

Fat metabolism is regulated by altered gene expression of lipogenic enzymes and regulatory factors in liver and adipose tissue but not in *semimembranosus* muscle of pigs during the fattening period

P. Duran-Montgé¹, P. K. Theil², C. Lauridsen² and E. Esteve-Garcia^{3†}

¹CENTA, IRTA Building A – Finca Camps i Armet E-17121 Monells (Girona), Spain; ²Department of Animal Health, Welfare, and Nutrition, University of Aarhus, Faculty of Agricultural Sciences, Research Centre Foulum, Tjele, Denmark; ³IRTA. Mas de Bover, Ctra. de Reus-El Morell km. 3, 8 E-43120 Constantí (Tarragona), Spain

(Received 19 December 2007; Accepted 28 May 2009; First published online 10 July 2009)

It has been shown previously that lipid metabolism is regulated by fatty acids (FA) and that thyroid hormones are important regulators of energy metabolism. The effects of weight, dietary fat level and dietary FA profile on thyroid hormone levels and expression of lipogenic genes and tissue FA composition were studied. Sixty-one crossbred gilts weighing 62 ± 5.2 kg BW average were either slaughtered at the beginning of the trial (n = 5) or fed one of seven diets (n = 8 pigs per diet): a semisynthetic diet formulated to contain a very low level of fat (NF) and six diets based on barley-soybean meal supplemented with approximately 10% fat of different origin and slaughtered at 100 kg BW. The supplemental fats were tallow, high-oleic sunflower oil, sunflower oil (SFO), linseed oil, fat blend (55% tallow, 35% sunflower oil, 10% linseed oil) and fish oil blend (40% fish oil, 60% linseed oil). In general, the dietary FA profiles altered the FA composition of liver, semimembranosus muscle and adipose tissues. Pigs fed the NF diet had the highest free and total triiodothyronine (T3) values followed by pigs fed SFO. Total T3 levels were higher in pigs at 60 kg than in pigs at 100 kg. Correlations between thyroid hormones and genes encoding enzymes of fat synthesis in adipose tissue (acetyl CoA carboxylase (ACACA), fatty acid synthase and stearoyl CoA desaturase (SCD)) and the large differences in expression of lipogenic genes at different weights (60 and 100 kg BW), suggest a role for thyroid hormones and for T3, in particular, in regulating whole animal fat metabolism, with effects brought about by altered expression of lipogenic genes. Liver sterol receptor element binding protein-1 (SREBP1) mRNA content was affected by dietary treatment (P < 0.001) and was correlated with ACACA and SCD, whereas adipose tissue SREBP1 was not correlated with the mRNA abundance of any lipogenic enzyme. Weight and tissue factors showed greater influence on mRNA abundance of genes related with lipid metabolism than diet and tissue FA composition. In the pig, FA synthesis appear to be of greater magnitude in adipose tissue than in the liver as suggested by the higher expression of lipogenic genes in adipose tissue.

Keywords: pig, fatty acid composition, gene expression, lipogenesis, thyroid hormones

Introduction

Factors affecting pig lipid metabolism include diet and feeding regimen (Mersmann *et al.*, 1981), sex (Mersmann, 1984), age (Scott *et al.*, 1981) and breed (Mersmann *et al.*, 1984). The main sites for fat synthesis in animals are liver and adipose tissue, but important species differences exist. In rodents and birds, liver is the main organ for fatty acids (FA) synthesis, but in the pig, *de novo* fat synthesis largely

occurs in the adipose tissues (O'Hea and Leveille, 1969; Bergen and Mersmann, 2005).

Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptors (PPARs) belong to the steroid hormone nuclear superfamily of ligand-activated transcription factors. Several isoforms of PPAR have been identified and their functions established. For example, PPAR α is implicated in regulating genes involved in lipid oxidation. Natural ligands for PPAR α include the n-3 and n-6 FA. Sterol regulatory element binding proteins (SREBPs) belong to the helix-loop-helix family of transcription factors. Several isoforms have been

⁺ E-mail: enric.esteve@irta.es

identified and SREBP-1c and SREBP-1a are important for the regulation of genes involved in lipid synthesis. Evidence from studies conducted *in vitro* (Worgall *et al.*, 1998) and *in vivo* (Xu *et al.*, 1999) show that polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFA) have a role in inhibiting the maturation of SREBP at the post-translational level, thereby repressing lipogenic gene expression.

Interactions between diets and the genome are effected both via hormones and, directly, by diet components. For example, it is known that thyroid hormones and insulin both regulate lipogenesis but insulin may be less important in pigs than in other species (O'Hea *et al.*, 1970). Thyroid hormones are important regulators of energy metabolism, and several mechanisms are involved in this activity, one of them is to promote the expression of some lipogenic genes and their encoded enzymes as shown in rat liver (Roncari and Murthy, 1975; Dozin *et al.*, 1986). Dietary fat inhibits the triiodothyronine (T3) promotion of rat liver lipogenic enzyme expression (Clarke and Hembree, 1990) while PUFA increase total T3 levels compared with those in animals fed animal fat (Takeuchi *et al.*, 1995; G. Ferrini *et al.*, unpublished data).

The current experiment was conducted to investigate the relationships between animal weight, tissues identity, dietary FA composition and level, thyroid hormones and the expression of genes involved in lipid metabolism.

 Table 1 Diet composition and nutrient content

Material and methods

Animals and diets

Sixty-one crossbred female pigs (Duroc $\Im \times \text{Landrace } \Im$) were fed a barley-corn-soybean meal-based diet during a 4-week pre-experimental period. Five pigs were slaughtered at the beginning of the trial (null animals), whereas 56 gilts $(61.8 \pm 5.2 \text{ kg BW})$ were randomly selected and assigned to one of seven dietary treatments (eight animals per treatment). Treatments were assigned randomly by animal weight and litter. The experiment comprised three periods with three, three and two pigs per treatment, respectively. Pigs had access to feed and water ad libitum and individual feed consumption was measured. Pigs were slaughtered at an average BW of 99.8 \pm 8.5 kg. Samples from liver, neck backfat (adipose tissue) and semimembranosus tissues were taken after slaughter and immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen and then stored at -75° C until analysis. Experimental procedures were approved by IRTA's (Institut de Recerca i Tecnologia Agroalimentàries) ethical committee.

