



This document is a postprint version of an article published in Preventive Veterinary Medicine © Elsevier after peer review. To access the final edited and published work see <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.prevetmed.2018.07.009>

1 **This work has been published in PREVENTIVE VETERINARY MEDICINE:**
2 **El Damaty HM, Mahmmod YS, Gouda SM, Sobhy NM. Epidemiological and**
3 **ultrasonographic investigation of bovine fascioliasis in smallholder production system in**
4 **Eastern Nile Delta of Egypt. Prev Vet Med. 2018 Oct 1; 158: 35-42. doi:**
5 **10.1016/j.prevetmed.2018.07.009**

6
7

8 **Epidemiological and Ultrasonographic Investigation of Bovine Fascioliasis**
9 **in Smallholder Production System in Eastern Nile Delta of Egypt**

10 **Hend M. El Damaty ^a, Yasser S. Mahmmod ^{a, b, c*}, Shaimaa Gouda ^d, Nader M. Sobhy ^a**

11 ^a Infectious Diseases, Department of Animal Medicine, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine,
12 Zagazig University, 44511-Zagazig, Sharkia Province, Egypt

13 ^b Centre de Recerca en Sanitat Animal (CRESA, IRTA), Campus de la Universitat Autònoma
14 de Barcelona, 08193-Bellaterra, Cerdanyola del Vallès, Barcelona, Spain (present)

15 ^c Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona, 08193-Bellaterra, Cerdanyola del Vallès, Barcelona,
16 Spain (present)

17 ^d Internal Medicine, Department of Animal Medicine, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine,
18 Zagazig University, 44511-Zagazig, Sharkia Province, Egypt

19
20

21 *** Corresponding author**

22 -----

23 Yasser S. Mahmmod

24 Centre de Recerca en Sanitat Animal (CRESA, IRTA-UAB), Campus de la Universitat
25 Autònoma de Barcelona, 08193-Bellaterra, Cerdanyola del Vallès, Barcelona, Spain (present)

26 Email: yasser.mahmmod@irta.cat; yasser@sund.ku.dk

27 Mobile: 0034-612567320

28 ORCID: 0000-0002-1975-3454

29

30 **Abstract**

31 Regular updating of our knowledge on the epidemiological determinants of bovine
32 fascioliasis is necessary to increase the awareness of the disease's significance and
33 subsequently, improve the control measures. The objectives of this study were (1) to estimate
34 the prevalence of bovine fascioliasis, and identify the association of epidemiological
35 characteristics under traditional householders' production systems, (2) to describe the
36 association between the clinical picture, *Fasciola* spp. egg count and hepatobiliary
37 ultrasonography findings. In total, 270 faecal samples were examined microscopically for the
38 presence or absence of *Fasciola* spp. egg, using the sedimentation-flotation method. Copro-
39 positive animals were subjected to ultrasonographic examination. Overall prevalence of
40 copro-positive animals was 27.4% (22.4–33.0%, 95% CI). The final multivariate analysis
41 showed that there was a significant association between fascioliasis and animal species ($P <$
42 0.03), and administration of anthelmintic ($P < 0.0001$). Cattle have a less chance of being
43 positive to *Fasciola* spp. by 0.55 (95% CI: 0.30 – 0.99) compared to water buffaloes.
44 Administration of anthelmintic to animals on a regular basis decreased the risk of copro-
45 positivity to *Fasciola* spp by 0.17 (95% CI: 0.07 – 0.36) compared to animals received
46 anthelmintic on an irregular basis. Infected animals having different *Fasciola* spp. egg burden
47 revealed different clinical symptoms associated with hepatobiliary changes on
48 ultrasonographic examination ranged from normal hepatic parenchyma and bile system in
49 low faecal egg load to hyperechogenic hepatic parenchyma, hyperechogenic with distal
50 shadowing bile duct, and distended gallbladder in high egg burden.

51 In conclusion, the prevalence of bovine fascioliasis is high under the traditional household's
52 production system. Regular administration of anthelmintic significantly reduces the animal's
53 chance of being copro-positive to *Fasciola* spp. Ultrasound poses a valuable prognostic
54 technique for assessment of bovine fascioliasis.

55 **Keywords:** cattle; *Fasciola* spp.; risk factors; ultrasonography; water buffaloes

56 **1. Introduction**

57 Bovine fascioliasis is a zoonotic trematodiasis of veterinary and public health importance
58 affecting ruminant animals (Haseeb *et al.*, 2002; Periago *et al.*, 2008). Several millions of
59 dollars have been lost in African countries due to fascioliasis (Dawa *et al.*, 2013; Mucheka *et*
60 *al.*, 2015). In Egypt, several reasons make the situation of fascioliasis particularly interesting
61 (Hussein and Khalifa, 2010). Specifically, (a) liver fluke fragments were discovered in
62 Egyptian tombs (Esteban *et al.*, 2003) and in an Egyptian mummy (David, 1997), (b) the
63 prevalence of human fascioliasis has significantly increased since 1990 (Soliman, 2008), and
64 (c) transmission is continuous throughout the year, with a peak in the end of spring in June.
65 Both, *F. gigantica* and *F. hepatica* are reported from Egypt (Esteban *et al.*, 1998; Amer *et al.*,
66 2016). *F. gigantica* has been present since the times of the pharaohs, while *F. hepatica* was
67 imported from Europe at the beginning of the 1900s (WHO, 2007; Soliman, 2008).
68 Moreover, the intermediate hybrid forms were also reported (Periago *et al.*, 2008), suggesting
69 an expected continuous increase in the prevalence of fascioliasis in both human and animals.
70 The annual overall costs due to fascioliasis in Egypt is 221 USD per cow due to reduction in
71 body weight, reduction in milk production, and treatment costs (El-Tahawy *et al.*, 2017).
72 Furthermore, the number of human cases of fascioliasis has dramatically increased in the
73 Lower (Nile Delta) Egypt (Soliman, 2008) and Upper Egypt (Mekky *et al.*, 2015). The
74 prevalence of human cases was increased from 3% in 1990 (WHO, 2007) to 8% in 2013
75 (Mekky *et al.*, 2015). Due to that critical situation, Egypt was one of the first countries, which
76 implemented control activities against human fascioliasis since 1996 (WHO, 2007).

77

78 Occurrence of fascioliasis is strongly linked to the freshwater mollusk of the genus *Lymnaea*
79 spp., which acts as an intermediate host of the liver fluke (de Kock *et al.*, 2003; Sharma *et al.*,
80 2011). In Egypt, the agricultural activities depend exclusively on the water of the Nile River,
81 which is running across the middle of Egypt starting from the south at the Nasser Lake to the

82 north at the Mediterranean Sea. Previous studies have shown that human fascioliasis in Egypt
83 was associated with the presence of ruminants in households, and the watering, and bathing
84 of the animals in the Nile river and its channels (Curtale *et al.*, 2003). Smallholder production
85 system by traditional householders is a common livestock production system in developing
86 countries. This traditional husbandry system is generally extensive, with small herds or small
87 numbers of animals kept for subsistence or to generate an additional income to the household.

