance with microarrays. To Mateo Ruiz for their kind assistance with the graphical content. To QualiSperm (AKYmed, Cheseaux-sur-Lausanne, Switzerland) for assisting with computerized sperm motility and kinetics assessment.

References

- Alvarez-Rodriguez, M., Atikuzzaman, M., Venhoranta, H., Wright, D., Rodriguez-Martinez, H., 2019. Expression of immune regulatory genes in the porcine internal genital tract is differentially triggered by spermatozoa and seminal plasma. Int. J. Mol. Sci. 20, 1–20. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms20030513.
- Álvarez-Rodríguez, M., Martinez, C.A., Wright, D., Rodríguez-Martinez, H., 2020. The role of semen and seminal plasma in inducing large-scale genomic changes in the female porcine peri-ovulatory tract. Sci. Rep. 10, 5061. https://doi.org/10.1038/ s41598-020-60810-z.
- Alvarez-Rodriguez, M., Martinez, C., Wright, D., Barranco, I., Roca, J., Rodriguez-Martinez, H., 2020a. The transcriptome of pig spermatozoa, and its role in fertility. Int. J. Mol. Sci. 21 https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms21051572.
- Alvarez-Rodriguez, M., Martinez, C.A., Wright, D., Rodriguez-Martinez, H., 2020b. Does the act of copulation per se, without considering seminal deposition, change the expression of genes in the porcine female genital tract? Int. J. Mol. Sci. 21 https:// doi.org/10.3390/ijms21155477.
- Baltimore, D., Boldin, M.P., O'Connell, R.M., Rao, D.S., Taganov, K.D., 2008. MicroRNAs: new regulators of immune cell development and function. Nat. Immunol. 9, 839–845. https://doi.org/10.1038/ni.f.209.

- Barranco, I., Padilla, L., Martinez, C.A., Alvarez-Rodriguez, M., Parrilla, I., Lucas, X., Ferreira-Dias, G., Yeste, M., Rodriguez-Martinez, H., Roca, J., 2020. Seminal plasma modulates miRNA expression by sow genital tract lining explants. Biomolecules 10. https://doi.org/10.3390/biom10060933.
- Bravo-Parra, M., Bárcenas-Preciado, V., Reséndiz-Sandoval, M., Hernández, J., Mata-Haro, V., 2023. Downregulation of miR-671-5p promotes IL-10 mRNA increase in porcine moDCs stimulated with the probiotic BB12. Mol. Biol. Rep. 50, 919–925. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11033-022-08040-6.
- Bromfield, J.J., 2014. Seminal fluid and reproduction: much more than previously thought. J. Assist. Reprod. Genet. 31, 627–636. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10815-014-0243-y.
- Chen, C., Wu, H., Shen, D., Wang, S., Zhang, L., Wang, X., Gao, B., Wu, T., Li, B., Li, K., Song, C., 2017. Comparative profiling of small RNAs of pig seminal plasma and ejaculated and epididymal sperm. Reproduction (Cambridge, England) 153, 785–796. https://doi.org/10.1530/REP-17-0014.
- Cheng, S.-Q., He, J.-L., Dong, Y.-L., Liu, X.-Q., Ding, Y.-B., Gao, R.-F., Tan, Y., Ye, Q., Tian, Z.-L., Wang, Y.-X., 2009. Characterization of calreticulin expression in mouse endometrium during embryo implantation. Biol. Res. 42, 505–516.
- Chuang, A.Y., Chuang, J.C., Zhai, Z., Wu, F., Kwon, J.H., 2014. NOD2 expression is regulated by microRNAs in colonic epithelial HCT116 cells. Inflamm. Bowel Dis. 20, 126–135. https://doi.org/10.1097/01.MIB.0000436954.70596.9b.
- Filant, J., Spencer, T.E., 2014. Uterine glands: biological roles in conceptus implantation, uterine receptivity and decidualization. Int. J. Dev. Biol. 58, 107–116. https://doi. org/10.1387/ijdb.130344ts.