Seven diets were formulated to meet the National Research Council (NRC) (1998) requirements for energy (Table 1). Six fat-supplemented diets (containing 10% to 11% fat in total on a fresh weight basis) were based on barley and soybean meal. The remaining diet was a semi-synthetic diet, formulated to contain zero fat, in order to

NF T HOSF SFO LO FB	F0
Diet formulation (%)	62.7
	627
Barley 62.5 64.2 63.7 64.1 63.8	03.7
Soybean meal 44% 24.1 23.7 23.8 23.7 23.8	23.8
Wheat starch 70.0	
Soybean protein isolated 14.0	
Sugar beet pulp 10.1	
Molasses 4.0	
Tallow 10.97 5.45	
High-oleic sunflower oil 9.58	
Sunflower oil 9.97 3.47	
Linseed oil 9.68 0.99	5.80
Fish oil	3.87
L-lysine HCl 0.01 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.02	0.02
DL-methionine 0.04	
Dicalcium phosphate 0.2 0.28 0.27 0.27 0.27 0.27	0.27
Calcium carbonate 1.08 1.61 1.61 1.61 1.61 1.61 1.61	1.91
Sodium chloride 0.15 0.22 0.22 0.22 0.22 0.22	0.22
Mineral/vitamin complex ⁺ 0.40 0.40 0.40 0.40 0.40 0.40 0.40	0.40
Nutrient and energy content	
Dry matter (%) 98.0 98.0 98.1 97.9 97.6 97.9	97.9
GE (kcal/kg) 3.82 4.47 4.50 4.54 4.46 4.54	4.46
Crude protein (%) 14.1 15.6 15.1 15.5 15.9 15.8	16.1
Crude fat (%) 0.32 12.7 12.6 13.4 11.6 11.6	11.5
Ash (%) 2.93 5.03 4.83 4.82 5.09 5.11	5.16

NF = no fat; T = tallow; HOSF = high-oleic sunflower oil; SFO = sunflower oil; LO = linseed oil; FB = fat blend (55% tallow, 35% sunflower oil, 15% linseed oil); FO = fish oil blend (40% fish oil, 60% linseed oil); GE = gross energy.

[†]One kg of feed contains: vitamin A: 5000 IU; vitamin D₃: 1000 IU; vitamin E: 15 mg; vitamin B₁: 1.3 mg; vitamin B₂: 3.5 mg; vitamin B₁₂: 0.025 mg; vitamin B₆: 1.5 mg; calcium pantothenate: 10 mg; nicotinic acid: 15 mg; biotin: 0.1 mg; folic acid: 0.6 mg; vitamin K₃: 2 mg; Fe: 80 mg; Cu: 6 mg; Co: 0.75 mg; Zn: 60 mg; Mn: 30 mg; I: 0.75 mg; Se: 0.10 mg; ethoxiquin: 0.15 mg.

eliminate even the lower levels of fat that unavoidably exist in normal unsupplemented diets. Different fat sources were used to obtain different FA composition of the diets: tallow (T), high-oleic sunflower oil (HOSF), sunflower oil (SFO), linseed oil (LO), fat blend (55% tallow, 35% sunflower oil, 10% linseed oil) (FB) and a fish-oil blend (40% fish oil, 60% linseed oil) (FO). Diets T, HOSF, SFO and LO were formulated to contain high levels of saturated fatty acids (SFA), oleic acid, linoleic acid (an n-6 FA) and linolenic acid (an n-3 FA) respectively. Diet FB was designed to contain similar levels of each of the main FA (palmitic, stearic, oleic, linoleic and linolenic) while FO was designed to have a high content of long-chain PUFAs. Oils and fats were for food or feed quality grade. The fat-supplemented diets were formulated in order to supply equal amounts of digestible fat (as calculated by Duran-Montgé et al. (2007)).

Composition of diets and tissues, and analyses of blood thyroid hormones

The determination of diet contents of dry matter (DM), crude protein, gross energy and fat were as described by Duran-Montgé *et al.* (2009). Tissue lipids were extracted by the Folch method (Folch *et al.*, 1957), transmethylated with BF_3 and methanolic KOH (Morrison and Smith, 1964) and FA contents determined using an automated GC (HP 6890; Hewlett Packard, Santa Clara, CA, USA) equipped with an automatic injector, using C19:0 as internal standard. Analysis of thyroid hormones was performed with Radio Immunoassay kits Coat-A-count total t3, Coat-A-count total t4, Coat-A-count free t4 from DPC Los Angeles, CA, USA.

mRNA abundance

The mRNA extraction and purification procedures, probes and details of primer/probe design and real-time reverse transcriptase (RT)-PCR conditions were as described by Duran-Montgé *et al.* (2009). The primers/probe design of stearoyl CoA desaturase (SCD) did not allow discrimination between SCD1 and SCD2 (transcription reported in rats and mice), the design of SREBP1 did not discriminate between isoform 1a and 1c, and the design of acetyl CoA carboxylase (ACACA) did not discriminate between isoforms 1 to 4 reported for humans. *HPRT1* was used as endogenous control (housekeeping gene) and within a tissue, the housekeeping gene (*HPRT1*) was not affected by treatment (liver P = 0.59, adipose tissue P = 0.88, muscle P = 0.48). The housekeeping gene was considered suitable for the study of the different studied tissues.

The quantity of each mRNA was obtained as Ct values (the cycle number at which logarithmic plots cross a calculated threshold line) according to the manufacturer's guidelines, and used to determine Δ Ct values (Δ Ct = Ct of the target gene – Ct of the identity gene). The Δ Ct data of each target gene was analyzed separately for each tissue using the MIXED procedure of SAS (Littell *et al.*, 1996). Data was expressed relative to that obtained for the low-level fat (NF) diet by calculating Δ \DeltaCt values (Δ Ct of treatment – Δ Ct of NF diet) and converting results into expression levels according to Pfaffl (2001) by taking into account the PCR efficiencies. The following statistical model was applied separately for each tissue:

$$X_{ijk} = \mu + \alpha_i + \beta_j + \chi_{ij} + U_{jk} + \varepsilon_{ijk},$$

where *X* is the observation (e.g. Δ Ct values) in the *j*th period from the *k*th litter, μ is the overall mean, α_i is a fixed treatment effect, β_j is a fixed effect of period, χ_{ij} is the interaction of treatment \times period, U_{jk} is the sow \times period random effect and ε_{ijk} the residual errors. Because there was no significant treatment \times period interaction for any variable, only main effects are reported.

The model for comparisons of animals at different weights was:

$$Y_{jkl} = \mu + \delta_l + U_{jk} + \varepsilon_{jkl},$$

where *Y* is the observations (e.g. Δ Ct values) at I = 60 or 100 kg live weight, μ is the overall mean, U_{jk} is the sow \times period random effect and ε are the residual errors. This model was used in order to study the developmental changes in fat metabolism from 60 to 100 kg BW.

The model for comparisons between tissues was:

$$Z_{ijklm} = \mu + \gamma_m + \alpha_i + \beta_j + \lambda + U_{jk} + \varepsilon_{ijklm},$$

where Z is the observations (e.g. Δ Ct values) in the *i*th treatment, *j*th period from the *k*th litter, μ is the overall mean, $\gamma_{\rm m}$ is a fixed tissue effect, α_i is a fixed treatment effect, β_j is a fixed effect of period, λ is log10 of the RNA sample content, U_{jk} is the sow \times period random effect and ε are the residual errors. A treatment \times period interaction was initially included in the model but it was found not to be significant for any of the variables, and therefore was removed from the model.

Significance levels for correlation coefficient were those of Fisher (1925).

Results

Diet fatty acid contents

The NF diet had a low content of all FAs when compared with the fat-supplemented diets. The diet T had a high content of SFA (mostly palmitic and stearic acid). Diets HOSF, SFO and LO were rich in oleic, linoleic and linolenic acid respectively. Diet FB had similar contents of palmitic, stearic, linoleic and linolenic acids while diet FO was rich in long-chain PUFA. (Table 2).