88

89 A greater insight into the epidemiological characteristics of liver fluke infections is important
90 for improving the control program and, subsequently; minimizing the risk of zoonotic
91 transmission to humans. Therefore, gathering information on the prevalence of the *Fasciola*
92 spp. and potential risk factors associated with the spread of fascioliasis among the animals is
93 necessary in order to understand the disease nature and transmission and subsequently,
94 proposing the appropriate effective control strategies for their treatment and control
95 (Takeuchi-Storm *et al.*, 2017). Risk factors associated with bovine fascioliasis have been
96 reported from large-scale and commercial production systems (Olsen *et al.*, 2015; Takeuchi-
97 Storm *et al.*, 2017). To the best of the authors' knowledge, there is no available literature that
98 documented the prevalence and risk factors among the smallholder production system
99 particularly, in the endemic area, which may have variable infection levels. Furthermore,
100 variations in micro-environment and management practices could influence the disease
101 epidemiology and persistence (Knubben-Schweizer *et al.*, 2010; Knubben-Schweizer and
102 Torgerson, 2015).

103

104 Bovine fascioliasis manifests clinically by weight loss, anemia, weakness, diarrhea, and
105 subcutaneous edema, particularly in submandibular region (Yadav *et al.*, 1999; Sharma *et al.*,
106 2011). In progressive chronic cases, the liver is extensively damaged and develops hepatic
107 fibrosis (Serra-Freire *et al.*, 1995; Marcos *et al.*, 2007). Diagnosis of fascioliasis relies on

108 clinical signs and detection of the *Fasciola* spp. egg using faecal examination for the
109 initiation of anthelmintic therapy (Van Metre *et al.*, 2007). Ultrasound imaging has been
110 implemented as a diagnostic and prognostic tool for evaluation of abdominal and thoracic
111 disorders in ruminants (Braun, 2009; Mohamed and Oikawa, 2007). Tharwat (2012)
112 described the ultrasonographic findings of bovine fascioliasis, however; the author showed
113 the ultrasonographic picture only in chronic cases of fascioliasis based on a small sample size
114 (16 animals) at different points of time (2003 to 2007). Additionally, the author used different
115 diagnostic techniques (faecal examination and ELISA) for selection of the positive cases.
116 Previous studies reported a marked variation between the sensitivity of faecal examination
117 and ELISA for diagnosis of fascioliasis (Charlier *et al.*, 2008), especially in old infections
118 and in case of low parasitic burden (Dorchies 2007). The objectives of this study were (1) to
119 estimate the prevalence of bovine fascioliasis, and identify association of epidemiological
120 characteristics under traditional householders' production systems at Eastern Nile Delta of
121 Egypt, and (2) to describe the association between the clinical picture, *Fasciola* spp. egg
122 count and hepatobiliary ultrasonography findings associated with bovine fascioliasis.

123

124 **2. Material and Methods**

125 **2.1. Study population and animal selection**

126 This study was carried out from September 2016 to the end of August 2017 on cattle and
127 buffaloes from several villages in Sharkia province located in Eastern Nile Delta of Egypt.
128 Villages were selected for inclusion in this study based on the distance (less than 50 km) from
129 Zagazig city, where our laboratory facilities are located at the Department of Animal
130 Medicine, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Zagazig University. The farmers were selected
131 based on the criteria of being household breeders of large ruminants (small-scale production
132 system < 10 animals), and their willingness and voluntary participation after giving them a
133 brief description about the study and its objectives. Animals were selected randomly based on

134 species (cattle and buffaloes), being belonging to household breeders, and having a recent
135 history of decreased milk production, and/or emaciation. Exclusion criteria were applied
136 when the villages are far away from Zagazig city, farmers having large production system (\geq
137 10 animals) or raising different animal species such as small ruminants and/or donkeys with
138 cattle and buffaloes, and animals undergo anthelmintic treatment at time of sampling and/or
139 have been received anthelmintic treatment from one month before sample collection. In total,
140 47 household breeders were visited in the villages of three major cities including Zagazig
141 (115 animals from 20 breeders), Bilbis (85 animals from 15 breeders), and Abo-Hammad (70
142 animals from 12 breeders). Description of the study population and potential risk factors are
143 listed in Table 1.

144

145 **2.2. Sample collection and metadata**

146 Fecal samples were collected from the rectum of the selected animal using rectal gloves.
147 Each collected sample was placed in sterile conical tubes and labeled with the household
148 identifier number. They were stored in a cold box and transported to the laboratory at the
149 Department of Animal Medicine, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Zagazig University, Egypt.
150 The samples were stored overnight in the cold box for processing the following morning.
151 Each animal underwent a clinical examination after compiling the case history and data
152 regarding the sampled animal (biodata) including species, sex, age, feeding system type, and
153 history of anthelmintic drenching, was recorded. Information on the hygiene of the place
154 where the animals are kept and the season, where the animal was sampled were merged with
155 the collected biodata and result of fecal analysis (presence/absence of eggs of *Fasciola* spp.).

156

157 **2.3. Parasitological examination**

158 Fecal samples were brought to the laboratory and were subjected to the parasitological
159 examination. The presence of *Fasciola* spp. eggs in faecal samples was evaluated by a

160 sedimentation-flotation technique (Charlier *et al.*, 2008). Approximately 10 gm of faeces
161 were placed into a paper cup and thoroughly mixed with 200 ml water and filtered 3 times
162 through a metallic tea sieve into a 250-ml beaker to separate large particles. The filtrate was
163 allowed to stand for 20 min after which the sediment was collected in a test tube and
164 centrifuged at 700 x g for 5 min. After centrifugation, the supernatant was discarded and the
165 sediment was suspended in zinc chloride and centrifuged at 180 x g for 3 min. The obtained
166 sediment material was transferred to a microscope slide, covered with a coverslip, and
167 investigated at ×100 magnification. *Fasciola* spp. eggs were identified on the basis of
168 morphological characteristics using light microscopy according to the keys and the guideline
169 given by Bowman *et al.* (2003). The results were expressed as the presence or absence of
170 eggs of *Fasciola* spp.

171 To investigate the association between the ultrasonographic picture of the liver of infected
172 animals and the severity of *Fasciola* spp. infection and subsequently, predicting its prognostic
173 value, the faecal egg count was estimated per gram (EPG) was determined using McMaster
174 egg counting technique according to Conceição *et al.* (2002). Briefly, 30 gm of faeces were
175 mixed with 60 ml of a 5% water detergent solution in a large test tube and stirred well with a
176 stirring rod. The sample was strained through a large mesh sieve to a conical 1000 ml flask,
177 and filled up with tap water. The sample was allowed to stand for 10 min and then the
178 supernatant discarded and the sediment was re-suspend in tap water. The sedimentation
179 process was done four times and after the last sedimentation, the test tube was filled up to 50
180 ml volume with tap water. Both chambers of a McMaster slide were filled with a Pasteur
181 pipette and all the *Fasciola* spp. eggs present on the bottom of the chambers were counted.

182 EPG was determined according to the following equation:

183

$$184 \quad \text{EPG} = \frac{\text{total number of observed eggs}}{\text{number of chambers}} \times \frac{50 \text{ ml}/30 \text{ g}}{0.15 \text{ ml}}$$

185

186 The McMaster technique was applied only to the faecal samples from those animals that were
187 egg-positive on sedimentation-flotation technique. According to the EPG, level of *Fasciola*
188 spp. infection was classified as low (< 30 EPG), moderate (≥ 30 to < 100 EPG) and high (\geq
189 100 EPG), reflecting the degree of infection severity according to Radfar *et al.* (2015) and
190 Kajugu *et al.* (2015) with modifications.