- Filipowicz, W., Bhattacharyya, S.N., Sonenberg, N., 2008. Mechanisms of posttranscriptional regulation by microRNAs: are the answers in sight? Nature reviews. Genetics 9, 102–114. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrg2290.
- Galán, A., Herrer, R., Remohí, J., Pellicer, A., Simón, C., 2000. Embryonic regulation of endometrial epithelial apoptosis during human implantation. Hum. Reprod. 15 (Suppl. 6), 74–80.
- García-López, J., del Mazo, J., 2012. Expression dynamics of microRNA biogenesis during preimplantation mouse development. Biochim. Biophys. Acta 1819, 847–854. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbagrm.2012.03.007.
- Gardela, J., Ruiz-Conca, M., Martinez, C.A., Wright, D., López-Béjar, M., Rodriguez-Martinez, H., Alvarez-Rodriguez, M., 2020. The expression of cold-inducible RNAbinding protein mRNA in sow genital tract is modulated by natural mating, but not by seminal plasma. Int. J. Mol. Sci. 21 https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms21155333.
- Gardela, J., Ruiz-Conca, M., Wright, D., López-Béjar, M., Martínez, C.A., Rodríguez-Martínez, H., Álvarez-Rodríguez, M., 2022. Semen modulates cell proliferation and differentiation-related transcripts in the pig Peri-ovulatory endometrium. Biology 11. https://doi.org/10.3390/biology11040616.
- Hausser, J., Syed, A.P., Bilen, B., Zavolan, M., 2013. Analysis of CDS-located miRNA target sites suggests that they can effectively inhibit translation. Genome Res. 23, 604–615. https://doi.org/10.1101/gr.139758.112.
- Heng, S., Paule, S., Hardman, B., Li, Y., Singh, H., Rainczuk, A., Stephens, A.N., Nie, G., 2010. Posttranslational activation of bone morphogenetic protein 2 is mediated by proprotein convertase 6 during decidualization for pregnancy establishment. Endocrinology 151, 3909–3917. https://doi.org/10.1210/en.2010-0326.
- Hua, R., Wang, Y., Lian, W., Li, W., Xi, Y., Xue, S., Kang, T., Lei, M., 2021. Small RNA-seq analysis of extracellular vesicles from porcine uterine flushing fluids during periimplantation. Gene 766, 145117. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gene.2020.145117.
- Ibrahim, L.A., Rizo, J.A., Fontes, P.L.P., Lamb, G.C., Bromfield, J.J., 2019. Seminal plasma modulates expression of endometrial inflammatory meditators in the bovine[†]. Biol. Reprod. 100, 660–671. https://doi.org/10.1093/biolre/ioy226.
- Kanehisa, M., Goto, S., 2000. KEGG: Kyoto encyclopedia of genes and genomes. Nucleic Acids Res. 28, 27–30. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/28.1.27.
- Li, Y., Li, R.-H., Ran, M.-X., Zhang, Y., Liang, K., Ren, Y.-N., He, W.-C., Zhang, M., Zhou, G.-B., Qazi, I.H., Zeng, C.-J., 2018a. High throughput small RNA and transcriptome sequencing reveal capacitation-related microRNAs and mRNA in boar sperm. BMC Genomics 19, 736. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12864-018-5132-9.
- Li, H., Ouyang, X.-P., Jiang, T., Zheng, X.-L., He, P.-P., Zhao, G.-J., 2018b. MicroRNA-296: a promising target in the pathogenesis of atherosclerosis? Mol. Med. 24, 12. https://doi.org/10.1186/s10020-018-0012-y.
- Li, R., Zhang, H., Zheng, X., 2018c. MiR-34c induces apoptosis and inhibits the viability of M4e cells by targeting BCL2. Oncol. Lett. 15, 3357–3361. https://doi.org/ 10.3892/ol.2017.7640.
- Liang, J., Wang, S., Wang, Z., 2017. Role of microRNAs in embryo implantation. Reprod. Biol. Endocrinol. 15, 90. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12958-017-0309-7.