Tissue fatty acid content

The FA (n-3, n-6, SFA, MUFA and PUFA) contents of target tissues (liver, adipose tissue and muscle) are presented in Table 3. Liver linoleic acid and all n-6 FA (18:3n-6, 20:2n-6, 20:4n-6 and 22:4n-6 FA) were high in pigs fed diet SFO relative to those fed the other diets. Linolenic acid was high in pigs fed diet LO while eicosapentaenoic acid (EPA) and docosahexaenoic acid (DHA) were high in pigs fed FO.

Table 2 Fatty acid content of experimental diets (mg/g)

	NF	Т	HOSF	SFO	LO	FB	FO
C14:0	ND	3.34	0.12	0.13	0.09	1.72	1.53
C14:1	ND	0.59	ND	ND	ND	0.24	0.02
C16:0	0.55	28.7	7.87	11.2	8.21	20.0	12.9
C16:1n-7	ND	2.37	0.19	0.11	0.08	1.35	1.81
C18:0	0.15	22.8	4.25	5.56	3.64	12.8	4.07
C18:1n-9 trans	0.06	0.23	0.10	0.27	0.06	0.27	0.10
C18:1n-7	ND	3.03	ND	0.22	0.06	1.05	ND
C18:1n-9 <i>cis</i>	0.8	34.8	86.8	32.5	20.7	29.5	19.0
C18:1n-11	0.05	1.23	0.86	0.82	0.78	1.20	1.44
C18:2n-6	2.0	14.20	21.5	73.3	26.2	26.0	21.5
C18:3n-3	0.26	1.90	1.29	1.26	47.1	18.9	31.2
C20:0	ND	0.13	0.40	0.43	0.19	0.24	0.26
C22:0	ND	0.07	1.15	1.02	0.20	0.23	0.24
C20:5	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	0.02	3.88
C22:5	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	0.83
C22:6	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	0.04	12.3
C24:0	ND	0.03	0.42	0.31	0.15	0.05	0.13
Sum of FA	4.0	117	126	128	108	115	115
SFA	0.6	57.2	14.3	18.8	12.6	36.0	20.0
MUFA	0.7	36.2	87.4	33.2	21.1	30.5	20.5
PUFA	1.6	16.1	22.8	74.5	73.4	45.2	70.8
PUFA/SFA	2.7	0.3	1.6	4.0	5.8	1.3	3.5
n-6 FA	1.4	14.2	21.5	73.3	26.2	26.1	22.5
n-3 FA	0.2	1.9	1.3	1.3	47.2	19.0	48.3
n-6/n-3 ratio	7.0	7.5	16.5	56.4	0.60	1.4	0.5

NF = no fat; T = tallow; HOSF = high-oleic sunflower oil; SFO = sunflower oil; LO = linseed oil; FB = fat blend (55% tallow, 35% sunflower oil, 15% linseed oil); FO = fish oil blend (40% fish oil, 60% linseed oil); FA = fatty acids; SFA = saturated FA; MUFA = monounsaturated FA; PUFA = polyunsaturated FA; ND = not detected.

The liver n-6/n-3 ratio ranged from 15.2 in pigs fed diet SFO to 0.90 in pigs fed FO. Liver SFA content was unaffected by diet but monounsaturated fatty acids (MUFA) content was high in HOSF while PUFA content was high in FO, SFO and LO but low in pigs fed NF.

Adipose tissue n-6 FA contents were high in pigs fed SFO. The proportion of linolenic acid was high in pigs fed LO while EPA and DHA were high in pigs fed FO. The n-6/n-3 ratio in adipose tissue showed similar patterns to those in liver. However, the proportion of SFA was high in pigs fed NF but low in pigs fed HOSF. The proportion of MUFA was high in pigs fed NF, T and HOSF; and the PUFA were high in pigs fed SFO and low in pigs fed NF.

Muscle n-6 FA content was high in pigs fed diet SFO relative to those fed the other diets, linolenic acid was high in LO-fed animals, and EPA and DHA high in FO-fed animals. Furthermore, the n-6/n-3 ratio was high in SFO and low in LO- and FO-fed animals. No differences were observed in SFA and MUFA content in the muscle whereas PUFAs were higher in SFO-fed animals.

Gene expression related to treatments in different tissues Diet affected the levels of mRNA for ACACA, SREBP1 and SCD in the liver (Figure 1) and those for fatty acid synthase (FASN), PPAR α and delta-6-desaturase (D6D) in adipose tissue (Figure 2), but not in muscle (Figure 3). In adipose tissue, FASN mRNA abundance was correlated with those of ACACA (r = 0.84, P < 0.05) and SCD (r = 0.95, P < 0.05). A significant correlation was also observed between the mRNA abundance of PPAR α and D6D (r = 0.83, P < 0.05).

A comparison between tissues (Table 4) showed that FASN (P < 0.001), ACACA (P < 0.001), SCD (P < 0.0001) and SREBP1 (P = 0.0059) were more expressed in adipose tissue than in *semimembranosus* muscle and liver, while PPAR α and D6D were more expressed in liver than in the other tissues (P < 0.001).

Gene expression at different weights

In animals of 100 kg BW, the liver gene expression of target genes was higher for ACACA (P < 0.001), SREBP1 (P < 0.01), D6D (P < 0.01) and PPAR α (P < 0.001), whereas SCD and FASN mRNA abundances were comparable (P > 0.05) to those at 60 kg (Table 5). In adipose tissue, ACACA (P < 0.001), FASN (P < 0.001) and SCD (P > 0.05) mRNA abundance was lower in pigs of 100 kg BW and not different for SREBP1, PPAR α and D6D (P > 0.05). Similarly, transcriptions of ACACA (P < 0.01), FASN (P < 0.01), FASN (P < 0.001) and SCD (P > 0.05) muscle tissue were lower in 100 kg BW pigs, but also D6D (P = 0.054) and SREBP1 (P = 0.071) tended to be lower. In contrast, PPAR α transcription in muscle was higher (P < 0.05) in 100 kg than in 60 kg pigs.

Table 3 Content (mg FA/g sample) of n-6 and n-3 FA, SFA, MUFA, PUFA in the liver, adipose tissue and muscle