191

192 **2.4. Ultrasonographic examination**

193 Animals that were tested positive for *Fasciola* spp. on faecal examination were subjected to
194 ultrasonography. The ultrasonographic examination was performed by using 3.5 and 5.0MHz
195 convex transducers (Sono scape, A5V, China) while the animals were standing. The
196 ultrasonography of the liver was performed on the right side in the 9th, 10th, or 11th intercostal
197 spaces as described previously (Braun *et al.*, 1995; Mohamed and Oikawa, 2007). The
198 abdomen and thoracic cavity were scanned from both right and left sides. In preparation for
199 ultrasonography, the skin was shaved and swabbed with alcohol 70% to remove excess oil,
200 and coupling gel was finally applied to the intercostal spaces and the entire abdomen were
201 clipped. Ten copro-negative apparently healthy animals (five cattle and five buffaloes) were
202 used as a negative control.

203

204 **2.5. Statistical analyses**

205 A dichotomous outcome variable was computed as the presence or absence of eggs of
206 *Fasciola* spp. in each animal. The explanatory variables (potential risk factors) of interest
207 included in the model analysis were animal species (cattle/buffaloes), sex (male/female), age,
208 feeding system type, frequency of anthelmintic administration (regular/irregular), housing
209 hygiene, and season (summer, autumn, winter and spring).The animals were categorized into
210 two age groups; animal ≤ 3 years were termed as young while those > 3 years were termed
211 old. (Jaja *et al.*, 2017). Housing hygiene was classified into three groups according to the

212 cleanliness of the bedding and environment of the animal's housing into 1= good hygiene, 2=
213 moderate hygiene and 3= bad hygiene. The feeding was separated into two groups based on
214 the feeding system type into free grazing group where the animals rely mainly on free grazing
215 and ration group, where the animals offered a daily balanced ration of concentrates and green
216 fodder mixture.

217 At first, a descriptive statistics and distribution of the potential risk factors were carried out.
218 Statistical analyses were carried out using a logistic regression model to investigate the
219 association between infection with fascioliasis (presence or absence of *Fasciola* egg in
220 faeces) and the studied risk factors. The model was constructed using the "glm" function with
221 a binomial distribution in R version 3.3.3 (R Core Team, 2015). A univariable model was
222 carried out to test the unconditional associations between dependent and independent
223 variables and to determine which of the potential factors should be included in the
224 multivariable logistic regression analysis. Statistical significance at this step was determined
225 at $P\text{-value} \leq 0.20$ (Dohoo *et al.*, 2009). A full multivariable model analysis including the
226 significant variables from univariable modelling and all 2-way interaction between variables
227 was done. The final model was generated using a backward step-wise elimination by
228 dropping the least significant variable until we got the final model, which included only
229 variables with $P\text{-value} \leq 0.05$. Interaction terms which did not significantly contribute to the
230 regression model were removed from the model one-by-one. The P-value, odds ratio (OR)
231 with a 95% confidence interval (95% CI), and regression coefficient (b) were recorded for
232 each variable. In all statistical analyses, the results were considered to be significant at $P\text{-}$
233 $value \leq 0.05$.

234

235 **3. Results**

236 In Sharkia province, one of the largest agriculture provinces in Egypt, the most common
237 traditional livestock farming system among Egyptian farmers is extensive systems type.

238 Feeding system depends mainly on free grazing the daylight on the farmer's own grass/crop
239 field, which relies exclusively on the Nile River water for irrigation, and at evening the
240 farmer supplemented the animal with hay, wheat straw with or without some concentrate.
241 Some farmers feeding their animals on total mixed ration with vitamins and mineral
242 supplements. Housing was a tie stall barn, bedding material was mud, straw and in some
243 cases mixed with sawdust or straw, which is not regularly scraped or changed. Animals are
244 commonly received an anthelmintic dosing such as Ivermectin to combat the parasitic
245 infestations. Some farmers drenching their animals with other types anthelmintic such as
246 albendazole in combination with or without ivermectin injection. Some farmers administer
247 the anthelmintic to their animals on a regular basis (once every three months), while others
248 may use it from time to time on an irregular basis.

249 **3.1. Prevalence of fascioliasis and associated risk factors**

250 Cross-tabulation of dependent and independent variables are listed in Table 2. The overall
251 prevalence of *Fasciola* spp. egg-positive animals was 27.4% [95% CI; 22.4 – 33.0], Table 2.
252 A lower prevalence of fascioliasis was observed in cattle (21%, N=25/120) compared with
253 buffaloes (33%, N= 49/150). The prevalence of fascioliasis in animals raised on free grazing
254 feeding system type (38%, N=57/152) was higher than those animals raised on ration feeding
255 system (14%, N=17/118). The prevalence of fascioliasis in animals received anthelmintic on
256 an irregular basis (36%, N=65/180) was higher than those animals received anthelmintic on
257 regular basis (10%, N=9/90).

258 The result of the univariate analysis is presented in Table 3. The feeding type, frequency of
259 anthelmintic administration, and housing hygiene were significantly associated with copro-
260 positivity to *Fasciola* spp. at P -value < 0.0001. Meanwhile, the animal species, sex and
261 season were significantly associated with copro-positivity to *Fasciola* spp. at P -value < 0.05,
262 whereas age was not.

263 The result of final multivariable logistic regressions is listed in Table 4. The resulting final
264 model consisted of the significant variables, animal species and frequency of anthelmintic
265 administration. Administration of anthelmintic to animals on a regular basis decreased the
266 risk of copro-positivity to *Fasciola* spp. to 0.17 (95% CI: 0.07 – 0.36) compared with animals
267 received anthelmintic on an irregular basis. Cattle have a less chance of being positive to
268 *Fasciola* spp. to 0.55 (95% CI: 0.30 – 0.99) compared with buffaloes.

269

270 **3.2. Ultrasonography findings**

271 Results of ultrasonographic and clinical findings associated with the different levels of
272 *Fasciola* spp. infections according to egg count (EPG) are presented in Table 5. On
273 ultrasonography examination, the first group of copro-positive animals (n= 38; 14 cattle and
274 24 buffaloes) having a low level of egg count on faecal examination showed a normal hepatic
275 parenchyma and bile system. The predominant clinical finding in this group was non-specific
276 symptoms such as decrease in milk production and/or loss of body weight. The second group
277 of (n= 20; 6 cattle and 14 buffaloes) having a moderate level egg count showed on
278 hyperechogenic hepatic parenchyma with multiple echogenic foci and normal bile system
279 (Figure 1). The predominant clinical finding in this group was paleness in mucous
280 membranes. The third final group (n= 16; 5 cattle and 11 buffaloes) having a high level of
281 egg load revealed hyperechogenic hepatic parenchyma, hyperechogenic with distal
282 shadowing bile duct, and distended gallbladder, Figure (2 a, b). Additionally, anechoic fluid
283 was imaged in the abdominal and thoracic cavities in one buffalo in the third group, Figure
284 (3). Clinically, the predominant findings include severe emaciation, subcutaneous oedema in
285 submandibular space “bottle jaw”, and anemic to icteric mucous membranes.