- Liu, W.-M., Pang, R.T.K., Cheong, A.W.Y., Ng, E.H.Y., Lao, K., Lee, K.-F., Yeung, W.S.B., 2012. Involvement of microRNA lethal-7a in the regulation of embryo implantation in mice. PLoS One 7, e37039. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0037039.
- Liu, X., Zhang, L., Yang, L., Cui, J., Che, S., Liu, Y., Han, J., An, X., Cao, B., Song, Y., 2020. miR-34a/c induce caprine endometrial epithelial cell apoptosis by regulating circ-8073/CEP55 via the RAS/RAF/MEK/ERK and PI3K/AKT/mTOR pathways. J. Cell. Physiol. 235, 10051–10067. https://doi.org/10.1002/jcp.29821.
- Lungu, C.N., Mehedinti, M.C., 2023. Molecular motifs in vascular morphogenesis: vascular endothelial growth factor a (VEGFA) as the leading promoter of angiogenesis. Int. J. Mol. Sci. 24 https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms241512169.
- Ma, J., Flemr, M., Stein, P., Berninger, P., Malik, R., Zavolan, M., Svoboda, P., Schultz, R. M., 2010. MicroRNA activity is suppressed in mouse oocytes. Curr. Biol. 20, 265–270. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2009.12.042.
- Ma, L., Zhang, M., Cao, F., Han, J., Han, P., Wu, Y., Deng, R., Zhang, G., An, X., Zhang, L., Song, Y., Cao, B., 2022. Effect of MiR-100-5p on proliferation and apoptosis of goat endometrial stromal cell in vitro and embryo implantation in vivo. J. Cell. Mol. Med. 26, 2543–2556. https://doi.org/10.1111/jcmm.17226.

Martinez, C.A., Rubér, M., Rodriguez-Martinez, H., Alvarez-Rodriguez, M., 2020. Pig pregnancies after transfer of allogeneic embryos show a dysregulated endometrial/ placental cytokine balance: a novel clue for embryo death? Biomolecules 10. https:// doi.org/10.3390/biom10040554.

- Martinez, C.A., Roca, J., Alvarez-Rodriguez, M., Rodriguez-Martinez, H., 2022. miRNAprofiling in ejaculated and Epididymal pig spermatozoa and their relation to fertility after artificial insemination. Biology 11. https://doi.org/10.3390/biology11020236.
- Mi, H., Muruganujan, A., Casagrande, J.T., Thomas, P.D., 2013. Large-scale gene function analysis with the PANTHER classification system. Nat. Protoc. 8, 1551–1566. https://doi.org/10.1038/nprot.2013.092.
- Ng, Y.H., Rome, S., Jalabert, A., Forterre, A., Singh, H., Hincks, C.L., Salamonsen, L.A., 2013. Endometrial exosomes/microvesicles in the uterine microenvironment: a new paradigm for embryo-endometrial cross talk at implantation. PLoS One 8, e58502. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0058502.
- Nie, L., Zhao, Y.-B., Pan, J.-L., Lei, Y., Liu, M., Long, Y., Zhang, J.-H., Hu, Y., Xu, M.-Q., Yuan, D.-Z., Yue, L.-M., 2017. Progesterone-induced miR-152 inhibits the proliferation of endometrial epithelial cells by downregulating WNT-1. Reprod. Sci. 24, 1444–1453. https://doi.org/10.1177/1933719116689595.
- Nothnick, W.B., 2012. The role of micro-RNAs in the female reproductive tract. Reproduction (Cambridge, England) 143, 559–576. https://doi.org/10.1530/REP-11-0240.
- Nunes, S., Silva, I.B., Ampuero, M.R., de Noronha, A.L.L., de Souza, L.C.L., Correia, T.C., Khouri, R., Boaventura, V.S., Barral, A., Ramos, P.I.P., Brodskyn, C., Oliveira, P.R.S., Tavares, N.M., 2018. Integrated analysis reveals that miR-193b, miR-671, and TREM-1 correlate with a good response to treatment of human localized cutaneous Leishmaniasis caused by Leishmania braziliensis. Front. Immunol. 9, 640. https:// doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2018.00640.