	60 kg	NF	Т	HOSF	SFO	LO	FB	FO	s.e.
Liver									
C18:2n-6	4.64	4.95 ^c	5.18 ^c	5.15 ^c	10.0 ^a	6.80 ^b	6.53 ^b	4.31 ^c	0.428
C18:3n-6	0.08	0.14 ^{bc}	0.15 ^b	0.15 ^b	0.30 ^a	0.06 ^{cd}	0.08 ^{bcd}	0.04 ^d	0.041
C18·3n-3	0.17	0.21 ^c	0.22 ^c	0.14 ^c	0.16 ^c	3.68 ^a	1 53 ^b	2 07 ^b	0 310
C20:2n-6	0.22	0.36ª	0.22 ^b	0.31 ^b	0.70 ^a	0.19 ^c	0.18 ^c	0.12 ^d	0.019
C20:2n-6	0.22	0.50 0.25 ^{ab}	0.27 0.27 ^a	0.31	0.37	0.15 0.10 ^c	0.10	0.12 0.17 ^c	0.015
C20:311-0	5.66	6.16 ^b	6.45 ^b	0.21 7.69 ^a	0.10 7 5 / a	0.19 2.70 ^e	0.2J	2.56 ^d	0.020
C20.411-0	0.00	0.10	0.45 0.01 ^d	7.00 0.02 ^d	7.54		4.44 0.12 ^c	5.50 0.24 ^b	0.200
C20:311-3	0.02		0.01	0.02		0.35 4.00 ^b	0.13	0.24 5.22ª	0.014
C20:5n-3	0.23	0.27ª	0.50 [°]	0.18	0.09	4.08 ⁻²	2.03°	5.23°	0.205
C22:4n-6	0.32	0.36	0.21 ^ª	0.30°	0.40 ^d	0.04	0.09	0.05	0.020
C22:5n-3	0.94	0.865	1.00 ⁵	0.53	0.36 ^u	1.21°	1.37°	0.975	0.075
C22:6n-3	0.91	1.13 ^D	1.28 ^D	1.21 ^D	0.61 ^c	0.57 ^c	0.94 ^{bc}	5.19ª	0.198
Total n-6 FA	11.2	12.3 ^c	12.8 ^{bc}	13.9 ^b	18.9 ^a	10.2ª	11.8 ^c	8.39 ^e	0.639
Total n-3 FA	2.29	2.40 ^{de}	2.96 ^d	2.09 ^{de}	1.22 ^e	9.84 ^b	5.97 ^c	13.59 ^a	0.630
n6/n3	4.87	5.42 ^c	4.59 ^c	6.99 ^b	15.2 ^a	1.49 ^{de}	2.37 ^d	0.90 ^e	0.501
Total SFA	12.0	15.0	13.3	12.5	13.0	13.2	12.9	13.5	0.705
Total MUFA	4.90	9.49 ^a	6.75 ^b	8.82 ^a	5.05 ^{bc}	4.25 ^c	5.44 ^{bc}	3.92 ^c	0.839
Total PUFA	13.5	14.6 ^d	15.6 ^{cd}	15.7 ^{cd}	19.9 ^{ab}	19.8 ^{ab}	17.5 ^{bc}	21.8 ^a	1.067
Adipose tissue									
C18·2n-6	88 7	63 3 ^d	86 8 ^c	83 9 ^c	218 ^a	100 ^b	103 ^b	86 2 ^c	4 35
C18:3n-6	0.21	ND	ND	0.24 ^b	0.45 ^a	ND	0.20b	0.18 ^b	0.031
C18·3n-3	7 11	5.2d	a 2 ^d	5 od	6.2 ^d	102 ^a	0.20	61.7 ^b	1 51
C10.511-5	7.44 E 10	J.Z 1 11 ^C	9.2 1 0Ebc	7.0 1.0cp	0.5 10.5 ^a	ΓUZ Ε ΕΦ ^b	41.J 5.20 ^b	4 00 ^b	0.261
C20.211-0	5.19	4.11	4.05 0.05 ^b	4.90	12.5	0.00	5.5Z	4.90	0.201
C20:3n-6	0.80	0.61	0.85°	0.77°	1.29	0.59	0.73	0.73°	0.037
C20:4n-6	2.52	1.92°	2.47°	2.53°	3.60 ^{°°}	1.73	2.03°	2.69 ²	0.110
C20:3n-3	1.20	1.02 ^u	1.38 [°]	0.95 [°]	1.04 ^u	12.7°	5.21°	7.325	0.301
C20:5n-3	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	1.57 [°]	0.83	5.99°	0.118
C22:4n-6	0.87	0.67	0.78 ^{bc}	0.80	1.19 ^a	0.60 ^a	0.63 [°]	0.74 ^c	0.036
C22:5n-3	1.21	0.75 ^d	1.52 ^c	0.82 ^d	1.32 ^c	2.77 ^b	2.34 ^b	7.42 ^a	0.159
C22:6n-3	1.65	1.02 ^c	1.94 ^{bc}	1.25 ^{bc}	2.44 ^b	1.40 ^{bc}	1.60 ^{bc}	23.4 ^a	0.47
Total n-6 FA	97.5	70.6 ^e	97.5 ^{cd}	93.0 ^d	237 ^a	109 ^{bc}	112 ^b	95.9 ^d	4.63
Total n-3 FA	11.5	8.0 ^d	14.1 ^d	8.9 ^d	11.2 ^d	120 ^a	51.5 ^c	106 ^b	2.08
n-6/n-3	8.48	8.87 ^c	7.08 ^d	10.8 ^b	21.6 ^a	0.90 ^f	2.09 ^e	0.86 ^f	0.33
Total SFA	289	324 ^a	286 ^b	231 ^d	269 ^{bc}	256 ^{cd}	276 ^{bc}	256 ^{cd}	9.8
Total MUFA	343	439 ^a	447 ^a	471 ^a	371 ^{bc}	335 ^c	374 ^b	341 ^{bc}	13
Total PLIFA	109	78 6 ^f	112 ^e	102 ^e	248 ^a	229 ^b	164 ^d	202°	52
Musclo	105	70.0	112	102	240	225	104	202	5.2
C18·2n-6	2 81	2 79 ^c	1 55bc	2 83pc	10 53 ^a	1 75 ^{bc}	5 36 ^b	/ 11 ^{bc}	0 770
C10.211-0	0.01	0.02	4.55	0.02	0.04	4.75	0.04	4.11	0.770
C10.311-0	0.01	0.05	0.04	0.05	0.04 0.21 ^c	0.05 2 07 ^a	0.04 1 07 ^b	0.05 drc c	0.005
C18:311-3	0.12	0.10	0.30	0.10	0.21	5.87	1.87	2.37	0.230
C20:2n-6	0.11	0.13 ⁻	0.19	0.16 ⁻	0.39 ⁻	0.17	0.19 ⁻	0.15 ⁻	0.036
C20:3n-6	0.08	0.09 ^{ab}	0.10 ^a	0.09	0.10	0.07°	0.09	0.09 ⁵⁰	0.006
C20:4n-6	0.78	0.89 ^{ab}	0.8250	0.95	1.02ª	0.63 ^u	0.73 ^{cu}	0.83	0.050
C20:3n-3	0.02	0.03	0.06	0.03	0.04 ^c	0.41 ^ª	0.21 ^b	0.25	0.026
C20:5n-3	0.05	0.05 [°]	0.08 ^a	0.06 ^ª	0.02 ^a	0.35 [°]	0.21 ^c	0.83ª	0.028
C22:4n-6	0.10	0.09 ^b	0.09 ^b	0.09 ^b	0.12 ^a	0.04 ^d	0.06 ^c	0.04 ^d	0.005
C22:5n-3	0.13	0.14 ^d	0.19 ^c	0.13 ^d	0.12 ^d	0.28 ^b	0.28 ^b	0.36 ^a	0.019
C22:6n-3	0.09	0.11 ^b	0.13 ^b	0.13 ^b	0.09 ^b	0.08 ^b	0.12 ^b	1.25 ^a	0.046
Total n-6 FA	3.81	4.01 ^c	5.92 ^{bc}	5.10 ^{bc}	12.2 ^a	5.69 ^{bc}	6.48 ^b	5.24 ^{bc}	0.829
Total n-3 FA	0.41	0.50 ^c	0.81 ^c	0.51 ^c	0.48 ^c	5.00 ^a	2.69 ^b	5.05 ^a	0.311
n-6/n-3	9.25	8.98 ^c	7.83 ^d	11.3 ^b	26.3 ^a	1.33 ^f	2.57 ^e	1.11 ^f	0.402
Total SFA	6 63	11 7	14.4	10 3	12.5	10.6	13.5	10.8	1 47
Total MUFA	7 56	17 4	22 1	18.2	16.0	13.6	18.2	13.6	2 1 2
	/.30 ∕I 22	4 16d	6 68 ^{cd}	5 52 ^d	12 6 ^a	10 7 ^{ab}	9 1 2 ^{bc}	10 2 ^{ab}	1 00
	-1.22	7.40	0.00	5.55	12.0	10.7	5.15	10.2	1.00