286

287 **4. Discussion**

288 **4.1. Prevalence of bovine fascioliasis**

289 The overall prevalence of *Fasciola* spp. was found to be 27.4%, revealing a high level of
290 infection that requires an effective control program. The high overall prevalence reported in
291 our study may reflect the favorable conditions for the precipitance of transmission cycle of
292 liver flukes among the cattle and buffaloes raised under the smallholder's production system.
293 This study was conducted in the eastern region of Nile Delta of Egypt, where the majority of
294 the farmers use Nile River's water for irrigation of their land and drinking purpose of their
295 animals. That's why; ruminant animals in such areas are at high risk of liver flukes
296 endemicity (Haseeb *et al.*, 2002; El-Tahawy *et al.*, 2017). Moreover, climatic conditions, use
297 of traditional husbandry methods and improper management may contribute greatly to
298 parasite and its vector growth, development and transmission (El-Tahawy *et al.*, 2017). Lack
299 of knowledge on how to control the animal health problems properly and the low education
300 level of the farmers particularly, managing the smallholder production system, could partially
301 explain the high prevalence of fascioliasis under such environment. That comes in agreement
302 with Seimenis (2012) who concluded that farmers' poor knowledge and access to adequate
303 veterinary services and improper use of anthelmintic may alter the dynamics of *Fasciola*
304 spp. in endemic areas and further promote the prevalence of fascioliasis. Additionally, this
305 high prevalence could argue the remarkably high prevalence of human fascioliasis in Egypt
306 (Haseeb *et al.*, 2002)

307

308 **4.2. Associated risk factors with bovine fascioliasis**

309 Our findings demonstrated that cattle are likely have a less chance of being positive to
310 *Fasciola* spp. infection by 0.55 (95% CI: 0.30 – 0.99) compared with buffaloes. A plausible
311 explanation for this finding that water buffalo is a swamp animal prefer to swim frequently in
312 the Nile water. Therefore, it is frequently exposed to the intermediate host of *Fasciola* spp.
313 parasite, where snails are commonly inhabited in the water channels, rivers and swamps (de
314 Kock *et al.*, 2003). Subsequently, animals become infected by drinking contaminated

315 drinking water and/or ingesting the vegetation growing on the edges of the water canals,
316 which intensively harbor the metacercaria cysts (infective stages) of *Fasciola* spp. making
317 buffaloes under high risk of infection (Kaplan, 2001). The household Egyptian farmers have
318 a common habit to bring the buffaloes to the river canal for bathing and/or drinking purposes
319 at early morning time when they go to their own crop field and at evening time when they
320 bring the animals back to their house (Curtale *et al.*, 2000, 2003). Our findings are in
321 agreement with Hussein and Khalifa (2010) who found water buffaloes have been possessed
322 a higher prevalence (33.7%) of *Fasciola* spp. infection, compared to cattle (28.6%). Molina *et*
323 *al.* (2005) reported a difference in host-parasite relationships of *F. gigantica* infection
324 between cattle and swamp buffaloes and may be linked to the observed varying levels of
325 resistance and resilience to infection between these hosts. Furthermore, Genetic variations
326 within the host between cattle and buffaloes may explain the variation in their susceptibility
327 (Molina and Skerratt, 2005). Mehmood *et al.* (2017) added that the difference of fascioliasis
328 prevalence between cattle and buffalo populations might be attributed to the difference in
329 management practices and pattern of animal movements for grazing near the waterlogged
330 area.

331 The frequency of anthelmintic administration was significantly associated with the liver fluke
332 infection. Animals receiving anthelmintic on a regular basis have a less risk of being copro-
333 positive to *Fasciola* spp. to 0.17 (95% CI: 0.07 – 0.36) compared with animals received
334 anthelmintic on an irregular basis. This is consistent with previous studies, which reported
335 that anthelmintic administration to reduce parasitism of liver flukes in the host and therefore,
336 the egg elimination and pasture contamination (Torgerson and Claxton, 1999; Knubben-
337 Schweizer *et al.*, 2010). Due to the lack of records of anthelmintic administration, it was hard
338 to follow the type and dose of administrated anthelmintic because that was carried out
339 basically by the veterinarians who did not usually keep a record to the treated cases.
340 However, we got an overview about the type of anthelmintic commonly used in animals in

341 that geographical area. The most commonly used anthelmintic is ivermectin alone or in
342 combination with clorsulon (e.g., ivomec plus), which are effective against wide range of
343 external and internal parasites. Previous studies concluded that use of ivermectin in
344 combination with clorsulon is an effective flukicide (Rehbein and Visser, 1999; Elitok *et al.*,
345 2006; Hutchinson *et al.*, 2009). Some farmers drenching their animals with other types
346 anthelmintic such as albendazole or triclabendazole. The misuse of drug in general and
347 anthelmintic in particular is a common habit in rural areas due to lack of knowledge and
348 absence of a national database recording system for registering the use of veterinary drugs in
349 Egypt. Due to the irregular use of anthelmintic and/or suboptimum anthelmintic dosing, we
350 think resistance to anthelmintic has been emerged in the study area. This comes in agreement
351 with Shokier *et al.* (2013) who concluded that albendazole and rafoxanide groups yielded
352 lower efficacy levels against *fasciola*, which could be an indicator for albendazole and
353 rafoxanide resistant *Fasciola* in cattle. The same conclusion has been reported by other
354 studies (Martínez-Valladares *et al.*, 2014; Novobilský *et al.*, 2016).

355 Moreover, Chaudhri *et al.* (1993) demonstrated that control of liver fluke infection in
356 livestock could be achieved with any effective flukicide administered regularly at an interval
357 of not more than three months. Similarly, Keyyu *et al.* (2009a) concluded that strategic
358 community-based worm control program (drenching albendazole 10% at 10 mg/kg four times
359 a year) significantly reduced the proportion of animals passing *Fasciola* eggs by 82.5%
360 compared to the village without applying of such program ($P < 0.05$). In another study
361 (Keyyu *et al.*, 2009b), the authors concluded that a programme of four regular strategic
362 anthelmintic treatments per year was effective in controlling gastrointestinal nematodes and
363 *F. gigantica* and improved weight gain. The clue behind the regular administration of
364 anthelmintic was explained by Gupta and Singh (2002) who concluded that chemotherapy of
365 fascioliasis in cattle and buffaloes require an extensive post-treatment follow up. That is
366 because the infected animals usually harbor different stages of the flukes, from immature to

367 mature level and the drug to be chosen should have an effect on a wide range of parasitic
368 stages or else, a repeated deworming schedule should be regarded.

369 Frequency of anthelmintic administration may not be the only factor that reduces the
370 prevalence of fascioliasis but also, the type and efficiency of the administered anthelmintic
371 could have an important role (Richards *et al.*, 1990), which may vary according to the
372 stage of liver fluke (mature or immature) and its species (Hutchinson *et al.*, 2009).
373 Additionally, the host characteristics such as age, species, and health status cannot be
374 separated from the anthelmintic impact (Kuchai *et al.*, 2011). Keyyu *et al.* (2003) concluded
375 that the control practices for parasitic infection in various cattle management systems are
376 entirely based on the routine use of anthelmintic, which in turn, depending mainly on the
377 availability of money to buy drugs. Similarly, lack of out-of-pocket resources creates a heavy
378 burden on the farmers in developing countries for combating the animal infectious diseases
379 and neglected zoonotic diseases (Seimenis, 2012). That could be a possible explanation for
380 the irregular administration of anthelmintic followed by householder's farmers under this
381 study.

