Guideline to support FAIR data assessment for data management good practices

This guide is created with the aim of assisting the assessment of FAIR data and data management good practices, and to understand the indicators scope of the "Data FAIR Assessment Framework for Data Management Goof Practices Template". This Framework is a manual tool that helps you to assess the FAIRness of research datasets and how they should be managed in a data system or data repository, regardless of the open access conditions of the data.

The usefulness of this tool is that integrates both the FAIR principles, and the data management practices recommended by the European Commission (EC, 2022).

Administrative information (Findability)

ID (datasets have a unique identification number)

F1. General information about what and how datasets are collected or generated and its identification & organization for its findability.

Funder (if applicable) + project name + project nº described (data precedence)

F2. Have a good data description (e.g. metadata DC: title, creator, subject, description, publisher, contributor, date, type, format, identifier (PIDs), source, language, relation, coverage and rights)

<u>Context: dataset is described in its context? (Briefly summarize the type of study/studies to help others to understand the data)</u>

- F2. Have a good data description (e.g. metadata DC: title, creator, subject, description, publisher, contributor, date, type, format, identifier (PIDs), source, language, relation, coverage and rights)
- F5. Documentation: is data well documented for its reproducibility and reuse? What documentation and metadata will accompany the data?
- R3. Are the data well documented (readme files, data dictionaries...)? Authors/Researchers identified + ORCID
- F2. Have a good data description (e.g. metadata DC: title, creator, subject, description, publisher, contributor, date, type, format, identifier (PIDs), source, language, relation, coverage and rights)

Datasets Version (first, last)

F2. Have a good data description (e.g. metadata DC: title, creator, subject, description, publisher, contributor, date, type, format, identifier (PIDs), source, language, relation, coverage and rights)

<u>Data collection Policies (existing procedures, quidelines, etc.)</u>

- F1. General information about what and how datasets are collected or generated and its identification & organization for its findability.
- F5. Documentation: is data well documented for its reproducibility and reuse? What documentation and metadata will accompany the data?

Data Collection: which data is colleted and how?

Data Type (experimental, observational, simulation, derived/compiled data)

- F1. General information about what and how datasets are collected or generated and its identification & organization for its findability.
- F2. Have a good data description (e.g. metadata DC: title, creator, subject, description, publisher, contributor, date, type, format, identifier (PIDs), source, language, relation, coverage and rights)
- R3. Are the data well documented (readme files, data dictionaries...)? Standard formats use (.xml, .xls, .sql,)
- F3. Use of standard & open formats (e.g. .CSV instead of .xls)
- I1. Are the data produced in the project interoperable, that is allowing data exchange and re-use between researchers, institutions, organizations, countries, etc. (i.e. adhering to standards for formats) facilitating re-combinations with different datasets from different origins)?

Data volume (size)

F4. Data volume (size determine the storage system)

Software (if applicable)

- F2. Have a good data description (e.g. metadata DC: title, creator, subject, description, publisher, contributor, date, type, format, identifier (PIDs), source, language, relation, coverage and rights)
- F5. Documentation: is data well documented for its reproducibility and reuse? What documentation and metadata will accompany the data?

Open Software

- F3. Use of standard & open formats (e.g. .CSV instead of .xls)
- I1. Are the data produced in the project interoperable, that is allowing data exchange and re-use between researchers, institutions, organizations, countries, etc. (i.e. adhering to standards for formats) facilitating re-combinations with different datasets from different origins)?

<u>Software documentation</u>

- F5. Documentation: is data well documented for its reproducibility and reuse? What documentation and metadata will accompany the data?
- R3. Are the data well documented (readme files, data dictionaries...)?

Data description (including any existing data or third parties)

- F2. Have a good data description (e.g. metadata DC: title, creator, subject, description, publisher, contributor, date, type, format, identifier (PIDs), source, language, relation, coverage and rights)
- R1. Are (Meta) data (richly described? (use of community standards)?
- R3. Are the data well documented (readme files, data dictionaries...)?

Standards or Methodologies for data collection described? Or other quality procedures?

- F1. General information about what and how datasets are collected or generated and its identification & organization for its findability.
- F2. Have a good data description (e.g. metadata DC: title, creator, subject, description, publisher, contributor, date, type, format, identifier (PIDs), source, language, relation, coverage and rights).

Data location described (structure, naming conventions, folders, servers, repositories)

- F1. General information about what and how datasets are collected or generated and its identification & organization for its findability.
- A4. How will the data be stored and backed up during the research?