NF = no fat; T = tallow; HOSF = high-oleic sunflower oil; SFO = sunflower oil; LO = linseed oil; FB = fat blend (55% tallow, 35% sunflower oil, 15% linseed oil); FO = fish oil blend (40% fish oil, 60% linseed oil); FA = fatty acids; SFA = saturated FA; MUFA = monounsaturated FA; PUFA = polyunsaturated FA; ND = not detected.

n-6 and n-3 are the FA from the omega-6 and omega-3 series, respectively. a,b,c,d,e,f Means within a row with different superscript letters are significantly different (P < 0.05). No comparisons were performed with 60 kg BW animals.



Figure 1 Liver mRNA abundance of acetyl CoA carboxylase (ACACA), fatty acid synthase (FASN), sterol regulatory element binding protein-1 (SREBP1), peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor α (PPAR α), stearoyl CoA desaturase (SCD), Δ 6-desaturase (D6D), of pigs fed either a diet with no fat (NF) or a diet with 10% of fat from different sources: tallow (T), high-oleic sunflower oil (HOSF), sunflower oil (SFO), linseed oil (LO), fat blend (55% tallow, 35% sunflower oil, 10% linseed oil) (FB) or fish oil blend (40% fish oil, 60% linseed oil) (FO). The mRNA abundance is expressed relative to the NF diet.

Thyroid hormone concentrations

The NF diet showed the highest free and total T3 values followed by pigs fed SFO (Table 6). Animals fed diet T showed the lowest free T3 contents in blood. Animals of 60 kg BW showed higher total T3 hormone content than 100 kg BW. Free T3 correlated with FASN (r = 0.90, P < 0.05), ACACA (r = 0.83, P < 0.05) and SCD (r = 0.79, P < 0.05) and total T3 correlated with FASN (r = 0.88, P < 0.05), ACACA (r = 0.76, P < 0.05) and SCD (r = 0.90, P < 0.05) in adipose tissue. No correlations were observed between total T3 and free T3 hormones and gene expression in liver or muscle (P > 0.05). Plasma thyroxine (T4) levels were unaffected (P > 0.05) by diet and no correlations between T4 and transcription levels of any of the lipogenic enzymes were found.

Discussion

Tissue fatty acid composition

Dietary FA are incorporated directly into tissues to a large extent (Leat *et al.*, 1964). A considerable proportion of energy originating from carbohydrates can be used for

de novo synthesis of FA. Still, tissue FA composition largely reflected the dietary FA composition. Liver had a relatively high content of n-6 and n-3 derivates compared to other tissues meaning that liver was important for PUFA metabolism as supported by the high hepatic expression of D6D. When compared to the liver, adipose tissue reflected to greater extent of dietary modifications in terms of total tissue FA content as demonstrated by differences observed in SFA, MUFA and PUFA contents. Although influence of dietary treatment was observed in muscle FA composition, the differences between dietary treatments were not as wide as in liver or adipose tissue, and within treatment variability was higher. Interestingly, animals fed the NF diet showed high contents of two n-6 derivates (C20:2n-6 and C20:3n-6) in liver although this diet had the lowest content of this n-6 FA series. In agreement with other reports (Kouba et al., 2003; Nuernberg et al., 2005), pigs fed diets with high contents of linolenic acid (e.g. LO diet) did not increase DHA levels in the studied tissues, and had also low arachidonic acid contents. Nuernberg et al. (2005) suggested that the decrease in DHA and arachidonic acid could



Figure 2 Adipose tissue mRNA abundance of acetyl CoA carboxylase (ACACA), fatty acid synthase (FASN), sterol regulatory element binding protein-1 (SREBP1), peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor α (PPAR α), stearoyl CoA desaturase (SCD), Δ 6-desaturase (D6D), of pigs fed either a diet with no fat (NF) or a diet with 10% of fat from different sources: tallow (T), high-oleic sunflower oil (HOSF), sunflower oil (SFO), linseed oil (LO), fat blend (55% tallow, 35% sunflower oil, 10% linseed oil) (FB) or fish oil blend (40% fish oil, 60% linseed oil) (FO). The mRNA abundance is expressed relative to the NF diet.

be a result of the competition for the same enzymes in their elongation and desaturation metabolism, and linolenic acid would have more affinity for these enzymes than linoleic acid.

Fat metabolism in different organs

Expression of lipogenic genes varied considerably among tissues, as indicated by the different Ct values (Table 4; one unit change on the Ct scale corresponds to a twofold change in gene expression when the PCR efficiency is 100%) and emphasizes that different tissues have varying functions related to fat metabolism which agrees with the results of O'Hea and Leveille (1969). The high expression of lipogenic genes (SCD, ACACA, SREBP and FASN) in adipose tissue could be expected because in pigs this tissue is the main site for *de novo* FA synthesis. D6D enzyme is the main step in the desaturation of PUFA; this gene was preferentially expressed in liver as could be expected as the liver is the main organ synthesizing long PUFA, and is also confirmed by the high content of long PUFA and their intermediates in liver. PPAR α acts as a transcription factor of enzymes related to FA oxidation; this gene was more

expressed in liver than in adipose tissue and muscle meaning that the liver probably exerts a pivotal function in the oxidation of FA. The high hepatic expression of D6D can also explain the high level of EPA and arachidonic acid in this tissue, suggesting that this enzyme plays a key role in the synthesis of long-PUFA derivates (Brenner, 1971). It is interesting to note that PPAR α and D6D genes were more expressed in the liver than in adipose tissue while the opposite was observed for FASN and SCD, suggesting that liver would be the preferential site for long-chain PUFA synthesis (Scott and Bazan, 1989), and pig adipose tissue would be the main site for *de novo* fat synthesis. Changes in adipose tissue lipogenesis are probably the main cause for the differences in body fat content observed in this study, as whole-body fat content of HOSF (26.7%) and SFO (26.7%) fed animals was higher than T (22.9%) fed animals (P Duran-Montgé et al., unpublished results)

Fat metabolism at different weights

Expression of lipogenic genes varied with weight in the present study. Scott *et al.* (1981) indicated a plateau in carbon flux and lipogenic enzyme activities in pig adipose



Figure 3 Muscle (*semimembranosus*) mRNA abundance of acetyl CoA carboxylase (ACACA), fatty acid synthase (FASN), sterol regulatory element binding protein-1 (SREBP1), peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor α (PPAR α), stearoyl CoA desaturase (SCD), Δ 6-desaturase (D6D), of pigs fed either a diet with no fat (NF) or a diet with 10% of fat from different sources: tallow (T), high-oleic sunflower oil (HOSF), sunflower oil (SFO), linseed oil (LO), fat blend (55% tallow, 35% sunflower oil, 10% linseed oil) (FB) or fish oil blend (40% fish oil, 60% linseed oil) (FO). The mRNA abundance is expressed relative to the NF diet.