382

383 **4.3. Ultrasonography of hepatic fascioliasis**

384 The ultrasound examination revealed a good correlation between the hepatobiliary changes
385 associated with fascioliasis, the degree of disease severity based on faecal egg load and the
386 clinical manifestations appeared on the infected animal. This is concur with Yadav *et al.*
387 (1999) who found that egg counts > 300/g indicate an acute fasciolosis, while its subsequent
388 fall to 100–200/g was symbolic chronic phase of the disease based on experimental approach.
389 The ultrasonographic finding showed normal hepatic parenchyma and normal bile system in
390 infected animals with low fluke egg load and mild clinical manifestation. This finding
391 indicate that liver fluke infection did not cause marked/ substantial changes in hepatic tissue
392 or bile duct. Possible explanations (1) infected animals are in the early stages of infection

393 which was initiated with few number of parasites, and (2) the animal is in the chronic phase
394 of infection but the number of liver fluke colonizing the liver tissue are few in number. This
395 comes in agreement with previous studies (Braun *et al.*, 1995; Sharma *et al.*, 2011). Animals
396 with moderate egg load and clinical manifestation showed involvement of hepatic
397 parenchyma. This result indicates degenerative hyperplasia of hepatic parenchyma due to the
398 presence of adult flukes in the liver (Braun *et al.*, 1999).

399 Animals showed marked clinical manifestation at high egg count showed severe damage in
400 hepatic tissue represented by shadowing distal to hyperechogenic bile duct indicating bile
401 duct calcification (obstructive cholestasis) resulting from chronic fascioliasis due to the
402 presence of adult worms in the bile duct (Braun, 2009; Mohamed, 2012). The dilatation in the
403 gallbladder is due to lack of stimulation of the reflex emptying process (Braun, 2009). In
404 chronic fascioliasis, calcified bile ducts result in discrete sonographic changes in the liver
405 parenchyma, represented by intensely hyperechogenic and an acoustic shadow distally
406 (Braun, 2009). In liver fluke infection, cholangitis is developed by the irritation of the spines
407 on the tegument of the parasites and their released toxic metabolites (Javaregowda and Rani,
408 2017). In the present study one buffalo showed an anechoic fluid in the abdominal and
409 thoracic cavities, which is an indicator of ascites and hydropericardium. The possible
410 explanation could be hypoalbuminemia and decrease in intravascular osmotic pressure, which
411 allowed fluids accumulation within the abdominal and thoracic cavities (Braun, 2009;
412 Mohamed, 2012). That concurs with Edith *et al.* (2012) who demonstrated that
413 hypoalbuminemia is a marked syndrome in the chronic phase of liver fluke infection.

414

415 It may worth to mention that the changes and degree of pathological changes in the hepatic
416 tissue and bile system as well as the accompanied clinical manifestations are dependent on
417 many factors related to the parasite, host and animal management. Factors related to the
418 parasite such as species of liver fluke, number of infective dose, and development of flukes

419 attaining maturity and becoming adults in hepatic major bile ducts and the gallbladder
420 (Yadav *et al.*, 1999). Factors related to the host such as age, sex, species, pregnancy, history
421 of previous exposure and vaccination, frequency, type of anthelmintic administration,
422 immune system status and general health status. Other factors may participate in the pathway
423 of liver fluke infection such as feeding system (grazing or ration), type of production system
424 (small or large), raising mixed animal species together, and application of the hygienic and
425 prophylactic measure. The coprological analysis is still commonly employed to diagnose
426 bovine fascioliasis despite the fact that eggs cannot be detected until after the latent period of
427 infection 8–15 weeks when much of the liver damage has already occurred (Hillyer, 1999;
428 Sanchez-Andrade *et al.*, 2002). Furthermore, detection of *Fasciola* spp. eggs can be
429 unreliable even during the patent period because the eggs are expelled intermittently,
430 depending on the evacuation of the gallbladder (Briskey, 1998). Future studies are necessary
431 to study if ultrasound can ultimately predict the infection of the liver with *Fasciola* spp.
432 before detection of eggs in the faeces. In regard to the study generalizability, we emphasized
433 that the findings of this study are limited by the pre-specified selection of the study
434 population from small-holders production systems and inclusion criteria of animals with
435 health issues such as decreased in milk production and emaciation in the current study.

436

437 **5. Conclusions**

438 We reported a high prevalence of bovine fascioliasis among cattle and buffaloes raised under
439 the traditional household (smallholders) suggesting the need for more efficient control
440 measures under this type of livestock production system. We identified the potential risk
441 factors associated with bovine fascioliasis may be helpful to construct the appropriate
442 preventive measures. Regular administration of anthelmintic significantly reduces the
443 animal's chance of being copro-positive to *Fasciola* spp. Higher egg count of *Fasciola* spp.
444 in faecal sample is reflected on the animal with severe clinical symptoms and excrescent

445 hepatobiliary damages. Ultrasound is a valuable diagnostic and prognostic technique for
446 assessment of bovine fascioliasis.

447

448 **Conflict of interest**

449 The authors declare that they have no financial or personal relationships, which may have
450 inappropriately influenced them in writing this article.

451

452 **Acknowledgments**

453 The authors thank the farmers for their cooperation and making their animals available for
454 our study.

455

456 **References**

457 Amer, S., ElKhatam, A., Zidan, S., Feng, Y., Xiao, L., 2016. Identity of *Fasciola* spp. in
458 sheep in Egypt. *Parasites and Vectors*, 9, 623.

459 Bowman, D.D., Lynn, R.C., Eberhard, M.L., 2003. *Georgis' parasitology for veterinarians*.
460 Elsevier Science, St. Louis.

461 Braun, U., 2009. Ultrasonography of the liver in cattle. *Vet. Clin. Veterinary Clinics of North*
462 *America: Food Animal Practice*, 25, 591–609.

463 Braun, U., Linggi, T., Pospischil, A., 1999. Ultrasonographic findings in three cows with
464 chronic ragwort (*Senecioalpinus*) poisoning. *Veterinary Record*, 144, 122-126.

465 Braun, U., Pospischil, A., Pusterla, N., Winder, C., 1995. Ultrasonographic findings in cows
466 with cholestasis. *Veterinary Record*, 137, 537-543.

467 Charlier, J., De Meulemeester, L., Claerebout, E., Williams, D., Vercruyse, J., 2008.
468 Qualitative and quantitative evaluation of coprological and serological techniques for the
469 diagnosis of fasciolosis in cattle. *Veterinary Parasitology*, 153, 44-51.

470 Chaudhri, S.S., Gupta, R.P., Kumar, S., Singh, J., Sangwan, A.K., 1993. Epidemiology and
471 control of *Fasciola gigantica* infection of cattle and buffaloes in Eastern Haryana, India.
472 Indian Journal of Animal Science, 63, 600-605.