- Peng, W., Liu, Y.-N., Zhu, S.-Q., Li, W.-Q., Guo, F.-C., 2018. The correlation of circulating pro-angiogenic miRNAs' expressions with disease risk, clinicopathological features, and survival profiles in gastric cancer. Cancer Med. 7, 3773–3791. https://doi.org/ 10.1002/cam4.1618.
- Pritchard, C.C., Cheng, H.H., Tewari, M., 2012. MicroRNA profiling: approaches and considerations. Nat. Rev. Genet. 13, 358–369. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrg3198.
- Raudvere, U., Kolberg, L., Kuzmin, I., Arak, T., Adler, P., Peterson, H., Vilo, J., 2019. G: profiler: a web server for functional enrichment analysis and conversions of gene lists (2019 update). Nucleic Acids Res. 47, W191–W198. https://doi.org/10.1093/ nar/gkz369.
- Robertson, S.A., Mau, V.J., Hudson, S.N., Tremellen, K.P., 1997. Cytokine-leukocyte networks and the establishment of pregnancy. Am. J. Reprod. Immunol. 37, 438–442. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0897.1997.tb00257.x.
- Robertson, S.A., Redman, C.W., Mccracken, S.A., Hunt, J.S., Dimitriadis, E., Moffett-King, A., Chamley, L., 2003. Immune modulators of implantation and placental development—a workshop report. Placenta. https://doi.org/10.1053/ plac.2002.0937.
- Robertson, S.A., Zhang, B., Chan, H., Sharkey, D.J., Barry, S.C., Fullston, T., Schjenken, J. E., 2017. MicroRNA regulation of immune events at conception. Mol. Reprod. Dev. 84, 914–925. https://doi.org/10.1002/mrd.22823.
- Roca, J., Parrilla, I., Bolarin, A., Martinez, E.A., Rodriguez-Martinez, H., 2016. Will AI in pigs become more efficient? Theriogenology 86, 187–193. https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.theriogenology.2015.11.026.
- Rodriguez-Martinez, H., Nicander, L., Viring, S., Einarsson, S., Larsson, K., 1990. Ultrastructure of the uterotubal junction in preovulatory pigs. Anat. Histol. Embryol. 19, 16–36. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1439-0264.1990.tb00875.x.
- Rodríguez-Martínez, H., Saravia, F., Wallgren, M., Tienthai, P., Johannisson, A., Vázquez, J.M., Martínez, E., Roca, J., Sanz, L., Calvete, J.J., 2005. Boar Spermatozoa in the Oviduct. In: Theriogenology. Elsevier Inc., pp. 514–535. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.theriogenology.2004.09.028

- Rodríguez-Martínez, H., Kvist, U., Saravia, F., Wallgren, M., Johannisson, A., Sanz, L., Peña, F.J., Martínez, E.A., Roca, J., Vázquez, J.M., Calvete, J.J., 2009. The physiological roles of the boar ejaculate. Soc. Reprod. Fertil. Suppl. 66, 1–21.
- Rodriguez-Martinez, H., Kvist, U., Ernerudh, J., Sanz, L., Calvete, J.J., 2011. Seminal plasma proteins: what role do they play? Am. J. Reprod. Immunol. 66 (Suppl. 1), 11–22. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0897.2011.01033.x.
- Rodriguez-Martinez, H., Martinez, E.A., Calvete, J.J., Peña Vega, F.J., Roca, J., 2021. Seminal plasma: relevant for fertility? Int. J. Mol. Sci. 22, 4368. https://doi.org/ 10.3390/ijms22094368.
- Ruiz-Conca, M., Gardela, J., Martínez, C.A., Wright, D., López-Bejar, M., Rodríguez-Martínez, H., Álvarez-Rodríguez, M., 2020. Natural mating differentially triggers expression of glucocorticoid receptor (NR3C1)-related genes in the Preovulatory porcine female reproductive tract. Int. J. Mol. Sci. 21 https://doi.org/10.3390/ ijms21124437.