Table 4 Gene Ct values in the studied tissues⁴

	Adipose tissue	Liver	Semimembranosus muscle	s.e.
ACACA	27.5ª	29.2 ^b	30.3 ^c	0.11
FASN	25.7 ^a	31.8 ^b	31.5 ^b	0.16
SREBP1	26.8 ^a	27.6 ^b	27.4 ^b	0.14
$PPAR\alpha$	30.4 ^c	27.2 ^a	29.6 ^b	0.14
SCD	23.2 ^a	29.9 ^b	30.9 ^c	0.19
D6D	30.1 ^b	26.0 ^a	30.0 ^b	0.14

ACACA = acetyl CoA carboxylase; FASN = fatty acid synthase; SREBP1 = sterol regulatory element binding protein-1; PPAR α = peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor α ; SCD = stearoyl CoA desaturase; D6D = Δ 6desaturase.

 $^{\rm a,b,c}$ Means within a row with different superscript letters are significantly different ($P\!<\!0.05$).

⁺Ct values are least squares means.

tissue followed by a decline in activities with increased animal weight. In the report of Scott *et al.* (1981), the maximum lipogenic rates and ACACA activities corresponded to 60 kg BW which was then decreased at 85 and 100 kg BW. These findings are supported by the present study, since expression of lipogenic genes were greater at 60 kg BW compared to 100 kg BW. The greater expression of ACACA and SREBP1 found in the liver at 100 kg BW in the present study suggests that the liver could be responsible for increased lipogenesis during the fattening period. Chwalibog and Thorbek (2000) also found that oxidation of fat decreased during the fattening period at high and low feeding levels, and that pigs fed at high feeding level did not oxidize fat from 60 to 100 kg BW.

Contrasting to muscle and adipose tissue, comparisons between body weights in the liver showed higher ACACA, SREBP1 and SCD mRNA abundance in 100 kg pigs, suggesting that adipose tissue lost lipogenic capacity on per gram of tissue basis. In addition, the results strongly suggest that lipogenesis is regulated differently in the liver and in adipose tissue and furthermore, that the contribution of these organs to fat metabolism changes over time.

Changes in dietary fat composition had different impacts on expression of genes related to lipid metabolism,

		5	· · · · · · · · · · · · ·	5 15	1		,
	60 kg BW	ACACA	FASN	SREBP1	PPARα	SCD	D6D
Liver	1	2.60***	0.92 (0.81)	2.54**	4.52***	1.99 (0.13)	4.00**
Adipose fat	1	0.56***	0.36***	1.02 (0.92)	1.13 (0.43)	0.42*	0.82 (0.4)
Muscle	1	0.59**	0.26***	0.68 (0.071)	1.42*	0.22***	0.63 (0.054)

Table 5 *Relative mRNA abundance of genes related to lipid metabolism in 100 kg BW pigs compared to 60 kg BW (relative mRNA abundance = 1)*

ACACA = acetyl CoA carboxylase; FASN = fatty acid synthase; SREBP1 = sterol regulatory element binding protein-1; PPAR α = peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor α ; SCD = stearoyl CoA desaturase; D6D = Δ 6-desaturase in liver, adipose tissue and muscle (*semimembranosus*). *P < 0.05; **P < 0.01; ***P < 0.001. The values in parentheses denote *P*-value.

Table 6 Thyroid hormones values in blood⁺

	60 kg	NF	Т	HOSF	SFO	LO	FB	FO	s.e.
T3 free (pg/ml)	1.76	1.82ª	1.44 ^c	1.55 ^{bc}	1.72 ^{ab}	1.54 ^{bc}	1.49 ^c	1.59 ^{bc}	0.08
T3 total (ng/dl)	58.6	52.4 ^a	44.0 ^{bc}	40.3 ^c	47.5 ^{ab}	40.7 ^c	40.0 ^c	41.3 ^c	2.3
T4 free (ng/dl)	1.07	1.00	1.08	0.97	1.03	0.94	1.00	1.02	0.05
T4 total (µg/dl)	4.22	4.38	3.91	3.85	4.12	3.95	3.79	4.47	0.26

NF = no fat; T = tallow; HOSF = high-oleic sunflower oil; SFO = sunflower oil; LO = linseed oil; FB = fat blend (55% tallow, 35% sunflower oil, 15% linseed oil); FO = fish oil blend (40% fish oil, 60% linseed oil).

^{a,b,c}Means within a row with different superscript letters are significantly different (P < 0.05). Comparisons with 60 kg BW were performed separately with all animals at 100 kg BW.

[†]Results are least squares means.

depending on the tissues. The level of transcription of ACACA, SREBP1 and SCD was highest in liver for pigs fed HOSF, SFO and LO, intermediate in pigs fed NF, T and FB and lower in pigs fed the FO diet. This suggests increased biosynthesis of fat in pigs fed HOSF, SFO and LO, and probably FA elongation, as there was also a higher expression of ACACA in these treatments (indicative of synthesis of malonyl-CoA, essential in the elongation process). Furthermore, a high expression of D6D was observed in the liver, which may be indicative of unsaturation of longchain PUFA. However, no modification of FASN expression was observed by dietary treatment in the liver, and its expression was generally low in the liver, indicating low de novo FA synthesis. In contrast, animals fed diet FO (rich in long-chain PUFA) showed low FA synthesis/conversion in the liver, probably because synthesis of more long-chain FA was not required.

In adipose tissue, transcription of ACACA, FASN and SCD was greatest for pigs fed NF diet, which may be explained by the greater need for *de novo* synthesis of FA. The lowest transcription of ACACA and FASN in adipose tissue observed in pigs fed T, suggests that saturated FA inhibit pig *de novo* fat synthesis. This was accompanied by lower whole body fat content in T-fed animals as observed in pigs from the present study (P Duran-Montgé *et al.*, unpublished results). In other studies, lower carcass fat content was estimated in T-fed pigs compared to PUFA (Mourot *et al.*, 1991; Mourot *et al.*, 1994). With regard to the expression of SREBP in adipose tissue, no dietary differences were found, which was in contrast to the observations for the liver.

Obviously, the above-mentioned differences between dietary treatments on the transcription variables are clearly tissue-dependent. In liver, SREBP is assumed to be the link for FA regulation of gene expression, whereas in adipose tissue other mechanisms than differential gene expression may be involved.