473 Conceição, M.A., Durão, R.M., Costa, I.H., da Costa, J.M., 2002. Evaluation of a simple
474 sedimentation method (modified McMaster) for diagnosis of bovine fascioliosis.
475 Veterinary Parasitology, 105, 337-43.

476 Core Team, R., 2015. R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. R
477 Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria.

478 Curtale, F., Hammoud, E.S., El Wakeel, A., Mas-Coma, S., Savioli, L., 2000. Human
479 fascioliasis, an emerging public health problem in the Nile Delta, Egypt. Res. Rev.
480 Parasitology, 60, 129–134.

481 Curtale, F., Mas-Coma, S., Hassanein, Y.A., Barduagni, P., Pezzotti, P., Savioli, L., 2003.
482 Clinical signs and household characteristics associated with human fascioliasis among
483 rural population in Egypt: a case-control study. Parasitologia 45, 5-11.

484 Dawa, D., Abattoir, M., Mebrahtu, G., Beka, K., 2013. Prevalence and economic significance
485 of fasciolosis in cattle slaughtered at Dire Dawa Municipal Abattoir, Ethiopia. Journal of
486 Veterinary Advances 3, 319–324.

487 de Kock, K.N., Wolmarans, C.T., Bornman, M., 2003. Distribution and habitats of the snail
488 *Lymnaea truncatula*, intermediate host of the liver fluke *Fasciola hepatica*, in South
489 Africa. The Journal of the South African Veterinary Association, 74, 117–122.

490 Dohoo, I., Martin, W., Stryhn, H., 2009. Veterinary Epidemiologic Research, 2nd ed. VER
491 Inc., Charlottetown, Prince Edward Island, Canada.

492 Dorchies, P.H., 2007. Comparison of methods for the veterinary diagnosis of liver flukes
493 (*Fasciola hepatica*) in cattle. Bulletin USAMV-CN, 64/2007 (1-2).

494 Edith, R., Sharma, R.L., Godara, R., Thilagar, M.B., 2012. Experimental studies on anaemia
495 in riverine buffaloes (*Bubalus bubalis*) infected with *Fasciola gigantica*. Comparative
496 Clinical Pathology, 21, 415–419

497 Elitok, B., Elitok, O.M., Kabu, M., 2006. Field trial on comparative efficacy of four
498 fasciolicides against natural liver fluke infection in cattle. Veterinary Parasitology, 35,
499 279-285.

500 El-Tahawy, A.S., Bazh, E.K., Khalafalla, R.E., 2017. Epidemiology of bovine fascioliasis in
501 the Nile Delta region of Egypt: Its prevalence, evaluation of risk factors, and its economic
502 significance. Veterinary World 10, 1241-1249.

503 Esteban, J.G., Bargues, M.D., Mas-Coma, S., 1998. Geographical distribution, diagnosis and
504 treatment of human fascioliasis: a review. Res. Rev. Parasitology 58, 13–42.

505 Gupta, S.C., Singh, B.P., 2002. Fascioliasis in cattle and buffaloes in India. Journal of
506 Veterinary Parasitology, 16, 139-145.

507 Haseeb, A.N., El Shazly, A.M., Arafa, M.A.S., Morsy, A.T.A., 2002. A review on fascioliasis
508 in Egypt. Journal of the Egyptian Society of Parasitology, 32, 317–354.

509 Hussein, A.A., Khalifa, R.M.A., 2010. Fascioliasis prevalences among animals and human in
510 Upper Egypt. Journal of King Saud University – Science, 22, 15–19.

511 Hutchinson, G.W., Dawson, K., Fitzgibbon, C.C., Martin, P.J., 2009. Efficacy of an
512 injectable combination anthelmintic (nitroxynil+clorsulon+ivermectin) against early
513 immature *Fasciola hepatica* compared to triclabendazole combination flukicides given
514 orally or topically to cattle. Veterinary Parasitology, 162, 278-84.

515 Jaja, I.F., Mushongab, B., Grenc, E., Muchenje, V., 2017. Seasonal prevalence, body
516 condition score and risk factors of bovine fasciolosis in South Africa. Veterinary and
517 Animal Sciences, 4, 1-7.

518 Javaregowda, A.K., Rani, K.B., 2017. Chronic bovine fasciolosis associated
519 cholangiolithiasis: a case report. Journal of Parasitic Diseases, 41, 90-92.

520 Kajugu, P.E., Hanna, R.E., Edgar, H.W., McMahon, C., Cooper, M., Gordon, A., Barley, J.P.,
521 Malone, F.E., Brennan, G.P., Fairweather, I., 2015. *Fasciola hepatica*: Specificity of a
522 coproantigen ELISA test for diagnosis of fasciolosis in faecal samples from cattle and
523 sheep concurrently infected with gastrointestinal nematodes, coccidians and/or rumen
524 flukes (paramphistomes), under field conditions. *Veterinary Parasitology*, 212, 181-187.

525 Kaplan, R.M., 2001. *Fasciola hepatica*: A review of the economic impact in cattle and
526 considerations for control. *Veterinary Therapeutics*, 2, 40–50.

527 Keyyu, J.D., Kassuku, A.A., Kyvsgaard, N.C., Monrad, J., 2009a. Effectiveness of a
528 community-based strategic anthelmintic treatment programme in the control of
529 gastrointestinal nematodes and *Fasciola gigantica* in cattle in Kilolo district, Tanzania.
530 *Veterinary Research Communication*, 33, 555-565.

531 Keyyu, J.D., Kyvsgaard, N.C., Kassuku, A.A., Willingham, A.L., 2003. Worm control
532 practices and anthelmintic usage in traditional and dairy cattle farms in the Southern
533 highlands of Tanzania. *Veterinary Parasitology*, 114, 51–61.

534 Keyyu, J.D., Kyvsgaard, N.C., Monrad, J., Kassuku, A.A., 2009b. Effectiveness of strategic
535 anthelmintic treatments in the control of gastrointestinal nematodes and *Fasciola gigantica*
536 in cattle in Iringa region, Tanzania. *Tropical Animal Health Production*, 41, 25-33.

537 Knubben-Schweizer, G., Rüegg, S., Torgerson, P.R., Rapsch, C., Grimm, F., Hässig, M.,
538 Deplazes, P., Braun, U., 2010. Control of bovine fasciolosis in dairy cattle in Switzerland
539 with emphasis on pasture management. *British Veterinary Journal*, 186, 188–191.

540 Knubben-Schweizer, G., Torgerson, P.R., 2015 Bovine fasciolosis: control strategies based
541 on the location of *Galba truncatula* habitats on farms. *Veterinary Parasitology*, 208, 77-83.

542 Kuchai, J.A., Chishti, M.Z., Zaki. M.M., 2011. Some Epidemiological Aspects of Fascioliasis
543 among Cattle of Ladakh. *Global Veterinaria*, 7, 342-346.

544 Marcos, L.A., Yi, P., Machicado, A., Andrade, R., Samalvides, F., Sánchez, J., Terashima,
545 A., 2007. Hepatic fibrosis and *Fasciola hepatica* infection in cattle. Journal of
546 Helminthology, 81, 381-386.