- Saint-Dizier, M., Schoen, J., Chen, S., Banliat, C., Mermillod, P., 2019. Composing the early embryonic microenvironment: physiology and regulation of Oviductal secretions. Int. J. Mol. Sci. 21 https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms21010223.
- Salas-Huetos, A., James, E.R., Aston, K.I., Jenkins, T.G., Carrell, D.T., Yeste, M., 2019. The expression of miRNAs in human ovaries, oocytes, extracellular vesicles, and early embryos: a systematic review. Cells 8. https://doi.org/10.3390/cells8121564.
- Schjenken, J.E., Zhang, B., Chan, H.Y., Sharkey, D.J., Fullston, T., Robertson, S.A., 2016. miRNA regulation of immune tolerance in early pregnancy. Am. J. Reprod. Immunol. 75, 272–280. https://doi.org/10.1111/aji.12490.
- Sharkey, D.J., Macpherson, A.M., Tremellen, K.P., Mottershead, D.G., Gilchrist, R.B., Robertson, S.A., 2012. TGF-β mediates Proinflammatory seminal fluid signaling in human cervical epithelial cells. J. Immunol. 189, 1024–1035. https://doi.org/ 10.4049/iimmunol.1200005.
- Su, L., Liu, R., Cheng, W., Zhu, M., Li, X., Zhao, S., Yu, M., 2014. Expression patterns of microRNAs in porcine endometrium and their potential roles in embryo implantation and placentation. PLoS One 9, e87867. https://doi.org/10.1371/ journal.pone.0087867.
- Valadi, H., Ekström, K., Bossios, A., Sjöstrand, M., Lee, J.J., Lötvall, J.O., 2007. Exosomemediated transfer of mRNAs and microRNAs is a novel mechanism of genetic exchange between cells. Nat. Cell Biol. 9, 654–659. https://doi.org/10.1038/ ncb1596.
- Vasudevan, S., Tong, Y., Steitz, J.A., 2007. Switching from repression to activation: microRNAs can up-regulate translation. Science (New York, N.Y.) 318, 1931–1934. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1149460.
- Vilella, F., Moreno-Moya, J.M., Balaguer, N., Grasso, A., Herrero, M., Martínez, S., Marcilla, A., Simón, C., 2015. Hsa-miR-30d, secreted by the human endometrium, is taken up by the pre-implantation embryo and might modify its transcriptome. Development 142, 3210–3221. https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.124289.
- Wang, S., Zhou, H., Wu, D., Ni, H., Chen, Z., Chen, C., Xiang, Y., Dai, K., Chen, X., Li, X., 2019. MicroRNA let-7a regulates angiogenesis by targeting TGFBR3 mRNA. J. Cell. Mol. Med. 23, 556–567. https://doi.org/10.1111/jcmm.13960.
- Würdinger, T., Tannous, B.A., Saydam, O., Skog, J., Grau, S., Soutschek, J., Weissleder, R., Breakefield, X.O., Krichevsky, A.M., 2008. miR-296 regulates growth factor receptor overexpression in angiogenic endothelial cells. Cancer Cell 14, 382–393. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ccr.2008.10.005.
- 382–393. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ccr.2008.10.005.Yan, N., Wen, L., Peng, R., Li, H., Liu, H., Peng, H., Sun, Yan, Wu, T., Chen, L., Duan, Q., Sun, Yixuan, Zhou, Q., Wei, L., Zhang, Z., 2016. Naringenin ameliorated kidney injury through let-7a/TGFBRI signaling in diabetic nephropathy. J. Diabetes Res. 2016, 8738760. https://doi.org/10.1155/2016/8738760.
- Yuan, D.-Z., Lei, Y., Zhao, D., Pan, J.-L., Zhao, Y.-B., Nie, L., Liu, M., Long, Y., Zhang, J.-H., Yue, L.-M., 2019. Progesterone-induced miR-145/miR-143 inhibits the proliferation of endometrial epithelial cells. Reprod. Sci. 26, 233–243. https://doi. org/10.1177/1933719118768687.