Polyunsaturated fatty acids (n-6 and n-3 FA), and especially long PUFA which are abundant in pigs fed FO, are known to be potent regulators of gene expression (Xu et al., 2002; Jump et al., 2005). The increase in D6D could be expected given its role in the synthesis of C18:3n-6 and long-chain PUFA like C20:4n-6, and may explain the positive correlation with the tissue content of these two FA. FASN correlation with EPA could be expected as EPA is known to reduce liver mRNA abundances of lipogenic genes in rodents (Iritani et al., 1998; Jump et al., 2005). As previously reported (Theil and Lauridsen, 2007), the n-6/n-3 ratio may exert an effect on D6D gene expression in liver, as shown by their correlation in the present study in FO-fed animals, whose n-6/n-3 ratio in liver was very low due to the long-chain PUFA of dietary origin. This would suggest that pigs fed FO would have high tissue content of long PUFA, which makes synthesis of long PUFA unnecessary.

The correlation between SCD mRNA and SFA contents in adipose tissue can be explained by the fact that SFA are substrates for SCD enzyme (Bloomfield and Bloch, 1960). Finally, we studied correlations between mRNA abundances of the different studied genes. Some of these correlations can be expected, because expression of the genes would be coordinated (Xu *et al.*, 1999), and transcription factors as SREBP1 regulate the mRNA abundances of lipogenic genes. SCD can be regulated by SREBP-1c (Miyazaki *et al.*, 2001) and FASN is known to be regulated by SREBP1 isoforms (Shimano *et al.*, 1996; Shimano *et al.*, 1997; Horton *et al.*, 1998). In the liver, genes that responded to dietary treatment seemed to be coordinated, as there were positive correlations between mRNA abundances for these genes (SREBP1, ACACA and SCD). Matsuzaka *et al.* (2002) have described a so-called dual regulation of D6D in mouse liver by PPAR α and SREBP. Although we have not observed statistically significant correlations in the liver between D6D and PPAR α , or SREBP, it should be noted that a significant correlation was observed between PPAR α and D6D in adipose tissue.

Thyroid hormone in blood

Thyroid hormones are known to increase expression and enzyme protein content of rat liver lipogenic genes (Roncari and Murthy, 1975; Dozin et al., 1986) and nuclear response elements to thyroid hormones have been identified in the FASN gene in humans and chickens (Xiong et al., 1998) which would be responsible for this action. Dietary fat inhibits T3 promotion of rat liver lipogenic enzymes (Clarke and Hembree, 1990); specifically this action could be done by unesterified long PUFA inhibiting binding of thyroid hormone to the nuclear receptor (Inoue et al., 1989). In broiler chickens (G. Ferrini et al., unpublished data) and in rats (Takeuchi et al., 1995), PUFA increase total T3 hormone while body fat deposition decreases when compared to animals fed animal fat; these reports also observed higher lipoprotein lipase activity in animals fed animal fat. According to our results, the higher free T3 hormone in blood is compatible with enhanced lipogenesis in adipose tissue. Thus, the higher total T3 content observed in 60 kg BW animals goes in parallel with the higher mRNA contents of lipogenic enzymes and that is also true for the high contents of free T3 observed in NF-fed animals followed by SFO-fed animals. We consider the present results to support the hypothesis that higher free T3 hormone in blood is compatible with enhanced lipogenesis, as ACACA (Zhang et al., 2003) and FASN (Xiong et al., 1998) DNA sequences contain T3 response elements that could explain the correlation observed in our study between mRNA abundances of ACACA and FASN, and T3 free hormone content in blood. The reasons for the differential effect of fat type on blood thyroid hormone are unknown. A possible explanation is a decline in the conversion rate of T4 to T3 hormone, rather than a decline in the secretion of the hormones from the thyroid gland (Chopra et al., 1985).

Conclusions

The current study has shown that changes in mRNA abundances of genes were related to lipogenesis in liver and adipose tissue (but not muscle), and could be partly driven by T3 hormone signaling. The findings were based on the observed correlations between hormones and genes of fat synthesis (SCD, ACACA, FASN), and additionally also by the large differences observed between animals of different live weights (60 and 100 kg) and in the adipose tissue expression of lipogenic genes accompanied by differences in T3 hormone. Weight and tissue type were more clearly associated with differences in mRNA abundance of

genes related to lipid metabolism than the FA contents of the diets or the tissues. The current work has confirmed that adipose tissue is the organ for *de novo* FA synthesis in pig and that the liver is the primary organ for the synthesis of long-chain PUFA. The relative role of the organs with regard to the FA synthesis changes as the animal grow as indicated by the changes in gene expression at different stages of the pigs.

Acknowledgements

This project has been supported by INIA (Instituto Nacional de Investigación Agraria of Spanish Government) Project number RTA03-060-C2-1. Also the support of Selecció Batallé S.A. (Spain) in providing semen for production of the animals used in the experiment is appreciated.

References

Bergen WG and Mersmann HJ 2005. Comparative aspects of lipid metabolism: impact on contemporary research and use of animal models. Journal of Nutrition 135, 2499–2502.

Bloomfield DK and Bloch K 1960. Formation of delta-9-unsaturated fatty acids. Journal of Biological Chemistry 235, 337–345.

Brenner RR 1971. The desaturation step in the animal biosynthesis of polyunsaturated fatty acids. Lipids 6, 567–575.

Clarke SD and Hembree J 1990. Inhibition of triiodothyronines induction of ratliver lipogenic enzymes by dietary-fat. Journal of Nutrition 120, 625–630.

Chopra IJ, Huang TS, Beredo A, Solomon DH, Chua Teco GN and Mead JF 1985. Evidence for an inhibitor of extrathyroidal conversion of thyroxine to 3,5,3'triiodothyronine in sera of patients with nonthyroidal illnesses. Journal of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism 60, 666–672.

Chwalibog A and Thorbek G 2000. Estimation of net nutrient oxidation and lipogenesis in growing pigs. Archives of Animal Nutrition 53, 253–271.

Dozin B, Magnuson MA and Nikodem VM 1986. Thyroid hormone regulation of malic enzyme synthesis. Dual tissue- specific control. Journal of Biological Chemistry 261, 10290–10292.

Duran-Montgé P, Lizardo R, Torrallardona D and Esteve-Garcia E 2007. Fat and fatty acid digestibility of different fat sources in growing pigs. Livestock Science 109, 66–69.

Duran-Montgé P, Theil PK, Lauridsen C and Esteve-Garcia E 2009. Dietary fat source affects metabolism of fatty acids in pigs as evaluated by altered expression of lipogenic genes in liver and adipose tissues. Animal 3, 535–542.

Fisher RA 1925. Table VA in statistical methods for research workers. Oliver and Boyd, Edinburgh, UK.

Folch J, Lees M and Stanley GHS 1957. A simple method for the isolation and purification of total lipids from animal tissues. Journal of Biological Chemistry 226, 497–509.

Horton JD, Shimomura I, Brown MS, Hammer RE, Goldstein JL and Shimano H 1998. Activation of cholesterol synthesis in preference to fatty acid synthesis in liver and adipose tissue of transgenic mice overproducing sterol regulatory element-binding protein-2. Journal of Clinical Investigation 101, 2331–2339.