547 Martínez-Valladares, M., Cordero-Pérez, C., Rojo-Vázquez, F.A., 2014. Efficacy of an
548 anthelmintic combination in sheep infected with *Fasciola hepatica* resistant to albendazole
549 and clorsulon. Experimental Parasitology, 136, 59-62.

550 Mekky, M.A., Tolba, M., Abdel-Malek, M.O., Abbas, W.A., Zidan, M., 2015. Human
551 fascioliasis: a re-emerging disease in Upper Egypt. The American Journal of Tropical
552 Medicine and Hygiene, 93, 76-9.

553 Mohamed, T., 2012. Ultrasonographic findings in cattle and buffaloes with chronic hepatic
554 fascioliosis. Tropical Animal Health and Production, 44, 1555-1560.

555 Mohamed, T., Oikawa, S., 2007. Ultrasonographic characteristics of abdominal and thoracic
556 abscesses in cattle and buffaloes. Journal of Veterinary Medicine Series A, 54, 512-517.

557 Molina, E.C., Gonzaga, E.A., Sinolinding, E.O., Lumbao, L.A., Peralta, A.A., Barraca, A.P.,
558 2005. Differences in susceptibility between cattle and swamp buffaloes to infection with
559 *Fasciola gigantica*. Tropical Animal Health and Production, 37, 611-616.

560 Molina, E.C., Skerratt, L.F., 2005. Cellular and humoral responses in liver of cattle and
561 buffaloes infected with a single dose of *Fasciola gigantica*. Veterinary Parasitology, 131,
562 157–63.

563 Mucheka, V.T., Lamb, J.M., Pfukenyi, D.M., Mukaratirwa, S., 2015. DNA sequence analyses
564 reveal co-occurrence of novel haplotypes of *Fasciola gigantica* with *F. hepatica* in South
565 Africa and Zimbabwe. Veterinary Parasitology, 214, 144–151.

566 Novobilský, A., Amaya Solis, N., Skarin, M., Höglund, J., 2016. Assessment of flukicide
567 efficacy against *Fasciola hepatica* in sheep in Sweden in the absence of a standardised
568 test. International Journal for Parasitology – Drugs and Drug Resistance, 6, 141-147.

569 Olsen, A., Frankena, K., Bødker, R., Toft, N., Thamsborg, S.M., Enemark, H.L., Halasa, T.,
570 2015. Prevalence, risk factors and spatial analysis of liver fluke infections in Danish cattle
571 herds. *Parasit.Vectors*, 15, 8:160.

572 Periago, M.V., Valero, M.A., El Sayed, M., Ashrafi, K., El Wakeel, A., Mohamed, M.Y.,
573 Desquesnes, M., Curtale, F., Mas-Coma, S., 2008. First phenotypic description of *Fasciola*
574 *hepatica*/*Fasciola gigantica* intermediate forms from the human endemic area of the Nile
575 Delta, Egypt. *Infection, Genetics and Evolution*, 8, 51–58.

576 Radfar, M.H., Nourollahi-Fard, S.R., Mohammadyari, N., 2015. Bovine fasciolosis:
577 prevalence, relationship between faecal egg count and worm burden and its economic
578 impact due to liver condemnation at Rudsar abattoir, Northern Iran. *Journal of Parasitic*
579 *Diseases*, 39, 522-525.

580 Rehbein, S., Visser, M., 1999. Efficacy of an injectable ivermectin/clorsulon combination
581 against *Fasciola hepatica* in sheep. *Veterinary Record*, 145, 468.

582 Richards, R.J., Bowen, F.L., Essenwein, F., Steiger, R.F., Büscher, G., 1990. The efficacy of
583 triclabendazole and other anthelmintics against *Fasciola hepatica* in controlled studies in
584 cattle. *Veterinary Record*, 126, 213-6.

585 Seimenis, A., 2012. Zoonoses and poverty - a long road to the alleviation of suffering.
586 *Veterinaria Italiana*, 48, 5–13.

587 Serra-Freire, N.M., Bordin, E.L. Lessa, C.S., Bordin, C.S., Lessa, P., Scherer, M., Farias, H.,
588 Malacco, T., Correia, J., Tsumi, J., 1995. Reinvestigação sobre a distribuição da *Fasciola*
589 *hepatica* no Brasil. *Hora Veterinária*, Porto Alegre. 1, 23-34.

590 Sharma, R.L., Godara, R., Thilagar, M.B., 2011. Epizootiology, pathogenesis and
591 immunoprophylactic trends to control tropical bubaline fasciolosis: an overview. *Journal*
592 *of Parasitic Diseases*, 35, 1-9.

593 Shokier, K.M., Aboelhadid, S.M., Waleed, M.A., 2013. Efficacy of five anthelmintics against
594 a natural *Fasciola* species infection in cattle. Beni-suef university journal of basic and
595 applied sciences, 2, 41-45.

596 Soliman, M.F., 2008. Epidemiological review of human and animal fascioliasis in Egypt. The
597 Journal of Infection in Developing Countries, 2, 182–189.

598 Takeuchi-Storm, N., Denwood, M., Hansen, T.V.A., Halasa, T., Rattenborg, E., Boes, J.,
599 Enemark, H.L., Thamsborg, S.M., 2017. Farm-level risk factors for *Fasciola hepatica*
600 infection in Danish dairy cattle as evaluated by two diagnostic methods. Parasit. Vectors,
601 10, 555.

602 Tharwat, M., 2012. Ultrasonographic findings in cattle and buffaloes with chronic hepatic
603 fasciolosis. Tropical Animal Health and Production, 44, 1555-1560.

604 Torgerson, P., Claxton, J., 1999. Epidemiology and control. In: Dalton JP (ed) Fasciolosis.
605 CABI Publishing, Wallingford, pp 113–149.

606 Van Metre, D.C., Tennant, B.C., Whitlock, R.H., 2007. Infectious diseases of the
607 gastrointestinal tract. In Rebhun's Diseases of Dairy Cattle. Eds. T. J. Divers, S. F. Peek.
608 2nd ed. Saunders. pp. 200-294.

609 WHO. 2007. Report of the WHO Informal Meeting on use of triclabendazole in fascioliasis
610 control.
611 [http://www.who.int/neglected_diseases/preventive_chemotherapy/WHO_CDS_NTD_PCT](http://www.who.int/neglected_diseases/preventive_chemotherapy/WHO_CDS_NTD_PCT_2007.1.pdf)
612 [_2007.1.pdf](http://www.who.int/neglected_diseases/preventive_chemotherapy/WHO_CDS_NTD_PCT_2007.1.pdf) Accessed in 20th May 2018.

613 Yadav, S.C., Sharma, R.L., Kalicharan, A., Mehra U.R., Dass, R.S., Verma, A.K., 1999.
614 Primary experimental infection of buffaloes with *F. gigantica*. Veterinary Parasitology,
615 82, 285–296.

616 **Figure captions:**

617 Figure 1. Hyperechogenic hepatic parenchyma with multiple echogenic foci in a cow with
618 hepatic fascioliasis (Imaged from 10th right intercoastal space).

619

620 Figure 2. **A:** Hepatic parenchyma from right 10th inter coastal space showed hyperechogenic
621 bile duct with distal shadowing (arrows). **B:** Distended gallbladder (GB) of a buffalo with
622 hepatic fascioliasis imaged from 9th right intercoastal space.