Data Access

Access Conditions Specified?

- A1. How will you manage access and security?
- A7. How will you share the data?
- R4. When will the data be made available for re-use? If an embargo is sought to give time to publish or seek patents, specify why and how long this will apply, bearing in mind that research data should be made available as soon as possible.

Open Data Access?

- A5. Which data should be retained, shared, and/or preserved?
- A6. What is the long-term preservation plan for the dataset?
- A7. How will you share the data?
- R4. When will the data be made available for re-use? If an embargo is sought to give time to publish or seek patents, specify why and how long this will apply, bearing in mind that research data should be made available as soon as possible.

Data restrictions Access defined?

- A2. How will you manage ethical issues?
- A3. How will you manage copyright and Intellectual Property Rights (IPR) issues?
- A8. Are any restrictions on data sharing required?

Are mentioned software tools needed to data access?

- A4. How will the data be stored and backed up during the research?
- A7. How will you share the data?

Is proprietary software described?

- F3. Use of standard & open formats (e.g. .CSV instead of .xls)
- F2. Have a good data description (e.g. metadata DC: title, creator, subject, description, publisher, contributor, date, type, format, identifier (PIDs), source, language, relation, coverage and rights)

Storage System defined?

- F4. Data volume (size determine the storage system)
- A4. How will the data be stored and backed up during the research?
- A5. Which data should be retained, shared, and/or preserved?

<u>Does it specify where data and associated metadata and documentation or code are deposited?</u>

- F1. General information about what and how datasets are collected or generated and its identification & organization for its findability.
- A7. How will you share the data?
- A4. How will the data be stored and backed up during the research?

Data Interoperability

Use of Open Formats

- F3. Use of standard & open formats (e.g. .CSV instead of .xls)
- I1. Are the data produced in the project interoperable, that is allowing data exchange and re-use between researchers, institutions, organizations, countries, etc. (i.e. adhering to standards for formats) facilitating re-combinations with different datasets from different origins)?

Use of standard metadata, vocabularies, or protocols for data description

- I2. What data and metadata vocabularies, standards or methodologies will you follow to make your data interoperable?
- 13. Will you be using standard vocabularies for all data types present in your data set, to allow inter-disciplinary interoperability?
- R1. Are (Meta) data (richly described? (use of community standards)?

 <u>In case of lack of standards, information about metadata or data description is known</u>
- 14. In case it is unavoidable that you use uncommon or generate project specific ontologies or vocabularies, will you provide mappings to more commonly used ontologies?

Data Reusability

Reusability defined?

- A2. How will you manage ethical issues?
- A3. How will you manage copyright and Intellectual Property Rights (IPR) issues?
- A8. Are any restrictions on data sharing required?
- R5. Are the data produced and/or used in the project useable by third parties, after the end of the project? If the re-use of some data is restricted, explain why.

 Open License?
- R2. Are (Meta)data released with a clear and accessible data usage license? Documentation available (readme, data dictionaries)
- F5. Documentation: is data well documented for its reproducibility and reuse? What documentation and metadata will accompany the data?
- R3. Are the data well documented (readme files, data dictionaries...)? <u>Data Preservation protocols defined (time, place, and responsibility)</u>
- A4. How will the data be stored and backed up during the research?
- A5. Which data should be retained, shared, and/or preserved?
- A6. What is the long-term preservation plan for the dataset?
- R6. Do you have a data preservation policy? How will the data be stored after the end of the project (data sustainability)? How long can the data be (re)used?

Bibliographic references

- Checklist for a Data Management Plan (2013, August 2024). Retrieved from https://www.dcc.ac.uk/guidance/how-guides/data-management-plans
- Comission, E. (2022). Template for a Data Management Plan from the EU Funding and Tenders Retrieved from https://cohortcoordinationboard.eu/toolkit/horizon-europe-data-management-plan-template/
- Rocca-Serra, P., Gu, W., Ioannidis, V., Abbassi-Daloii, T., Capella-Gutierrez, S., Chandramouliswaran, I., . . . the, F. C. C. (2023). The FAIR Cookbook the essential resource for and by FAIR doers. *Scientific Data, 10*(1), 292. doi:10.1038/s41597-023-02166-3
- Wilkinson, M. D., Dumontier, M., Aalbersberg, I. J., Appleton, G., Axton, M., Baak, A., Mons, B. (2016). The FAIR Guiding Principles for scientific data management and stewardship. *Scientific Data*, *3*(1), 160018. doi:10.1038/sdata.2016.18