Inoue A, Yamamoto N, Morisawa Y, Uchimoto T, Yukioka M and Morisawa S 1989. Unesterified long-chain fatty-acids inhibit thyroid-hormone binding to the nuclear receptor – solubilized receptor and the receptor in cultured-cells. European Journal of Biochemistry 183, 565–572.

Iritani N, Komiya M, Fukuda H and Sugimoto T 1998. Lipogenic enzyme gene expression is quickly suppressed in rats by a small amount of exogenous polyunsaturated fatty acids. Journal of Nutrition 128, 967–972.

Jump DB, Botolin D, Wang Y, Xu JH, Christian B and Demeure O 2005. Fatty acid regulation of hepatic gene transcription. Journal of Nutrition 135, 2503–2506.

Kouba M, Enser M, Whittington FM, Nute GR and Wood JD 2003. Effect of high-linolenic acid diet on lipogenic enzyme activities, fatty acid composition, and meat quality in the growing pig. Journal of Animal Science 81, 1967–1979.

Leat WMF, Cuthbert A, Howard AN and Gresham GA 1964. Studies on pigs reared on semi-synthetic diets containing no fat beef, tallow and maize oil – composition of carcass and fatty acid composition of various depot fats. Journal of Agricultural Science 63, 311–317.

Littell RC, Milliken GA, Stroup WW and Wolfinger RD 1996. SAS system for mixed models. SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA.

Matsuzaka T, Shimano H, Yahagi N, Amemiya-Kudo M, Yoshikawa T, Hasty AH, Tamura Y, Osuga J, Okazaki H, Iizuka Y, Takahashi A, Sone H, Gotoda T, Ishibashi S and Yamada N 2002. Dual regulation of mouse delta(5)- and delta(6)-desaturase gene expression by SREBP-1 and PPAR alpha. Journal of Lipid Research 43, 107–114.

Mersmann HJ 1984. Effect of sex on lipogenic activity in swine adipose tissue. Journal of Animal Science 58, 600–604.

Mersmann HJ, Pond WG and Yen JT 1984. Use of carbohydrate and fat as energy source by obese and lean swine. Journal of Animal Science 58, 894–901.

Mersmann HJ, Allen CD, Chai EY, Brown LJ and Fogg TJ 1981. Factors influencing the lipogenic rate in swine adipose tissue. Journal of Animal Science 52, 1298–1305.

Miyazaki M, Kim YC and Ntambi JM 2001. A lipogenic diet in mice with a disruption of the stearoyl-CoA desaturase 1 gene reveals a stringent requirement of endogenous monounsaturated fatty acids for triglyceride synthesis. Journal of Lipid Research 42, 1018–1024.

Morrison WR and Smith LM 1964. Preparation of fatty acid methyl esters+dimethylacetals from lipids with boron fluoride-methanol. Journal of Lipid Research 5, 600–608.

Mourot J, Peiniau P and Mounier A 1994. Effect of dietary linoleic-acid on lipogenesis in adipose-tissue of pig. Reproduction Nutrition Development 34, 213–220.

Mourot J, Chauvel J, Le Denmat M, Mounier A and Peiniau P 1991. Linoleic acid level in the diet of pigs: effects on fat deposition and on meat linoleic acid oxidation during storage. Journées de la Recherche Porcine en France 23, 357–364.

National Research Council (NRC) 1998. Nutrient requirements of swine, 10th revised edition. National Academic Press, Washington, DC, USA.

Nuernberg K, Fischer K, Nuernberg G, Kuechenmeister U, Klosowska D, Eliminowska-Wenda G, Fiedler I and Ender K 2005. Effects of dietary olive and linseed oil on lipid composition, meat quality, sensory characteristics and muscle structure in pigs. Meat Science 70, 63–74.

O'Hea EK and Leveille GA 1969. Significance of adipose tissue and liver as sites of fatty acid synthesis in pig and efficiency of utilization of various substrates for lipogenesis. Journal of Nutrition 99, 338–394.

O'Hea EK, Leveille GA and Sugahara M 1970. Lipogenesis and enzyme activity in pig adipose tissue as influenced by dietary protein and fat. International Journal of Biochemistry 1, 173–178. Pfaffl MW 2001. A new mathematical model for relative quantification in realtime RT-PCR. Nucleic Acids Research 29, 2002–2007.

Roncari DA and Murthy VK 1975. Effects of thyroid hormones on enzymes involved in fatty acid and glycerolipid synthesis. Journal of Biological Chemistry 250, 4134–4138.

Scott BL and Bazan NG 1989. Membrane docosahexaenoate is supplied to the developing brain and retina by the liver. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 86, 2903–2907.

Scott RA, Cornelius SG and Mersmann HJ 1981. Effects of age on lipogenesis and lipolysis in lean and obese swine. Journal of Animal Science 52, 505–511.

Shimano H, Horton JD, Hammer RE, Shimomura I, Brown MS and Goldstein JL 1996. Overproduction of cholesterol and fatty acids causes massive liver enlargement in transgenic mice expressing truncated SREBP-1a. Journal of Clinical Investigation 98, 1575–1584.

Shimano H, Horton JD, Shimomura I, Hammer RE, Brown MS and Goldstein JL 1997. Isoform 1c of sterol regulatory element binding protein is less active than isoform 1a in livers of transgenic mice and in cultured cells. Journal of Clinical Investigation 99, 846–854.

Takeuchi H, Matsuo T, Tokuyama K and Suzuki M 1995. Serum triiodothyronine concentration and Na⁺, K⁺-ATPase activity in liver and skeletal muscle are influenced by dietary fat type in rats. Journal of Nutrition 125, 2364–2369.

Theil PK and Lauridsen C 2007. Interactions between dietary fatty acids and hepatic gene expression of pigs during the weaning period. Livestock Science 108, 26–29.

Worgall TS, Sturley SL, Seo T, Osborne TF and Deckelbaum RJ 1998. Polyunsaturated fatty acids decrease expression of promoters with sterol regulatory elements by decreasing levels of mature sterol regulatory elementbinding protein. Journal of Biological Chemistry 273, 25537–25540.

Xiong S, Chirala S, Hsu M and Wakil S 1998. Identification of thyroid hormone response elements in the human fatty acid synthase promoter. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 95, 12260–12265.

Xu J, Nakamura MT, Cho HP and Clarke SD 1999. Sterol Regulatory Element Binding Protein-1 expression is suppressed by dietary polyunsaturated fatty acids – a mechanism for the coordinate suppression of lipogenic genes by polyunsaturated fats. Journal of Biological Chemistry 274, 23577–23583.

Xu J, Cho H, O'Malley S, Park JHY and Clarke SD 2002. Dietary polyunsaturated fats regulate rat liver sterol regulatory element binding proteins-1 and -2 in three distinct stages and by different mechanisms. Journal of Nutrition 132, 3333–3339.

Zhang YQ, Yin LY and Hillgartner FB 2003. SREBP-1 integrates the actions of thyroid hormone, insulin, cAMP, and medium-chain fatty acids on ACC alpha transcription in hepatocytes. Journal of Lipid Research 44, 356–368.