623

624 Figure 3. Anechoic fluid in abdominal cavity (ascites) in a buffalo with severe hepatic lesions

625

626 Figure 1. Hyperechogenic hepatic parenchyma with multiple echogenic foci in a cow with
627 hepatic fascioliasis (Imaged from 10th right intercoastal space).


628




629

630

631 Figure 2. **A:** Hepatic parenchyma from right 10th inter coastal space showed hyperechogenic
632 bile duct with distal shadowing (arrows). **B:** Distended gallbladder (GB) of a buffalo with
633 hepatic fascioliasis imaged from 9th right intercoastal space.



634 Figure 3. Anechoic fluid in abdominal cavity (ascites) in a buffalo with severe hepatic lesions



635

636

637

638 Table 1. Description of 270 cattle and buffaloes from smallholder production system in

639 Eastern Nile Delta of Egypt examined for *Fasciola* spp. infection during the period from

640 September 2016 to the end of August 2017.

Item	Level	Animal species (%)		Total (%)
		Cattle	Buffaloes	
Sex	Female	55 (39)	87 (61)	142 (53)
	Male	65 (51)	63 (49)	128 (47)
Locality	Zagazig	47 (41)	68 (59)	115 (42)
	Belbis	31 (37)	54 (64)	85 (32)
	Abo-Hammad	42 (60)	28 (40)	70 (26)
Age	Adult (>3)	75 (45)	91 (55)	166 (62)
	Young (≤3y)	45 (43)	59 (57)	104 (39)
Feeding type	Ration	50 (42)	68 (58)	118 (44)
	Free grazing	70 (46)	82 (54)	152 (56)
Frequency of anthelmintic administration	Irregular	80 (44)	100 (56)	180 (67)
	Regular	40 (44)	50 (56)	90 (33)
Hygiene	1	40 (44)	50 (56)	90 (33)
	2	33 (41)	48 (59)	81 (30)
	3	47 (48)	52 (53)	99 (37)
Season	Autumn	23 (46)	27 (54)	50 (19)
	Spring	45 (41)	65 (59)	110 (41)
	Summer	17 (47)	19 (53)	36 (13)
	Winter	35 (47)	39 (53)	74 (27)
Total		120 (44)	150 (56)	270 (100)

641

642

643 Table 2. Distribution and description of potential risk factors associated with bovine
 644 fascioliasis in 270 animals from smallholder production system in Eastern Nile Delta of
 645 Egypt based on faecal examination.

Item	Level	No of examined animals	No of infected animals (%)	95% CI (%)
Locality	Zagazig	115	38 (33)	25 – 42
	Belbis	85	21 (25)	17 – 35
	Abo-Hammad	70	15 (21)	13 – 32
Species	Buffaloes	150	49 (33)	26 – 41
	Cattle	120	25 (21)	15 – 29
Sex	Female	142	46 (32)	25 – 41
	Male	128	28 (22)	16 – 30
Age	Adult (>3)	166	50 (30)	24 – 38
	Young (≤3y)	104	24 (23)	16 – 32
Feeding type	Ration	118	17 (14)	9 – 22
	Free grazing	152	57 (38)	30 – 45
Frequency of anthelmintic administration	Irregular	180	65 (36)	30 – 43
	Regular	90	9 (10)	5 – 18
Hygiene	1	90	9 (10)	5 – 18
	2	81	27 (33)	24 – 44
	3	99	38 (38)	29 – 48
Season	Autumn	50	11 (22)	13 – 35
	Spring	110	39 (36)	27 – 45
	Summer	36	5 (14)	6 – 29
	Winter	74	19 (26)	17 – 37
Total		270	74 (27)	22 – 33

646 Table 3. Univariable logistic regression for potential risk factors associated with bovine fascioliasis
 647 in 270 animals from smallholder production system in Eastern Nile Delta of Egypt (*P*-value ≤
 648 0.25).

Variable	Level	Estimate	OR ²	95% CI ³	P-value
Species	Buffaloes	Ref ¹	Ref	Ref	0.029
	Cattle	-0.61	0.54	0.31 - 0.94	
Sex	Female	Ref	Ref	Ref	0.05
	Male	-0.54	0.58	0.34 - 1.004	
Age	Adult (>3)	Ref	Ref	Ref	0.20
	Young (≤3y)	-0.36	0.70	0.40 - 1.21	
Feeding type	Ration	Ref	Ref	Ref	< 0.0001
	Free grazing	1.27	3.57	1.97 - 6.72	
Frequency of anthelmintic administration	Irregular	Ref	Ref	Ref	< 0.0001
	Regular	-1.63	0.20	0.09 - 0.40	
Hygiene	1	Ref	Ref	Ref	< 0.0001
	2	1.50	4.50	2.03 - 10.83	
	3	1.72	5.61	2.62 - 13.16	
Season	Autumn	Ref	Ref	Ref	0.045
	Spring	0.67	1.95	0.92 - 4.38	
	Summer	-0.56	0.57	0.17 - 1.75	
	Winter	0.20	1.23	0.53 - 2.93	

649 ¹ Ref = reference value; ² OR= odds ratio; ³ CI= confidence interval

650

651 Table 4. Final model of multivariable logistic regression analysis for identification of risk factors
 652 associated with bovine fascioliasis in 270 animals from smallholder production system in Eastern
 653 Nile Delta of Egypt (*P-value* ≤ 0.05).

Variable	Level	Estimate	OR ²	95% CI ³	P-value
Intercept	---	-0.97	0.38	0.22 - 0.64	0.00041
Species	Buffaloes	Ref ¹	Ref	Ref	0.029
	Cattle	-0.61	0.55	0.30 – 0.99	
Frequency of anthelmintic administration	Irregular	Ref	Ref	Ref	< 0.0001
	Regular	-1.77	0.17	0.07 - 0.36	

654 ¹ Ref = reference value; ² OR= odds ratio; ³ CI= confidence interval

655

656 Table 5. Ultrasonographic and clinical findings associated with the different levels of *Fasciola* spp.
 657 infections according to egg count (EPG) in infected animals (n=74) from smallholder production
 658 system in Eastern Nile Delta of Egypt

Burden of <i>Fasciola</i> spp.	No of animals (%)	Clinical picture	Ultrasonographic findings
Low (< 30 EPG)	38 (51.4)	Mild manifestations; - Diarrhea - Decrease milk production - Loss of weight	- Normal hepatic parenchyma - Normal bile system
Moderate (≥ 30 to < 100 EPG)	20 (27)	Moderate manifestations; - Watery diarrhea, - Emaciation, - Anorexia, - Paleness in mucous membranes	- Hyperechogenic hepatic parenchyma with multiple echogenic foci - Normal bile system
High (≥ 100 EPG),	16 (21.6)	Severe manifestations; - Severe emaciation, - Watery diarrhea, - Anorexia - Subcutaneous oedema, - Anemic to icteric mucous membranes	- Hyperechogenic hepatic parenchyma, - Hyperechogenic with distal shadowing bile duct, - Distended gall bladder - Anechoic fluid was imaged in abdominal and thoracic cavity
Total	74 (100)	---	---

659

660

661